

Theory of Aging in Structural Glasses

Vassiliy Lubchenko and Peter G. Wolynes

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Department of Physics,
University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0371
(Dated: March 22, 2024)

The random first order transition theory of the dynamics of supercooled liquids is extended to treat aging phenomena in nonequilibrium structural glasses. A reformulation of the idea of "entropic droplets" in terms of libraries of local energy landscapes is introduced which treats in a uniform way the supercooled liquid (reproducing earlier results) and glassy regimes. The resulting microscopic theory of aging makes contact with the Narayanaswamy-Moynihan-Toll nonlinear relaxation formalism and the Hodge-Scherer extrapolation of the Adam-Gibbs formula, but deviations from both approaches are predicted and shown to be consistent with experiment. The nonlinearity of glassy relaxation is shown to quantitatively correlate with liquid fragility. The residual nonArrhenius temperature dependence of relaxation observed in quenched glasses is explained. The broadening of relaxation spectra in the nonequilibrium glass with decreasing temperature is quantitatively predicted. The theory leads to the prediction of spatially fluctuating effective temperatures in the long-aged glassy state, which have non-Gaussian statistics. This can give rise to "ultra-slow" relaxations in systems after deep quenches.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The energy landscape metaphor has turned theorists towards viewing the global geometry of the phase space of complex systems. When these systems are mesoscopic in size, for example, small proteins [1] or gas phase clusters [2], a more or less complete mathematical formulation of the idea, capable of treating kinetics and thermodynamics, can be made using statistical tools to characterize minima and saddle points of the entire system. Yet for macroscopic systems, most transitions rearrange particles only locally. This essential aspect of the dynamics is brought home forcefully by noting that a liter of liquid water will move from one energy minimum to another in 10^{39} sec. Such a short time scale cannot be directly relevant to any laboratory measurement on this system! The necessity for using a local description is clearly recognized in the modern theory of supercooled liquids and glasses which is based on the statistical mechanics of random first order transitions [3]–[8]. In the deeply supercooled liquid regime this theory explains not only the phenomenological features of the dynamics [5] but quantitatively predicts, on a microscopic basis, the size of cooperative lengths, the precise non-Arrhenius behavior of typical relaxation times [6, 8] and the non-exponentiality of relaxation [7]. A quantized version of the theory explains the low temperature thermodynamics of amorphous substances, usually interpreted in terms of two level systems [9] and the more energetic Boson peak excitations [10]. The crucial manifestation of the locality concept in this theory (which has many meaningful, global, aspects) is the notion that "entropic droplets"

drive the large-scale activated notions in glass forming liquids [4, 5, 6] and give both liquids and glasses an intrinsic "dynamical mosaic" structure.

The main purpose of this paper is to describe the predictions of the RFT theory for the behavior of glasses that have fallen out of equilibrium because of being rapidly cooled from the melt. Dynamics does not cease in rapidly quenched liquids that become glasses; rather motions persist but are frustratingly slow and hard to study experimentally. This slow, far-from-equilibrium dynamics, called "aging", is not only of fundamental interest for statistical mechanics [11] but also is of great practical interest since so many amorphous materials are used in everyday life for times exceeding their preparation time [12]. Sometimes even small changes of properties upon aging are crucial to engineering performance.

Like the quantum theory of the low temperature properties of glasses, the description of the nonequilibrium aging regime requires the explicit construction and study of the energy landscape of local regions of the glass. This local energy landscape description turns out to be a microcanonical ensemble reformulation of the entropic droplet concept that was formulated originally in the canonical ensemble. We have found this microcanonical description to be more vivid and somewhat easier to communicate than the original canonical formulation. After introducing this formulation we will show that it indeed reproduces the results for the dynamics of equilibrated supercooled liquids already obtained using the random first order transition theory. More important, this local energy landscape theory makes several very striking predictions about the aging regime and how the aging dynamics in the nonequilibrium glass is related to the kinetics and thermodynamics of the equilibrated liquid. The predictions are consonant with all experiments known to us and make contact with, but are formally distinct from, the phenomenological approaches commonly used to de-

Current Address: Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139.

scribe aging in structural glasses [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The present theory, for example, makes a specific prediction of the so-called nonlinearity parameter, x_{NMT} , in the Narayanaswamy-Moynihan-Toll form [13, 14, 15]. In addition, the degree of nonexponentiality of relaxation characterized by a exponent is predicted. It turns out to be neither precisely fixed at T_g nor does it precisely scale like the for an equilibrated liquid with T , another assumption often made. The predicted variation of with quench temperature is however modest until very low temperatures are reached. Likewise the Adam-Gibbs expression [18] has been extended phenomenologically notably by Hodge and Scherer into the aging regime below T_g by assuming the configurational entropy to be frozen at T_g . While above T_g , an Adam-Gibbs like form of the temperature dependence of relaxation time is found from the present theory, below T_g , the local energy landscape approach gives a different expression for the relaxation rate in an aging glass. Quantitatively this expression gives relaxation rates close to the Hodge-Scherer-Adam-Gibbs (HSGAG) latter extrapolation but the local energy landscape theory predicts a deviation from that formula. This deviation would be interpreted within the HSGAG framework as a quench temperature dependence of the apparent configurational entropy. Such a deviation has been found by Alegria et al. in their careful work on aging of polymers [19].

Our most explicit results are obtained for the idealized situation of the aging initially found after a cooling history with a single rapid quench of modest depth. We will also qualitatively discuss modifications of the simple theory expected for very deep quenches. We also discuss the behavior of systems that have significantly relaxed in the quenched state. While, our analysis suggests that, to a first approximation, introducing a single effective temperature should serve well to describe many quench histories, the local energy landscape theory shows that using a single effective temperature is not exact. We suggest possible modifications of the usual aging kinematics based on the present theory.

The organization of the paper is as follows: we first describe how the local energy landscape view of entropic droplets can be visualized and show how a library of local energy landscapes can be constructed. We next show how to derive in this framework the (previously obtained) Vogel-Fulcher behavior above T_g . We then present the results for typical relaxation rate for rapidly quenched, aging glasses and compare these predictions with experimental results. We then discuss the predictions for the stretching exponent both above and below T_g . Following this, the generalizations needed for very deeply quenched glasses and glasses that have undergone significant relaxation in the aging state are discussed. Finally we summarize our theory and suggest some further experimental tests of it.

II. THE LOCAL ENERGY LANDSCAPE CONSTRUCTION

The energy landscape language is usually applied to a small system (protein or cluster). It is also used for a thermodynamically large system described by mean field theory [20, 21]. In the first situation, the barriers between states are, of course, finite because the system is finite, but barriers may be formally infinite for the mean field system. The "states" for a cluster or a protein are often taken to be the basins surrounding minima of the potential energy [22]. These are well defined and no transitions can occur, classically, between them at absolute zero. The "states" of a mean field system are tied to minima of free energy and again, owing to the $O(N)$ barriers, no transition can occur between them (even at finite T).

In a supercooled liquid the observed plateau in the time dependent neutron scattering correlation function [23] shows that most molecules spend a great deal of time vibrating about a given location. At least deep in the supercooled regime (where the plateau is well developed) we can, therefore, conceptually imagine constructing an average location for any particle about which it vibrates (for times less than the plateau). The three dimensional structure based on these average locations will be quite close to a potential energy minimum found by removing kinetic energy from the system with infinite speed ("steepest descent" to an "inherent structure"). A mean field theory of the glass transition can be obtained by constructing a free energy functional dependent on the (plateau time averaged) density and then noting that this functional has minima for density patterns localized around such inherent structures [24, 25, 26]. In this way a vibrational component of the free energy can be defined and can be added to the average energy of such a state to give a free energy which determines the thermal probability of being in this state through the Boltzmann law.

Free energy functionals only have such aperiodic minima below a temperature T_A . This temperature has been shown (within a simple approximation) to be equivalent to the mode coupling dynamical transition temperature [3]. The transition has the character of a spinodal for a first order phase transition [4]. Above T_A even simple vibrational motions will take the system from one minimum to another, but below T_A , the plateau in the time dependent structure factor indicates the persistence of such states and therefore their relevance to dynamics. In fact, as a first approximation, the persistence of these states allows them to act as a "basis set" for describing supercooled liquid dynamics. Thus we say a free energy landscape emerges at T_A . T_A has been evaluated both for simple liquids [24, 25] and for models of network forming liquids with repulsive force [27] from first principles. The physical meaning of the temperature T_A is simple. When supercooled below its melting temperature T_m , the liquid is, of course, in a metastable state as a whole, and therefore must be metastable locally. T_A can be conve-

niently interpreted, for example, as a temperature below which every two molecules will spend a particular number (say, 300) of vibrational periods together between the first encounter and the final departure.

As part of our programme to describe the microscopics of liquid relaxations, we will show that transitions between the metastable configurations of the liquid as a whole consists of transitions between local metastable configurations. We will employ a microcanonical procedure in which only local regions are considered and will find that beyond a certain (relatively small) size N , the thermal and relaxational properties of the liquid do not depend on the size of the sample. Alternatively said, all liquid properties of interest can be deduced by focusing on a liquid region of size N and completely disregarding what the rest of the liquid is doing. This is the essence of the locality of the liquid free energy landscape. The microcanonical procedure is a necessary step in establishing such locality; it is however rarely used, so let us first train our intuition on the very familiar example of a harmonic lattice. Imagine being inside an extremely large, cubic, and purely harmonic lattice and being given the ability to do arbitrary thermodynamic measurement locally. You are further assigned to explain, within a formal model, those thermodynamic measurements, but are allowed to visually inspect and mechanically test the bonds within an arbitrarily large, but finite region, limited by how fast you can perform the inspection. Upon checking that all individual bonds are truly harmonic and the lattice is cubic within a certain region (of size L), you write down a simple hamiltonian but are left with the issue of the conditions at the boundary – strictly speaking, the assignment is undoable! Being an optimist, you say: let me assume for today that the rest of the sample is totally rigid (fixed boundary conditions) or does not exist at all (open boundary condition) and diagonalize the resulting Hamiltonian; tomorrow I can expand my horizon, repeat the procedure and see what happens. The first day proves frustrating though, because the Hamiltonian has an energy gap (proportional to $=L$), while the thermodynamic measurements clearly show very low frequency excitations are present. Fortunately, since (unknownst to you) the lattice was harmonic and periodic, your consecutive inspections and diagonalizations will yield a smaller and a smaller gap. Clearly, the landscape of a regular harmonic lattice is non-local and the microcanonical procedure offers a definitive test of non-locality. Consider yet another elementary notion: Suppose you have a product of two Hilbert spaces $A \otimes B$ (possibly interacting via $V_{A,B}$) with an energy ϵ_{i_A, i_B} assigned to configurations i_A and i_B of the subspaces A and B . Consider the partition function $Z = \sum_{i_A, i_B} e^{-\epsilon_{i_A, i_B}} = \sum_{i_A} e^{-\epsilon_{i_A}} \sum_{i_B} e^{-\epsilon_{i_A, i_B}}$. If A and B are independent: $V_{A,B} = 0$) $\epsilon_{i_A, i_B} = \epsilon_{i_A} + \epsilon_{i_B}$, – one may further simplify $Z = \sum_{i_A} e^{-\epsilon_{i_A}} \sum_{i_B} e^{-\epsilon_{i_B}} = Z_A Z_B$. In either case, ϵ_{i_A} 's are free energies of the degree of freedom B , but can still be (with advantage) regarded as an energy, as far as the A degree of freedom is concerned. For example, one is allowed to build a




FIG. 1: In the upper panel on the left a global configuration is shown, chosen out of a global energy landscape. A region of $N = 5$ particles in this configuration is rearranged in the center illustration. The original particle positions are indicated with dashed lines. A larger rearranged region involving $N = 7$ particles is connected dynamically to these states and is shown on the right. In the lower panel, the leftmost figure shows the huge density of states that is possible initially. The density of states found in the local library originating from a given initial state with 5 particles being allowed to move locally is shown in the second diagram. These energies are generally higher than the original state owing to the mismatch at the borders. The larger density of states where 7 particles are allowed to move is shown in the rightmost part of this panel. As the library grows in size, the states as a whole are still found at higher energies but the width of the distribution grows. Eventually with growing N , a state within them all reach of the initial state will be found. At this value of N we expect a region to be able to equilibrate.

microcanonical construction using ϵ_{i_A} 's as the label. In the following, we will use a ϵ_{i_A} -like quantity to describe all the degree of freedom in the supercooled (or quenched) liquid in excess of the lowest energy crystalline structure corresponding to this substance (at this temperature). (For a polymer that does not form a crystal our results will still apply qualitatively.) While the "integrated out" degrees of freedom B are clearly related to the vibrations of the corresponding crystal structure, they are easy to conceptualize only in the mean field limit, where they are indeed harmonic vibrations (see shortly). Otherwise, the B motions are strongly anharmonic.

We are now ready to construct a library of free energy minima for a very large sample of a liquid (or glass). This spectrum is shown schematically in the first column of Figure 1 as a function of the free energy of a state ϵ_i , which we take as the sum of an energy ϵ_i and an entropic contribution from vibrations within the basin $T S_{vib,i}$.

Because the system is very large this global library of states has an exceedingly dense spectrum, whose density dramatically increases with free energy. Let us imagine the system is presently in one of these basins with

(free) energy ϵ_i^{lib} . We can now construct a set of local libraries of states. To do this, imagine mentally cutting out a region around a location R containing N particles, where N is much less than the total number of particles in the sample. Call this region $D(R; N)$. Next, freeze the molecules outside this region but allow molecules within D to move. (Unlike in the cubic crystal analogy, a supercooled structure has built-in stress, so using open boundary condition is not useful.) With the frozen environment one could (by quenching the potential energy or a free energy functional) find a new set of inherent structures that involve only reconfiguring the particles in D . Actually such a set of structures (apart from strains near the surface of D) will locally resemble a subset of the original global library. If N is small, however, we expect the subset of the states so sampled to be selected on average from a higher energy part of the global spectrum than where the original ϵ_i^{lib} was found. Essentially this is because the density of states rapidly increases with N and there is less freedom to readjust particles in the constrained minimization problem because of the fixed environment. In absolute terms, we expect the discrepancy in energy of the mean energy of the states in any local library from the starting state to increase with N since it is the particles at the borders that must be most strained.

When N is small the local library is sparse but it grows denser and spreads out over a larger range of free energy as the size of the region increases. The set of such libraries centered around R is shown also in Figure 1 for $N = 1$ up to a large value of N . The number of states in the library at free energy ϵ_i determines the configurational entropy $\epsilon_c(\epsilon_i^{\text{lib}}) = e^{S_c(\epsilon_i^{\text{lib}})/k_B}$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. The competition between the average energy growth and the spreading of the range of energies with size of the region means that there is a characteristic size N^* where a state will finally be found within thermal energy of the starting state. N^* turns out to be the size of a dynamically correlated region in the liquid or glass. Since the new configuration is within $k_B T$ of the starting state, a transition of such a region from its original configuration to the new state can occur with reasonable probability. The region after reconfiguration will be characterized by a temperature T . Elementary transitions in the liquid must leave most of the molecules near their old locations – this is the dynamical essence of locality. Therefore the local landscape libraries are locally connected to each other: in order to re-arrange a large region, smaller regions located in the same place must first be rearranged. The specific law connecting states in neighboring libraries must obey detailed balance (at the vibrational temperature T) but otherwise will depend specifically on molecular details for each system. The additional activation energy, for a downhill move in such a library should only be a few times the energy needed to heat a particle to T_A , the dynamical transition temperature.

Irrespective of the detailed motions allowed, the local-

ity of the dynamics, however, guarantees that the transitions from the initial state to one of the thermally allowed states in the library for $D(R; N)$ will nevertheless be slow: owing to the initial rise of the average energy there will be a bottleneck in the probability flux at an intermediate value of N , namely N^* . The activation free energy for reaching this bottleneck state determines the escape rate from the initial configuration by motions in the vicinity of R .

While the local libraries can be constructed explicitly given sufficient computational resources, the RFO T theory suggests a useful set of approximations to the statistics of these libraries when N and N^* are moderately large. We now describe these approximations: We ascribe to each state j of the library for a region $D(R; N)$ a so-called bulk free energy $\epsilon_j^{\text{bulk}}(R; N)$. Naively this would be the sum of the vibrational entropies and internal energies of each molecule in D and the pair interactions between the molecules within D . (Rigor here would require ensuring the appropriate finite ranges of interactions and some precisely specified ways of partitioning vibrational entropies: entanglement entropies of the interface are too subtle for consideration at present). Note that in a system with a globally correlated landscape, such as the harmonic lattice considered earlier, a description in terms of local libraries will not provide the full list of configurations available to the system as a whole.

In a similar way we would define a bulk free energy of the initial state relevant to this region $\epsilon_{\text{in}}^{\text{bulk}}(R; N)$. The actual energy of the complete sample when the state j is inserted in region $D(R; N)$ will not just reflect the difference in the bulk energies corresponding to the state j and the initial state; instead, it will be higher by an amount $\epsilon_{j;\text{in}}$:

$$\epsilon_j^{\text{lib}} - \epsilon_{\text{in}}^{\text{lib}} = \epsilon_j^{\text{bulk}}(R; N) - \epsilon_{\text{in}}^{\text{bulk}}(R; N) + \epsilon_{j;\text{in}} \quad (1)$$

As mentioned earlier, the new local structure labelled by ϵ_j^{lib} , is likely to be higher in energy than the initial configuration. Our construction thus suggests $\epsilon_{j;\text{in}}$ will usually be positive and will at most scale with the interface area. We will write $\epsilon_{j;\text{in}} = \epsilon_{j;\text{in}} N^x$. As we shall see later the estimate for the exponent $x = 2/3$ in 3 dimensions, based solely on the interface area, is probably naive near T_g .

We now wish to calculate the equilibration rate of the region $D(R; N)$. If the environment remains frozen, this rate is the escape rate from $N = 0$ to $N = N^*$. The probability flux to increase N falls until the bottleneck value N^* is reached. After N^* , even though the average energy of states in the libraries increases, the growth of the number of states with increasing library size is sufficient so that a rapid path to a thermally equilibrated state at size N can be found. Let the typical downhill microscopic rearrangement have a rate τ_{micro}^{-1} . This rate will only be weakly activated. The flux to any state at a size

N smaller than N^* will be

$$k = \frac{1}{\text{macro}} \sum_j (d_j^{\text{lib}} = c) e^{S_c(j^{\text{bulk}}) = k_B T} e^{(j^{\text{lib}}_{\text{in}}) = k_B T} \\ , \quad \frac{1}{\text{macro}} \sum_j e^{S_c(j^{\text{bulk}}_{\text{eq}}) = k_B T} e^{(j^{\text{lib}}_{\text{in}}) = k_B T}; \quad (2)$$

where j_{eq} maximizes the integrand and c is some constant of units energy. The quantities j^{lib} and j^{bulk} are related through Eq.(1). This maximization means j_{eq} will be the internal free energy characteristic of the system at the ambient (i.e. vibrational) temperature T . That is, j_{eq} is the sum of the energetic and vibrational entropic contributions appropriate to equilibrium at T . In essence, the equation above is nothing more than the rate of escape through a transition state with non-zero entropy, hence a structure resembling the canonical ensemble. A side from a numerical factor, the integration in Eq.(2) is indeed a canonical summation in terms of j , which should be regarded as the non-mean field analog of the free energy of the so called "pure" state. The concept of the pure state is well developed in the context of frustrated mean-field spin systems [20]. In the mean-field limit, the pure states are separated by infinite barriers and thus the vibrations around the metastable free energy minima are purely harmonic. The quantity j^{lib} is non-mean field because it can be defined only locally (after paying the "price" of the surface energy j_{in}). It is the degrees of freedom due to transitions between those (non-mean field) "pure" states of the liquid that give rise to the (measurable) configurational entropy. Note also that owing to the intense and strongly anharmonic motions in the liquid (at this finite T), a local liquid state labelled by a particular value of j is not a single inherent structure [22], but rather is a weighted superposition of many inherent structures, with more or less harmonic vibrations on top.

Note, j_{eq} is a function of T and N . Since $j_{\text{eq}}^{\text{bulk}}$ is the equilibrium bulk free energy at temperature T , one may replace $S_c(j_{\text{eq}}^{\text{bulk}})$ by its equilibrium value at that temperature $S_c(N; T)$. Thus we get for the escape rate to N the result

$$k(N) = \frac{1}{\text{macro}} \exp \left[-S_c(N; T) - \frac{j_{\text{eq}}^{\text{lib}}}{k_B T} \right]; \quad (3)$$

The location of the bottleneck is determined by the minimum of this expression over N . We can thus define an activation free energy

$$F^z(N) = j_{\text{eq}}^{\text{lib}} - T S_c(N; T); \quad (4)$$

whose maximum defines the bottleneck location. Notice this activation barrier depends on the total free energy of having any target state at size N and the initial nonequilibrium particular state free energy $j_{\text{in}}^{\text{lib}}$ in which we only include a vibrational contribution and no configurational entropy. This function is shown in Fig.2. Introducing Eq.(1) into Eq.(4) yields:

$$F^z(N) = F_{\text{eq}}^{\text{bulk}}(N; T) - j_{\text{in}}^{\text{bulk}}(N; T) + N^x; \quad (5)$$




FIG. 2: The free energy to reconfigure the initial configuration is shown as a function of the size of the locally rearranged region. There will be fluctuations in the shape owing to the detailed packing found in the initial configuration but on the average the profile is given by equation (5) in the text. The dashed curve shows the profile for an initial state which is much higher than the equilibrium energy at T , while the solid curve is the free energy profile for an initially equilibrated state.

where $F_{\text{eq}}^{\text{bulk}}(N; T) = j_{\text{eq}}^{\text{bulk}} - T S_c(N; T)$ is the total equilibrium free energy that includes both the configurational entropy of the region of size N and the internal bulk free energy with its vibrational contribution. In this expression we have substituted the average mismatch energy coefficient α , for the state specific values. For dynamically connected states $F_{\text{eq}}^{\text{bulk}}(N; T)$, $j_{\text{in}}^{\text{bulk}}(N; T)$, and $S_c(N; T)$ grow linearly with N . There will also be F , an additional fluctuation that typically scales as $N^{1/2}$. Thus we write

$$F^z(N) = [j_{\text{eq}}(T) - j_{\text{in}}(T)]N + N^x + F; \quad (6)$$

where $j_{\text{eq}}(T) = F_{\text{eq}}^{\text{bulk}}(N; T)/N$ is the total bulk free energy per particle of the final state at temperature T and $j_{\text{in}}(T) = j_{\text{in}}^{\text{bulk}}(N; T)/N$ is the internal free energy per particle of the initial state. In the following, we will omit the fluctuation term F , whenever computing the most probable barrier, but will consider it explicitly when estimating the degree of non-exponentiality of the relaxation, which is directly related to the barrier fluctuations. Note, the expression above is simply what would be prescribed by a regular nucleation theory for the free energy barrier of conversion from a (usually non-equilibrium) initial state to the other (usually equilibrium) state. Clearly, when the initial state is at equilibrium: $j_{\text{in}}^{\text{bulk}} = j_{\text{eq}}^{\text{bulk}}$, - the "free energy" driving force $j_{\text{eq}}(T) - j_{\text{in}}(T)$ is equal to $-T S_c$, i.e. there is still relaxation in the supercooled liquid, as driven by conversion between alternative (local) aperiodic packings of the liquid. In general, the maximum of the typical F^z occurs at N^z such that $\partial F^z / \partial N|_{N=N^z}$ vanishes giving

$$N^z = \frac{j_{\text{in}} - j_{\text{eq}}}{x} \frac{1}{x-1} \quad (7)$$

and a typical (most probable) rate

$$k = \frac{1}{\text{macro}} \exp \left[-\frac{j_{\text{in}} - j_{\text{eq}}}{T} \frac{1}{x} \right] (1 - x) \quad (8)$$

We finish this Section by elaborating on why the relaxations occurring with the rate from Eq.(8) can occur with a zero free energy gap thus leading to a local free energy landscape. Consider first a supercooled liquid at equilibrium (i.e. not quenched): $f_{eq} - f_{in} = T s_c$. Clearly the size N^* at which $F^*(N) = 0$ corresponds to the same liquid state, therefore a region of size N^* can survey all liquid configurations typical of this temperature. An isoenergetic state exists because the system resides in a metastable state much higher than the lowest energy crystalline arrangement, as reflected in non-zero configurational entropy s_c , and the very high density of states $e^{s_c(T)}$. (Note, this notion underlies the existence of residual structural degrees of freedom in glasses even at cryogenic temperatures [9].) If the liquid is quenched and aging, the driving force $f_{eq} - f_{in}$ is still negative (it will be computed shortly), so both quantities N^* and N^* exist and are comparable to their equilibrium values, although N^* no longer signifies straightforwardly the degree of the landscape locality (consistent with the system being out of equilibrium in the first place). Regardless, relaxations are local during aging too.

III. RELAXATION IN THE EQUILIBRATED SUPERCOOLED LIQUID

Examining the expressions for the relaxation rate for an equilibrium sample makes explicit the connection to the earlier form of the entropic droplet idea. In the equilibrated sample case, the internal vibrational free energies of the initial and final states are the same so the driving force to distinct equilibrated configurations comes only from the configurational entropy contribution available at the equilibrium temperature. People are sometimes confused how configurational entropy, which is never made available in a strict mean field scenario, can drive a transition event. This is because of the locality of the landscape in the droplet analysis, which goes beyond mean field thinking. Locally, for a region of space $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ there is a "funnel" of states leading away from the initial configuration to other equally equilibrated configurations. Configurational entropy drives the activated motions of the local regions of a glass in the same way the entropy arising from the large number of denatured configurations of a protein drives the unfolding of a folded native protein even though the denatured states are individually higher in energy [1]. Any individual escape path is likely to find a big barrier but this barrier is partially cancelled by the growth of the number of escape routes. The free energies along each path fluctuate thus increasing the likelihood of finding a low energy path, when a large number of paths is available. As the entropy per particle, $s_c(T)$ gets smaller the driving force to re-equilibrate falls so the critically activated region of the glass necessary for re-equilibration grows larger and the rate falls, owing to the larger activation barrier from the mismatch contribution. The precise way this happens

depends on the mismatch energy and its exponent x .

Therefore, before proceeding to study the nonequilibrium situation, we digress to discuss aspects of the average mismatch energy which we have approximated as N^x . First it is clear that the form of N^x is only a crude approximation to the mismatch energy when N is small. In principle, recall, the mismatch energy could be explicitly computed by carrying out the local library construction on a computer. The only problem is obtaining initial configurations equilibrated to the appropriate low temperatures. Finding such equilibrated configurations deep in the landscape currently requires heroic computer resources. Therefore the mismatch energy must presently be inferred by analytical considerations.

The simplest free energy functional calculations of the mismatch energy gives an energy proportional to the interface area and therefore gives the mismatch exponent $x = 2/3$. Such simplified calculations also give an explicit value of the prefactor of the scaling relation, ϕ_0 at the ideal glass transition temperature, T_K . At this temperature, the lost interaction terms of a sharp interface have to balance the entropy cost of localizing each particle to its cage.

This gives, at T_K [6, 9],

$$\phi_0 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{p}{k_B T_K} \ln \frac{(a=d_L)^2}{e}; \quad (9)$$

where d_L is the mean square fluctuations of particles in a given basin [22] and a is the interparticle spacing. The ratio d_L/a is about 0.1 for glassy configurations, just as it is in the Lindemann criterion for melting. Calculations based on free energy functional show that ϕ_0 vanishes as T_A is approached from below [4]. This is because T_A resembles a spinodal. From these estimates, $\phi_0(T)$ can be obtained [8]. These naive mean field estimates would give an activation barrier varying as s_c^2 , as first detailed by Kirkpatrick and Wolynes [4] and later discussed by Parisi [28].

Kirkpatrick, Thirumalai and Wolynes pointed out an effect left out in the naive estimate of the mismatch energy [5]: One must acknowledge there are numerous solutions of the mean field equations describing minima of the free energy functional, these precisely correspond to our local energy landscape libraries. In principle some of these other configurations can be interpolated between the internal target state to which the region is relaxing and its fixed environment in order to lower the mismatch energy. This interpolation is called "wetting".

Such wetting only can be rigorously defined for very large N . Wetting is a dynamical process that takes some time to develop. We should therefore for greater accuracy ascribe a frequency dependence to the mismatch surface tension $\gamma(T; \omega)$. The mapping between the free energy functional and the random field Ising model allows us to invoke an argument of Villain [29] that gives a curvature dependence to the surface tension coefficient $\gamma_0 = \phi_0 (a=R)^{1/2}$ in three dimensions where a is the microscopic length where the surface tension ϕ_0 is established

(R^d / N). Accounting for this wetting correction leads to the exponent $x = 1/2$. When this exponent is used in Eq.(8), we see that the relaxation rate in the equilibrium system has exactly the Adam-Gibbs form

$$k = \frac{1}{m_{\text{icro}}} e^{A/s_c}; \quad (10)$$

where s_c is the configurational entropy per particle. If we assume that s_c vanishes linearly at an ideal glass transition temperature T_K , this rate agrees with the Vogel-Fulcher law. Distinct from the AG argument, however, in the RFOT theory the critical size N^* scales as s_c^{-2} not s_c^{-1} and the dynamical correlation length is much bigger than the AG picture implies, but if the simple estimate of ϕ_0 based on the vibrational free energy cost is used, explicit values of the typical barrier height are obtained in addition to the experimental scaling of rates, as found by the RFOT theory [6]. The surface tension $\phi_0 = T_g$, being a logarithmic function of the vibrational amplitude in the glass, depends little on the atomic make up of the glass. Therefore if we use the Vogel-Fulcher expression

$$k = k_0 \exp[-D(T - T_K)/g]; \quad (11)$$

the D is predicted to depend inversely on c_p - the change in heat capacity upon vitrification. Specifically one finds

$$D = 32k_B / c_p \quad (12)$$

The resulting correlation of barrier heights (measured by D) and glass thermodynamics is excellent [6]. The softening of ϕ_0 near T_A also explains very well the deviations from the VTF laws that are observed as the temperature is raised to T_A where there is a crossover to collisional dynamics [8]. We will use the same "wetted" form of the surface energy term without softening in the body of the paper to follow. We must note however even at T_g , these microscopic calculations give N^* only of the order of hundreds of particles (consistent with experiment [30]), so we are far from asymptopia and other "ultimate" scalings (closer to T_K) are conceivable.

The local library and mismatch energy concepts let us discuss en passant various "defect" pictures of glassy dynamics in landscape terms. Many theories of the glassy state imagine there is a basic undefected structure at the heart of the phenomenon (an "ur-structure"). Doubtless for many systems, the periodic crystal itself is one such basic "ur-structure". For big enough N the periodic crystal will indeed be a member of the local landscape library but it will not be entropically favored and as long as the surface tension between liquid and crystal remains sufficient it will not be a major target state. Certainly "devitrification" can and does occur in the laboratory but we will leave the study of this transformation for future discussion. Other ur-structures have been discussed as dominating glassy dynamics such as icosahedral crystals [31] or other "avoided crystalline phases" [32]. Deep in the local energy landscape, these structures and their

defected forms must be found. The dimension of the defects supported by these ur-structures will determine the mismatch energy and thus how the rate will depend on the driving entropy, in the present picture. Point defects such as interstitial-vacancy pairs have an energy cost independent of the region size i.e. giving an exponent $x = 0$ and would give a relaxation rate independent of s_c . Non-Arrhenius behavior based on point defects usually must rely on special kinetic constraints, which must be encoded in the transition rules [33]. By construction, the quasi-equilibrium estimate of the rate made above would fail for such models. Of course if there were only a few "dead-end" states these could be explicitly subtracted out in the estimates. Such a situation may apply for entangled polymers.

Simple estimates of the free energies of such point defects puts their energy cost near to the limit seen in ordinary laboratory glass transitions [34]. At low temperature they may conceivably short-circuit the generic transitions proposed here. Line-like defects enter prominently into the constellation of approaches based on frustrated icosahedral order [31] and presumably should occur also when other types of frustrated regular ordered systems act as ur-structures. Nussinov has argued that hamiltonians for uniformly frustrated systems should exhibit random first order transitions in the mean field approximation [35]. It is likely correct to view the states accessible in the high density of states region relevant for real glasses in this way even for models based on frustrated order.

Recall, in assessing the relevance of defect based models, that the measured configurational entropy per particle at the laboratory T_g is about $1 k_B$. This means we are usually far from the low defect density regime.

At low defect density, nevertheless, line-like defects may either traverse the correlated region directly or wander across the region like a Brownian path. The line length in the first case of "ballistic" traversal should scale like $N^{1/3}$ while in the Brownian case the length is proportional to square of the traversed distance so we expect the mismatch energy to scale like $N^{2/3}$, resembling the mean field result without wetting. It is interesting that an interpolation between the ballistic and Brownian scalings would be hard to distinguish from the result we use

$N^{1/2}$. Even at T_g , N^* is only about 150 so all these power laws (with the exception of the point defect case) could likely be tied to a detailed mismatch construction with similar accuracy for liquids in the laboratory.

IV. RELAXATION IN THE IMMEDIATELY QUENCHED GLASS

The microcanonical local landscape arguments allow us to estimate the relaxation rates once the statistics of the energies of the local regions in the initial nonequilibrium state are known. Once the system has fallen out of equilibrium, in general, these statistics depend

on the detailed quenching history of the sample. The complete aging theory should determine these statistics self-consistently. In the present and following sections we will assume the quench involves simple straightforward cooling and that little time has elapsed since the glass transition was passed. In this case the statistics of the initial energies are taken to be those of an equilibrium system at a temperature T_g . Presumably T_g will be temperature of the midpoint of the dynamic heat capacity drop upon cooling. Thus we take $\epsilon_{in}(T)$ to be the bulk energy at T_g augmented by the vibrational part of the free energy at the ambient temperature T . The fluctuations of the internal energy of regions, which determine the nonexponentiality of relaxation in the glass will also be taken to be the same as the equilibrium fluctuations at T_g , and therefore to be determined by $c_p(T_g)$.

Using the mismatch exponent $x = 1/2$ in equations (7) and (8) we obtained fairly simple results for the critical cluster size

$$N^z = \frac{2(\epsilon_g - \epsilon_{eq})^2}{x} \quad (13)$$

and the typical rate

$$k = \frac{1}{\tau_{micro}} \exp \left[-\frac{2}{4k_B T (\epsilon_g - \epsilon_{eq})} \right] : \quad (14)$$

The initial and final states are at the same vibrational temperature but not at the same configurational temperature. The initial state is typical of a T_g configuration but the activated state is "equilibrated" to the temperature T both in vibrational terms and in locally configurational terms. Because of this the driving force for reconfiguration is not purely entropic in the nonequilibrium case. Thus there is a big conceptual difference from the usual extrapolation of the Adam-Gibbs formula. In addition, the rates predicted by Eq.(14) differ from the Adam-Gibbs formula extrapolated with a fixed configurational entropy $s_c(T_g)$.

At T_g , clearly the rates predicted by the equilibrated formula (10) and Eq.(14) are the same. Upon further cooling the nonequilibrium rate while decreasing, however, is substantially higher than it would be at equilibrium. This is because the driving force for reconfiguration not only includes the configurational entropy at the ambient temperature but also an energy increment of the initial state $\epsilon_g - \epsilon_{eq}(T)$. (We assume vibrational free energy contributions are nearly the same in inherent structures typical of T_g and the ambient temperature T .) Just such a change of slope is observed in the laboratory: the apparent activation energy in the nonequilibrium glassy state is smaller than the extrapolated value from the equilibrated supercooled liquid. This change is usually quantified in the Narayanaswamy-Moynihan-Tool framework through the expression

$$k_{ne} = k_0 \exp \left[-\frac{\epsilon_{NMT}}{k_B T} \right] (1 - \frac{\epsilon_{NMT}}{k_B T_f})^x : \quad (15)$$

where ϵ_{NMT} is the equilibrated apparent activation energy at T_g and x_{NMT} lies between 0 and 1. To compare with our initial quench result we would take $T_f = T_g$, again noting in a realistic cooling history T_f would need to be self-consistently determined. We note that equation (14) gives a gradual transition to Arrhenius behavior. We can find x_{NMT} most easily at low temperatures (near T_K), where the typical relaxation rate will follow an Arrhenius law according to Eq.(14). The Arrhenius behavior applies because, for ordinary liquids near to T_K we expect the mismatch free energy to largely be energetic so we take it as a constant. By the definition of the Kauzmann temperature $\epsilon_{eq}(T_K) = \epsilon_K$ is also mostly energetic since the configurational entropy vanishes. The vibrational components of ϵ_{eq} and ϵ_g are assumed to cancel so the activation energy is in the nonequilibrium very low temperature regime

$$E_{neil:T}^z = \frac{(\epsilon_K)^2}{4(\epsilon_g - \epsilon_K)} \quad (16)$$

where ϵ_g is the energy per particle of the frozen glassy state, as prepared, and ϵ_K is the energy per particle in the putative ideal glassy ground state equilibrated at the Kauzmann temperature. The energy difference $\epsilon_g - \epsilon_K$ is determined by the configurational part of the heat capacity $c_p(T)$ for intermediate values of T such that $T_g > T > T_K$. The apparent activation energy in the nonequilibrium glass at low temperatures turns out to be comparable to the equilibrium activation free energy, F^z at T_g . It therefore should not vary much from substance to substance but depends on the quenching time scale t_Q through the relation

$$t_Q = \tau_{micro} \exp \left[\frac{F_g^z}{k_B T} \right] \quad (17)$$

To obtain this result of the near equality of F_g^z and

$E_{neil:T}^z$, let us take the configurational heat capacity to have the form $c_p = c_p(T_g)T_g/T$, as suggested by Angell [36, 37]. This form is based on good laboratory estimates. We can now find by integrating and insert this into Eq.(16) to give:

$$E_{neil:T}^z = \frac{(\epsilon_K)^2}{4 c_p(T_g) k_B T_g \ln(T_g/T_K)} : \quad (18)$$

The activation free energy at T_g , on the other hand is obtained by finding s_c from the integration of c_p/T and using this in the equilibrium rate expression to give:

$$F_g^z = \frac{(\epsilon_g)^2}{4 c_p(T_g) k_B T_g (\epsilon_g - \epsilon_K)} : \quad (19)$$

The ratio of the activation energy in the glass to the equilibrated free energy barrier at T_g is therefore

$$\frac{E_{neil:T}^z}{F_g^z} = \frac{(\epsilon_K)^2}{(\epsilon_g)^2} \frac{(\epsilon_g - \epsilon_K)}{\ln(\epsilon_g/\epsilon_K)} : \quad (20)$$

If T_K and T_g are close, as they are for "fragile" systems expanding the logarithm gives a ratio close to one. Even for the strong liquid SiO_2 the ratio of T_g (1480K) to T_K (876K) is only 1.7, which gives $E_{n\approx L,T}^z = F_g^z = 0.8$, if we neglect any temperature dependence of γ . For a laboratory glass transition on the one hour time scale we should find universally to a good approximation (1 hour quench) 32 to 39 $k_B T_g$. To compare with the NMT phenomenology we note that the RFOT theory predicts universally that the activation energy (not free energy!!!) in the glassy state depends on the quench time

$$\frac{E_{n\approx L,T}^z}{k_B T_g} = \ln(t_Q = t_{\text{micro}}) \quad (21)$$

with a coefficient very close to one. This is consonant with many experiments.

The apparent equilibrium activation energy at T_g in the liquid is much larger than the nonequilibrium value found in the glassy state (being in a sense cancelled in the

rate expression by a large positive entropy of activation). Again assuming c_p depends on temperature, we find

$$\begin{aligned} E_{g;app}^z &= \frac{\partial (F^z = T)}{\partial (1/T)}_{T_g} \\ &= F_g^z - 2 \frac{\partial \ln(T)}{\partial (\ln T)} + 2 + \frac{1}{T_g = T_K - 1} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Using the condition that the configurational entropy vanishes at T_K , this energy can also be expressed in terms of the ratio of $c_p(T_g)$ to $s_c(T_g)$:

$$E_{g;app}^z = F_g^z - 2 \frac{\partial \ln(T)}{\partial (\ln T)} + 2 + \frac{c_p(T_g)}{s_c(T_g)} : \quad (23)$$

We then obtain for the inverse of the nonlinearity parameter x_{NMT} at low temperatures from Eqs.(20) and (23):

$$x_{NMT}^{-1} = \frac{E_{g;app}^z}{E_{n\approx L,T}^z} = -2 \frac{\partial \ln(T)}{\partial (\ln T)} + 2 + \frac{c_p(T_g)}{s_c(T_g)} \frac{(T_g)}{(T_K)} \frac{\ln(T_g = T_K)}{(T_g = T_K - 1)} : \quad (24)$$

$c_p(T_g) = s_c(T_g)$ is a thermodynamic measure of the liquid fragility. This ratio is large for very fragile liquids and small for "strong" liquids. Thus we see "fragile" liquids are very nonlinear while "strong" liquids, in general, should not be. Just such a correlation has been discussed by McKenna and Angell [38] and can be expressed as a relation between the kinetic fragility parameter

$$m = \frac{1}{T_g} \frac{\partial \log_{10}}{\partial (1/T)}_{T_g} = \frac{E_{g;app}^z}{k_B T_g} \log_{10} e : \quad (25)$$

and the nonlinearity parameter x_{NMT} . Using the previously derived relation (20) and the equation above we find

$$\begin{aligned} x_{NMT}^{-1} &= \frac{E_{g;app}^z}{E_{n\approx L,T}^z} \\ &= m \left(\log_{10} e \right)^{\frac{F_g^z}{k_B T_g} \frac{(T_K)}{(T_g)}^2 \frac{(T_g = T_K - 1)}{\ln(T_g = T_K)}} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Assuming the ratio is near one and using the glass transition temperature appropriate to 1 hr, so $F_g^z = k_B T_g = \ln 10^{17} \cdot 39$, and a generic $T_g = T_K = 1.26$ [8], we obtain

$$m \sim \frac{19}{x} : \quad (27)$$

This relation is plotted in Figure 3 along with data for several systems. We see that the estimate agrees reasonably well with experiment. In this estimate we have

neglected the T dependence of γ . The microscopic treatment from RFOT shows however that γ_0 depends both on the proximity to T_K and to T_A , as discussed in our paper on the barrier softening effect [8]. Thus we will generally have both an entropic and an energetic contribution to γ_0 which may explain some of the scatter in the curves. Indeed we see more fragile systems lie systematically above the curve as is expected since T_K and T_A are closer leading to the longer barrier softening effect.

According to the RFOT theory the typical relaxation time in the nonequilibrium quenched state does not immediately become Arrhenius in temperature dependence below the laboratory glass transition. Thus there should be deviations from the NMT formalism, which might be crudely fit by allowing the nonlinearity parameter to be T dependent. Indeed some of the scatter in Fig.3 probably arises also from this cause. Below T_g , the expected non-Arrhenius behavior from RFOT theory is much weaker than the divergently non-Arrhenius behavior found above T_g . Within the Adam-Gibbs nonequilibrium extrapolation advocated by Hodge [17] and Scherer [16], the RFOT theory result would appear to involve a temperature dependent "configurational entropy". Just such a behavior has been found by Alegria et al. in polymer systems [19]. One way to express this connection is to compare $F_{n\approx L,T}^z(T)$ to its value at T_g . The inverse of this ratio would be the apparent configuration entropy in a Hodge and Scherer-Adam-Gibbs extrapolation. In




FIG. 3: The fragility parameter m is plotted as a function of the NTM nonlinearity parameter x_{NMT} . The curve is predicted by the RFOT theory when the temperature variation of ϕ_0 is neglected. The data are taken from Ref. [39]. A few substances (PVAc = polyvinylacetate, PVC = polyvinylchloride, PS = polystyrene, B_2O_3 , and As_2Se_3) are labeled. Notice some measured values are not consistent on multiple measurements; this may reflect a breakdown of phenomenology for the history dependence discussed in the text or different material preparation. The more fragile substances lie above the prediction without barrier softening, which has no adjustable parameters.

view of Eq.(14), the inverse ratio is given by:

$$\frac{F_{n,se}^z(T)}{F_g^z} \stackrel{\#1}{=} \frac{f_{eq}(T)}{T_g S_c(T_g)} \frac{(T_g)^2}{(T)} = \frac{T - T_K [1 + \ln(T=T_g)]}{T_g - T_K} \frac{(T_g)^2}{(T)}; \quad (28)$$

where the second equality is found by computing f_{eq} with the help of the Angell's form of $c_p(T)$ (see the Appendix). We plot the ratio above in Fig.4. We point out that although we have highlighted the connection with more traditional treatments of aging phenomenology, neither of the earlier approaches is exactly commensurate with our theory. On the other hand we have shown the expression for the nonequilibrium rate is very explicit once the average energy of the sample is known. It therefore would not be terribly difficult to use the full expression (14) in a dynamic treatment with the nonequilibrium energy as the indicator of the "active" temperature. We do not carry out this analysis here because it involves detailed numerical fitting for each system and the quench history of each particular experiment.




FIG. 4: We plot the predicted variation of the activation free energy versus inverse temperature below the glass transition, as the ratio $(F_{n,se}^z(T)/F_g^z)^{-1}$ from Eq.(28) versus T/T_g . Only below T_K will the ratio be strictly constant, implying strictly Arrhenius temperature dependence of the relaxation rate. The temperature dependence of ϕ_0 is neglected. The figure is plotted for a fragile material with $T_K = T_g$ (1 hr.) = 0.9, a less fragile one with $T_K = T_g = 0.7$ and a strong substance with $T_K = T_g = 0.5$.

V. NONEXPONENTIALITY OF RELAXATION ABOVE AND BELOW T_g

Within the RFOT theory the mosaic structure of the liquid gives rise to dynamical heterogeneity and nonexponential relaxation. The driving force for re-equilibration varies from mosaic cell to cell. This leads to a range of activation barriers, F^z . In the canonical ensemble formulation, using the usual Landau formula, these fluctuations depend on $c_p(T)$. Computing these fluctuations allowed Xia and Wolynes to predict ϕ_0 for a range of substances [7]. The same result can be obtained in the microcanonical formulation, however here the origin is even more transparent: there is a range of energies of the initial configurations for each mosaic cell. Since the energy fluctuations also scale with $c_p(T)$, above T_g one obtains the same results as Xia and Wolynes previously derived.

We first discuss how ϕ_0 varies with temperature below T_g in a somewhat simplified approximation that makes the issues clear. We will assume the energy fluctuations are small and the resulting barrier distribution is Gaussian. We will see later that the results of this analysis bound the magnitude of the change expected in ϕ_0 , even when a more accurate barrier distribution is used.

Let us re-write Eq.(14) for F^z now including a fluctuation for the initial energy per particle

$$F_{n,se}^z = \frac{2}{4[\ln f_{eq} + \ln \phi_0]}; \quad (29)$$

If $\ln \phi_0$ is small, we find the fluctuations in the barrier

height

$$F_{n\neq}^z = \frac{2 \left(\frac{\Delta}{f_{eq}} \right)}{4 \left[\frac{\Delta}{f_{eq}} \right]^2} = \frac{F_{n\neq}^z \left(\frac{\Delta}{f_{eq}} \right)}{\Delta + T S_c(T)} : \quad (30)$$

Since the structure is frozen at T_g the typical fluctuation Δ is the same as at T_g and is determined by c_p at T_g . We see the ratio of the size of the F^z fluctuations in the frozen, cooled state $F_{n\neq}^z$ to those found at T_g , F_g^z is

$$\frac{F_{n\neq}^z}{F_g^z} = \frac{F_{n\neq}^z}{F_g^z} \frac{T_g S_c(T_g)}{\Delta + T S_c(T)} : \quad (31)$$

Both factors in this expression increase rather slowly below T_g , and saturate at T_K .

How do these fluctuations translate into stretching exponents? Roughly speaking, the relaxation function for a Gaussian distribution of barriers is approximated by a stretched exponential with a value given by [7, 40]:

$$\tau = 1 + \left(\frac{F^z}{k_B T} \right)^{1/2} : \quad (32)$$

If the liquid is "strong", c_p is small so there are small energy fluctuations leading to small F^z . Thus for strongly liquids remains near 1 until rather low temperatures. If the fluctuations are large (as they are for very fragile systems) we would find instead

$$\tau = \frac{k_B T}{F^z} : \quad (33)$$

This formula should thus give an overestimate for the variation of τ with temperature. Using this estimate along with Eqs.(29) and (31), we find

$$\frac{\tau_{n\neq}(T)}{\tau_g} = \frac{T}{T_g} \left(\frac{F_{n\neq}^z(T)}{F_g^z} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_g}{T} \right)^2 : \quad (34)$$

A plot of this expression is shown in Fig 5 (note the $F_{n\neq}^z = F_g^z$ ratio has already been computed in Eq.(28)). At T_K and below the term in parenthesis saturates and so, we find a simple expression

$$\frac{\tau_{n\neq}(T)}{\tau_g} = \frac{T}{T_g} \left(\frac{F_{n\neq}^z(T_K)}{F_g^z} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_K}{T} \right)^2 : \quad (35)$$

Thus we see in general τ should fall as we cool but the effect remains modest in the range where T is greater than T_K . This modestly cooled range is where the most detailed aging studies have been reported [19, 41]. Although τ falls, indeed, the rate of fall slows from its T dependence above T_g for fragile substances, since according to the simple RFO T approximation of Xia and Wolynes the equilibrium τ would vanish at T_K , while this (approximate) nonequilibrium τ vanishes only at absolute zero. In contrast, for strong substances, that was near unity and T -independent above T_g , is predicted to




FIG. 5: The variation with temperature of the nonequilibrium τ in comparison with τ_g is shown as a dashed line for a substance with $T_K = T_g$ (1 hr.) = 0.8, characteristic of a fragile system and a solid line for a strong system with $T_K = T_g = 0.5$. The two other lines indicate how the equilibrium τ would vary with T . The approximate estimates from Eq.(33) which exaggerate the variation are plotted as the very thin lines, which nearly coincide with the more accurate expression Eq.(32). The inset shows a magnified view of the region near T_g , where only actual τ 's, depicted by thick lines in the main graph, are given.

show more pronounced temperature dependence below the glass transition (see the inset of Fig 5). Thus we see the form of the relaxation is not the same as the equilibrium relaxation at any "active" temperature. For fragile liquids $T_K = T_g = 0.8$, so if τ is temperature independent, a 40% reduction is expected until T_K is reached. Often relaxation data in the aging regime have been fit with the approximation $\tau = \tau_g$. Alegria et al. suggest τ remains constant below T_g . The RFO T indicates this is a reasonable zeroth order approximation. Alegria et al. have measured τ in the regime $T_g > T > T_K$. These are difficult measurements and there is scatter in the data.

We must bear in mind that the theoretical assumes that measurements can scan over the complete relaxation process (even below T_g !) but part of the relaxation is missed in experiment. Since the glass only partly relaxes, in most experiments τ will appear to be closer to one than if the full relaxation could be followed. This effect of missing part of the distribution gives a positive increment to τ at the glass transition, as pointed out by Alegria et al. Such an increment on cooling is found.

After this artifactual increment in τ , Alegria et al. actually do find τ to slightly decrease upon cooling. We must emphasize that the arguments leading to Eq.(34) generally give an overestimate of the variation of τ with temperature in the glass state. First and most simply the approximation made in going from Eq.(32) to Eq.(33) causes an overestimate. Second, but more important, as discussed by Xia and Wolynes [7] the zeroth order Gaussian approximation for the barrier distribution

is not quantitative because the simple argument leading to that result assumes the environment of a recon-guring domain is temporally fixed. Clearly if the domains surrounding a region that may re-con-gure have themselves already changed before the recon-guration event, the library construction's premise of having a fixed environment to the mosaic cell fails. This change of environment effect might be called a "facilitation" [33]. In any case this effect means the barrier height distribution will be cut off on the high barrier side. A simple cutoff distribution follows from the idea that domains slower than the most probable rate would actually re-con-gure when their environmental neighbors have changed; thus they actually will re-con-gure at nearly the most probable rate, which has already been predicted by the RFOT theory. The resulting cutoff distribution for activation barrier:

$$P(F^z) = \frac{P_f(F^z)}{C(F^z - F_0^z)}; \text{ for } F^z < F_0^z \quad (36)$$

-has been shown to reproduce the variation of the equilibrium at T_g with composition quite well [7]. It also reproduces the temperature dependence of τ in the equilibrated supercooled liquid. The weight C ensures the normalization of the distribution. Clearly the cutoff again acts to dampen the variation of τ with temperature, below T_g . Explicit calculations using Eqs.(36) and (31) for the fluctuation can be used to study the detailed T variation of τ in the nonequilibrium glass, which may be relevant for deep quenches.

VI. RELAXATION IN QUENCHES BELOW T_K

We have emphasized dynamics in the glassy regime just below T_g and ranging down to T_K . In this regime dynamics is fast enough so that significant relaxation is still accessible to detailed experiments. The results we have obtained should hold to considerably lower temperatures at least before much aging has actually occurred. Essentially the average rate will become Arrhenius below T_K while the breadth of relaxation times will continue to increase as T approaches the absolute zero. Quantitatively several effects may intervene that are worthy of further study, however. These effects are 1) secondary relaxations, 2) fluctuations in mismatch energies and changes in the "wetting" mechanism, and 3) quantum effects. We comment on these in turn.

1) As a practical matter, less and less of the range of relaxation times can be accessed on deep cooling during a typical laboratory experiment - at the lowest temperatures only the fast end of the relaxation time distribution can be accessed. This part of the distribution is caused by regions of high energy that correspond to a small domain size. At the shorter length scale several effects that are system specific, will occur.

This region of the relaxation time spectrum is often called the β -relaxation. The term β -relaxation tends to

bring to mind universal characteristics and indeed the RFOT theory does for example suggests a scale for the maximum rate corresponding to the cost of overturning a single molecular unit; essentially this is τ_0 . On the other hand most glass formers have internal structure and these inner parts or side-chains of the molecule will have multiple conformations that can relax, in a specific ways as well those predictable from RFOT alone [42]. These "rapid" relaxations, also will slow with temperature, and might come into the measurement window. Dyre has argued that just such a "contamination" of the main aging process by a β relaxation [43] may explain some of the Leheny-Nagel measurements on glycerol [41].

2) Well below T_K the mismatch energies may change their scaling with size. The "wetting" mechanism relies on there being a multiplicity of states to interpolate through the interface. Such a multiplicity exists at T_K but becomes less important with decreasing T . This leads to a "hardening" of the interface (increase in τ) or perhaps a crossover from $N^{1/2}$ scaling to $N^{2/3}$ scaling of the mismatch energy. At the same time, rare fluctuations of the barriers may allow some regions to relax more rapidly than expected. Plotkin and Wolynes have analyzed just this sort of effect in the context of "bubbling" of energy landscapes in protein [44] and Lubchenko and Wolynes have studied a similar effect in the quantum regime which allows a tail of resonant tunneling states to appear [9]. Also well below T_K - small regions may recon-gure by crystallizing - an effect we ignore in the present paper.

3) Eventually below T_K classical barrier crossing will be supplanted by quantum tunneling. This gives rise eventually to two level systems [9] and the Boson peak [10] which we have discussed in detail elsewhere.

VII. AGING AND HISTORY DEPENDENCE

The local energy landscape theory put forward in this paper predicts the relaxation of a system where the statistics of the energies in the local energy landscape libraries of the sample is assumed to be known at any instant. The explicit formula for the typical relaxation rate depends on the mean bulk energy of the cooperative regions and the explicit formula for the stretching exponent also contains the variance of these energies. In the quenched sample we have assumed these statistics are characteristic of an equilibrium system at the temperature T_g where the system "fell out of equilibrium". Since the cooperative regions are large it is natural to assume these statistics are Gaussian as we have done. In simple quench histories T_g can be estimated by the temperature where the apparent heat capacity most rapidly falls during cooling. But more complicated thermal histories are possible and even in a simple quench T_g really must be determined self-consistently by the dynamics of the system, itself. In general the statistics of the local landscape libraries for a nonequilibrium system will be determined by the system's dynamics and its detailed past thermal history.

The most general description of the nonequilibrium




FIG. 6: After a considerable period of aging well below T_g a patchwork of equilibrated and nonequilibrated mosaic cells will be found. If the equilibrium energy at T is more than a standard deviation of the configurational energies at T_g , the distribution of energies will be noticeably bimodal and the idea of a single effective temperature will break down. The unimodal distribution with a single effective temperature should be quite safe if $T = T_g$ or $T < \frac{k_B T_g^2}{c_p N} = T_g$. For T_g relevant to 1 hr. quenches this gives $T = T_g - 0.07$. Most of the Alegria et al. [19] data lie in this modest quenching range, while "hyperquenched" samples (with $T < T_g$) will often fall outside the allowed range of using a single effective temperature. When a sample has a two peaked distribution of local energies, an ultra-slow component of relaxation will arise. Notice that an equilibrated region at the temperature $T = T_g$ will relax on the tens to hundreds of hours scale (using the relation that $E_{\text{relax}}^{\text{Z}} \propto \frac{1}{F_g^{\text{Z}}}$), if T_g is taken to be one hour. Percolation of the ultra slow regions will lead to the possibility of observing multiple length scales.

statistics is quite complex since the bulk energies of any region can be considered functions over the shape and size of domains. These functions might be described as a set of fluctuating bulk energy fields, but the resulting construction is complex. When the system is cooling,

high energy regions of size N will be replaced by regions equilibrated to the ambient temperature T . Thus there will be a patchwork of equilibrated and nonequilibrated mosaic cells (see Figure 6). The nature of the new statistics, after some transitions occur and substantial aging has progressed, depends on how big is the difference between the typical current energy of a region and the target equilibrium energy. If the gap is big, a two peaked structure in the distribution of local bulk energies will develop and the statistics will be far from Gaussian: some regions that are newly equilibrated will relax further at a (slower) rate characteristic of equilibrium at T , while the other, not yet transformed regions will still relax at the faster nonequilibrium rate discussed already. Such a situation, if it arises, might account for "ultra-slow" relaxations which have occasionally been reported in aging studies [45] (see the Figure 6 caption for a criterion for such behavior). The statistics in this case of very deep quenches studied for very long times is very complex kinematically. Two peaked distributions of local energies may also arise if the system is abruptly but briefly heated from a low temperature state to a much higher one. Fortunately in the more usual situation of modest monotonic quenches we can expect the distribution of local energies to remain unimodal. In such cases, to first order then the distribution will be characterized by a mean bulk energy per particle \bar{E} . If the ambient temperature were to remain fixed, \bar{E} should relax to $E_{\text{eq}}(T)$ since at that point detailed balance applied to the microscopic rates will replenish any states from which the system locally escapes. (We remind the reader that \bar{E} denotes a (library) free energy without the vibrational entropy contribution.) The typical escape rate at any value of \bar{E} will be given by Eq.(14) at ambient temperature T . Thus the equation of motion for \bar{E} will have the form

$$\frac{d\bar{E}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{Z_t} \int_0^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{\bar{E}(t_1)}^{\bar{E}(t)} \frac{d\bar{E}}{d\bar{E}(t_1)} \bar{E}(t_1) f(\bar{E}(t_1)) d\bar{E}(t_1) \quad (37)$$

where \bar{E} is the relaxation function when the statistics of libraries are known and fixed by $\bar{E}(t_1)$, $T(t_1)$ is the ambient temperature at time t_1 - we assume vibrational energies equilibrate quickly by thermal conduction. \bar{E} not only depends on $T(t_1)$ and \bar{E} but contains the parameter \bar{E} which in turn depends on the variance of energies of the local region. As a first approximation the variance can be taken as that characteristic of an equilibrium system at a temperature T such that

$$\bar{E}(T) = \bar{E} \quad (38)$$

In this approximation, we may use Eq.(34) for

$$\ln \bar{E}(t; T) = \quad (39)$$

We see that if the shape of the distribution of local libraries does not change much, the RFO T picture leads to a situation where a single parameter suffices to characterize the system. $\bar{E}(t)$ thus essentially fixes the "effective temperature" T_f in the NTM phenomenology, albeit with different expressions for $\bar{E}(T_f)$ and $\bar{E} = \bar{E}(T_f)$. The non-Gaussian statistics alluded to earlier, however suggests the use of a single effective temperature is only approximate and that a more complete characterization

of the statistics may be needed. Multiple active temperatures defining the higher moments could in principle be defined. In addition spatial fluctuations of active temperatures are needed to capture the co-existence of equilibrated and nonequilibrated domains in the mosaic structure. At least for moderate quenches it may be possible to ignore the spatial inhomogeneity and merely monitor the fluctuations in energies of domains as a secondary variable.

V III. S U M M A R Y

We described a local energy landscape theory of the dynamics of supercooled liquids and glasses. In the equilibrated supercooled regime this theory is just a micro-canonical ensemble reformulation of the random first order transition theory and its notion of entropic droplets. New results are obtained in the aging regime of nonequilibrium quenched glasses. The key equation is (14) which shows it is the difference between the equilibrated free energy at the quench temperature and the initial free energy of the particular frozen state that drives motions. The theory approximately reproduces the phenomenology of Narayanaswamy and Moynihan and Tool. Thus the non-linearity parameter in NMT theory can be calculated. This parameter is shown to be correlated with the supercooled liquid's fragility in agreement with experiment. This correlation is quantitatively very similar to that obtained by the Hodge-Scherer-Aadam-Gibbs extrapolation that assumes configurational entropy is fixed at T_g . Deviations from that extrapolation which assumes Arrhenius behavior in the glassy state are predicted however. The previously puzzling, modest non-Arrhenius temperature dependence of relaxation observed within the glassy state is explained by the RFOT theory, although it is a small effect. The variations of nonexponentiality of relaxation in the glassy state are predicted but are also rather small in the moderately quenched regime. The comparison of nonexponentiality with experiment is less conclusive than the comparison of mean relaxation rates however, owing to the difficulty of accessing the complete relaxation behavior during the quench.

One advantage of the aging theory based on RFOT theory is that in principle the behavior upon very deep quenches is predicted. Most importantly it is predicted to continue to decrease with quench temperature. We hope that more experiments in this regime will be done. We have noted however that some non-universal effects may enter for such quenches. Also the kinematics of deep quenches may be complex owing to spatial fluctuations of active temperature predicted by our theory.

Although the present approach justifies to some extent the use of a single active temperature to characterize the glassy state the limitations of this idea have been made clear. A straightforward extension of the usual formulation to include fluctuations in the moderately cooled regime more fully was proposed. In the strongly cooled regime, the theory predicts patches of especially slowly

relaxing regions will appear. This prediction may be tested by single molecule aging approaches [46, 47].

Acknowledgement: Peter G. Wolynes would like to thank Xiaoyu Xia for early discussions on this topic. The work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant CHE0317017. Vassiliy Lubchenko is grateful for the kind support and indulgence of Robert Silbey at M.I.T.

A P P E N D I X

Several auxiliary results are derived in this Appendix (here, $k_B = 1$). First, we derive formula (28) from the main text. Using $s = -\partial f / \partial T$, one has (neglecting differences in vibrational entropy)

$$\begin{aligned} f_{eq}(T) &= -k_B \int_{T_K}^T s dT \\ &= -k_B \int_{T_K}^T c_p(T_g) T_g \frac{T - T_K}{T_K} \ln \frac{T}{T_K} ; \quad (A.1) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Angell's empirical form [6] for the configurational entropy, also used in the main text: $s_c(T) = c_p(T_g) T_g (1/T_K - 1/T)$; $c_p(T) = c_p(T_g) (T_g/T)$. Noting that $f_{eq}(T_g) = -T_g s_c(T_g)$ gives the ideal glass state energy E_K :

$$E_K = -T_g c_p(T_g) T_g \ln(T_g/T_K) : \quad (A.2)$$

Eqs.(A.1) and (A.2) immediately yield Eq.(28).

Next, we derive the more accurate expression for the nonexponentiality parameter $\phi(T) = (T/T_g)$, as follows from Eq.(32). We also provide the equilibrium value ϕ_{eq} of the non-exponentiality parameter, previously obtained by Xia and Wolynes [6], in terms of experimental parameters $T_K = T_g$ and $\ln(\phi_0 = \phi_{micro})$, used throughout this paper.

First we derive ϕ_{eq} . According to Ref.[6], the barrier fluctuations are directly related to the local fluctuations in the configurational entropy at the scale N corresponding to a local equilibrium unit, of which the global composite landscape is comprised: $F^z = F^z = S_c = S_c = \frac{P}{c_p N} = s_c N$. This yields:

$$\frac{F^z}{T} = \frac{F^z}{T} \frac{P}{s_c N} : \quad (A.3)$$

It directly follows from $F^z(N) = \frac{P}{N} T s_c N$, $(dF^z/dN)_{N=N^z} = 0$, and $F^z(N) = 0$ that

$$\frac{F^z}{T} = \frac{(\phi = T)^2}{4s_c} \quad (A.4)$$

and

$$\frac{P}{N} = \frac{\phi = T}{s_c} : \quad (A.5)$$

Using Eq.(17), Eq.(A.4) and the temperature independence of the $\phi = T$ ratio in equilibrium [6], one gets

$$(\phi = T) = (\phi = T)_{T=T_g} = 2 \frac{q}{s_c(T_g) \ln(\phi_0 = \phi_{micro})} : \quad (A.6)$$

With the help of the equations above and Angell's empirical form for the configurational entropy one easily obtains that

$$\frac{F^z}{T} = \frac{P}{2} \frac{\overline{\ln(Q_{micro})}}{\overline{1=T_K} \quad \overline{1=T_g}} : \quad (A.7)$$

This and Eq.(32) can be used to compute the $F_{nse}^z(T) = (T_g)$ ratio.

The non-equilibrium requires even less effort. Using Eq.(31), one gets

$$\frac{F_{nse}^z}{F_g^z} = \frac{F_{nse}^z(T)}{F_g^z} \frac{(T_g)^2}{(T)} : \quad (A.8)$$

Hence,

$$F_{nse}^z(T) = \frac{F_g^z}{T} \frac{F_{nse}^z(T)}{F_g^z} \frac{(T_g)^4}{(T)^5} : \quad (A.9)$$

The ratio $F_{nse}^z(T) = F_g^z$ was computed in the beginning of the Appendix and given in the main text as Eq.(28); $F^z(T_g)$ is obtained from Eq.(A.7) at $T = T_g$.

-
- [1] J.N. Onuchic, Z. Luthey-Schulten and P.G. Wolynes, Ann.Rev.Phys.Chem 48, 545-600 (1997).
- [2] D.J.Wales, Energy Landscapes with application to Clusters, Biomolecules and Glasses (Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 2003)
- [3] T.R.Kirkpatrick and P.G.Wolynes, Phys.Rev.A 31, 939 (1985).
- [4] T.R.Kirkpatrick and P.G.Wolynes, Phys. Rev. B 36, 8552 (1987).
- [5] T.R.Kirkpatrick, D.Thirumalai and P.G.Wolynes, Phys. Rev.A 40, 1045 (1989).
- [6] X.Xia and P.G.Wolynes, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. 97, 2990 (2000).
- [7] X.Xia and P.G.Wolynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5526 (2001).
- [8] V.Lubchenko and P.G.Wolynes, J. Chem. Phys. 119, 9088 (2003).
- [9] V.Lubchenko and P.G.Wolynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 195901 (2001).
- [10] V.Lubchenko and P.G.Wolynes, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. USA 100, 1515 (2003).
- [11] J.P. Bouchaud, et al. in "Spin Glasses and Random Fields," A.P. Young, ed. World Scientific, Singapore 1998.
- [12] L.C.Struik, Physical Aging in Amorphous Polymers and other materials (Elsevier, Houston, 1978).
- [13] A.Q.Tool, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 29, 240 (1946).
- [14] O.S.Narayanawamy, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 54, 491 (1971).
- [15] C.T.Moynihan, A.J.Easteal, M.A.Debolt, and J.Tucker, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 59, 12 (1976).
- [16] G.W.Scherer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 67, 504 (1984)
- [17] I.M.Hodge, Macromol. 20, 2897 (1987); J. Noncryst Solids 169, 211 (1994).
- [18] G.Adam and J.H.Gibbs, J. Chem. Phys. 43, 139 (1965).
- [19] A.Alegria, E.Guerrica-Echevarria, L.Goitandia, I.Telleria, and J.Colmenero, Macromol. 28, 1516 (1995).
- [20] M.Mezard, G.Parisi and M.Virasoro, Spin Glass Theory and Beyond (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985)
- [21] B.Coluzzi, G.Parisi and P.Verrochio, J. Chem. Phys. 112, 2933 (2000)
- [22] F.H.Stillinger and T.A.Weber, Phys. Rev. A 25, 978 (1982).
- [23] F.Mezei, in "Liquids, Freezing and the Glass Transition", ed. J.P.Hansma, D.Levesque and J.Zinn-Justin, North-Holland, Amsterdam, p.629, 1991.
- [24] J.P.Stoessel and P.G.Wolynes, J. Chem. Phys. 80, 4502 (1984).
- [25] Y.Singh, J.P.Stoessel, and P.G.Wolynes, Phys.Rev.Lett. 54, 1059 (1985).
- [26] C.Dasgupta and O.T.Valls, Phys.Rev.E 59, 3123 (1999).
- [27] R.W.Hall and P.G.Wolynes, Phys.Rev.Lett. 90, 085505 (2003).
- [28] G.Parisi, Nuovo Cimento 16D, 939 (1994).
- [29] J.Villain, J. Physique 46, 1843 (1985).
- [30] M.Ediger, Ann.Rev.Phys.Chem 51, 99 (2000)
- [31] D.R.Nelson, "Defects and Geometry in Condensed Matter Physics", Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [32] D.Kivelson, S.A.Kivelson, X.L.Zhao, Z.Nussinov, and G.Tarjus, Physica A 219, 27 (1995).
- [33] G.H.Fredrickson and H.C.Andersen, J. Chem. Phys. 83, 5822 (1985).
- [34] M.P.Eastwood and P.G.Wolynes, Europhys. Lett. 60, 587 (2002).
- [35] Z.Nussinov, Phys.Rev.B 64, 014208 (2004)
- [36] C.Aiba, L.E.Busse, D.J.List, and C.Angell, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 617 (1990)
- [37] R.Richert and C.A.Angell, J. Chem. Phys. 108, 9016 (1998)
- [38] G.B.McKenna and C.A.Angell, J. Noncryst. Solids 131-133, 528 (1991)
- [39] C.A.Angell, K.L.Ngai, G.B.McKenna, P.F.Millan, and S.W.Martin, Appl.Phys. 88, 3113 (2000)
- [40] B.Castaing and J.Souletie, J de Phys I 1, 403 (1991); C.Monthus and J.Bouchaud, J. Phys.A 29, 3847 (1990)
- [41] R.L.Leheny and S.R.Nagel, Phys. Rev. B 57, 5154 (1998).
- [42] H.Frauenfelder, V.Lubchenko and P.G.Wolynes, unpublished.
- [43] N.B.Olsen, T.Christensen and J.Dyre, Phys.Rev.Lett. 86, 1271 (2001).
- [44] S.S.Poltkin and P.G.Wolynes, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. 100, 4417 (2003).
- [45] R.S.Miller and R.A.McPhail, J. Chem. Phys. 106, 3393 (1997)
- [46] E.V.Russell and N.Israeli, Nature 408, 695 (2000).

- [47] L. A. Deschênes, D. A. Vanden Bout, *Science* 292: 255 (2001).