# Q uantum $H$ all fractions in ultracold ferm ionic vapors 

<br>Laboratoire P ierre A igrain, D epartem ent de Physique, 24, rue Lhom ond, 75005 P aris, France


#### Abstract

W e study the quantum $H$ all states that appear in the dilute lim it of rotating ultracold ferm ionic gases when a single hyper ne species is present. W e show that the p-w ave scattering translates into a pure hard-core interaction in the low est Landau level. $T$ he Laughlin $w$ avefunction is then the exact ground state at lling fraction $=1 / 3$. W e give estim ates of som e of the gaps of the incom pressible liquids for $=p=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 p & 1\end{array}\right) . W$ e estim ate the $m$ ass of the com posite ferm ions at $=1=2$. The $w$ idth of the quantum $H$ all plateaus is discussed by considering the equation of state of the system.


PACS num bers: $03.75 . \mathrm{Ss}, 05.30 \mathrm{Fk}, 73.43 .-\mathrm{f}, 73.43 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{d}$
$T$ he preparation and $m$ anipulation of ultracold atom ic gases has led to $m$ any interesting developm ents in the study of quantum uids undergoing fast rotation $\overline{11} \overline{\underline{v}} \overline{\underline{L}} \mathbf{\eta}$. The B ose $£$ instein condensates can be set in various rotation regim es w ith the characteristic response of a superuid. T he condensate does not acquire angular $m$ om entum below som e velocity threshold. Then there is nucleation of one vortex and $w$ ith increasing velocity $m$ ore and $m$ ore vortices are created. T hey have been observed
 the rotation frequency reaches the trapping frequency in the radialplane, it has been predicted that quantum H all fractionalstates should becom e ground states of the
 regim e. Trapped Ferm igases m ay also exhibit super uidity if they undergo BCS pairing condensation. If the pairing strength is varied, it $m$ ay be possible to observe the crossover from m olecular condensation at strong coupling to BCS phase transition at weak coupling. A possible signature of the super uid paired phase of ferm ions is the peculiar response to stirring, leading again to vortex form ation. In the fast rotation lim it, it is thus natural to ask if there is form ation of fractional quantum H all states as in the B ose case and what are their properties.

In this Letter we investigate the fractional quantum Halle ect (FQHE) appearing in atom ic vapor made of a single hyper ne species of ferm ions. W e show that the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{w}$ ave scattering betw een ferm ions can lead to the for$m$ ation of the Jain principal sequence of F Q H E fractions
$=\mathrm{p}=(2 \mathrm{p} \quad 1)$, in addition to the celebrated Laughlin wavefiunction at $=1=3$, as well as a Ferm i sea of com posite ferm ions for half- lling of the low est Landau level (LLL).W e give estim ates of the gaps for the incom pressible uids govemed by the p-w ave scattering length and of the $m$ ass of the com posite ferm ions. T he equation of state of the system seen as the angularm om entum of the ground state as a function of the rotation frequency displays plateaus corresponding to the FQHE uids. Their w idths can be estim ated by taking into account the nucleation of quasiparticles.

W e consider a gas of ferm ionic atom $s$ and suppose that they are set in rotation for exam ple by a stirring extemal
potential $[\underline{1}]$ angular mom entum to the gas and then is rem oved. W e are then left w ith a rotating cloud and we assum e that it attains therm al equilibrium in the rotating fram e. If $H$ stands for the H am iltonian in the laboratory fram e then it becom es $H_{R}=H \quad!\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ in the rotating fram e where! is the rotation frequency and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ the angularm om entum along the rotation axis. T he H am ittonian describing N particles ofm ass $m$ in this fram e can be w ritten as :

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{R} & =X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{2 m}\left(p_{i} \quad m!\hat{z} \quad r_{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m!{ }_{z}^{2} z_{i}^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} m\left(!{ }_{0}^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)\left(x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}\right)+{ }_{i<j}^{X^{N}} V\left(r_{i} \quad r_{j}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where the xy trap frequency is ! 0 , the axial frequency is $!_{z}$ and the angular velocity vector is ! z. For ! close to $!0$, the physics is that of charge-e particles in a magnetic eld $B_{p}=(2 \mathrm{~m}!=e) \hat{z}$, corresponding to a magnetic length,$~=P \overline{h=(2 m!)}$. W e assum e the existence of $a$ tw o-dim ensional ( 2 D ) regim e in which the wavefunction along the $z$-axis is the ground state of the $z$-axis harm on ic potential.

If we consider a single hyper ne species of ferm ions, then the s-w ave scattering is forbidden by the $P$ auliprinciple. T he next allow ed partialw ave, the p-w ave, leads to m uch w eaker interactions $\left[{ }^{1} \underline{Q}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ and this leads to di culties when cooling ferm ionic vapors. T hey can be evaded for exam ple by sym pathetic cooling [1] $\left.\left.{ }^{1}\right]^{1}\right]$ ith a di erent atom. H ow ever it is also feasible to use a scattering resonance, such as a Feshbach resonance, to dram atically enhance p-w ave scattering. This has been dem onstrated w th ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ atom s [12 $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$. $T$ he scattering even reaches values com parable to $s-w$ ave scattering. W e w ill see that this $m$ eans that F Q H E gapped states $w$ ill have characteristic energies in the sam e range as for sim ilar bosonic states. At sm all w avevector, i.e. in the low-energy lim it, the p-w ave phase shift of the two-body scattering problem behaves as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(k) \quad \frac{1}{3} k^{3} a_{1}^{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $a_{1}$ de nes the $p-w$ ave scattering length. A s a consequence the scattering am plitude is no longer isotropic :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{1}() \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{k}^{2} \cos ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the angle between ingoing and outgoing wavevectors. We now use an e ective potential which m im ics the behavior in Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{3})$ when treated in the B om approxim ation. It is given by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{12 \mathrm{a}_{1}^{3}}{m} \hat{\mathrm{p}} \quad \text { (3) }(r) \hat{\mathrm{p}} \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the quantities $r=r_{1} \quad r_{2}$ and $p=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1} \quad p_{2}\right)$ pertain to the relative particle. T he use of such a potential is enough for our purpose since we w ill study the dynam ics of the LLL only : the $m$ assive degeneracy is lifted at rst-order in the potential (higher orders involve Landau levelm ixing).
$W$ e now tum to the quantum $H$ all regim $e$ for ferm ions When $!=!0$ in Eq. $\left.\overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right)$. A ssum ing a 2D regim ew ith ${ }_{z}=$ $\overline{h=m!_{z}}$ the con nem ent length along $z$, the interaction potential can be written as $g_{f}{ }^{4} \hat{p}{ }^{(2)}(r) \hat{p}$ where the vectors $r$ and $p$ are now 2D with :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{f}=\quad \frac{r}{-} \frac{h^{2}}{m} \frac{a_{1}^{3}}{z_{z}^{4}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coupling constant $g_{f}$ sets the scale of the FQHE phenom enon.

The interaction $H$ am iltonian in the LLL can be w ritten as:

$$
H_{\text {LLL }}=\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X}  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{~m} & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{j}
\end{array} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\mathrm{i} ; \boldsymbol{j}) ;
$$

$w$ here $m$ is the relative angular $m$ om entum (RAM), hence the sum runs over all positive odd integers for ferm ions, and $\hat{P_{m}}(i ; j)$ is the projection operator for particles $i$ and $j$ onto RAM $m$. The coe cients $V_{m}$ filly characterize the interaction problem in the LLL. T hey
 the pure p-w ave interaction Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \underline{4}^{\prime}\right)$, only $m=1$ scattering is allow ed and the interaction H am iltonian reduces im $m$ ediately to the pure hard-core $m$ odel for $w$ hich $V_{1} \& 0$ and all the other pseudopotentials are zero. T he hard-
 excellent blueprint of the FQ HE.N otably the celebrated Laughlin wavefunction [1] $\left.{ }^{1} 1\right]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\underset{i<j}{Y}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)^{3} e^{P} i_{i} j_{i} j^{\prime}=4^{\prime 2}}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an exact zero-energy ground state of the hard-core m odel. In fact this is the m ost spatially com pact zeroenergy state for the hard-core m odel. It has a wellde ned angularm om entum $L_{z}=3 \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$. It corresponds to lling $1 / 3$ of the LLL and thus is the exact
ground state for ultracold ferm ions. This is analogous to the B ose problem [ $\left.\bar{H}_{1}\right]$ w here s-w ave scattering leads to the Laughlin ground state ( w ith exponent 2) at lling 1/2. It is also known that the hard-core $m$ odel exhibits the prom inent Jain sequence [1d] of incom pressible uids for llings of the form $=p=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 p & 1\end{array}\right) . W$ e have estim ated the gaps at som e of these fractions by perform ing exact diagonalizations in the sphericalgeom etry $[1$ sphere of radius $R$ is threaded by a ux $4 R^{2} B$ which is an integralmultiple (2S) of the ux quantum by D irac quantization condition. Incom pressible uids appear for special $m$ atching of the num ber of particle vs. 2S. We obtain the low-lying energy levels for a sm all num ber of particles and then perform nite-size scaling to obtain estim ations of the therm odynam ic lim it.


Figure 1: E nergy gaps for neutral and charged excitations at $=1=3,=2=5$, and neutral gaps for $=3=7$. The lines are our best ts and energies are in units of $g_{f}$.

For the m ost stable uid at $=1=3$ the low-lying neutralexcited states are dom inated by a well-de ned collective density $m$ ode and we have obtained the corresponding gap by studying up to $N=13$ ferm ions. The gap can also be evaluated from the charged excitations, i.e. the Laughlin quasiparticles. For the p-w ave problem, there is the peculiarity that the quasiholes are gapless at $=1=3$. It is thus enough to study the quasielectrons that can be nucleated by rem oving one ux quantum from the reference $=1=3$ situation. We nd that both estim ates scale nicely to a com $m$ on value' $0: 8 g_{f}$ : see g.(1). For the fraction $=2=5$ the gap is ' $0: 5 g_{f}$ obtained by the study of system $s$ for $N=4$.. 12 ferm ions. In this case there are the tw o types of charged excitations, quasiholes and quasielectrons, each having a nonzero gap and we nd
that the sum of these gaps converges to a value com patible w th the neutralgap. T here are few er available values of the num ber of particles as we go dow $n$ the hierarchy and for the next fraction $=3=7 \mathrm{we}$ estim ate the gap to be' $0: 4 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and for 4/9 it is m ore di cult to give a reliable estim ate, the gap is sm aller and of the order of ' $0: 3 g_{f}$. For values of the param eters ${ }_{z}$, ' and $a_{1}$ typicalof present experim ents $[\underline{[ },[1][]$ the gaps $m$ ay be of the order of the nanoK elvin.

For llings less than $1 / 3$, the ferm ion system does no longer display incom pressibility because there are proliferating zero-energy states when we increase the angular $m$ om entum. Som e of these are edge excitations of the droplet and for larger angularm om entum they are quasiholes. Due to particle-hole sym $m$ etry, these very sam $e$ $m$ odes $1 l$ any gap in the region $1 \quad 2=3$. The Jain


Figure 2: E nergy spectrum for $N=9$ ferm ions in the spherical geom etry at lling $=1=2$. The CFs feel zero ux and can be interpreted as form ing a closed shell. T he inset show s the scaling of the gap of the closed shell states $N=4,9,16$. Energies are in units of $g$ and the horizontal axis is total angular $m$ om entum.
sequence has an appealing interpretation in term sofcom posite ferm ions (C F ). T hese entities are naively a ferm ion bound to an even number of ux quanta of a ctitious eld. The total eld acting upon the CFs is then the sum of the extemal eld and the ctitious eld : when treated in a $m$ ean- eld $m$ anner this explains the FQ HE of electrons as the integer quantum $H$ alle ect ofCFs. The Jain sequence has an accum ulation point at $=1=2$ : at this
lling the CF experience zero net ux and form a Ferm i liquid like ground state $[23,23$ ing interactions and also an e ective $m$ ass $m$ which is entirely due to interactions. To estim ate this $m$ ass, we use a specialm atching of the ux $2 \mathrm{~S}=2(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$ giving zero net eld on the sphere $\left[2_{2}^{2} \overline{4}_{2}^{\prime \prime} 2 \bar{S}_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ for the CFs. In the C oulomb case, it has been shown that $m$ any features of
the spectrum can be successfiully interpreted by reasoning w ith free CFs, eventually supplem ented by second H und's rule. T here are closed shell con gurations when the num ber of ferm ions is a square : $N=(\uparrow+1)^{2}$ and ' is thus the total angular $m$ om entum of the highest occupied orbital. It is the equivalent of the Ferm im om entum on the sphere and thus we call it ${ }_{F}$. W e expect that the closed shell sequence $N=\left({ }_{F}+1\right)^{2}=4,9,16, \ldots$ display ground states $w$ ith zero total angular $m$ om entum and should have good scaling properties tow ards the ther$m$ odynam ic lim 止, as is the case for Coulomb interactions $[\overline{2} \overline{-5}, \overline{2} \overline{6}]$. From a closed-shell con guration one can form particle-hole (ph) excitations: the low est-lying such excitations is obtained by prom oting a ferm ion from the shell $w$ ith $m$ om entum ${ }_{F}$ to the em pty shell at $m$ om entum ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}+1$, leading to a branch extending from $\mathrm{L}=1$ up to $2 \grave{F}_{\mathrm{F}}+1$. Above this branch we should nd two-particle-two-hole states extending up to 4$)_{\mathrm{F}}$ and so on. This is exactly what we nd for the hard-core model. The low -lying levels of $N=9$ ferm ions are displayed in g. 2 . A bove the singlet ground state we clearly identify the two branches predicted by the free CF model.

W e can obtain an estim ate of the CF mass at $=1=2$
 sphere has an energy given by $\mathrm{E}^{-}=l(l+1)=\left(2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ where $l$ is the angular $m$ om entum . A s a consequence, the gap of the one ph branch is $=\left(`_{F}+1\right)=\left(m R^{2}\right)$. If we $x$ the density the scaling law of the gap becomes ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}=4 \quad \overline{\mathrm{~N}}=(\mathrm{m} \quad \mathbb{N}$ 1)). In the spherical geom etry we have to take into account the fact that there is a nontrivial shift between the ux 2 S and the them odynam ic lim it value $N=$. For nite numbers of particles the density is not exactly equal to the therm odynam ic lim it value. Better scaling properties [2] $\left.\overline{1}^{1}\right]$ are p btained by rescaling the m agnetic length by a factor $\mathrm{N}=(2 \mathrm{~S})$ (going to unity for N ! 1 ). We thus nd ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}=4=(\mathrm{m} \quad \overline{\mathrm{N}})$. This scaling is obeyed for the sizes $N=4,9,16$ (see inset of $g .(2)$ ) and this leads to an esti$m$ ate of the e ective $m$ ass :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}, 0: 5 \mathrm{~m} \frac{2 \mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}^{3}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen the number of ferm ions lie between closed shell values we have checked that the ground state angular m om entum is given by second H und's rule ( $m$ axim um L), as is the the case for $C$ oulom $b$ interactions [24].

F inally we discuss the w idth of the $H$ allplateaus in the case of trapped atom ic vapors. C ontrary to the 2D electron system $s$ in sem iconductor devices there is no source of disorder to pin the quasiparticles that are nucleated when we deviate from the ne-tuning of a quantum H all fraction. The role of disorder is thus played by the nite num ber of particles of the system and the quantum H all plateaus are expected to be of vanishingly sm allw idth in the therm odynam ic lim it. W e can give precise estim ates by considering the equation of state of the rotating sys-
tem, i.e. the value of the ground state angular $m$ om entum as a function of the rotation frequency $h \mathcal{L}_{z} i(!)$. At the critical frequency, the $H$ am iltonian is rew ritten as a $m$ agnetic eld problem (see Eq. (ID)). If the frequency is slightly less, ! ! , then we have the sm all eld ! $L_{z}$ acting upon the purely m agnetic problem. It leads to a trivial shift of the energies of the FQHE problem that will change the ground state when increased. This is seen from the typical spectrum displayed in $g$. (3) in the condition of the critical rotation. T he Laughlin state at $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{Laugh} \operatorname{lin}}=3 \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$ is the ground state and the rst excited state is the quasielectron at $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{L} \text { augh } \operatorname{lin}} \mathrm{N}$ $w$ ith a nonzero gap qe. $W$ hen adding a $!L_{z}$ shiff the Laughlin w ill rem ain the ground state till the quasielectron energy becom es low er for a critical value equal to :

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\mathrm{qe}}{\mathrm{Nh}}, \frac{10^{2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad\left(\frac{\mathrm{qe}}{1 \mathrm{nK}}\right): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we increase ! beyond this value, quasielectrons are nucleated form ing a uid that will condense into a new FQHE uid. W e expect the result above in Eq. ( $(\overline{9})$ to be generic. $T$ his picture is essentially dual to the nucleation of vortioes at $s m$ all rotation frequency [1] $]$ ].


Figure 3: E nergy spectrum for $N=5$ ferm ions in the disk geom etry as a function of the angularm om entum. The Laughlin state is the unique zero-energy state at $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=30$. The low estenergy state at $L_{z}=25$ is the quasielectron $w$ ith a nite gap.

W e have show $n$ the appearance of the Jain principal sequence of quantum $H$ all fractions in ultracold rotating ferm ionic vapors. The com posite ferm ion picture gives a successfiul account of the observed fractions as well as their collective $m$ ode excitations. The gaps we estim ate from exact diagonalizations are of the order of $h^{2} a_{1}^{3}=m{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{4}$. At half- lling of the low est-Landau level, there is a Ferm i liquid-like state of com posite ferm ions and their e ective $m$ ass $m \quad m$ is controlled by ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}=\left(a_{1}^{3}\right)$.
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