Density-induced reorientation of the stripe at half-lled high Landau levels

ShiJie Yang^{1,2} and Yue Yu³

¹Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

²Center for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

³Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academ y of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China

The e ect of a unidirectional periodic potential on the orientation of the stripe state is studied for the two-dimensional electron system at half-led high Landau levels. By considering a quantum well with two electric subbands, it is found that the stripe is parallel to the external potential for weak modulation and is orthogonal for strong modulation. In the intermediate range, the orientation of the stripe changes from orthogonal to parallel as the electron density is increased. This result explains the recent experiment performed by J. Zhu et althat the anisotropy axis at half-led high Landau levels rotates by 90° by increasing the electron density. It also supports the suggestion that the stripes is pinned by the native surface morphology at the interface of the hetero junction.

PACS num bers: 73.43.-f, 73.40 Kp, 71.15 Nc

Two-dimensional electron systems (2DES) of the high mobility samples in a strong magnetic eld exhibit a rich variety of physical phenom ena associated with the Coulomb interactions between electrons. In the lowest Landau level (LL), the electrons condense into an incompressible quantum liquid at certain rational 11ing factors and leads to the fam ous fractional quantum Halle ect (FQHE)^{1,2,3}. Recently, a new kind of manybody correlated phase which shows large an isotropy in the longitudinal resistance in the magneto-transport experim ents, was revealed at half-lled high Landau levels (= 9=2;11=2; ^{4,5}). The origin of this strange anisotropy is widely viewed as the formation of a unidirectional charge density wave (UCDW) or stripe state around these lling factors 6,7 . Even before the experim ental discoveries, the UCDW was predicted theoretically by M $\,{\rm M}\,$. Fogler et al and R $.{\rm M}\,$ oessneret al based on the Hartree-Fock (HF) discussions, where the 2D electron gas spontaneously breaks the translational sym m etry^{8,9}. W hen the external eld is tilted away from the sam ple norm al, it shows that the easy transport orients orthogonal to the in-plane magnetic eld^{10,11}. Theoretical computations beyond the HF approximation are also consistent reasonably with the experiment results^{12,13,14}. Som e researchers proposed the existence of liquid crystalline states with stripe ordering and broken rotational sym m etry^{13,15,16}.

N evertheless, the preferred orientation of the stripes in a perpendicular magnetic eld remains puzzling¹⁷. For 2D electron systems in G aA s/A IG aA s heterostructures grown on < 110 > -oriented G aA s substrates, the hard transport direction is parallel to the < 110 > crystallographic direction while the easy direction is parallel to < 110 > . It is hard to believe that the crystal structure a ects the orientation of the stripe. In the experiments the magnetic eld is a few teslas, so the wave function of each electron in the third LL is spread over more than several hundred angstrom s. The details of the crystal lattice structure w ill be averaged out. Filproposed that the piezoelectric e ect may play a role in determining the orientation of the stripes¹⁸. In a recent experiment, R L.W illett et al exam ined the surface morphology of high mobility heterostructures and found the transport is consistent with that in samples having articially induced 1D charge modulations¹⁹. The native lines are orthogonal to the stripes, which at rst glance, is somehow contrast to intuitions. Several authors have studied this new e ect with a periodic external potential and their results consistent with the experim ent^{20,21}.

Recently, J. Zhu et al observed a density-induced interchange of anisotropy axes at half-lled high LLs^{22} . They employed a tunable density heterostructure insulated gate eld e ect transistor to access a wide density regime and found that as the density of the 2DES is raised above 2:9 10^{11} cm², the easy axis rotates from the < 110 > direction to the < 110 > direction. Their result provides another way to dem onstrate the pinning mechanism of the stripe phase which we will discuss in the this work. W e will show when take account into two electric subbands and introduce a unidirectional periodic potential to the electron system, these stripes align either parallel or perpendicular to the external potential. The stripe is parallel to the periodic potential for weak m odulation whereas it perpendicular to the potential for strong modulation. For interm ediate modulation, the stripes experience a rotation of 90° from parallel or orthogonal to the external potential as the density increases. Our discussion supports the suggestion that the orientation of the stripe phase is pinned by the native symmetry breaking potential at the G aA s/A IG aA s interface.

In order to deal with the problem analytically, we assume that the electron gas is conned in a plane by an harmonic potential with the characteristic frequency . Before going to the details, we argue that this choice of the conning potential may quantitatively correct for the problem we will deal with in this work despite the fact that the realistic conning potential in the sample is essentially a nite square well. The harmonic well is very dierent from the square well in their excited spectra, for the harmonic spectrum is equal gapped while that of the square well is not. However, in our work, there will be only two energy levels of the conning potential to be involved and thus the unequal energy gaps between different adjacent energy levelw ill not be concerned. Therefore, one can variationally adjust the harm onic frequency such that the harm onic energy gap equals the gap between those two given levels in a realistic square well. In this sense, the harm onic potential may be a good approxim ation to a realistic potential to give a quantitative description. Such a harm onic potential has been chosen to dealw ith m any quantum H all system s to replace the realistic potential which is either triangular²³ or square^{6,7,24}. It was also used to discuss the giant m agneto-resistance induced by a parallelm agnetic eld²⁵.

For a perpendicular magnetic eld applied to the system, $B = B \hat{z}$, there are two electric subbands mixing with the Landau levels, with frequencies $!_{+} =$ and $! = !_{c}$, respectively. The corresponding eigen wavefunctions are²⁶

where $H_n(x)$ are the Herm itian polynom ials and $N_n = \frac{p_{2^n n!}}{p_{2^n n!}}$ are the normalization coe cients. $l^2 = h = m_b!$. X is an integer multiple of $2l^2 = L_y$. The com - bined single particle wavefunction is

$$m_{n} = \frac{1}{P L_{v}} e^{iX y = l^{2}} m_{m}^{!} n$$
 (2)

Then the energy levels of single particle states are described by a set of two indices (m n) with index m indicating the electric subbands and n the Landau levels.

Fig.1 schem atically depicts the energy levels of the 2DES. Given a lling factor, e.g., = 9=2, increasing the electron density m eans increasing the strength of the m agnetic eld B. Hence there appear a series of energy level crossings since the electric subbands rarely change whereas the cyclotron frequency $!_c$ increases with the m agnetic eld. The Ferm i energy is indicated by the thick dashed line. Since the single particle state at the Ferm i surface is changed from (10) on the left to (01) on the right of the crossing point as the density increases, one m ay expect a phase transition at the level crossing as in m ost circum stances^{28,29}. We will show that the UCDW indeed changes from orthogonal to parallel to the periodic potential for interm ediate m odulations as the electron density (hence the magnetic eld) increases.

To include the surface morphology at the interface of the heterostructure, we consider the e ect of a periodic potential of wave vector \mathcal{Q}_p and strength V_0 on the stripes of fundam ental wave vector \mathcal{Q}_s . In realistic sam ples of G aA s/A iG aA s heterostructure, the wave vector of potential modulation \mathcal{Q}_p should be in the < 110 > direction^{19,30,31}. Two con gurations of \mathcal{Q}_p and \mathcal{Q}_s are considered in our work: $\mathcal{Q}_p \ \mathcal{Q}_s$ or \mathcal{Q}_p ? \mathcal{Q}_s . In the orthogonal orientation, the main deform ation of the stripe caused by the periodic potential is modulation of the

width of the stripes. In the parallel orientation, the main deform ation of the stripe is displacement of the stripes. Both deform ations lower the cohesive energy of the stripe state²¹.

The Hamiltonian consists of a Coulomb interaction part H₀ and an interaction with the external potential part H₁: H = H₀ + H₁. Here

$$H_{0} = \frac{1}{2L_{x}L_{y}} \bigvee_{q} (q) (q) (q);$$
(3)

where $v(q) = 2 e^2 = _0 q$.

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{2} V_{0} \qquad (q) \exp(iq \quad g); \qquad (4)$$

where r_0 is the origin of the potential. (q) is the electron density operator projected onto the upper LL. It can be written as

$$(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{F} (\mathbf{q}) e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{X}} c_{\mathbf{X}_{+}}^{\mathbf{y}} c_{\mathbf{X}} ; \qquad (5)$$

where X = X $q_y l^2 = 2.F$ (q) is computed by the state (2), which is given by

$$F(q) = e^{q_z^2 l_{+}^2 = 4} q_x^2 l^2 = 4 L_m (q_z^2 l_{+}^2 = 2) L_n (q_x^2 l^2 = 2); \quad (6)$$

where $L_n(x)$ is the Laguerre polynom ial. q_k is the momentum in the 2DES plane.

By using the standard manipulation for the Hartree-Fock decoupling of Ham iltonian (3), we get

$$H_{0}^{HF} = \frac{1}{2} X_{q} u_{HF} (q) (q) X_{q} e^{iq_{x}X} c_{X+}^{y} c_{X} ; (7)$$

The elective potential $u_{H F}$ (g) is explicitly written as a sum of a Hartree term (in units of $e^2 = {}_0 l$)

$$u_{\rm H} (\mathbf{q}) = \frac{Z}{1} \frac{dq_z}{q_k^2 + q_z^2} \mathbb{F} (\mathbf{q})^2; \qquad (8)$$

and an exchange term

$$u_{ex}(q) = 2 l^2 \frac{dp}{(2)^2} u_H(p) e^{ip q l^2}$$
 (9)

A llow ing the charge density wave ($\operatorname{CD} W$) by introducing order parameters

$$(\mathcal{Q}) = \frac{2 \mathcal{I}^2}{\mathcal{L}_x \mathcal{L}_y} \mathop{\times}\limits_{X} e^{i\mathcal{Q} \times X} < c^y_{X_+} c_X >; \quad (10)$$

the cohesive energy of the electrons in the topm ost LL can be obtained as

$$E_{coh} = \frac{1}{2_{N}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in 0}}^{X} u_{HF} (Q) j (Q)^{2}; \qquad (11)$$

where $_{\rm N}$ = 1=2 is the lling factor at the topm ost Landau level.

We carry out a HF computation on a rectangular lattice with the wave vectors of the order parameters as $Q = (jQ_x^0; kQ_y^0)$, where j and k are integers. Following the procedure in Refs.[21,27], when

$$N Q_{x}^{0} Q_{y}^{0} L^{2} = 2M$$
 (12)

with N and M being integers, the Landau level splits into N Hofstadterbands. The CDW state is recognized as the stripe phase when the order parameters with $\mathcal{Q} =$ Q̃s are dom inant. W hen N = 6 and M = 1 the stripe state has the lowest energy. In ref.[21], it considered several cases for $Q_p = Q_s = k$, with k = 2;3;4;5;6. A san example in our work, the wave vector of the external potential is typically chosen to be $Q_p = Q_s = 3.W$ e consider two congurations in which the Q_p and Q_s are either parallel or orthogonal to each other, respectively. In the parallelorientation case, the main deformation is the displacement of the stripe, which is called the "frequency m odulation". In the orthogonal orientation case, the main deform ation is the modulation of the stripe width, which is called the "am plitude m odulation". In the latter case, there is a periodic density modulation along the stripes with wave vector $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{p}$ for weaker modulation. When the modulation becom es stronger (V_0 =h > 0:1), the stripe looks like breaking up at the ridges of the external potential and degenerates into a rectangular CDW state.

Fig.2 shows the dependence of the cohesive energy of the electrons in the third LL on the modulation strength of the external potential V_0 . The parallel orientation state and orthogonal orientation state for (mn) energy levels are denoted by "para(mn)" or "orth(mn)", respectively. W hen the electron density (or $!_{c}$ =) raises, the Fermilevel changes from the (10) state to the (01) state. Fig.2(a) is for $!_c = 0.2941$ and (b) is for $!_{c} = 0.8824$. Both gures show that the parallelorientation is slightly lower in energy than the orthogonal orientation for small modulation strength ($V_0 = h^{-1} < 0.04$) whereas the orthogonal orientation dom inant for large modulation strength (V_0 =h > 0:06). Previous studies claim ed that the orientation of the stripe is always perpendicular to the periodic potential^{20,21}. The di erence may be resulted from that their calculations did not count in the width of quantum well. We note that the two-subband is not the key element to whether the stripes are parallel or orthogonal to the potential. The orientation of the stripes is mainly dependent on the relative strength of the external m odulation V_0 with respective to the characteristic frequency . How ever, in the single band model, there is no transition of orientation of the stripes as the density varies. In the two-subband levels, since the matrix elements in formula (6) are dependent on the single particle states (2), the Hartree-Fock potentials are di erent at the two sides of the crossing, which the orientation transition underlie.

Fig.3 shows the anisotropy energy E_a versus $!_c = or$

electron density (in arbitrary units). E_a is the energy difference between the parallel orientation and the orthogonalorientation. We depict three curves for three typical values of $V_0 = h$. We nd that E a is de nitely negative for $V_0 = h^{-1}$ 0:04, indicating that the parallel orientation is favored. E_a is de nitely positive for $V_0 = h^{>} 0.06$, indicating the orthogonal orientation is favored. For the curve $V_0 = h = 0.05$, E a changes from positive to negative as $!_{c} = (or electron density)$ increases, implying a phase transition from the orthogonal orientation to the parallel orientation. This result coincides with the recently experim ental observation by J. Zhu et al that the anisotropy axes at half-lled high Landau levels in the two-dimensional electron system rotates by 90° by increasing the electron density 22 . It should be noted that in previous studied sam ples with electron densities inside the transition region of Ref. [22], the easy direction is alwaysparallel to the < 110 > direction^{10,11,19,30}. This variety of experim ental results m ay originate from the sensitive dependence of the stripe orientation on the roughness of the surface morphology at the interface of the heterostructure. In our calculations, the parallel phase exists only for rather weak modulation ($V_0 = h^{<} 0.04$). Speci cally, an isotropy axis rotation takes place only in a lim ited range of modulation strength and width of quantum well $(0.04 < V_0 = h < 0.06)$. Beyond this range, no reorientation transition can be observed.

In q.1 there are more energy crossings as $!_{c}=$ (or electron density) further increases or decreases. For low er density, the single particle state will be the (02) state. The stripe will experience an additional orientation interchange, which may be observed in experiment by sweeping a larger electron density regime. We emphasize that the complex transport behavior takes place only in a two-subband quantum well at half-lled Landau levels. Given a lling factor, increasing electron density means increasing the magnetic eld, which leads to energy level crossings. Ref.[22] suggested that the squeeze of the electron wave function and press harder against the interface m ay be the origin of reorientation of the stripes. We have checked in our computations that no such transition can take place in a single band model. Our result provides a support to the explanation that the pinning mechanism is the native surface morphology at the interface of the G aA s/A IG aA s heterostructure.

In sum m ary, we have studied the e ect of a unidirectional periodic potential on the orientation of the UCDW state. By considering two electric subbands of a wide quantum well, it is found that the stripe is parallel to the potential for weak modulation and is perpendicular for strong modulation. For interm ediate modulation, the orientation can be either parallel or perpendicular to the potential. When the electron density increases, the stripes experience a rotation of 90° from parallel or orthogonal to the external potential. The result is consistent with the recent experimental observation. Our discussions m ay help to discern the pinning mechanism of stripes at half-lled high Landau levels.

- ¹ D C. Tsui, H L. Storm er, and A C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1559 (1982).
- ² R B.Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395(1983).
- ³ Perspectives in Quantum Hall E ects, edited by S.Das Sarm a and A.Pinczuk, John W iley, 1996.
- ⁴ M P.Lilly, K B.Cooper, JP.E isenstein, LN.Pfei er, and KW.West, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 394 (1999).
- ⁵ R R. Du, D C. Tsui, H L. Stormer, L N. Pfeier, K W. Bakkwin, and K W. West, Solid Stat. Commun. 109, 389(1999).
- ⁶ T.Stanescu, I.M artin, and P.Phillips, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 1288 (2000).
- ⁷ T. Jungwirth, A.H. M acD onald, L. Sm rcka, S.M. G irvin, Phys. Rev. B 60, 15574 (1999).
- ⁸ M.M.Fogler, A.A.Koulakov, and B.J.Shklovskii, Phys. Rev.B 54, 1853 (1996).
- ⁹ R. Moessner and J. T. Chalker, Phys. Rev. B 54, 5006(1996).
- ¹⁰ M P.Lilly, K B.Cooper, JP.Eisenstein, LN.Pfei er, and KW.West, Phys.Rev.Lett. 83, 824 (1999).
- ¹¹ W. Pan, R.R.Du, H.L. Stormer, D.C. Tsui, L.N. Pfeiffer, K.W. Bakdwin, and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 820 (1999).
- ¹² E H. Rezayi, F D M. Haldane, and K. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1219 (1999).
- ¹³ A.H. MacDonald and M.P.A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 61, 5724 (2000).
- ¹⁴ N. Shibata, and D. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5755 (2001).
- ¹⁵ E. Fradkin and S.A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8065(1999).
- ¹⁶ H A.Fertig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3693 (1999).
- ¹⁷ B. Rosenow, and S.Scheidl, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 15, 1905(2001).
- ¹⁸ D.V.Fil, Sov.J.Low Temp.Phys.26, 581 (2000).
- ¹⁹ R L. W illett, JW P. Hsu, D. Natelson, K W. West, and L N. P fei er, P hys. Rev. Lett. 87, 126803 (2001).
- ²⁰ T. Aoyama, K. Ishikawa, and N. Maeda, arXiv: condmat/0106484.
- ²¹ D.Yoshioka, arX iv: cond-m at/0106618.
- ²² J. Zhu, W . Pan, H L. Storm er, L N . P fei er, and K W . W est, P hys. Rev. Lett. 88, 116803 (2002).
- ²³ V.Halonen, P.Pietilainen and T.Chakraborty, Phys.Rev.

B 41,10202(1990); The Quantum HallE ects, 2nd edn by T.Chakraborty and P.Pietilainen, (New York, Springer, 1995).

- ²⁴ Yue Yu, Phys. Rev. B 66, 113309 (2002)
- ²⁵ S. Das Sam a and E.H. Hwang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5596 (2000).
- ²⁶ S.J. Yang, Y. Yu, and J.B. Li, Phys. Rev. B 65, 073302 (2002).
- ²⁷ D.Yoshioka, and P.A.Lee, Phys.Rev.B 27, 4986(1983).
- ²⁸ W . Pan, T. Jungwirth, H L. Storm er, D C. Tsui, A H. M acD onald, S M . G irvin, L. Sm roka, L N . P fei er, K W . Baldwin, and K W .W est, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3257 (2000).
- ²⁹ E.Demler, DW.Wang, SD.Sama, and B.I.Halperin, Solid State Commun. 123, 243 (2002).
- ³⁰ K B. Cooper, M P. Lilly, JP. Eisenstein, T. Jungwirth, L N. P fei er, and K W .W est, Solid State Commun. 119, 89(2001).
- ³¹ C.Ome, M.D. Johnson, J.L. Sudijono, K.T. Leung, and B.G.Om, Appl. Phys. Lett. 64, 860 (1994).

FIGURES

Figure 1 A schematic description of the energy levels with two electric subbands. (m n)'s indicate the two indices of electric subbands (m) and Landau levels (n). Thin dashed lines are the corresponding Zeem an splitting. Thick dashed line is the Fermi level for = 9=2.

Figure 2 The cohesive energy of the UCDW versus the modulation strength V_0 =h of the potential. "para" and "orth" denote parallel and orthogonal orientation to the periodic potential, respectively. (a) is for $!_c = 0.2941$ and (b) is for $!_c = 0.3824$.

Figure 3 Anisotropy energy E_a versus $!_c=$ or electron density (in arbitrary units). E_a is the energy difference between the parallel orientation and the orthogonal orientation. E_a is de nitely negative for $V_0=h=0.02$ whereas de nitely positive for $V_0=h=0.07$. For $V_0=h=0.05$, E_a changes from positive to negative as $!_c=$ (or electron density) increases, in plying a phase transition from the orthogonal orientation to the parallel orientation.





