Hom ogenous nodal superconductivity coexisting with inhom ogeneous charge order in strongly underdoped B i_2Sr_2C aC u_2O_{8+}

K . M cE lroy

Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA LASSP, Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850 USA

D.H.Lee

Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA Material Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab., Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

J.E.Ho man

Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

K.M Lang

Department of Physics, Colorado College, CO 80305, USA

E.W. Hudson

Department of Physics, MIT, Cambridge MA 02139, USA

H. Eisaki

AIST, 1-1-1 Central 2, Um ezono, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8568 Japan

S. Uchida

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 113-8656 Japan

J. Lee and J.C. Davis

LASSP, Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850 USA (Dated: March 16, 2022)

We use novel STM techniques in concert to study the doping dependence of electronic structure in B $_2$ Sr $_2$ C aC u $_2$ O $_{8+}$. At all dopings, the low energy states are relatively hom ogenous except for dispersive density-of-states modulations whose properties are used to elucidate the momentum—space characteristics of quasi-particles. The superconductive coherence-peaks, ubiquitous in near-optimal tunneling spectra, are destroyed with strong underdoping. A new spectral type, likely characteristic of the zero temperature pseudogap regime, appears in these samples. Exclusively in regions exhibiting this new spectrum, we not quasi periodic modulations in dI/dV as well as in topography, with $Q = (2 = 4.5a_0; 0)$ and $Q = 4.5a_0 = 1.5$ This is consistent with the existence of a local charge density modulation at these wave vectors. Surprisingly, this state coexists harm oniously with the low energy nodal quasi-particles. We discuss the relevance of these nodings to the cuprate phase diagram and to the relationship between the pseudogap and superconductivity.

High tem perature superconductivity emerges in the cuprates when localized electrons in the CuO $_2$ plane become itinerant due to hole-doping. A schematic diagram of the dependence of their electronic/magnetic characteristics on temperature (T), and doped-hole density (p), is shown in

Fig. 1 (adapted from Ref. 2). This is referred to colloquially as the cuprate 'phase-diagram' although true electronic phases (antiferrom agnetic insulator (AFI), superconductor (SC) and metal (M)) have been identical in only three of its regions. Among the other poorly understood and sometimes overlapping regions are those characterized by a 'pseudogap' (PG), 1,2,3 as a disordered magnetic 'spin glass' (SG) with incommensurate spin uctuations, 4,5 and as a non-Fermi liquid (NFL).3,6 Although no widely accepted theory exists for these phenomena, research into the underdoped cuprates

(p < 0:16) is primarily motivated by two (apparently) distinct points of view. In the rst, another electronic phase (ordered state) competes with the superconductivity. This state is thought to drive the superconducting critical tem perature T c to zero as it strengthens with reduced doping, and to disappear near maximum T_c at a hidden quantum critical point (X in Fig. 1). Within this picture, the pseudogap is primarily a property of an unknown electronic ordered state. The second picture is one in which, because of low super uid density at low doping in two dimensions, superconductivity is destroyed by order-param eterphase and/or amplitude uctuations and, as super uid density rises with increased hole-doping, the Tc rises. From this perspective, the pseudogap characterizes a region above Tc exhibiting gaps in the spin and charge spectrum due to the existence of singlet pairs, even though the material is not

a superconductor. Here we report on doping-dependent STM studies of B i_2 Sr₂C aC u₂O $_{8+}$ (B i-2212), designed to explore these two models.

A serious complication for experim ental exploration of these ideas is the fact that real-space (r-space) probes generally detect nanoscale spatial heterogeneity in the electronic/m agnetic structure of underdoped cuprates. For example, when 0.03 , muon spin rotation (SR) studies indicate the existence of a disordered magnetic 'spin glass' in $La_2 \times Sr_x CuO_4$ (La-214) and oxygen doped LaC uO $_{4+}$, 7 and in B $_{2}$ Sr $_{2}$ C aC u $_{2}$ O $_{8+}$ (B $_{1-}$ 2212).8 Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) based local-density-of-states (LDOS) im aging reveals nanoscale electronic structure variations in (Bi-2212)9,10,11,12,13 and in Na_xCa_{2 x} CuO₂Cl₂. 14 Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) points to strong nanoscale carrier density disorder with variations in local p of at least 25% of mean carrier density in both underdoped La-214^{15,16} and underdoped Bi-2212.17 For a wide variety of underdoped cuprates, scaling analyses of penetration depth measurements reveal nite size e ects consistent with nanoscale heterogeneity in the super uid density. These results provide abundant independent evidence that spin and charge degrees of freedom are heterogeneous at the nanoscale in many underdoped cuprates. It has not yet been possible to determine if this heterogeneity is a sam ple-speci c and extrinsic e ect due to crystal, dopant, or chemical disorder, or is an intrinsic elect of the cuprate electronic structure. Nor have its implications for the phase diagram been considered widely.

A complementary description of electronic structure to that in r-space is in momentum space (K-space), accessible for cuprates via angle resolved photoem ission (ARPES), and optical techniques. ARPES reveals that, at optimal p in the superconducting phase, the Ferm i-surface (FS) of hole-doped cuprates is gapped by an anisotropic energy gap (k) with four nodes, and, below T_c, quasiparticles exist everywhere along the nom al-state Ferm i surface. 19,20,21 At a xed low tem perature, those quasi-particle states with $\tilde{k} = (=a_0; 0)$ and (0; =a₀) near the 1st B rillouin zone-face, degrade rapidly in coherence with reduced doping until they have become incoherent at p < $0:10^{19,20,22,23}$ This is a mysterious phenomenon, since it is closely correlated with super uid density²⁴ but, within conventional superconductivity theories, should not be related to it. By contrast, states on the 'Ferm i-arc' (FA)²⁵ nearby the nodes retain their coherence down to the lowest dopings studied. 19,26,27 Transient grating optical spectroscopy studies of non-equilibrium quasi-particles in underdoped YBa₂Cu₃O_{6:5}²⁸ also nd lifetim es for antinodal excitations that are orders of magnitude shorter than those of the nodal quasi-particles. Thus, the electronic structure of underdoped cuprates also appears to be heterogeneous in K-space, in the sense that states proxim ate to the gap-nodes (nodal) have quite di erent characteristics and evolution with doping, than those near the zone-face (antinodal). No generally accepted explanation exists for either these \tilde{k} -space phenom ena or their relationship to the phase diagram .

An electronic structure like this, which is heterogeneous in both r-space and k-space, presents special challenges to conventional experim ental techniques. Nevertheless for the cuprates, it is critical to understand the relationship between these two electronic structure representations and how it evolves with doping. Here, by using several innovative STM techniques in concert, we have carried out the strom prehensive study of the doping dependence of Bi-2212 superconducting electronic structure in r-space and determined its relationship to the electronic structure in K-space. Our rst technique is localdensity of states (LD 0 S) mapping which consists of m easurem ent of the tip-sam ple di erential tunneling conductance g (r; V) $\frac{dI}{dV}$ $\dot{j}_{i,V}$ at each spatial location and at each sample bias voltage V. Since LDOS (r; E = eV) / g (r; E = eV), an energy-resolved r-space im age of the electronic structure is attained. Second, from g(r; E) a dataset, the magnitude of the energy-gap in the density of states (r) can be determined in a process called a gap- $\frac{(x)_{+}}{2}$ and is the energy of the map. Here (%) rst maximum in LDOS above (below) the Fermi level (neglecting im purity states). 12 A naltechnique, recently introduced to cuprate studies, 29 is Fourier transform scanning tunneling spectroscopy (FT-STS). Here, the qvectors of spatial modulations in q (r; E) are determined from the locations of peaks in g (q; E), the Fourier transform magnitude of g(r; E). This technique has proven valuable by virtue of its exceptional capability 30,31,32 to relate the nanoscale r-space electronic structure to that in k-space.

To clarify these techniques, we show examples of each m easurem ent type in Fig.2. All are derived from a single g(r; E) data set measured with 1:8 A resolution in 2562 pixels on a 50 nm -square eld of view (FOV). Figure 2A is the gapmap (r) from this g(r; E) using a color scale that spans 20m eV < (r) < 70 m eV . The superposed inset shows the resolution of a topographic image taken precisely where this g (r; E) was measured. An example of unprocessed g(r; E) typical of strongly underdoped samples, is shown in Fig.2B. These spectra were m easured along the red line in Fig 2A . From these data, one can see dram atic changes during which the coherence peaks in the spectrum disappear and are replaced by to a distinctly di erent type of spectrum. Such evolutions are ubiquitous in strongly underdoped Bi-2212 sam ples. Fig 2C is the measured g(r; E = 12 m eV) in the FOV of 2A. It exhibits a complex pattern of very weak LDOS modulations which are relatively homogenous in the sense that RM S-deviations from spatially averaged value of g(r; E) are only 10%. Fig.2D is the g(g;E = 12 meV) calculated from Fig.2C and it reveals that the LD OS-modulations in Fig.2C were made up of only a small set of well-de ned q-vectors. 30,31

We apply these techniques in concert to study the doping-dependence of electronic structure in a series of Bi-2212 samples. They are all single crystals grown by

the oating zone method. Doping is controlled by oxygen depletion so that no other elemental impurities are introduced. Each is cleaved in cryogenic ultra-high vacuum before immediate insertion in to the STM head. If its BiO surface is at and free of nanoscale debris, each sample is usually studied for several months, typically in a 50nm square eld of view (FOV). More than 10 spectra were acquired for the studies reported here.

In Fig.3 we show 50nm-square gapm aps measured on sam ples with ve di erent dopings. Identical color scales (r) < 70 m eV are used for all representing 20 m eV < im ages. The local hole concentration is impossible to determ ine directly, but we estimate that the bulk dopingswere approximately 3A (0:19 0:01), 3B (0:18 0:01), 3C (0:15 0:01), 3D (0:13 0:01), 3E (0:11 0:01). Near optim aldoping (Fig 3A,B) the gapm aps are heterogeneous but nonetheless the vast majority of tunneling spectra are m anifestly those of a superconductor (see below). However, at the lowest dopings and for gap values exceeding approximately 65 meV, there are very many spectra where actually becomes ill de ned because coherence peaks do not exist at the gap edge (see for exam ple Fig.3F, spectrum 6). We represent these spectra by black in the gapm ap, since they are almost identical to each other and appear to be the lim iting class of spectra at our low est dopings.

The spatially averaged value of (r) for each crystal, , and its fill width at half maximum, , are: $3A \ (=33\ 1\ \text{meV},=7\ \text{meV})$, $3B \ (=36\ 1\ \text{meV},=8\ \text{meV})$, $3C \ (=43\ 1\ \text{meV},=9\ \text{meV})$, $3D \ (48\ 1\ \text{meV},=10\ \text{meV})$, and $3B \ (>62\ \text{meV})$ but with ill dened). As doping is reduced, grows steadily consistent with other spectroscopic techniques, such as ARPES and break-junction tunneling, 19,20,33 which average over the heterogeneous nanoscale phenomena. This observation is very in portant because it demonstrates that our Bi-2212 surfaces evolve with doping in an electronically equivalent fashion to those studied by the other techniques, and that we are probing the low temperature state of the underdoped pseudogap regime.

In Fig.3F we show a series of the 'gap-averaged' spectra. Each is the average spectrum of all regions exhibiting a given local gap value (from the single 50nm FOV of Fig 2C). They are color-coded so that each gap-averaged spectrum can be associated with regions of the same colour in all gapm aps (Fig.'s 3A -E). The spectra are labelied from 1-6, with numbers 1 through 4 providing clear examples of what we refer to as coherence peaks at the gap edge (indicated by the arrows). These gap-averaged spectra are consistent with data reported previously by from gapm ap studies by M atsuda et al. Here, from our doping dependence study, we can report that this set of gap-averaged spectra is alm ost identical for all dopings. The dram atic changes with doping seen in (r) (Fig.3) occur because the probability of observing a given type of spectrum in Fig.3F evolves rapidly with doping. For example, the gap-averaged spectrum labeled as 1 in Fig.3F has a 30% probability of occurring in gapm ap 3A, 25%

in 3B,5% in 3C, less than 1% in 3D, and 0% in 3E. The spectrum labeled 6 has a 0% probability of occurring in 3A,0.1% in 3B,1% in 3C,8% in 3D and > 55% probability in 3E. The evolution of these gapm aps (Fig.3) with falling doping, from domination by heterogeneous but predom inantly superconducting spectral characteristics (Fig.3A,B) to domination by spectra of a very different type (Fig.3E) is striking.

Despite the intense changes with doping in the gapm aps (whose inform ation content is, by de nition, derived from the coherence peaks at E=(r)), the LDOS at energies below about 0.5 remains relatively hom ogenous for all dopings studied. Figure 3F reveals this lowenergy LDOS hom ogeneity because, independent of gap value, the g(E) below 25 meV are almost the same everywhere and for all spectra. These low energy LDOS do, however, exhibit numerous weak, incommensurate, energy-dispersive, spatial LDOS-modulations with long correlation lengths (for example Fig 2C). We focus on the doping dependence of these low energy g(r; E) data by applying the FT-STS technique. Figure

4A -C shows measured g(q;E) for the three g(r;E) datasets used to generate Fig.3A, 3D, and 3E. Each subpanel is the measured g(q;E) at the labeled energy, with the reciprocal space locations of the Bi (or Cu) atoms q = (2 = a_0 ;0) and (0; 2 = a_0), appearing as the four dark spots at the comers of a square. It is obvious that multiple sets of dispersive LDOS-modulations exist at all three dopings, but each exhibits dierent trajectories as a function of E for dierent p.

Analysis of these low energy LDOS modulations requires a model for their relationship to states in K-space. We apply the "octet model" of quasiparticle interference 30,31 which is predicated on a Bi-2212 superconducting band-structure exhibiting four sets of banana'-shaped contours of constant quasiparticle-energy surrounding the gap nodes. 19 Because of the quasiparticle density of states at E is

while each 'banana' exhibits its largest jr k E (K) j 1 near its two ends, the primary contributions to come from the octet of m om entum -space regions at the ends of each 'banana' \tilde{k}_i ; j=1,2,...8 (Fig.5A). M ixing of quasiparticle states in the octet by disorder scattering produces quasiparticle interference patterns which are manifest as spatialLD 0 S-m odulations. The intensity of such scattering induced modulations is primarily governed by joint density of states (am ong other factors). The wavevectors of them ost intense LD O S-m odulations are then determ ined by all possible pairs of points in the octet $\tilde{\kappa}_i$. Sixteen distinct + q and q pairs should be detectable at each non-zero energy by FT-STS.From them, the energy dependence of the octet locations K_i (E) can be determined and associated with a 'locus of scattering' Ks (E). Com prehensive internally-consistent agreement between Bi2212 STM data and this model is achieved near optimal doping. Until this work, nothing was known about its utility for strongly underdoped cuprates.

Theoretical analyses beyond the simple octet model 94,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43 capture many elements of our previously reported g (q; E) data, but no resolution of the exact source, strength, or type of scattering has yet been achieved. Nevertheless, the existence of numerous sets of long-correlation length, dispersive, LDOS modulations, all of which are self-consistent with a single (K) for both lled and empty states, is indicative of good Bogoliubov-like quasi-particles. Since the LDOS-modulations can be associated consistently with a 'locus of scattering' $K_{\rm S}$ (E) via the octet model, we analyze our observations within this model using the q-vector designations shown in Fig.5A.

Figure 5B shows the measured length of q_1 , q_5 and q_7 as a function of energy for the three datasets in Fig.4. Figure 5C shows the locus of scattering calculated for these three using:

$$\mathbf{q}_{1} = (2\mathbf{k}_{x}; 0); \mathbf{q}_{5} = (0; 2\mathbf{k}_{y}); \mathbf{q}_{7} = (\mathbf{k}_{x} \quad \mathbf{k}_{y}; \mathbf{k}_{y} \quad \mathbf{k}_{x})$$
 (2)
 $\mathbf{\tilde{K}}_{s} = (\mathbf{k}_{x}(\mathbf{E}); \mathbf{k}_{y}(\mathbf{E})); \mathbf{\tilde{K}}_{s} = (\mathbf{k}_{y}(\mathbf{E}); \mathbf{k}_{x}(\mathbf{E}))$ (3)

The K_s (E) determined by this technique diers only slightly between dopings, even though the actual g(r; E) for dierent dopings are quite dierent at any given energy. These three K_s (E) are each the same for led and empty sates and each consistent with the same (K) at that doping. Thus Bogoliubov-like quasi-particles appear to exist at these momentum space locations at all dopings. This is consistent with the small motion of the FS in this doping range detected by ARPES.

These observations certainly do not exhaust the changes observed in g(r;E) with falling doping. A very strong e ect is the evolution, with doping, of the q-space location of strongest LD OS-m odulation at any energy. This modulation is always associated with \mathbf{q}_1 and vits location evolves from $\mathbf{q}_1 = \frac{2}{6a_0}$ at p= 0.19 0.01, to $\mathbf{q}_1 = \frac{2}{5:1a_0}$ at p= 0.14 0.01, to $\mathbf{q}_1 = \frac{2}{4:7a_0}$ at p= 0.10 0.01. A nother e ect is a decrease in relative intensity of dispersive LD OS-m odulations \mathbf{q}_2 , \mathbf{q}_3 , \mathbf{q}_6 , \mathbf{q}_7 relative to those of \mathbf{q}_1 , \mathbf{q}_5 , with falling with p. These e ects will be reported in detail elsewhere.

The doping dependence of states with $\Re = (=a_0;0)$ and $(0;=a_0)$ in the 'at band' region near the zone-face¹⁹ (green shaded areas in Fig.5A) is extremely different. These states can also be identified by FT-STS analysis of g(r;E) data. By denition, the coherence peaks in g(r;E) occur at E = (r). In all samples, they exhibit intense particle-hole symmetric LDOS-modulations, with wavevectors $G = (2=a_0;0)$ and $(0;2=a_0)^{31}$. These coherence peak LDOS-modulations at $E = (r)^{31}$ possibly occur due to Umpklapp scattering between $\Re = (=a_0;0)$ and $(0;=a_0)^{31}$. Therefore, the coherence peaks in tunneling are identified empirically with the zone-face states

at $K=(=a_0;0)$ and $(0;=a_0)$. This identication is also consistent with theory. The coherence peaked tunneling spectra (e.g. Fig 2F: spectra 1-4) are theoretically viewed as due to superconducting pairing on the whole FS because such spectra are consistent with a x^2 y^2 everywhere on the ARPES-determined FS near optimal doping. We therefore consider any spatial regions that show clear coherence peaks with q=G LD OS-modulations, to be occupied by a canonical d-wave superconductor (dSC).

Near optim aldoping, more than 98% of any FOV exhibits this type of coherence peaked dSC spectrum. As the range of local values of (r) increases with decreasing doping, the intensity of the g = G coherence peak LD OS-m odulations becom es steadily weaker until, wherever (r) > 65 m eV, they disappear altogether. This process can be seen clearly in the gap-averaged spectra of Fig.3F where the average height of the coherence peaks declines steadily with increasing . It is found equally true for all dopings. W herever the coherence peaks and their q = G LD OS - modulations are absent, a well-de ned new type of spectrum is always observed. Figure 6A shows a high resolution gapm ap from a strongly underdoped sample. Examples of this new type of spectrum, along with those of coherence peaked dSC spectra, are shown in Fig.6B. The coherence peaked spectra (red) are manifestly distinct from the novel spectra (black) which have a V-shaped gap reaching up to -300 m eV and + 75 m eV . For reasons to be discussed below, we refer to the new spectrum as the zero tem perature pseudogap (ZTPG) spectrum.

The replacement of coherence peaked dSC spectra by ZTPG spectra rst begins to have strong im pact on averaged properties of g(r; E) and g(q; E) below about p=0.14 where the fractional area covered by ZTPG spectra rst exceeds 10% of the FOV. In terms of the spectral shape no further evolution in the form of the ZTPG spectrum is detected at lower dopings. Instead, a steadily increasing fractional coverage of the surface by these ZTPG spectra is observed. Our previous studies 10,12 were carried out at dopings p > 0:14 and, when ZTPG spectra have been detected at such higher dopings, 10,11 it is in a tiny fraction of the FOV. Very signi cantly, spectra similar to the ZTPG spectrum are detected inside cores of Bi-2212 quantized vortices where superconductivity is destroyed. 44,45 Furtherm ore, a very sim ilar spectrum is observed in another very underdoped cuprate $Na_xCa_2 \times CuO_2Cl_2$, 14,46 even in the non-superconducting phase. It therefore seems reasonable that the characteristic zero-tem perature spectrum in the pseudogap phase is of this type. This is why we tentatively assign this spectrum the ZTPG designation.

As discussed above, the g(r;E) for E < =2 in even the most underdoped samples (Fig.3E, Fig.4C) exhibit relatively homogenous electronic structure with good quasi-particles dispersing on the Ferm i-arc. However, for E > =2 in these same samples, our previous analyses techniques fail, probably because very dierent phenom—

ena are occurring in di erent nanoscale regions of each FOV. To explore the implications of the ZTPG spectrum for strongly underdoped sam ples, new analysis techniques are therefore required. Here we introduce a masking process which has proven highly e ective. From a given strongly underdoped data set, the g(r; E) in all regions where E > 65 m eV are excised and used to form a new masked data set $q(r; E) j_{>65}$. The remainder form s a second new dataset $g(r; E) j_{<65}$. The E > 65 m eV cuto was chosen because, on the average, it represents where the coherence peaks with associated q = G modulations have all disappeared and are replaced by the ZTPG spectra. An example of this type of mask for the gapm ap in Fig.6A is show in Fig.5C. It is important to note a serious drawback of the masking process. The q resolution is of masked data is considerably worse in than those shown in Fig.5B because the largest contiguous nano region in the mask is about 20% of the full FOV. As a result, the precise modulation period and dispersions of any e ects detected by masking cannot can be determined with nearly the same degree of accuracy as the low-energy quasi-particle interference signal (Fig.5 and Ref. 28).

FT-STS analysis of such (g(x;E)) $_{<65}$, g(x;E)) $_{>65}$) pairs shows that they exhibit dram atically dierent phenomena. In the g(x;E) $_{<65}$, the dispersive trajectory of $_{1}$ is seen up to E $_{<36}$ meV and no further LDOS-modulations can be detected at any higher energy (red symbols in Fig.6D). In the g(x;E) $_{<56}$ data, the identical dispersive $_{1}$ signal is seen below E 36 meV. However, a new LDOS-modulation appears in the g(x;E) $_{<56}$ between E>65 meV and our maximum energy E=150 meV (black symbols in Fig.6D). We designate its wavevector $_{<56}$

To explore the real-space structure of this new highenergy LDOS-modulation, we de neamap $^{150}_{65}$ (x) = $_{\rm E=65}^{150}$ g(r; E) j > 65 which sums over this energy range. This map is shown in Fig.7A and, although it has quite a disordered mask, careful exam ination reveals checkerboard modulations within each nano region. Importantly, Fourier transform analysis of this $^{150}_{65}$ (r) shown in Fig. 7B reveals a well-de ned wavevector set q = $(2 = 4.5a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 4.5a_0)$ 15% for these new high-energy modulations as indicated by the arrow on black data points in inset to Fig. 7B. The identical analysis the complementary map $^{150}_{65}$ g (r; E) j < 65 is feature less near q (red data in inset of Fig. 7B). Thus, an LDOS-modulation with very low (or zero) dispersion, exists above 65 m eV exclusively in regions characterized by ZTPG spectra of strongly underdoped Bi-2212 sam ples.

Constant-current topography represents, albeit logarithm ically, the contour of constant integrated density of states up to the sample-bias energy. It does not su er from the systematic problems due to e ects of the constant-current setup condition renormalization which plague $g(\mathbf{r}; E)$. It is therefore a more conclusive technique for detection of net charge density modulations

by STM . To search for topographic modulations, we apply the identicalm ask (Fig.7C) to the topographic im age which was acquired simultaneously with the gapm ap in 6A . The magnitude of the Fourier transform along the qjj(2;0) for the masked topographic image shows that, in the ZTPG regions, the topography is modulated with $q_{\text{topo}} = (2 = 5a_0; 0) \text{ and } (0; 2 = 5a_0) 25\% \text{ (indicated)}$ by the arrows in Fig.7D). Fourier transforms of the complementary part of the topography (from < 65 meV regions not exhibiting ZBTG spectra) shows no such modulations at any wavelengths near this quopo (red in Fig.7C). No topographic modulations near q_{topo} are detected anywhere in samples at higher doping. An additional peak near $q_{recon} = (2 = 2a_0; 2 = 2a_0)$ and ($2 = 2a_0$; $2 = 2a_0$) in the Fourier transform of the topograph comes from a reconstruction along the supermodulation maximum, is observed at all dopings and, since no signature is observed in LD 0 S at q_{recon} for any energy or doping, is regarded as irrelevant.

Som e important new facts about the strongly underdoped regim e of Bi-2212 electronic structure em erge from these results. Our st nding is related to the Ferm iarc quasi-particle states. As shown in Fig. 5C, FT-STS indicates that quasi-particle interference occurs between Bogoliubov-like states in approximately the same region of K-space for all dopings. These Ferm i-arc quasiparticles remain spatially homogenous (except for relatively weak LD 0 S-m odulations) even in the most underdoped samples. They are Bogoliubov-like in the sense that they exhibit particle-hole symmetry at each location in \tilde{k} -space, and, at each doping, are all consistent with the sam e (K). Therefore, one can reasonably postulate that Ferm i-arc states are gapped by superconducting interactions at all dopings studied. If so, they, and the associated 'nodal' superconducting state, 47,48,49,50 are am azingly robust against the heterogeneous electronic phenom ena which so dom inate Bi-2212 at other energies.

Our second nding is the very dierent fate of states in the at-band regions near \tilde{k} (=a₀;0); (0; =a₀). The appearance of ZTPG spectra in strongly underdoped sam ples coincides exactly with destruction of antinodal superconducting coherence peaks. Exclusively in these ZTPG regions (black in the gapm ap), we nd the three new modulation phenomena: (1) topographic modulations with $q_{topo} = (2 = 5a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 5a_0) = 25\%$, (2) a peak in LD 0 S centered around $q = (2 = 4.5a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 4.5a_0)$ 15% for E > 65 m eV to at least E = 150 m eV and, (3) the dispersive q_1 quasi-particle branch exhibits its maximum modulation intensity when it passes through $q_1=q_{\rm topo}=q$ (F ig 7D). This last situation has been predicted 37,38,39,41,51 as a consequence of the Ferm i surface geom etry and quasi-particle dispersion in the presence of potential scattering from charge-order with xedQ; in this case $Q = q_{topo} = q$. Taken together, these observations all point to the appearance of an unusual charge ordered state with $q = (2 = 4.5a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 4:5a_0)$ 15%, occurring only in the regions characterized by the ZTPG spectrum and only in strongly

underdoped B i-2212. Note that due to the strong disorder and the limited size of the mask domain we can not distinguish between a charge density modulation caused by a condensed charge order and that caused by impurity through an enhanced charge susceptibility in a state without condensed charge order. ⁵² In addition we do not imply that there is true charge long range order since from the Imry-M a argument this is always absent in a disordered system like Bi-2212.

Charge order has been observed in other underdoped cuprates, including Nd doped La-214 with inelastic neutron scattering 53 and more recently by STM in Na_xCa_2 _x CuO_2Cl_2 .46 It has also been proposed, based on reported nondispersive (between 0 and 20 meV), 'line object', LDOS modulations with $q=(2=4a_0;0)^{54}$, that static stripes exist in optimally doped Bi-2212 below T_c. However, none of these phenomena have been detected in several independent higher resolution studies. 30,31,32

Other very suggestive ndings have also been made by STM in Bi-2212. Field induced sub-gap LDOS $m ext{ odulations, } w ext{ ith } q_{vortex} =$ $(2 = 4:3a_0;0)$ and (0; $2 = 4:3a_0$) 15% were discovered surrounding vortex cores (where superconductivity is destroyed) near optimal doping. This observation provided the rst STM evidence for som e type of incipient charge-order com peting with superconductivity in cuprates. 29 Pioneering STM experim ents to m ap the low energy LD OS above Tc when superconductivity is also destroyed, have detected sub-gap LDOS-modulations with $q_{PG} = (2 = 4.6a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 4:6a_0)^{32}$ at near-optim aldoping. A lthough neither of these low energy phenomena are fully understood, they do, along with low energy phenomena in ZTPG regions reported here, form a triad of apparently consistent observations. Destruction of superconductivity, whether by high magnetic elds, by exceeding T_c , or by strong underdoping, results in very similar e ects on low energy LD OS modulations. It remains to be determ ined how the vortex core and pseudogap observations relate directly to the charge order.

The identity of the electronic phase represented by the q_{topo} = q charge order is di cult to discem. In the absence of disorder, plaquette orbital-order phases such as staggered ux phase (SFP)^{55,56} and D-density-wave (DDW),⁵⁷ or intra-plaquette orbital phases,⁵⁸ are not expected to exhibit topographic or LD 0 S-m odulations. However, in theory, LDOS-modulations can be produced by vortex and disorder scattering in the SFP and DDW phases but not near $q = (2 = 4a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 4a_0)$. ^{42,43,59} It rem ains theoretically unexplored whether disordered orbital phases could result in the complete set of new phenomena (see below) we report here. Charge-ordered phases including stripes, 60,61,62 disorder pinned electronic liquid crystal, 63 strong-coupling spin-and charge-density waves, 64 and, recently, hole-pair crystals⁶⁵ have been proposed to exist in underdoped cuprates. Each of these phenomena would yield both LD OS- and topographic-modulations. But again, it remains theoretically unexplored whether these theories

can account for our observations that in the ZTPG regions (i) a characteristic new tunneling spectrum exists, (ii) the q modulations appear only above a relative high energy (65 m eV), (iii) they exhibit an incom m ensurate wavevectorq = $(2 = 4.5a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 4.5a_0)$ 15%, (iv) they exhibit the same spatial phase for positive and negative biases - so that the led-state density maxima coincide with the empty-state-density maxima, and (iv) the q modulations are replaced by the dispersive quasiparticle interference signals at sub-gap energies. A further point is that the predicted strong breaking of the 90 rotation sym metry in the stripe scenario is not observed in any of the STM studies, but again, it may be possible that this is due to the presence of strong disorder. For all these reasons the precise identity of the charge-order state in strongly underdoping Bi-2212 remains elusive.

The data reported here also motivate a new conjecture on the evolution of electronic structure with reduced doping in Bi-2212. In r-space, we identify two extreme types of LDOS spectra (Fig. 6B). The rst exhibits clear coherence peaks at the gap edge and dominates nearoptim alsam ples. The second type (ZTPG-spectrum) exhibits a V-shaped gap over a much wider energy range and dom inates in strongly underdoped samples. We associate the form er with a pure d-wave superconducting state and confecture that the latter re ects a zero tem perature charge ordered state existing at su ciently low dopings in the pseudogap regime. If the whole Bi-2212 sample were homogeneous and consisted of only one of the above phases, then, in K-space, quasi-particle peaks would exist all along the Ferm i surface in the pure d-SC phase, but only on a nite arc around the gap nodes in the charge ordered phase with the zone face states being incoherent due to localization. This may indeed be the case in $Na_xCa_2 \times CuO_2Cl_2$. 27,46 In Bi-2212 he reality is more complicated. In optimally doped samples, m ore than 98% of the surface area exhibit dSC spectra (Fig. 3A,B). As doping falls the ZTPG regions appear and grow in signi cance until as doping approaches p 0.1, almost 60% of the area exhibits the ZTPG characteristics and the associated charge-order (Fig. 3E). From this trend, it is reasonable to expect that, at even lower doping in the zero temperature pseudogap phase, 100% of the sample would exhibit the ZTPG characteristics and be charge-ordered.

In a spatially disordered situation, the probability of occurrence of the two types of phenom ena evolves continuously with doping in a fashion related to the evolution of the gapm aps in Fig. 3. Therefore, properties which average over nanoscale phenom ena would appear to evolve smoothly between the two extremes. Experimental results which are relevant to this proposal include, for example, the doping dependence of the ARPES (;0) peak, 2,19,20,24 the special cheat jump at the superconducting phase transition, and the c-axis conductivity. Due to the heterogeneous mixture of the dSC and the ZTPG regions, it is discult for a spatially averaged experiment like ARPES to discern the properties of the ZTPG re-

gion. However, the well-known tendency of the coherent quasiparticle peaks near the zone face to be suppressed by underdoping, 19,20,24 is consistent with our conjecture. The specicheat jump at the superconducting transition is a characteristic specically of a dSC phase and not of the charge-ordered ZTPG phase. Hence, the declining specicheat jump as a function of underdoping, 66 is also consistent with our hypothesis. Finally, due to tunneling matrix element elects, c-axis tunneling senses the zone-face quasiparticles instead of the nodal ones. Hence the decrease of the c-axis conductivity with underdoping also seems consistent with our suggestion. Further intercomparison between the results of these experimental techniques will be required to explore these proposals.

One may also wonder if the charge-ordered ZTPG phase could actually be the source of the high tem-perature pseudogap phenom ena. Since the charge-order would melt as a function increasing tem perature, the answer to this question it is not clear. If the charge order melting tem perature were actually the pseudogap tem-perature T , then of course, the entire pseudogap phenom ena would be caused by the ZTPG phase. On the other hand if the charge melting tem perature were lower than the pseudogap tem perature T , then there would exist yet another mechanism that gaps the spin excitations at the pseudogap crossover.

Independent of these conjectures on doping-dependence of spatially-averaged observables, the data reported here represent two signi cant advances. First, a charge ordered state competing with superconductivity exists in strongly underdoped Bi-2212 and second, the charge-ordered regions and the d-SC regions share the same low energy nodal quasiparticles. New microscopic theories for the electronic structure of underdoped cuprates are therefore required to explain, not only the unusual characteristics of the charge order phenomenon and how it competes with superconductivity for spectral density at the zone-face, but also how it meshes so smoothly with the nodal superconductivity at low energies.

Figure 1

A schematic phase diagram of the cuprates. Note that the region of our studies is between 0.1 and near the zero temperature axis of this diagram where the magnetically disordered spin glass region coexists with the superconductivity.

Figure 2

A The gapm ap (r) calculated from a g(r; E) data set which was measured in a 55 nm eld of view (FOV) with 256^2 pixels; inset topography in this FOV. B The unprocessed lineaut g(r; E) connection a region with sharp coherence peaks with a region designated ZTPG (see text), along the red line in Fig. 2A.C The measured g(r; E = 12m eV) in the identical FOV as 2A.D calculated from C (the reciprocal space location of the Bior Cu atoms are labeled (2;0). These we types of measurements are used in concert to study the doping dependence of electronic structure in Bi-2212.

Figure 3

A-E M easured (r) for ve di erent hole-doping levels. F. The average spectrum associated with each gap value in a given FOV. They were extracted from the g(r;E) that yielded Fig. 3C but the equivalent analysis for g(r;E) at all dopings yields results which are indistinguishable. The coherence peaks can be detected in # 's 1-4.

Figure 4

A - C Examples of measured g(q; E) for a variety of energies E as shown at three doping levels.

Figure 5

A .A schem atic representation of the 1st B rillouin zone and Ferm i surface location of Bi-2212. The at-band regions near the zone face are shaded in blue. The eight locations which determ ine the scattering within the octet model are show as red circles and the scattering vectors which connect these locations are show as arrows labeled by the designation of each scattering vector. B. Measured dispersions of the LDOS-modulations \mathbf{q}_1 , \mathbf{q}_5 and \mathbf{q}_7 for the 3 dopings whose unprocessed data is shown in Fig.4. We chooses this set of three q-vectors because they exhibit the maximum intensity of any set su cient to independently determine the locus of scattering \mathbf{K}_s (E) for all dopings. C. Calculated loci of scattering \mathbf{K}_s (E) for all 3 dopings. The blue line is a to the 89KOD data

Figure 6

A A high-resolution 46nm square gapmap from a strongly underdoped sample. B. Examples of representative spectra from (1) nanoscale regions exhibiting coherence peaked spectra with q = Q LD 0 S-m odulations (r) (red) and (2) from regions exhibiting ZTPG spectra (black). The locations where these spectra occur are shows as small dots in A.C. The mask identifying regionswith < 65 m eV from the ZTPG regions calculated from gapmap in A.D.Dispersion of q1 (E) in regions with coherence peaked spectra < 65 m eV is shown in red. There are no modulations at any higher energies within our range. Dispersion of c_1 (E) in regions with ZTPG spectra for E < 36 m eV (black symbols). The red squares in Fig.5B represent a combination of these two (indistinguishable) dispersions in this range. For E > 65 meV, the wavevector of the new modulations in ZTPG regions are shown in black. To within our uncertainty they do not disperse and exhibit $q = (2 = 4.5a_0;0)$ and $(0; 2 = 4:5a_0)$ 15%.

Figure 7

A The image of g(r;E) masked by Fig.6C and then summed from 65 meV to 150 meV: $^{150}_{65}$ (r) = $^{150}_{65}$ g(r;E)j $_{>65}$. The light grey regions are outside the mask. This FOV is 46nm square and a careful examination reveals a 'checkerboard' modulation occurring within the regions inside the mask. The equivalent image for $^{150}_{65}$ (r) = $^{150}_{65}$ g(r;E)j $_{<65}$ is featureless except for Biatom locations, and the supermodulation which is at 45 to the modulation in A.B. Fourier transform A. The Biatom locations are circled in orange. A square of diuse maxima are observed surrounding the central

point. The inset shows the plot of the Fourier transform am plitude along the line shown. It reveals a maximum at $q = (2 = 4.5a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 4.5a_0)$ 15% as indicated by the arrow. C. The magnitude of the Fourier transform of the masked topographic image, taken at 150 m V and 150 pA, along the q_{Lopo} jj(2;0) direction is modulated with $q_{topo} = (2 = 5a_0; 0)$ and $(0; 2 = 5a_0)$ 25% (black squares the black line is a quide to the eye). Fourier transforms of the complementary part of the topography (from < 65 m eV regions not exhibiting ZBTG spectra) shows no such modulations at any wavelengths near this q_{topo} (red triangles the red line is a guide to the eye). The di erence between these two Fourier transform intensities is shown in blue and shows the degree to which the ZTPG region shows topographic modulations undetectable elsewhere. The relative weakness of the topographic modulations at q_{topo} is because of the logarithm ic sensitivity of constant-current topography to net charge m odulation. D.A plot of the am plitude of the $\mathbf{e}_{\!1}$ LDOS m odulation as a function of $\mathbf{j}_{\!1}$ j for the sample data as in Fig.3C, 4E,5D. The maximum intensity of the modulations in the ZTPG regions occurs at $\mathbf{j}_{\!1}$ j= 2 =4.8a $_0$ 10%. No special scattering is observed of the quasiparticles in the dSC regions.

A cknow ledgm ents

We acknowledge and thank A.V. Balatsky, S. Chakravarty, M.P.A.Fisher, T.Hanaguri, S.Kivelson, P.A.Lee, A.Millis, D.Pines, S.Sachdev, J.Sethna, T. Uemura, A.Yazdani, J.Zaanen, and S.C. Zhang for very helpful discussions and communications.

- 1 J.O renstein and A.J.M illis, Science 288, 468 (2000).
- $^{2}\,$ T.T im usk and B.Statt, Rep.M od.Phys.62,61 (2000).
- ³ M.Norm an and C.Pepin, cond-m at/0302347.
- ⁴ K. Yam ada, C. H. Lee, K. Kurahashi, J. Wada, S. Wakimoto, S. Ueki, H. Kimura, Y. Endo, S. Hosoya, G. Shirane, et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 6165 (1998).
- ⁵ C. Niederm ayer, C. Bernhard, T. Blasius, A. Golnik, A. Moodenbaugh, and J. Budnick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3843 (1998).
- 6 C.M. Varma, Z.Nussinov, and W. van Saarloos, Phys. Rep. 361, 267 (2002).
- $^{7}\,$ Y . U em ura, Solid State C om m un . 126, 23 (2002).
- ⁸ C. Panagopoulos, J. L. Tallon, B. D. Rainford, J. R. Cooper, C.A. Scott, and T. Xiang, Solid State Commun. 126, 47 (2002).
- ⁹ T.Cren, D.Roditchev, W.Sacks, and J.Klein, Europhys. Lett. 54, 84 (2001).
- S.H.Pan, J.P.O'Neil, R.L.Badzey, C.Chamon, H.Ding, J.R.Engelbrecht, Z.W ang, H.Eisaki, S.Uchida, A.K. Gupta, et al., Nature 413, 282 (2001).
- ¹¹ C. Howald, P. Fournier, and A. Kapitulnik, Phys. Rev. B 64, 100504 (2001).
- ¹² K.M. Lang, V.M adhavan, J.E.Ho man, E.W. Hudson, and J.C.D.H.Eisaki, S.Uchida, Nature 415, 412 (2002).
- ¹³ A.M atsuda, T.Fujii, and T.W antanabe, Physica C 388–389, 207 (2003).
- Y. Hoshaka, T. Hanaguri, K. Kitazawa, M. Azuma, M. Takano, and H. Tagaki, J. Low Temp. Phys. 131, 299 (2003).
- ¹⁵ J. Hasse, C. P. Slichter, and C. T. Milling, J. Supercond. 15, 339 (2002).
- ¹⁶ P.M. Singer, A.W. Hunt, and T. Im ai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88,047602 (2002).
- ¹⁷ J. Loram, J. Tallon, and W. Liang, Phys. Rev. B 69, 060502 (2004).
- 18 T. Schneider, cond-m at/0308595.
- 19 A. Dam ascelli, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 473 (2003).
- J.C.Cam puzano, M.R.Norm an, and M.Randeria, cond-mat/0209476.
- ²¹ P.D. Johnson, A. Fedorov, and T. Valla, J. Electron Spec-

- troscopy 117, 153 (2001).
- A.V. Fedorov, T. Valla, P.D. Johnson, Q. Li, G.D. Gu, and N. Koshizuka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2179 (1999).
- A. G. Loeser, Z.-X. Shen, M. C. Schabel, C. Kim, M. Zhang, A. Kapitulnik, and P. Fournier, Phys. Rev. B 56, 14185 (1997).
- D. L. Feng, D. H. Lu, K. M. Shen, C. Kim, H. Eisaki, A. Dam ascelli, R. Yoshizaki, J. i. Shim oyama, K. Kishio, G. D. Gu, et al., Science 289, 277 (2000).
- M.R.Norman, H.Ding, M.Randeria, J.C.Cam puzano, T.Yokoya, T.Takeuchi, T.Takahahsi, P.G.T.Mochiku, K.Kadowaki, and D.G.Hinks, Nature 392, 157 (1998).
- ²⁶ T. Yoshida, X. J. Zhou, T. Sasagawa, W. L. Yang, P. V. Bogdanov, A. Lanzara, Z. Hussain, T. Mizokawa, A. Fujim ura, H. Eisaki, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 027001 (2003).
- F. Ronning, T. Sasagawa, K. Koshaka, K. M. Shen, A. Damascelli, C. Kim, T. Yoshida, N. P. A. mitage, D. H. Liu, D. L. Feng, et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 165101 (2003).
- N. Gedik, J. Orenstein, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, and W. N. Hardy, Science 30, 1410 (2003).
- J.E.Ho man, E.W. Hudson, K.M. Lang, V.M adhavan, H.Eisaki, S.Uchida, and J.C.Davis, Science 295, 466 (2002).
- J. E. Homan, K. McElroy, D.-H. Lee, K. M. Lang, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, and J. C. Davis, Science 297, 1148 (2002).
- 31 K.McElroy, R.W. Simmonds, J.E.Homan, D.H.Lee, J.Orenstein, H.Eisaki, S.Uchida, and J.C.Daivs, Nature 422,520 (2003).
- ³² M. Vershinin, S. Misra, S. Ono, Y. Abe, Y. Ando, and A. Yazdani, Science 303, 1995 (2004).
- N. Miyakawa, P. Guptasama, J. F. Zasadzinski, D. G. Hinks, and K. E. Gray, Phys. Rev. Lett 80, 157 (1998).
- ³⁴ J.-X. Zhu, I. M artin, and A. R. B ishop, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89,067003 (2002).
- J.M. Byers, M. E. Flatte, and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 100506 (19933).
- ³⁶ D. Zhang and C.S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B 67, 100506 (2003).
- ³⁷ Q.W ang and D.H.Lee, Phys. Rev. B 67, 020511 (2003).
- 38 J.-X. Zhu, W. Kim, C.S. Ting,, and J.P. Carbotte, Phys.

- Rev.Lett.87,197001 (2001).
- 39 L. Capriotti, D. J. Scalapino, and R. D. Sedgewick, condm at/0302563.
- ⁴⁰ L. Zhu, W. A. Atkinson, and P. J. Hirschfeld, Phys. Rev. B 69,060503 (2004).
- $^{41}\,$ C .-T . Chen and N .-C . Yeh, cond-m at/0307660.
- ⁴² T. Pereg-Barnea and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. B 68, 180506(R) (2003).
- ⁴³ C. Bena, S. Chakravarty, J. Hu, and C. Nayak, condm at/0311299.
- 44 C. Renner, B. Revaz, K. Kodowaki, I. Marggio-Aprile, , and O. Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3606 (1998).
- 45 S. H. Pan, E. W. Hudson, A. K. Gupta, K.-W. Ng, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, and J. C. Davis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1536 (2000).
- ⁴⁶ T. Hanaguri, C. Lupien, Y. Kohsaka, D.H. Lee, M. Azuma, M. Takano, H. Takagi, and J. C. Davis, to be published.
- ⁴⁷ T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7850 (1993).
- ⁴⁸ M. Vojta, Y. Zhang, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 62, 6721 (2000).
- ⁴⁹ N. Jogelkar, A. H. C. Neto, and A. V. Balatsky, condm at/0304536.
- $^{50}\,$ O .P arcollet, G .B iroli, and G .K otliar, cond-m at/0308577.
- D. Podolsky, E. Dem ler, K. Dam le, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 67, 094514 (2003).

- ⁵² D.H.L.Henry C.Fu, J.C.D avis, cond-m at/0403001.
- ⁵³ J. Tranquada, B. Sternlieb, J. Axe, Y. Nakamura, and S.Uchida, Nature 375, 6532 (1995).
- ⁵⁴ C. Howald, H. Eisaki, N. Kaneko, M. Greven, and A. Kapitulnik, Phys. Rev. B 67, 014533 (2003).
- 55 I.A eck and J.B.M arston, Phys.Rev.B 37, 3774 (1988).
- ⁵⁶ P.A.Lee, cond-m at/0307508.
- 57 S.Chakravarty, R.B.Laughlin, D.K.Morr, and C.Nayak, Phys. Rev. B. 63, 094503 (2001).
- ⁵⁸ C.M. Varma, Phys. Rev. B. 55, 14554 (1997).
- ⁵⁹ J. i. K ishine, P. A. Lee, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5365 (2001).
- ⁶⁰ J. Zaanen and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7391 (1989).
- 61 S.A.K ivelson, E.Fradkin, and V.J.Em ery, nature 393, 550 (1998).
- 62 U. Lw, V. J. Emery, K. Fabricius, and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1918 (1994).
- 63 S.A.Kivelson, I.P.Bindloss, E.Fradkin, V.Oganesyan, J.M. Tranquada, A.Kapitulnik, and C.Howald, Rev. Mod.Phys.75, 1201 (2003).
- ⁶⁴ S.Sachdev, Rev. M od. Phys. 75, 913 (2003).
- 65 H.-D. Chen, S. Capponi, F. Alet, and S.-C. Zhang, condmat/0312660.
- ⁶⁶ J.W .Loram , J.Luo, J.R.Cooper, W .Y.Liang, and J.L. Tallon, Phys. Rev. B 62, 59 (2001).















