Phase dependence of localization in the driven two-level model

C.E.Creeld

D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Roma \La Sapienza", Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185, Rome, Italy

(D ated: January 11, 2022)

A two-level system subjected to a high-frequency driving eld can exhibit an e ect term ed \coherent destruction of tunneling", in which the tunneling of the system is suppressed at certain values of the frequency and strength of the eld. This suppression becomes less e ective as the frequency of the driving eld is reduced, and we show here how the detailed form of its fall-o depends on the phase of the driving, which for certain values can produce sm all local maxim a (or revivals) in the overall decay. By considering a squarewave driving eld, which has the advantage of being analytically tractable, we show how this surprising behavior can be interpreted geom etrically in terms of orbits on the B loch sphere. These results are of general applicability to m ore commonly used elds, such as sinusoidal driving, which display a sim ilar phenom enology.

Since the pioneering work of Hanggi and co-workers¹ it has been known that a quantum system subjected to a periodic driving eld can exhibit an unusuale ect term ed \coherent destruction of tunneling" (CDT), in which the tunneling dynam ics of the system are quenched at various speci c values of the strength and frequency² of the applied eld. One of the simplest systems in which this e ect appears is the driven two-level system 3, which has been applied to describe m any physical situations, such as an electron m oving in a double well potential¹, m olecular switches⁴, the single-Cooper-pair box⁵ and superconducting tunnel junctions⁶. Controlling the dynam ics of such system s has become of increasing importance due to possible technological applications in quantum com puting, and the CDT e ect provides one m ethod of achieving such control which preserves the quantum coherence of the system .

The periodicity of the driving eld allows us to invoke the F loquet theorem, and expand solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation⁷ as

$$(t) = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j=1}}^{X^2} c_j \exp[i_j t]_j(t); \quad (1)$$

where i is term ed the quasienergy, and i (t) is a function with the same periodicity as the driving eld, called a F loquet state. A necessary condition for CDT to occur is that the quasienergies must be either degenerate or close to degeneracy⁴. If we neglect the time-dependence of the F loquet states it is clear that the dynam ics of the system will indeed be frozen when this condition is satis ed. Neglecting the intrinsic time-dependence of the F loquet states is a reasonable approximation when the frequency of the driving eld is high (the $\high-frequency \lim it")$, as the period of the eld is then much shorter than the typical tim escale for tunneling processes, given by the Rabiperiod. At lower frequencies, however, the F loquet states can have a non-trivial tim e-dependence, and the degree of CDT can be markedly reduced if the Floquet states them selves have a large amplitude of oscillation⁸. In this work we will show that fall-o in CDT produced by this e ect has a surprising dependence on the phase of the driving eld. Although phase-e ects have been

brie y noted in previous work⁹, we provide here the rst system atic study and explanation of this e ect. We shall show how the phase can be chosen to maxim ize the degree of CDT, and also how certain choices of phase can produce a non-monotonic decay of CDT, containing sm all local maxim a or \revivals", as was seen previously in Ref.¹⁰.

W e consider a two-level system described by the H am iltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} x + \frac{E}{2} f(t) z;$$
 (2)

where i are the standard Paulim atrices. We parameterize the driving eld as E f (t), where E is the strength of the driving, and f (t) is a T-periodic function of unit amplitude and frequency !, which describes the waveform of the driving eld. The eigenstates of the undriven system consist of two extended states: namely a symmetric ground state separated by the level splitting, , from the anti-sym m etric excited state. To investigate the tunneling dynam ics of the system it will prove useful to also de ne localized states form ed by the sum and di erence of these eigenstates, which we label as Li and Ri. The degree of tunneling can be conveniently assessed by initializing the system in state ji and then evolving it in time under the in uence of the Ham iltonian (2). By measuring the probability that the system remains in state \mathbf{L} i, \mathbf{P}_{L} (t) = \mathbf{h} (t) \mathbf{L} if, we can quantify the degree to which tunneling is suppressed by nding the minimum value of $P_{\rm L}$ (t) attained, which we term the \localization". W hen the tunneling is completely destroyed P_{L} (t) does not change with time, and thus the localization is equal to one. Conversely, if the tunneling is not completely suppressed, the localization will take a lower value, and will be zero if the particle is able to completely tunnel from Lito Ri.

We show in Fig.1 the localization produced as the frequency of the driving eld is reduced, obtained by the numerical evolution of the system using a Runge-K utta technique¹¹, for two types of driving eld: a sine wave and a squarewave. For both elds the driving strength was chosen so that the quasienergies of the system were degenerate and so CDT occurs. As was




FIG. 1: Localization produced by (a) a sinusoidal driving eld and (b) a squarewave driving eld. Solid line indicates the result for a driving with zero phase-shift, the dotted line for a phase-shift of =4, and the dashed line for a phase-shift of =2. For high-values of! the localization is excellent in all cases, but drops rapidly for =! > 0:5. For the phase-shift of =2 the localization goes through a small local m inim um before reaching zero. Insets: A single period of the zero phaseshifted driving elds f(t).

shown in Ref.¹¹, this degeneracy condition de nes a set of sm ooth one-dimensional curves in (E;!) space called \crossing-m anifolds"³. When the quasienergies are degenerate it is clear from Eq.(1) that the time-dependence of the system is given solely by the F loquet states. Since these states are periodic, it is su cient to evolve the system over just one period of the driving to study its properties, giving a considerable saving of com putationale ort.

A though the two driving elds are very di erent, they produce strikingly sim ilar form s of localization. In both cases in the high-frequency regime (=! 1) the localization has a value of one, irrespective of the phase of the driving eld. This indicates that the tunneling is com pletely destroyed and thus the system remains frozen in its initial state. As the value of ! is reduced, the particle is able to tunnel m ore freely, and the degree of localization falls. It can also be clearly seen that at low frequencies the phase of the driving eld has a signi cant e ect on the form of this fall-o. When the eld has no phase-shift (shown in the insets to Fig.1) the localization decays monotonically to zero as the frequency is reduced. The initial e ect of increasing the phase of the driving eld from zero is to cause the localization to drop more rapidly. For example, at =! = 1 the localization produced by a =4 phase-shifted eld is half that of the zero phase-shift case, while for a phase shift of =2 the localization is about four times smaller. A further e ect occurs for values of the phase shift close to its maximum value of =2, in which after rapidly decaying to zero, the localization subsequently passes through a sm all localm axim um , before again vanishing at the sam e

frequency as the zero phase-shift case.

To understand how this behavior com es about, it is useful to visualize the dynam ics of the two-level system geom etrically, by making use of the Bloch sphere representation well-known from quantum optics and NMR studies, and now commonly used in quantum inform ation theory¹². Any pure state of the system can be represented by a point on the surface of the B loch sphere, and we can note in particular that the localized states Li and Ri correspond to the points [0;0; 1]. We shall consider just the case of squarewave driving, as it displays the same phase-dependent behavior as sinusoidal driving, but also has the useful property of allowing exact solutions for the time-development of the system to be obtained. For this form of driving, the tim e-dependent H am iltonian (2) decom poses into piecewise constant parts, $H = (=2)_x$ $(E=2)_z$. These operators can be interpreted conveniently as an interaction between the Bloch vectors and a ctitious magnetic eld directed along the axes r = [;0; E], and thus the time-evolution of the system can be viewed as successive Lam or rotations about these axes. This insight is the key to a simple method for interpreting and understanding the dynam ics of the system .

The operators H $\,$ can be exponentiated straightforwardly, yielding the following result for the unitary operator describing the evolution of the system under the in uence of H $\,$:

U (t) = I cos t i
$$\frac{\sin t}{2}$$
 [x E z]: (3)

In this expression = ${}^{F} \overline{E^{2} + {}^{2}=2}$ is the Rabi frequency. The general time-evolution operator for a squarewave driving eld can now be expressed simply as the product of successive factors of U . Exam ination of Eq.3 reveals that the propagator for one period of the driving, U (T) = U₊ (T=2)U (T=2), is equal to the identity (and thus the quasienergies are both zero and CDT occurs) if sin T=2 = 0. This indicates that CDT only arises if the driving frequency is in resonance with the Rabi frequency, = n! where n is an integer. This resonance condition may be expressed alternatively as

$$\frac{E}{!}^{2} + \frac{2}{!}^{2} = (2n)^{2} : \qquad (4)$$

It is interesting to note that this equation for the crossingm anifolds for a squarewave driving eld, which describes concentric circles in (E = !; = !) space, is identical to that hypothesized from purely numerical evidence in Ref.¹¹.

We now consider the explicit time evolution of the system, and begin with the simplest case of zero phaseshift. The state jLi in which the system is initialized corresponds to the north-pole of the Bloch sphere (s = [0;0;1]). During the rst half-period of the driving, this initial state evolves under the in uence of H₊, making a Larm or rotation about the axis r_{+} . The resonance condition between the driving frequency ! and




FIG. 2: O rbits on the B loch sphere for driving parameters =! = 1=2, E=! = 15=2, i.e. on the rst crossing-manifold (n = 1). The left plot shows the time-evolution for zero phase-shift, and on the right for a phase-shift of =2.

the Larm or frequency means that during this interval the Bloch vector makes n complete revolutions, and thus at t = T=2 the system returns to its initial state. During the second half-period a similar process occurs, with the Bloch vectorm aking n revolutions about the axis r . The system thus traces out a symmetric \ gure-of-eight" on the surface of the B loch sphere, as shown in Fig 2. In the high-frequency lim it, when ! and thus E 1 from Eq.4, the rotation axes are alm ost parallel to the z-axis, and so the loops traced by the B loch vector have a very sm all radius. As a result, the orbit rem ains close to jli at all times, and the system exhibits a high degree of localization. As E is reduced, how ever, the angle between the rotation axes increases and the loops traced by the Bloch vector become larger. The system can thus explore further around the Bloch sphere and approach closer to Ri, thereby reducing the localization. It is a simplem atter to explicitly derive an expression for P_L (t), from which the following result for the localization can be obtained

$$P_{L}^{m in} = P_{L} (T=4) = 1 - \frac{(=!)^{2}}{4n^{2}}$$
: (5)

In Fig.3 it can be seen that this result indeed correctly describes the fall-o in localization for a zero phase-shifted eld.

We now consider the e ect of the phase-shift. For a phase-shift of =2 the system rst evolves under H + for a quarter-period, then under H for an interval of T=2, and completes the nal quarter-period under H $_+$. A ccordingly, during the st quarter-period of the driving the B loch vector m akes n=2 revolutions about r_{+} , and it is thus now important to distinguish whether n is even or odd. In the form er case, the B loch vector will m ake an integer number of revolutions about r_+ in the rst quarter-period, and so it will end this interval at Li. It will then make n complete revolutions about r during the next half-period, and will nally complete its motion by making n=2 revolutions about r_{+} . For even values of n the Bloch vector thus traces out the same gure-of-eight orbit as for the zero phase-shift case, and so although the order in which it traverses the loops is di erent, identical




FIG.3: Comparison of the localization on the rst crossingmanifold (n = 1) with the analytical expressions derived in the text. Eq.5 is denoted by the solid line, Eq.6 by the dashed line. It can be seen that for zero-phase shifted driving (hollow circles) the localization is described by Eq.5. For =2 phaseshifted driving (solid circles) the localization is given by m in \mathbb{P}_{L} (T=4); \mathbb{P}_{L} (T=2)], and the crossover between these quantities at =! = 3 produces the cusp-like revival feature.

values of localization will be produced.

If n is odd, however, a di erent behavior will occur. At the end of the rst quarter-period the system will not have returned to j i, as the B loch vector will only have made a half-integer number of rotations about $r_{\rm f}$. The B loch vector has thus been rotated away from the r axis, and so under the in uence of H the Larm or rotation it m akes around this axis will have a di erent radius, as shown in Fig.2. The second loop clearly approachesm uch closer to jR i than for the case of the gure-of-eight orbit, and thus the localization is reduced.

In Fig.4 we show this e ect in detail for driving param eters on the rst-crossing manifold (n = 1), by displaying the B loch sphere orbits projected onto the ($s_y; s_z$) plane. For =! = 1 the system makes a similar orbit to that shown in Fig.2. The minimum value of localization (i.e. the closest approach to \Re i) occurs at t = T=2, in contrast to the case of zero-phase shift, for which the minim a occur at t = T=4 and 3T=4. A lthough it is possible to calculate explicit expressions for P_L (t), these are in general som ew hat cum bersom e. We einstead present formulae just for times that are multiples of T=4, which, as can be seen from Fig.4, correspond to the turning points in P_L (t).

During the rst and last quarter-periods of driving the time-evolution of the system is identical to that of the case with zero phase-shift, and accordingly $P_{\rm L}$ (T=4) is given by Eq.5. It is also straightforward to show that

$$P_{L}$$
 (T=2) = 1 $\frac{(=!)^{2}}{2n^{2}} \sin^{2} n=2$: (6)

In Fig.3 it can be seen that this expression indeed correctly describes the rapid fall of localization for the =2 phase-shifted eld, which reaches zero at =! = 2. At this value of frequency, the two rotation axes make an angle of =4 to the z-axis, producing the highly sym metric time-evolution shown in Fig.4b, in which the system passes through \Re i at t = T=2. As =! is increased fur-




FIG. 4: T in e-evolution of the system in the low-frequency regime ! . On the left, the evolution on the B loch sphere projected onto the $(s_y; s_z)$ plane. The solid line indicates the evolution of the system over the rst half-period, the dotted line over the second half-period. The upper (low er) crosses indicate the states jLi (R i). On the right, the tim e-evolution of the probability P_L (t) over one period of the driving. The solid line indicates zero phase-shifted driving, the dashed line has a phase-shift of =2.

ther, how ever, the trajectory of the orbit no longer passes exactly through \Re i and thus the localization increases, producing the <code>\revival"</code> e ect. This increase continues until =! = <code>3</code>, at which $P_{\rm L}$ (T=4) and $P_{\rm L}$ (T=2) become equal. A s shown in Fig.4c, at this value of frequency the system does not display any time evolution during the interval (T=4; 3T=4), since the action of rst quarter-period of the driving is to rotate the initial state to align with r. The follow ing half-period of driving under H , thus does not alter the state of the system .

For still larger values of =!, the rotation about the r

axis acts to move the system away from $\Re i$ (see Fig.4d), and consequently the minimum value of P_L no longer occurs at T=2, but at T=4 instead. In this regime the localization produced by the =2 phase-shifted eld is therefore identical to that produced by the zero phaseshifted case. It can be clearly seen in Fig.3 that it is this crossover in behavior that gives rise to the revival feature seen in Fig.1.

In summary, we have studied how the CDT e ect depends upon the frequency of the driving eld. In the high-frequency regime, the positions of the quasienergy degeneracy can be found analytically^{2,11} and the degree of CDT is not a ected by the phase of the driving eld. As the frequency is reduced a more complicated picture appears: the quasienergies drift away from their highfrequency values along one-dimensional manifolds, and the degree of CDT induced depends not only on the values of eld strength and frequency, but also on its phase. We have rstly shown that the form of the crossingmanifolds for the squarewave driving eld (Eq.4) that was conjectured previously on num erical evidence¹¹ is in fact exact. By using the Bloch sphere representation we have given a simplem ethod of understanding how the bcalization falls as the frequency of the driving is reduced, and have analytically con med the empirical observation in Ref.⁹ that the localization is maxim ized for zero phase-shifted driving. We have also clari ed how the revival features seen for =2 phase-shifted driving¹⁰ arise, and have dem onstrated that for crossing-m anifolds with even values of n that this phenom enon does not occur. This opens up new prospects for experiment in the lowfrequency regime, which is both easier to attain and also has the advantage that the driving eld is less likely to drive transitions to higher energy-states (thereby breaking the two-level approxim ation).

A cknow ledgm ents

The author gratefully acknow ledges the hospitality of the University of Edinburgh, where this work was com - p leted.

- ¹ F.G rossm ann, T.D ittrich, P.Jung and P.Hanggi, Phys. Rev.Lett. 67, 516 (1991).
- ² M.Holthaus, Z.Phys.B:Condens.Matter 59, 251 (1992).
- ³ F.Grossmann and P.Hanggi, Europhys. Lett. 18, 571 (1992).
- ⁴ Jorg Lehm ann, Sebastien Cam alet, Sigm und Kohler and Peter Hanggi, Chem. Phys. Lett. 368, 282 (2003).
- ⁵ Y.Nakamura, Yu A.Pashkin and J.S.Tsai, Nature 398, 786 (1999).
- ⁶ D. V ion, A. Aassime, A. Cottet, P. Joyez, H. Pothier, C. Urbina, D. Esteve and M. H. Devoret, Science 296, 886 (2002).
- ⁷ M.Grifoni and P.Hanggi, Phys.Rep. 304, 229 (1998).
- ⁸ In this work we monitor the tunneling dynamics of the system continuously. If, however, the system is measured \stroboscopically" at intervals separated by the period of the driving eld, CDT will always appear to be perfect if the condition of quasienergy degeneracy is satis ed.
- ⁹ R.Bavliand H.M etiu, Phys.Rev.Lett. 69, 1986 (1992).
- ¹⁰ JM .V illas-Bôas, W ei Zhang, Sergio E. U lloa, P.H. Rivera and Nelson Studart, Phys. Rev. B 66, 085325 (2002).
- ¹¹ C E.Cre eld, Phys.Rev.B 67, 165301 (2003).
- ¹² S.G. Schimmer, T. Zhang and J.V. Leahy, J. Phys. A: M ath. Gen. 37, 1389 (2004).