# $R$ are E vents Statistics in $R$ eaction \{D i usion System s 

V lad Elgart and A lex K am enev<br>D epartm ent of $P$ hysics, U niversity of M innesota, M inneapolis, M N 55455, U SA<br>(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)


#### Abstract

W e develop an e cient $m$ ethod to calculate probabilities of large deviations from the typicalbehavior (rare events) in reaction \{di usion system $s$. T hem ethod is based on a sem iclassical treatm ent of underlying "quantum " H am iltonian, encoding the system 's evolution. To this end we form ulate corresp onding canonical dynam ical system and investigate its phase portrait. The m ethod is presented for a num ber of pedagogical exam ples.


PACS num bers: 05.45 D f, $05.40 . \mathrm{a}, 64.60 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{y}, 05.45 \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{v}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

Reaction fodi usion models have a vast area of application ${ }^{1+24}$ ranging from -kinetics of chem-jcal reactions $s^{31}$, biologicalpopulation $4^{4} 45$ the dynam ics of nancialm arketsil and ecology- ${ }^{19}$. The m odels describe dynam ics of a num ber of particles w hose reactions are speci ed by a certain set or rules. The rules have a probabilistic nature and arem ost conveniently form ulated on a lattice in a d\{dim ensional space. W e shall restrict our attention to a w ide subclass of such m odels, where the particles execute random walks (di use) on the lattice, while the reactions betw een them are purely local (on \{site). O nce the lattice, reaction rules and in itial conditions are speci ed, one is interested to nd statistical characteristics of the system 's subsequent evolution. $T$ his goalm ay be accom plished w ith various degrees of detailing and accuracy.

Them ost detailed inform ation is contained in the probability distribution functions (PDF) ofevery possiblem icroscopic state of the system. The PDF is a solution of an exponentially large system of $M$ aster equations, which specify probabilities of transition betw een every two m icroscopic states of the system. A nalytical solution of the $M$ aster equations is usually unrealistic and besides the inform ation contained in them is excessive. Therefore various approxim ation schem es are in order. The sim plest one is the $m$ ean $\{$ eld approxim ation, where a closed set of equations for average quantities (e.g. concentrations) is obtained by an approxim ate decoupling of higher $m$ om ents. The $m$ ean \{ eld theory describes a typical evolution of the system, if the uctuations are weak in a certain sense. P robability of sm all deviations from the $m$ ean \{ eld predictions $m$ ay be found $w$ th the help of Fokker\{P lanck (FP) equation. It substitutes the discreet $M$ aster equation by a continuum (biased) di usion equation in the space of concertrations. A nalysis of FP equation is usually com plicated ${ }^{121,2}, 2, m$ oreover the approxim ation is reliable for sm all deviations only and fails to provide probability of large deviations from the typical evolution.

M uch attention was attracted recently to reaction\{ di usion systems that are-in- a close proxim ity to dynam ic phase\{transition $\boldsymbol{s}^{111} 12$ (for recent review $s$ see
e.g. Refs. $[1$ som e system $s m$ ay be brought to a point of quantitative change of their behavior (e.g. stable nite concentration vs. extinction). In a vicinity of the transition, neither $m$ ean \{ eld nor FP can accurately predict the long\{tim e scaling of the system 's characteristics, such as e.g. particles concentration. T he eld \{theoretical renom alization group ( R G) m ethods w ere developel_-and, successfilly applied to a num ber of exam ples 11 the directed percolation universality class was identi ed and studied as the $m$ ost rabusti, universality class for the dynam ic phase transitions $1412,11,221$.

In the present work we address som ew hat di erent set of questions. W e consider a generic reaction \{di usion system that either does not exhibit, or is far enough from the phase transition. A typical evolution scenario and probability of sm all deviations are well described by the $m$ ean \{ eld theory and the FP equation. W e shall look, how ever, for a probability of large deviations from the typicalbehavior. "Large" deviation $m$ ay be loosely characterized as being of the sam e order (or larger) as the typical value (as opposed to the "sm all" one, which is of the order of the square root of the typical value). Since the occurrence of such large deviations has a very sm all probability, they $m$ y be dubbed as "rare events" . D espite being rare the "rare events" $m$ ay be of great interest, especially if they cause extrem e consequences. Som e of the exam ples include: proliferation of virus after im m unization (causing death of a patient); large uctuations of num ber of neutrons in a nuclear reactor (causing explosion), etc. $C$ learly in these and $m$ any other exam ples one is interested to know rather precisely how im probable are im probable events.

H ere w e develop a rigorous, sim ple and e cientm ethod to calculate the rare events statistics in reaction \{di usion system s. To this end we develop a H am iltonian form ulation ofreaction \{di usion dynam ics. A though the system is speci ed by a set of rules, rather than a H am iltonian, one $m$ ay nevertheless show that there is a certain canonicalH am iltonian associated w th the system 's dynam ics. $M$ ore precisely, the $M$ aster equation $m$ ay be reform $u$ lated as "quantum " (m any\{body) Schrodinger equation with som e "quantum " H am iltonian. This observation is not new and is som etim es referred to as D oi's operator technique $e^{2} 3^{2} 2^{2}$. In fact, its "quantum " version is the basis
for the eld $\{$ theorettica, ,,$G$ treatm ent of the dynam ical phase transitionst sical (or rather sem iclassical) dynam ics of the very sam e H am iltonian carries a lot of useful inform ation about reaction \{di usion system s. In particular, it provides all the inform ation about the rare events statistics. To extract this inform ation, it is convenient to form ulate the underlying $H$ am iltonian in classicalterm $s$ (as function of $m$ om enta and coordinates), rather than creation and an-nihilation- pperators, as is custom ary in the "quantum " approacht $x^{212} 425$.

A particularly convenient tool to visualize the system 's dynam ics is a phase portrait of the corresponding H am iltonian. It consists of lines (or surfaces) of constant "energy" (the integral of $m$ otion naturally existing in a H am iltonian system ) in the space of canonicalm om enta and coordinates. T he m ean \{ eld (typical) evolution corresponds to a particularm anifold of zero energy, given by
xed value of the canonicalm om enta, $p=1$. $R$ are events $m$ ay be speci ed by certain initialand nite conditions in the phase space of the dynam ical system, which, in general, do notbelong to the $m$ ean \{ eld $m$ anifold. P robability of the rare event is proportional to expf $S g$, where $S$ is the classical action on a unique tra jectory, satisfying the speci ed boundary conditions. The problem is therefore reduced to nding an evolution of the classical dynam icalsystem, whose quantized H am iltonian encodes the $M$ aster equation. Such task is substantially sim pler than solving the full "quantum " $M$ aster equation. In fact, even probability of sm all deviations is $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{m}$ ore e ciently calculated in our sem iclassical m ethod, than via solution of the FP equation (though the latter is also applicable). For large deviations, how ever, the FP approach leads to inaccurate results, while the sem iclassical $m$ ethod provides the sim ple and accurate prescription. T he very sim ilar strategy w as recently applied for the calculatipn, $\oplus f$ the fiull current statistics ofm esosoopic


In this paper we develop the sem iclassicalm ethod using a num ber of reaction \{di usion $m$ odels as exam ples. W e tried to keep the presentation self-contained and pedagogical. W e start in section II from the $m$ odelofbinary annihilation in zero dim ensions. In section 1 IIT w e com plicate them odelby inchuding branching and discuss extinction probability of a system having a stable population in the $m$ ean $\{$ eld approxim ation. Section $\overline{\mathbb{I}} \overline{\mathrm{V}}$, is devoted to the extension of the form alism to a d\{dim ensional space. As an example we nd an extinction probability of a nite cluster. In section Na a population dynam ics model w ith three reaction channels: reproduction, death and em igration is considered in a d\{dim ensional space. The m odel possesses a long lasting $m$ eta-stable state $w$ ith a xed population, that eventually escapes into the state of unlim ited population grow th. We show how the sem iclassical $m$ ethod $m$ ay be used to calculate the lifetim e of such $m$ eta-stable state. Finally som e conclusions and som e open problem s are discussed in section $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I}$.

## II. BINARYANNIHILATION

The sim plest reaction, which we use to introduce notations and set the stage for farther discussions, is the binary annihilation process. It describes a chem ical reaction, where two identical particles, A, form a stable aggregate $w$ th the probabillty whuch does not involve in further reactions: A + A ! ; W e start from the zero\{dim ensional version of the $m$ odel, where every particle $m$ ay react $w$ th every other. Such reaction is fiully described by the follow ing M aster equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} P_{n}(t)=\frac{-}{2}\left[(n+2)(n+1) P_{n+2}(t) \quad n(n \quad 1) P_{n}(t)\right] ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}(t)$ is a probability to $n d n$ particles at time $t$. The $M$ aster equation is to be supplem ented $w$ ith an intialdistribution, e.g. $P_{n}(0)=e^{n_{0}} n_{0}^{n}=n!\{$ the $P$ oisson distribution $w$ th the $m$ ean value $n_{0}$, or $P_{n}(0)=n ; n_{0}$ \{ the xed initial particle num ber. Let us de ne now the generating function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p ; t) X_{n=0}^{X^{1}} p^{n} P_{n}(t): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ now ing the generating function, one $m$ ay nd a probability of having (integer) $n$ particles at timetas $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})=$ $@_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{t}) \underset{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{j}}=0=\mathrm{n}$ !. If $\mathrm{n} \quad 1$ it is m ore convenient, to use an altemative representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{2 i}^{I} \frac{d p}{p} G(p ; t) p^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is perform ed over a closed contour on the com plex p \{ plane, encircling $p=0$ and going through the region of analyticity of $(p ; t)$.
$T$ he point $p=1$ plays a specialrole in this form ulation. First of all, the conservation of probability dem ands the fundam ental nom alization condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(1 ; \mathrm{t}) \quad 1: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, the $m$ om ents of the PDF, $P_{n}(t)$, $m$ ay be expressed through deriyatives of the generating function at $p=1, e . g . h n(t) i \quad n P_{n}(t)=@_{p} G(p ; t) \dot{p}=1$.

In term $s$ of the generating function the $M$ aster equation (11) m ay be identically rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ G}{@ t}=\quad 2\left(p^{2} \quad 1\right) \frac{@^{2} G}{@ p^{2}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is to be solved w ith som e in itial condition, e.g. $G(p ; 0)=\operatorname{expfn}_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 1) g \text { for the Poisson initial dis- }\end{array}\right.$ tribution or $G(p ; 0)=p^{n_{0}}$ for rigidly $x e d$ in itial particle num ber. The solution should satisfy the norm alization condition, Eq ( $\overline{4}$ ), at any time. In addition, all physically acceptable solutions $m$ ust have all p \{derivatives at $p=0$ non-negative.
 tion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} G=\hat{H} G ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the "quantum " H am iltonian operator, $\hat{\mathrm{H}}$, in the $\hat{\mathrm{p}}$ ("m om entum ") representation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(\hat{p} ; \hat{q})=\frac{-}{2}\left(\hat{p}^{2} \quad 1\right) q^{2}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have introduced the "coordinate" operator, of as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q} \quad \frac{@}{@ p} ; \quad[\hat{p} ; \hat{q}]=1: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The "H am iltonian", Eq. $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$, is norm ally ordered and not H erm itian. The last fact does not present any signi cant di culties, how ever.

If the "quantum" uctuations are weak (which in present case is true as long as hn (t)i 1), one $m$ ay employ the W KB approxim ation to solve the "Schrodinger" $\{\mathrm{M}$ aster equation. U sing anzatz $G(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{t})=$ expf $S(p ; t) g$ and expanding $S(p ; t)$ to the leading order in $1=$, one obtains the classical $H$ am ilton \{Jacoby equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ S}{@ t}=H \quad p ; \frac{@ S}{@ p}=\frac{-}{2}\left(p^{2} \quad \text { 1) } \frac{@ S}{@ p}^{2}:\right. \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead ofdirectly solving the H am ilton-Jacoby equation we'lldevelop the $H$ am ilton approach, which is $m$ uch $m$ ore convenient for nite dim ensional applications.

To this end we em ploy the Feynm an path integral representation, which $m$ ay be derived introducing resolution of unity at each in nitesim al tim e\{step and em ploying the nom al ordering. A s a result, one nds for the generating function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p ; t)=\lim _{M!1}^{Z} \sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{d p_{k} d q_{k}}{2} e^{S\left[p_{k} ; q_{k}\right]} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the discrete representation for the action $S\left[p_{k} ; q_{k}\right]$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=X^{X^{M}}\left[p_{k}\left(q_{k} \quad q \quad 1\right)+H\left(p_{k} ; q_{k} \quad 1\right) t\right] \\
& \mathrm{k}=1 \\
& +\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0} \mathrm{pq} \mathrm{q}_{1} \quad \mathrm{n}_{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{0} 1\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and $t=t=(M+1)$. The last term in this expression is speci c to the Poisson initial conditions. If the initial num ber of particles is xed to be $n_{0}$, and therefore $G(p ; 0)=p^{n_{0}}\left\{\right.$ the last term is changed to $n_{0} \ln p_{0}$. The sam e path integral $m$ ay be derived, of course, using the D oi's operator algebra and coherent states. W e sum marize this derivation in A ppendix 'A'.'. The convergency of the path integralm ay be achieved by a proper rotation in the com plex $p_{k}$ and $q_{k} p l a n e s$.


F IG .1: The phase portrait of the binary annihilation process. Thick lines represent solution of $H(p ; q)=0$, fat dot $\{$ xed point. Thinner lines represent dynam ical trajectories w ith non $\{$ zero energy. Line $p=1$ gives the $m$ ean $\{$ eld dynam ics.

In what follow s we are interested in the sem iclassical treatm ent of this path integral. Varying the action with respect to $p_{k}$ and $q_{k}$ for $k=0 ; 1::: M$, one obtains the classicalequations ofm otion (in continuous notations):

$$
\begin{align*}
& q=\frac{@ H}{@ p}=p q^{2} ;  \tag{12a}\\
& p=\frac{@ H}{@ q}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) q \tag{12b}
\end{align*}
$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{q}(0)=\mathrm{n}_{0} ;  \tag{13a}\\
& \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{p} ; \tag{13b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p$ and $t$ are the argum ents of the generating function $G(p ; t)$. N otioe that while the coordinate is $x e d$ at an initial tim e (past), the $m$ om entum is im posed at a nite tim e (future). These equations adm it the integralof m otion, which we call "energy" : E- $=0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \quad H(p(t) ; q(t))=\frac{-}{2}\left(p^{2}(t) \quad 1\right) q^{2}(t): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a result, the action on a classical trajectory may be w ritten as (in continuous notations) :

$$
S[p ; q]=E t \quad{ }_{0}^{Z^{t}} q p d t \quad n_{0}(p(0) \quad 1):
$$

To nd the low mom ents one needs to know $G(p ; t)$ in the im $m$ ediate vicinity of $=1$. In this case the $H$ am ilton
 solved w ith the $m$ ean $\{$ eld anzatz:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(t) \quad 1 ;  \tag{16a}\\
& \frac{d q}{d t}=\frac{@ H}{@ p}_{p=1}=q^{2}: \tag{16b}
\end{align*}
$$

The last equation constitutes the $m$ ean $\{$ eld approxim ation for the reaction coordinate, $q$ n hni. The classicalaction, Eq. $\left(1 \overline{1}_{-1}\right)$, is obviously nulli ed on the $m$ ean $\{$ eld solution: $S[p ; q]=0$. This enforces the norm alization, Eq. ( $\overline{4}$ ) (计 is straightforw ard to show that the uctuation determ inant around the $m$ ean \{ eld tra jectory is unity). In fact, any legitim ate $H$ am iltonian $m$ ust satisfy the condition $H(1 ; q)=0$ to insure norm alization. A s a result, the $m$ ean \{ eld solution, $p=1$, is bound to have zero energy, $\mathrm{E} \quad 0$.

H ow ever, the assum ption that $\mathrm{p}=1$ is not alw ays a legitim ate one. T he probability of any event other than the $m$ ean- eld prediction is autom atically described by $p(t)=p$ di erent from unity. The rare events de nitely belong to this category. For such cases the m ean \{ eld anzatz, E q. (1-G), is not applicable and one m ust go back to the fulldynam icalsystem, Eqs. (112) (provided sem iclassicalapproxim ation is justi ed). For exam ple, let us im agine doing the contour integral, Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{Z N}^{\overline{1}}\right)$, by the stationary point $m$ ethod. A pproxim ating, $G(p ; t)=\operatorname{expf} S(p ; t) g$, $w$ th the classical action, $S$, one nds for the saddle point condition $: n=p @ S=@ p=p(t) q(t)$, where we have used that on a classical tra jectory $@ S=@ p=q(t) . T$ herefore, if one is interested in $n$ which is di erent from the $m$ ean $\{$
eld prediction $q(t)$, one $m$ ust consider $p(t)=p$ to be di erent from unity.

In case of the binary annihilation the $m$ ean $\{$ eld prediction is $q(t) \quad n(t)=n_{0}=\left(1+n_{0} t\right) \quad(t)^{1}$ for $1<(t)^{1} \quad n_{0}$. W e are looking for a probability to nd $n \notin \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})=(\mathrm{t})^{1}$ particles at timet $\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}\right)_{1}^{1}$. The phase portrait of the dynam ical system, Eqs. (121), is plotted on Fig . ${ }_{1} 1 \mathrm{1}$. D ynam ical tra jectories for a given energy, E , are given by $\left.\mathrm{q}=\overline{2 \mathrm{E} \quad{ }^{1}=\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} 1\right.} 1\right)$. Since $q(0)=n_{0} \quad 1$, one ndsp(0)=1+2E=(n2) 1 . Substituting this trajectory into Eq. (12bbi) and integrating it betw een $p(0) \quad 1$ and $p(t)=p$, one nds $\mathrm{E}=$ arcoos $\mathrm{p}=\left(2 \mathrm{t}^{2}\right)$. The corresponding classical action, Eq. (1-5), is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(p ; t)=\frac{1}{2} n(t) \arccos ^{2} p: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This action solves the H am ilton \{ Jacoby equation ( $\underline{g}_{\underline{\prime}}$ ) and is nulli ed at the $m$ ean $\{$ eld trajectory, $p=1$. As a result, the generating function is given by $G(p ; t)$


W e are now on the position to nd the rare events statistics: nam ely we are looking for the probability to $n d n$ particles after time $t$, that is $P_{n}(t)$, where $n$ is signi cantly di erent from the $m$ ean eld prediction $\mathrm{n}=(\mathrm{t})^{1}$. To this end one m ay perform integration, required by Eq. ( $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ ), in the stationary point approxim ation to obtain for the probability distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{N} \exp \quad \mathrm{n} \frac{1}{2} \arccos ^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}} \ln \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{s}=p_{s}(n=n)$ is the solution of the saddle point equation: $p_{s}\left(p_{s}^{2} \quad 1\right)^{1=2}$ arcoos $p_{s}=n=n$. In the lim iting


FIG.2: Logarithm of the PDF $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ( t ) as finction ofn=n ( t ) at a xed $t$. T he sem iclassical result, Eq. (18) , \{ dashed line; the exact solution produced by the num erical sim ulation of the $M$ aster equation (11) \{ dashed-dotted line; num erical solution of the FP equation: $\mathrm{P}=\left[\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}n^{2} & \left.\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{P})^{\infty}+\left(n^{2} \mathrm{P}\right)^{0}\right]\{\text { full line. }\end{array}\right.\right.\right.$
cases the exponent takes the form :

$$
\ln \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { (t) } \quad \begin{array}{lllll}
< & \frac{8}{8} \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{n} \ln \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{n}} ; & \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{n} ; \\
\mathbf{3}^{8}(\mathrm{n} & \mathrm{n})^{2}=\mathrm{n} ; & \text { jn } & \mathrm{nj} & \mathrm{n} ;
\end{array} \quad \text { (19) }
$$

The logarithm of the PDF is plotted on $F$ ig. 言 versus $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}$ for a xed $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})$. T he corresponding exponent resulting from the solution of the Focker\{P lanck equation is show $n$ on the sam eplot for com parison. T he two exponents coincide for sm all deviations from the $m$ ean \{ eld result, $j \mathfrak{n}=\mathrm{n}$ 1j 1. For larger deviations (rare events), $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{O}(1)$, the Focker\{P lanck results are signi cantly o thep correct ones. Finally, the norm alization factor $N=\overline{3=(4 \mathrm{n})}$ is sim ply determ ined by the im $m$ ediate vicinity of the $m$ axim um of the distribution, in $n j n$.

## III. BRANCHINGANDANNIHILATION

Let us consider now a m ore interesting exam ple ofbinary annihilation $w$ ith branching. The m odel consists of the tw o reactions: annihilation $A+A!$; and branching $A!2 A$. T he $M$ aster equation is w ritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} P_{n}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[(n+2)(n+1) P_{n+2}(t) \quad n(n \quad 1) P_{n}(t)\right] \\
& +\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1) P_{n} 1
\end{array}\right)\right. \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

one m ay check that the corresponding H am iltonian takes the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(\hat{p} ; \hat{q})=\frac{-}{2}\left(\hat{p}^{2} \quad 1\right) \dot{q}^{2} \quad(\hat{p} \quad 1) \hat{p} \hat{q}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 3: The phase portrait of the branching ann ih ilation process. Thick lines are lines of zero energy, $H(p ; q)=0$. Fat dots are xed points.

As expected, it satis es the norm alization condition, $\mathrm{H}(1 ; q)=0$. The classicalequations of $m$ otion are

$$
\begin{align*}
& q=\quad p q^{2}+(2 p \quad 1) q ;  \tag{22a}\\
& p=\quad\left(p^{2} \quad 1\right) q \quad(p \quad 1) p ; \tag{22b}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the sam e boundary conditions as in the previous exam ple, Eqs. (13). T he classically conserved energy is $E=H(p(t) ; q(t))$. Them ean $\{$ eld anzatz, $p(t) \quad 1$ leads to the $m$ ean $\{$ eld equation for the reaction coordinate, q hni:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d q}{d t}=\quad q^{2}+q: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation possesses tw o stationary states: the active one $q==n_{s}$ and the passive one $q=0$. Below we show that the active state is not actually them odynam ically stable (in 0d system) and in a nite tim e decays into the passive one.

To proceed w ith the discussion of the rare events statistics, we need a phase portrait of the system. It contains three lines of zero energy: the $m$ ean $\{$ eld one $p=1$; the empty system one $q=0$ and the non \{trivial line $q=2 n_{s} p=(1+p) . T$ hese lines determ ine the topology of the phase diagram, F ig. $\overline{1} 1$, where the arrow s show the pos itive time direction. A coording to the $m$ ean $\{$ eld equation $(2 \overline{3})$, from any initial state $w$ th $n_{0} \in 0$, the system reaches the active state $w$ ith $n_{s}$ particles during the tim $e$ $t \quad{ }^{1}$. H ereafter we assum $e$ that $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=\quad=\quad 1 . \mathrm{We}$ shall look for a probability to $n d n \in n_{s}$ particles after a timet $\quad{ }^{1}$.

Of particular interest, of course, is the probability of going to the passive state, nam ely $n=0$, during a large tim et. A ccording to the de nition of the generating finction, E q. $\left.\overline{2} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$, this probability is given by G $(0 ; t)$. W e are interested, therefore, in the trajectory which starts at som e initial coordinate $q_{0}=n_{0}$ (and arbitrary $m$ om entum ) and ends at $p_{M}=0$ (and arbitrary coordinate) after timet. In a long timelim it, $t$ ! 1 , such tra jectory approach the lines of zero energy. T he system rst evolves
along the $m$ ean $\{$ eld tra jectory $p=1$ tow ards the active state, $q=n_{s}$, and then goes along the non \{trivial line $\mathrm{q}=2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{p}=(1+\mathrm{p})$ tow ards the passive state $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}=0$, cf .
 evolution, while it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}=Z_{1}^{z_{0}} \frac{2 n_{s} p}{1+p} d p=n_{s} 2(1 \quad \ln 2) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

along the non $\{$ trivial line.
A ccording to the standard sem iclassicaldescription of tunnelling $-\cdots$, to nd an escape probability, one has to sum up contributions of all classical tra jectories $w$ ith an arbitrary number of bounces from $\left(1 ; n_{s}\right)$ to $(0 ; 0)$ and back. Each bounce brings the factor te ${ }^{s o}$, where the pre-factor re ects the fact the center of the bounce $m$ ay take place at any tim e w ithout changing the action (zero $m$ ode). Since the distant (in tim e) bounces interact w ith each other only exponentially weak, the escape attem pts are practically uncorrelated. A s a result, the probability to $n d$ an em pty system, $P_{0}(t)=G(0 ; t)$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(t)=1 \quad e^{t=} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the decay time is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad{ }^{1} \operatorname{expf}+S_{0} g: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sem iclassical calculations is valid as long as $S_{0} \quad 1$ and thus the decay tim e is $m$ uch longer than the $m$ icroscopic tim e,

## IV . D IFFUSION

We tum now to the discussion of nite dim ensional system s . To characterize a m icroscopic state one need to specify num ber of particles at every site of the lattioe: $\mathrm{fn}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{g}$, where $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{d}}$ is the total num ber of sites. T he probability of given $m$ icroscopic state $m$ ay be w ritten as $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}}$ ( t ) and the corresponding generating function is
$G\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{N} ; t\right)$
X
$\mathrm{n}_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}$
(t) :
(27)

A ssum ing that the reaction rules are purely local (onsite), while the motion on the lattioe is di usive, one nds that the H am iltonian takes the form
where $\hat{H}_{0}(\hat{\mathrm{p}} ; \hat{q})$ is a zero \{dim ensionalon $\{$ site $H$ am iltonian given e.g. by Eqs. $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ or $\left(2 \underline{2}_{1}^{1}\right) ; D$ is a di usion constant and $r$ is the lattice gradient. To shorten notations we
pass to continuous d\{dim ensional variable $x$ and introduce elds $p(x)$ and $q(x)$. The generating function becom es generating functional, $G(p(x) ; t)$. The latter $m$ ay be w ritten as a functionalintegralover canonically conjugated elds $p(x ; t)$ and $q(x ; t)$, living in $d+1$ dim ensional space, w ith the action

$$
S[p ; q]=Z_{0}^{Z^{t} Z} d t d^{d} x\left[H_{0}(p ; q)+D r p \quad \text { rq } q p\right]:
$$

The initial term, e.g. the P oisson one: ${ }^{R} d^{d} x n_{0}(x)(1$ $p(x ; 0))$, should also be added to the action. The corresponding classical equations of $m$ otions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{q}=D r^{2} q \frac{H_{0}}{p} ;  \tag{30a}\\
& p=D r^{2} p+\frac{H_{0}}{q}: \tag{30b}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations are to be solved with the follow ing boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x} ; 0)=\mathrm{n}_{0}(\mathrm{x}) ;  \tag{31a}\\
& \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{31b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{0}(x)$ is an in itial space dependent concentration and $p(x)$ is the source eld in the generating functional $G(p(x) ; t)$. The $m$ ean $\{$ eld approxim ation is obtained by putting $p(x ; t)=1$ and is described by the reaction $\{$ di usion equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{t} q=D r^{2} q{\frac{H_{0}(p ; q)}{p}}_{p=p=1} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is sub ject of num erous studies.
$R$ Equations ( ${ }^{(30} \mathbf{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) adm it the integral of $m$ otion: $E=$
$\left.d^{d} \times \mathbb{H} 0(p ; q)+D r p r q\right]$. In som e cases (see below) an additional in nite sequence of integrals of $m$ otion $m$ ay be found, $m$ aking the classical problem, Eqs. ( $3 \mathbf{B O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), analytically solvable. In a general case, these equations m ust be solved num erically. W e notioe, how ever, that such num erical problem is orders of $m$ agnitude sim pler than num erical solution of the $M$ aster and even FP equations, or direct m odelling of the stochastic system. Below we discuss a fast, e cient algorithm for num erical solution of E qs. ( $(\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~d}}) \mathrm{w}$ th the boundary conditions E qs. ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{B}} \overline{1})_{1}\right)$. $M$ oreover, a lot of insight $m$ ay be gained by investigating the phase portrait of the zero \{dim ensional H am iltonian, $H_{0}(p ; q)$, which allow $s$ to $m$ ake som e sem i\{quantitative predictions $w$ thout num erical solution.

To ilhustrate how the $m$ ethod works we_consider the branching anninilation problem ofsection'III ( H 0 is given by Eq. ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}^{1}$ ) ) on a com pact d\{dim ensional cluster $\{$ the "refuge" a very high mortality, A ! ; rate which is eventually taken to in nity. This dictates the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(@ R ; t)=0 ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $@ R$ is the boundary of the cluster $R$. It is convenient to pass to the dim ensiopless tim e $t$ ! $t$ and coordinates $x=!x$, where $\overline{D=}$. We also introduce the rescaled elds $q(x ; t)=n_{s}^{\prime}(x ; t)$ (where $n_{s}==$ ) and $p(x ; t)=1 \quad m(x ; t)$. In these notations the sem $i-$ classical equations, Eq. (3G1), take the sym $m$ etric form:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
Q_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime} & =r^{2 \prime}+\boldsymbol{r} & \mathbf{r}^{2}+m^{2} & 2^{m \prime} ; \\
Q^{m} & =r^{2 m}+m & m^{2}+m^{2} & 2^{\prime m} ; \tag{34b}
\end{array}
$$

Consider nst the $m$ ean $\{$ eld ( $(\wedge=0)$ evolution, described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}^{\prime}=r^{2 r}+r \quad r^{2}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

sub ject to the boundary condition ' $(@ R ; t)=0$. For the sm all concentrations,' 1, the last tem $m$ ay be om ilted and the solution takes the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.r(x ; t)=x_{n}^{A} e^{(1} \quad{ }_{n}\right) t \quad Y_{n}(x) ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $Y_{n}(x)$ are norm alized eigen functions of the Laplace operator in the region $R$ w ith zero boundary conditions and eigenvalues $n<0$; coe cients $n$ depend on an initial condition. Therefore, if the sm allest eigenvalue, 0 , is larger than unity (the cluster is sm all enough), any initial distribution evolves towards the em pty system. The characteristic lifetim e of the system is thus

$$
=\quad{ }^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1)^{1} ; \quad 0>1: ~ \tag{37}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $0<1$ (the cluster is larger than som e critical size), the $m$ ean \{ eld evolution, Eq. ( $35_{1}^{\prime}$ ), leads to a stable non \{ vanishing concentration ' 0 ( $r$ ), which is given by the solution of the equation $r^{2 \prime} r_{0}+^{\prime} 0 \quad r_{0}^{2}=0 \mathrm{w}$ th zero boundary conditions. It is clear, how ever, that such solution is actually a m eta-stable state of the system. N am ely, after a long enough tim e the system will nd itself in the em pty (passive) state. Our task is to nd the system 's lifetim $e$, for the $m$ eta-stable case, $0<1$. A ccording to our previous discussions the lifetim e is expected to be exponentially long

$$
\begin{equation*}
={ }^{1} e^{S_{d}} ; \quad 0<1 ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{d}$ is the action along the sem iclassical tra jectory, that solves Eqs. $\left(\overline{3} \bar{a} a^{\prime}\right), ~\left(34 \overline{b^{\prime}}\right)$ w ith the initial condition $'(x ; 0)={ }^{\prime}{ }_{0}(x) \bar{a} \bar{d}$ the nal condition ${ }^{\wedge}\left(x ; t_{e}\right)=1$. $T$ he extinction tim $e, t_{e}$, is to be sent to in nity. Indeed $@ S_{d}=@ t_{e}=E\left(t_{e}\right) \quad 0$ and thus the longer the extinction tim e \{ the sm aller the action. In practioe, how ever, the action alm ost saturates at $m$ odest values of $t_{e}$.

In general the problem cannot be solved analytically and one needs to resort to num erical approaches. T he follow ing iteration schem e rapidly converges to the desired solution: one rst xesmom enta to be ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{1}(x ; t)=1$ at any tim $e$ and solves Eq. (3'áa) w ith the initial condition ${ }^{\prime}(x ; 0)={ }^{\prime}(x)$ by forward iteration from $t=0$ to


F IG . 4: The sem iclassical action (in units of ${ }^{d} n_{s}$ ) for the extinction of a one dim ensional chuster is show $n$ as function of chuster's radius $R$, (in units of ) \{ full line. Large radius approxim ation, Eq. (39), is show $n$ by the dashed line; near\{ critical, $=2<\mathrm{R}$, approxim ation, Eq. (45) \{ dashed-dotted line.
$t=t_{e}$. The result of th is procedure, ${ }_{1}(x ; t)$, is kept $x \in d$ during the next step, that is solution of Eq. (34밈) w ith the condition " $\left(x ; t_{e}\right)=1$ by the backw ard iteration from $t=t_{e}$ to $t=0$. Thisway one nds ${ }_{2}(x ; t)$, which is kept xed while the next approxim ation ${ }^{\prime} 2(x ; t)$ is obtained by the forward teration of Eq. (34a). Repeating successively forw ard and backw ard iterations the algorithm rapidly converges to the required solution. The action $S_{d}=S_{d}\left(t_{e}\right)$ is then calculated according to Eq. (2, $\left.\underline{2}_{1}\right)$. F inally one has to check that $S_{d}\left(t_{e}\right)$ does not decrease signi cantly upon increasing $t_{e}$.
$T$ he action, $S_{d}$, for one $\{d i m$ ensional cluster of size $2 R$ is plotted on $F$ ig. 1 dius $R_{c}==2$ (in units of ) is found from the condition $0=1$. For $R<R_{c}$, there is no $m$ eta-stable state and thus $S_{d}=0$, while the cluster lifetime is given by Eq. $\overline{3} \overline{7} \overline{7}$,$) . For R>R_{c}$ the lifetim $e$ is given by Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{8}\right)^{1}$, w th the num erically calculated $S_{d}$ plotted on $F$ ig. ' 4 '. $T$ he asym ptotic behavior of the action $S_{d}$ for $R \quad R_{c}$ $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1) \text { and } R & R_{C} & R_{C}(1 & 0\end{array} 1\right) m$ ay be readily found analytically.

For $R \quad R_{C}\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1) \text { the concentration throughout }\end{array}\right.$ the bulk of the cluster is practically uniform apart from a surface layer of thickness. O ne $m$ ay therefore apply the results of the zero dim ensional problem, Eq. (24), to nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d}=2(1 \quad \ln 2) n_{s}^{d}(N \quad C S) ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where V and S are cluster's dim ensionless volum e and surface area correspondingly and $c$ is a num erical constant, which we shall not evaluate here. For the 1d case the corresponding line is plotted on F ig. . $\overline{4} 1$, by the dashed line.

W e tum nally to the clusters that are only slightly
larger than the critical size: $1 \quad 0 \quad 1$ (e.g for a spherical cluster $w$ th the radius $R$ one nds $=1$ $\left(R_{c}=R\right)^{2}$, where $R_{c}$ is a critical radius, found from $0=$ 1). In this case only the zeroth eigenfunction $Y_{0}(x)$ is the unstable direction of the linearized $m$ ean $\{$ eld equation. The full non $\{$ linear $m$ ean $\{$ eld equation ( $3 \overline{5}$ ) possesses, therefore, the stable solution ' $O(x)$, that is expected to be of order. O nem ay thus look for this solution in the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime_{0}(\mathrm{r})=\left(\mathrm{Y}_{0}(\mathrm{x})+\prime_{1}(\mathrm{x})\right) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{1}(\mathrm{x})$ is orthogonal to $\mathrm{Y}_{0}(\mathrm{x})$. O ne can now substitute this trial solution in Eq. (35), keeping only the leading (second) order in , and project on $Y_{0}$, using its orthogonality to ${ }_{1}$. A s a result the coe cient is found to be:

$$
{ }^{1}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{Z}} r Y_{0}^{3}(\mathrm{r}):
$$

Them eta-stable solution of equations $\left[\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in the leading order in is therefore: ${ }^{\prime} 0(x)=Y_{0}(\bar{x})$ and $m_{0}(x)=0$. To nd the optim alescape tra jectory, let us param eterize deviations from this $m$ eta-stable state as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prime(x ; t)=Y_{0}(x)+X_{n=0}^{x^{3}} n(t) Y_{n}(x) ; \\
& M(x ; t)=X_{n=0}^{x^{3}} n(t) Y_{n}(x) ; \tag{42a}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n(t)$ and $n(t)$ are assum ed to be sm all. O ne can now substitute these deviations into the dynam ical equations ( $\left.\mathbf{3}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{1}\right)$ and linearize them $w$ ith respect to $n$; $n$. It is straightforw ard to see then that in the leading order in only 0 and 0 should be retained. They evolve according to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} 0_{0}=0^{1} 1^{2} 0_{0}+o\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ atrix on the right hand side has tw o eigenvectors, $(1 ; 0)$ and $(1 ; 1) \mathrm{w}$ ith the eigenvalues 1 and 1 correspondingly. T he rst one describes deviation in the $m$ ean- eld direction, ${ }^{\prime}=0$, and leads to the restoring force back to the $m$ eta-stable state. T he second eigenvector gives the $m$ ost unstable direction, that describes the w ay the system escapes tow ards the em pty state. T he corresponding tra jectory on the ( ${ }^{\wedge} ;^{\prime}$ ) plane is plotted on F ig. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~F} 1$, for the center point of the 1 d cluster, $\mathrm{x}=0$. D ifferent lines correspond to a few values of $t_{e}$. For $t_{e}$ ! 1 the energy, $E$, approaches zero and the trajectory approaches the $(1 ; 1)$ direction that leads from the $m$ etastable point ( $0 ; Y_{0}$ ) to a sym $m$ etric $m$ eta-stable point ( $Y_{0} ; 0$ ). (T he existence of the latter follow s directly from the symmetry of equations (341).) For 1 the sm alldeviation analysis describe the entire transition betw een the tw o m eta-stable points, that takes place, therefore, along the straight line:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime(x ; t)=Y_{0}(x) \quad \wedge(x ; t) ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 5: Trajectories on the ( ${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ) plane is shown for the center point of the $1 d$ chuster, $x=0$. The horizontal axes is ${ }^{\wedge}$ variable and the vertical axes is' . D i erent curves are distinguished by their escape tim $e, m$ arked in dim ension less units $t_{\text {e }} . \quad Y_{0}(0)=0: 3$.
on the ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) plane. The farther evolution takes place along ${ }^{\prime}=0$ direction. A s a result, in the $\lim$ it $t_{e}!1$, and therefore E! 0, the sem iclassical escape action is given by the area of the straight triangle $w$ th the hight $Y_{0}(x)$ integrated over the cluster, cf. Eq. (291),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d}=\int_{R}^{Z} d^{d} x x^{Z} q d p=n_{S}^{d}{ }_{R}^{Z} d^{d} x^{\prime} d^{\prime \wedge}=\frac{1}{2}()^{2} n_{S}^{d}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the 1d cluster $\left(=1, \quad(=2 R)^{2}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{2}=9^{3}=128\right)$ Eq. (4넌) is show $n$ on $F$ ig. a circular cluster in $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}=2: 4$ and ${ }^{2}=9: 4$, while for a spherical 3d cluster $R_{c}=$ and $2=51: 7$. .) O ne m ay observe that the large and sm all chuster asym ptotic re-
 sonable approxim ation for the exact num erical calculation of the sem iclassical action, $S_{d}$. Finally, the probability of the system staying in the $m$ eta-stable state is $P(t)=\operatorname{expf} t=g$, where the lifetime is given by Eq. (3 ${ }^{\prime}$ ).

## V. RUN \{AW AY SYSTEM S

In this section we consider a qualitatively di erent system that exhibits a run \{aw ay behavior, characterized by unlim ited proliferation of the num ber of particles. T he sim plest example is given by the population dynam ics m odelconsisting of three reactions: binary reproduction, death and em igration, characterized by probabilities , and correspondingly. $T$ he schem atic way to w rite it is: $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}!3 \mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{A}!$; and; ! A. The M aster equa-


F IG . 6: T he phase portrait of the run $\{$ aw ay process, E q. (4G). $T$ hick lines represent solution of $H(p ; q)=0$, fat dots $\{\overline{x e d}$ points. ${ }^{2}=1=2$.
tion for the zero\{dim ensionalsystem has the form :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d P_{n}}{d t} & =\frac{(n \quad 1)(n \quad 2)}{2} P_{n} 1 \quad \frac{n(n \quad 1)}{2} P_{n} \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{llll}
(n+1) P_{n+1} & \left.n P_{n}\right]+ & \mathbb{P}_{n} 1 & \left.P_{n}\right]:(46)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding zero\{dim ensionalH am iltonian is:

$$
\hat{H}_{0}(\hat{p} ; \hat{q})=\frac{-}{2}\left(\hat{p}^{2} \quad \hat{p}^{3}\right) q^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(\hat{p} & 1
\end{array}\right) \hat{q}+\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \hat{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the classicalequations ofm otions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& q=\quad\left(p \quad \frac{3}{2} p^{2}\right) q^{2} \quad q+\quad ;  \tag{48a}\\
& \underline{p}=\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p^{2} & p^{3}
\end{array}\right) q+\quad\binom{p}{1}: \tag{48.b}
\end{align*}
$$

A s alw ays, the $m$ ean $\{$ eld equation ofm otion for the reaction coordinate $q$ hni is obtained by the anzatz $p=1$ and takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d q}{d t}=\frac{-}{2} q^{2} \quad q+\quad: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to the $m$ ean $\{$ eld equation there are tw o qualitatively di erent scenarios of the system 's evolution. They are distinguished by the param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{2}= \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ${ }^{2}<0$, the r.h.s. ofE q. $(4 \underset{-1}{-1})$ is strictly positive and the reaction coordinate alw ays grow sto in nity. $T$ his is the scenario, where the population proliferates inde nitely. A ltematively, for ${ }^{2}>0$ the system possess tw o stationary concentrations: $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}(1 \quad)$, where $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}==$. The point $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}$ is the stable one, $\mathrm{while} \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}_{+}$is unstable. In this case (the only one we discuss hereafter), the $m$ ean $\{$ eld predicts that for the range of in itial concentrations $0<n_{0}<n_{+}$the system evolves tow ards the stable population $n$. If the initialconcentration exceeds $n_{+}$\{ the system nuns aw ay and the population diverges.

If one goes beyond the $m$ ean $\{$ eld treatm ent, how ever, one realizes that the state $n$ is actually a m etastable one. To see this fact and calculate the life-tim e of the $m$ eta-stable state, it is convenient to draw the phase portrait, F ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ 'G. It has two lines of zero energy: the $m$ ean $\{$ eld one, $p=1$, and the non $\{$ trivial line $p^{2} q^{2}=2 \quad q+=0$. These tw 0 lines intersect at the $m$ ean $\{$ eld stable points $p=1 ; q=n$ and determ ine the topology of the phase diagram. It is clear from the phase portrait that the point $p=1 ; q=n$ is not stable once $m$ otion $w$ th $p \notin 1$ (non \{m ean $\{$ eld) is allowed. M ore precisely, there is a non $\{\mathrm{m}$ ean $\{$ eld path that brings the system from the point $q=n$ to the point $q=n_{+}$. O nœe the point $q=n_{+}$is reached, the sys tem $m$ ay continue to evolve according to the $m$ ean $\{$ eld tow ards inde nite population grow. Repeating calculations, sim ilar in spirit to calculations of the decay \{ tim e in section 'III, one nds for the life $\{$ tim e of the $m$ eta \{stable state, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{1} \operatorname{expf}+S_{0} g \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{0}$ is the classical action along the non \{trivial line of zero energy betw een points ( $1 ; \mathrm{n}$ ) and ( $1 ; \mathrm{n}_{+}$). C alculating the integral, one $n d s S_{0}=f\left(n_{+}\right) \quad f(n)$, where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \overline{8=} \arctan (\mathrm{x} \quad=2)$.

Two lim iting cases are of particular interest: (i) the "near critical" system, $0<2 \quad 1$ and (ii) the system with alm ost no immigration, ! $0^{+}$; ! 1 . In the form er case the tw $o m$ ean \{ eld stationary points approach each other, $m$ aking the escape from the $m$ etastable state relatively easy. Expanding the $f$-function up to the third (!) order, one nds $S_{0}=2 n_{s}^{3}=3 \quad n_{s}$. As expected, the action is sm all and correspondingly the life 4 tim $e$ is short (notice that the quasi-classicalpicture applies as long as $S_{0}>1$ ). In the latter case the two m ean \{ eld stationary points tend to $n$ ! 0 , and $n_{+}!2 n_{s}$. If the mm igration is absent, $=0$, the m ean $\{$ eld stable point, $\mathrm{n}=0$, coincides w ith the em pty state of the system. The em pty state is absolutely stable since no uctuations are possible. N aively one $m$ ay expect that in this lim it the life\{tim e of the $m$ eta-stable state (and thus $S_{0}$ ) diverges. $T$ his is not the case, how ever. The calculation show s: $S_{0}!2 n_{s}$. A s a result, even negligibly sm all probability of im $m$ igration,
, leads to a nite probability of unlim ited population expansion. (Strictly speaking, one also needs to show that the pre-exponential factor does not go to zero once
! 0.)
W e consider now a nite\{dim ensionalgeneralization of this population dynam icsm odel. The physics of the phenom ena, discussed here, is as follow s: if a critically large cluster "tunnels" into the run-aw ay state, both di usion and reaction dynam ics work to expand the cluster and ip the entire system into the run-aw ay $m$ ode. T he situation is sim ilar to nucleation of a critical dom ain in the super-cooled state of a system close to a rst order phase transition. To sim plify the algebra we shall consider only the case of the "near critical" system, $0<2$ 1, where
the apparatus tums out to be rather sim ilar to that of the theory of the rst order phase transitions.

A s discussed above, the nite\{dim ensjional generalization of the $H a m$ iltonian is $H[p ; q]=d^{d} \times \mathbb{H} 0(p ; q)+$ Drpr q]. For $\quad 1$, it is convenient to $m$ ake a change of
 where' , while ${ }^{\text {r }}{ }^{2}$. Substituting it into the reaction part of the H am iltonian, Eq. ( $4 \bar{T}_{1}$ ), and keeping term $s$
 As a result, the d-dim ensional action, Eq.(2d), for the conjugated elds " $(x ; t)$ and ' $(x ; t)$ takes the form :

$$
S=n_{s}{ }^{Z^{t} Z} d t d^{d} x \leadsto r^{2}+\frac{2 r^{2}}{2} \quad r^{2}
$$

where we have introduced the dim ensifnless tim e $t$ ! $t$ and coordinate $\mathrm{x}=!\mathrm{x}$, where $=\overline{\mathrm{D}=}$. The functionalintegration over the eld " should be understood as running along the im aginary axis. T he eld theory with the action Eq. (52) m ay be considered as M artin \{SigiaR ose ${ }^{31}-1$ representation of the follow ing Langevin equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho^{\prime}}{@ t}=r^{2}, \quad \frac{@ V}{@ \prime}+(x ; t) ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x ; t)$ is a G aussian noise w ith the correlator

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x ; t) \quad\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i=\frac{2}{n_{s} d} \quad\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) \quad\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the potential is $V(r)=r^{3}=6+r^{2 r}=2$. This potential has a meta-stable m inimum at ${ }^{\prime}=$ and an unstable maxim um at ${ }^{\prime}=$. The barrier hight is $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{)} \quad \mathrm{~V}(\quad)=2^{3}=3\right.$ and therefore the life-tim $e$ of the zero dim ensional $(d=0)$ system is expected to be given by the activation exponent ( w th $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{1}$ playing the role of tem perature) $\operatorname{expfn}_{5} 2^{3}=3 \mathrm{~g}$, in agreem ent w ith


To discuss the life-tim e of the nite\{dim ensional system we shall not use the Langevin approach, but rather retum to the action, Eq. (52), and write dow $n$ the classical equations of $m$ otion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varrho_{t^{\prime}}=r^{2}, \quad \frac{@ V}{\varrho^{\prime}}+2^{m} ;  \tag{55a}\\
& \varrho_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\wedge}=r^{2 m}+m \frac{\varrho^{2} V}{\varrho^{\prime} r^{2}}: \tag{55b}
\end{align*}
$$

The energy density, corresponding to these two equations, is de ned as $\left.E\left(x_{i}+\right)^{t}\right)={ }^{\wedge}\left(r^{2}, \quad @ V=@ \prime+\wedge\right)$. The global energy, $E=d^{d} x E(x ; t)$, is, of course, conserved. H ow ever, in the present case if $E(x ; 0)=0$ it keeps holding locally at any time: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})=0$. Indeed, the energy density vanishes if either ${ }^{\wedge}=0$, or ${ }^{n}=r^{2 \prime}+@ V=@^{\prime}=2^{\prime \prime} \quad Q^{\prime}$ and thus ${ }^{n}=\varrho_{t}{ }^{\prime}$, where we have em ployed Eq. (55a). It is easy to check that in both cases Eq. ( 5 - 5 'al ) is satis ed autom atically. Therefore the evolution w th zero energy density is described
by either $\varrho_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\prime}=r^{2}, \quad @ V=@ \prime$, that is the $m$ ean $\{$ eld equation, or by $\varrho_{t}^{\prime}=r^{\prime \prime}+@ V=@ \prime$, that gives the $m$ otion along the non-trivial line of zero energy.

N otice that the last equation happens to be the tim ereversed version of the $m$ ean $\left\{\right.$ eld $1^{32}$. . If one starts, thus, from the stationary solution ' = and perturbs it innitesim ally $\{$ the perturbation grow s until it reaches the stable con guration, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}, \quad \frac{@ V}{@ r}=0: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical dom ain is given therefore by a localized solution of Eq. (56). Since the energy along the nucleation dynam ics is zero, the action to nuclezte the critical dom ain is given by $S_{d}=n_{s}{ }^{d} d^{d} x d t^{\wedge} @_{t}^{\prime}=$ $n_{s}{ }^{d} d^{d} x d t\left(r^{2 \prime}+@ V=@ C^{\prime}\right) @_{t}^{\prime}$. Perform ing the time integration in this expression, one nds for the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d}=n_{s} d^{d} d^{d} x \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(r^{\prime} d\right)^{2}+V\left(r_{d}\right) \quad V(\quad) ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' ${ }^{d}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{d}(x)$ is a stationary localized solution of Eq. (5- $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$, that is an extrem um of the functional ( $\left(5 \mathbf{T}_{1}\right)$. A s a result, the problem of the dynam ical escape from the $m$ eta-stable con guration is reduced to the static Landau theory of the rst order transitions. As far as we know, such reduction is not a general statem ent, but rather is a consequence of the assum ption 1 and the resulting local energy conservation, $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})=0$. In a general situation, one still has to solve a considerably m ore com plicated problem of dynam ic equations (4-q) for ${ }^{\prime}(x ; t)$ and $(x ; t)$.
$>$ From the scaling analysis of Eq. ( $\overline{5} \overline{6} \overline{1})$ one nds that , in the core of the critical dom ain. Em ploying this fact, one nds that the characteristic spatial scale of the dom ain is gijen by $\quad 1=2 \quad 1$ (distance is $m$ easured in units of $=\overline{\mathrm{D}=}$ ). Therefore the action cost to create the criticaldom ain is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d}=C_{d} n_{s} d \quad 3 d=2 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is a num erical factor of order of one: $\mathrm{c}_{0}=$ $2=3 ; c_{1}=24=5$. T his result suggests that for $d>6$ the state w th nite population density $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{l})$ is stable , while for $\mathrm{d}<6$ the state is $m$ eta-stable. The concentration of criticaldom ains is given by ${ }^{d} \operatorname{expf} \quad S_{d} g$ and the typical distance betw een them is $\operatorname{expfS}_{d}=d g$. They grow di usively until the entire system is ipped over to the run \{away state in time $\quad{ }^{1} \operatorname{expf} 2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{dg}$. The sem iclassical calculation is applicable as long as $S_{d}>1$ and therefore is not too sm all. For very sm all the escape is driven by the uctuations rather than the sem iclassicaldynam ics.

## VI. CONCLUSION S

The exam ples, considered above, are $m$ eant to ilhustrate the general technique to calculate probability of
rare events in reaction $\{d i$ usion system $s . T$ he technique is based on the existence of the $m$ any \{body "quantum " $H$ am iltonian, which fully encodes the $m$ icroscopic $M$ aster equation. T he very sam eH am iltonian in its second quantized representation serves as a starting point for eld\{ theoretical treatm ents of dynam-ig phase transitions in
 our present purposes w e have deliberately chosen to w ork w ith system $s$ that are aw ay from a possible continuous phase transition point. N am ely, we focus on the parts of the phase diagram, where the $m$ ean $\{$ eld considerations suggest a non \{vanishing population of particles (or at least transiently non \{vanishing population). In such cases the "quantum " uctuations are sm all and onem ay treat the underlying "quantum " dynam ics in the sem iclassicalw ay.

W e stress that the sem iclassical treatm ent is not equivalent to the $m$ ean $\{$ eld one. $T$ he latter requires a very special assum ption about dynam ics of the canonicalm o$m$ enta: $p(x ; t)=1$. This assum ption $m$ ay be justi ed as long as one is interested in a typical system 's behavior (even this is not guaranteed if the system possess $m$ eta-stable states, as in our last exam ple). In such cases the problem is reduced to a partial di erential equation for the reaction coordinates, $q(x ; t)$, only. However, if questions about atypical, rare events are asked, \{ the $m$ ean $\{$ eld assum ption, $p(x ; t)=1, m$ ust be abandoned. As a result, one has to deal w ith the canonical pair of the $H$ am ilton equations for reaction coordinates, $q(x ; t)$, and $m$ om enta, $p(x ; t)$. The degree of deviation from the $m$ ean \{ eld line, $p=1$, is speci ed (through proper initial and nite boundary conditions) by the concrete sort of the rare event of interest. $F$ inally, the probability of the rare event is proportionalto the exponentiated action along the classicaltra jectory, satisfying speci ed boundary conditions.

We found it especially useful to work w ith the phase portrait of the corresponding dynam ical system on the ( $p ; q$ ) plane. T he em erging structures are pretty intuitive and can tell a great deal about qualitative behavior of the system even before any calculations. The H am iltonians underlying the $M$ aster equations of reaction system $s$ are typically not of the type traditionally considered in the theory of dynam ical system s. For exam ple, they usually can not be cast into the fam iliar form $H(p ; q)=p^{2}=2+A(q) p+V(q) . O n$ the other hand, they posses som e universal features, such as $\mathrm{H}(1 ; q)=0$, or, if there is an em pty absorbing state, $H(p ; 0)=0$, etc. $T$ hese features dictate a speci c topology of the phase portrait. It would be extrem ely interesting to explore this class of H am iltonians from the pointh of view ofm athem atical theory of dynam ical system $\mathrm{s}^{33}$. A question of particular interest is a possible exact integrability of resulting H am iltonian equations (especially in $d=1$ ) ${ }^{34}$.
$T$ here are num ber of issues, that are not addressed in the present paper and require further investigation. Let us m ention som e of them. (i) Throughout the paper we have discussed the rare events probability w th the expo-
nential accuracy. In som e cases this is not enough and one wants to know the pre-exponential factor rather precisely. This requires calculation of the uctuation deter$m$ inant on top of the non \{trivialclassicaltra jectory. T his task is relatively straightforw ard for the $d=0$ system $s$, where it m ay be addressed by w riting down "quantum " corrections to the H am ilton-Jacoby equation and treating them iteratively (in the way it is usually done in the single\{particleW K B m ethod). For extended system sthe task is reduced to the spectral problem of a certain matrix di erential operator. At present we are not aw are of a generalrecipe to solve it. O nem ay show, how ever, that on any $m$ ean $\{$ eld tra jectory, $p(x ; t)=1$, the uctuation determ inant is equal to unity. The sim plest way of doing it is to use the discrete representation of the functional integral, Eq. $\left.(1)_{1}^{1} 0_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and notige that the quadratic uctuation $m$ atrix has a triangular structure $w$ ith unities on the $m$ ain diagonal (and, hence, unit determ inant). U nfortunately, this is not the case aw ay from the $m$ ean \{ eld, $p \neq 1$.
(ii) W e have restricted ourselves to the system $\mathrm{s} w$ ith a single sort of spiges only. It is straightforward to generalize the technique to any number of spices, $K$. The di culty is that the phase portrait becom es a 2 K \{ dim ensional construction, which is not easy to visualize. C orrespondingly, the $m$ ean $\{$ eld line becom es $K$ \{ dim ensional hyperplane. M oreover som e qualitatively new physics $m$ ay arise such as stable oscillatory lim iting cycles on them ean \{ eld hyperplane. A paradigm ofsuch behavior is a Lotka\{Volterrais system : A +B ! 2 A ; A ! ; and B ! 2B. An exam ple of rare event $m$ ay be an "escape" from the periodic lim iting cycle on the A $B$ m ean \{ eld plane into the em pty state in a nite size system. Finding an optim al "reaction path" for such escape is not a straightforw ard $m$ atter, how ever.
(iii) W e have not treated long\{range interactions and (local or non-local) constraints. The sim plest ("ferm ionic") constraint is that of a $m$ axim um single occupancy of each lattice site. It was shown recently that such constraint $m$ ay be inconporated into the "bosonic" form ulation ${ }^{3} \frac{1}{d}$, leading to a new class of the interesting H am iltonians. Studding rare event statistics for such hard-core particles (by studding classicaldynam ics of the corresponding $H$ am iltonians) is a very interesting subject.
(iv) There is a close resemblance betw een the for$m$ alism presented here for essentially classical system $s$ and the $k$ eldysh technique for non \{equilibrium quantum statistics ${ }^{3}$ ? . The sem iclassical solutions w ith $p \notin 1$, considered here, correspond to saddle point con gurations of the $K$ eldysh action $w$ th a di erent behavior on the forw ard and backw ard branches of the tim e contour. A lthough exam ples,ofsuch saddle points w ere considered in the literature ${ }^{381} 139$, it would be interesting to leam $m$ ore about possible applications of the present technique for true quantum problem s.

W e are gratefulto A.E lgart, Y. G efen, A . Lopatin and K .M atveev for usefulconversations. A. K . is A.P.Sloan
fellow.

## APPENDIX A: OPERATOR TECHNIQUE

We give-here, a brief account of the operator
 vector jin as the $m$ icroscopic state $w$ ith $n$ particles. Let us also de ne vector

$$
j(t) i{ }_{n=0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{ni} \mathrm{i}:
$$

N otioe, that the weight, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$, is probability rather than the am plitude. It is convenient to introduce the creation and annihilation operators $w$ th the follow ing properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{y} \mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{i}=\dot{n}+1 i ;  \tag{A2a}\\
& \text { aŋni = nji 1i: } \tag{A2b}
\end{align*}
$$

A s a byproduct, one has ajpi $=0.0$ ne $m$ ay mm ediately check that such operators are \bosonic":

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=1: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s for any pair of operators satisfying Eq. (A- (3) onem ay prove the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a} f\left(a ; a^{y}\right)=f\left(a ; a^{y}+1\right) e^{a} ; \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is an anbitrary operator\{value function. In these notations the whole set of the $M$ aster equations $m$ ay be recast into single "im aginary tim e" Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} j(t) i=\hat{H} j(t) i ; \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}$ is the \H am ittonian" operator. O nem ay check that the H am iltonian of the binary annihilation process, Eq. (11), has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{-}{2}\left(a^{y}\right)^{2} \quad 1 a^{2} ; \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst term in brackets on the r.h.s. is the \out" and the second one is the \in" term.

O ne m ay solve form ally the Schrodinger equation and write $j(t) i=\operatorname{expf} \hat{H^{\prime}}\left(a^{y} ; a\right) \operatorname{tgj}(0) i$. An initial state, $j(0) i$, is speci ed as e.g. $\left.j(0) i=e^{n_{0}\left(a^{y}\right.} 1\right)$ joi for the Poisson initialdistribution, or $j(0) i=a^{y^{n_{0}}} j 0 i$ for the xed particle num ber. T he generating function Eq. (2) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p ; t)=h 0 j^{p a} e^{\hat{f}\left(a^{y} ; a\right) t} j(0) i: \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nom alization, $G(1 ; t=0)=1$, is guaranteed by the identity ho $j^{a}$ jni= 1 for any $n p$ (this fact $m$ ay be checked using Eq. (A_(i)) and the fact ${ }_{n} P_{n}(0)=1$. The nor$m$ alization is kept intact at any tim e ifh0 $\dot{e}^{a} \hat{H}\left(a^{y} ; a\right)=0$.

Since the coherent state h0 $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is an eigenstate of the creation operator, $h 0 \dot{j}^{a} a^{y}=h 0 \dot{j}^{a}$, one arrives at the conclusion that any legitim ate H am iltonian m ust obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}\left(a^{y}=1 ; a\right)=0: \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

E.g., _the Ham iltonian of the binary annihilation, Eq. (A, ${ }_{-1}$ ), indeed satis es this condition.

O ne may em ploy now the standard bosonic coherent state technique to write the generating function, Eq. (A그), as the functional integral. The result coincides identically $w$ ith the Eq. (1d) of the $m$ ain text. O ne notices, thus, the form alcorrespondence betw een the operators $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}$ and a and operators $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{q}$ correspondingly.
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