

# Single Super field Representation for Mixed Retarded and Advanced Correlators in Disordered Systems

Daniel G. Barci

Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,  
Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, 20550-013, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

Luis E. Oxman

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense,  
Campus da Praia Vermelha, Niterói, 24210-340, RJ, Brazil.

(Dated: August 9, 2004)

We propose a new single super field representation for mixed retarded and advanced correlators for noninteracting disordered systems. The method is tested in the simpler context of Random Matrix theory, by comparing with wellknown universal behavior for level spacing correlations. Our method is general and could be especially interesting to study localization properties encoded in the mixed correlators of Quantum Hall systems.

PACS numbers: 05.10.-a, 05.30.-d, 72.15.Rn

## I. INTRODUCTION

The physics of electronic localization is one of the most interesting issues in the context of disordered quantum systems [1]. The localization/delocalization transition has been intensively studied by following the field theoretical approach of Wegner [2], and the more formal developments by McKane and Stone [3]. Universal aspects of this transition and related topics like transport phenomena in disordered media can also be studied in the framework of random matrix theory (for a review see, for instance, ref. [4]).

In an integer quantum Hall system, electron localization plays a fundamental role [5], namely, the effect of disorder is that of localizing the states of energy  $E$  away from the Landau energy  $E_n = (n + 1/2)\hbar\omega_c$ . Near this value, the localization length  $\ell(E)$  diverges like  $\ell(E) \propto (E - E_n)^{-1}$ . Although this behavior is consistent with experiments [6] and with several numerical [7] and renormalization group calculations [8], to the best of our knowledge, there is no simple analytical method to evaluate this scaling [9] nor it is clear why, in the thermodynamic limit, just one state for each Landau band remains extended.

The electronic properties are encoded in the system's correlators; for instance, the density of states (localized or not) can be obtained from the retarded Green's function,

$$\rho(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Im} hG(x; x; E - i\epsilon); \quad (1.1)$$

where  $h\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes the ensemble average over the random variable. On the other hand, the analytical structure of the density of localized states  $\rho_{\text{loc}}(E)$  is more complex since it involves the mixed product of retarded and advanced Green's functions,

$$\rho_{\text{loc}}(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int dx \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} hG(x; y; E - i\epsilon) G(x; y; E + i\epsilon); \quad (1.2)$$

In fact, any quantity encoding some information about localization or transport properties is related with this mixing. For this reason, we concentrate our efforts in studying the representation of the ensemble average for these important quantities.

There are essentially two methods to calculate averages: the replica [10] and the supersymmetric method [11]. The first one is based on the introduction of a number of copies to represent each type of Green's function. In order to compute the average density of states one set of  $n$  field copies is needed. In this case, a generalized  $n$  component Landau-Ginsburg model is obtained [12], where the limit  $n \rightarrow 0$  must be implemented. For the density of localized states two sets of copies are used, containing  $n_+$  and  $n_-$  field copies, respectively. The disorder average,

after integrating out all massive modes, leads to a generalized nonlinear sigma model with symmetry group  $O(n_+; n_-)$  [2, 3], describing the critical properties near the mobility edge.

In the supersymmetric method, the Green's function denominator is exponentiated by means of Grassmann variables, thus avoiding dangerous continuations in the number of copies. In order to compute the density of states a superfield is needed, this also applies to other quantities that can be computed from correlators just involving a retarded prescription. This method has been used by Brezin, Gross and Itzykson [15] to study the density of states in Hall systems. They showed that the reason behind the exact expression previously calculated by Wegner [2] is an exact boson-fermion symmetry in the Green's function representation, when the projection to the first Landau level is considered. However, the problem is not so simple when one tries to extend these ideas to understand localization. As occurs in the replica method, a doubling is used to represent the density of localized states, that is, a superfield is introduced for each prescription in eq. (1.2). As a consequence, the boson-fermion symmetry is lost, thus precluding the possibility of obtaining exact results (see the discussion in ref. [15]).

Therefore, it is interesting to look for alternative representations for mixed correlators, thus opening the possibility to advance the understanding of disordered systems.

The aim of this paper is to propose a new representation for mixed correlators based on the introduction of essentially just one superfield. Our method is general and can be applied to any noninteracting disordered system. It is based on the introduction of a single operator, quadratic in the system's Hamiltonian, that permits to encode the nontrivial information about retarded-advanced mixing by using a single prescription. Then, the basis of the method is in fact quite general and could be useful outside the supersymmetric framework.

Our method will be tested in the simpler context of random matrix theory where the supersymmetric method has been introduced by the pioneering work of Efetov [13]. We will also show how the boson-fermion symmetry can be restored when considering mixed correlators in Hall systems.

In section II, we outline the general single superfield method for mixed correlators. In sections III and IV we compute the corresponding averages for the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble, while in section V we study level spacing correlators and compare with well known universal asymptotic behavior. In section VI we briefly discuss quantum Hall (QH) systems. Finally, section VII is devoted to present our conclusions and discuss possible perspectives.

## II. SINGLE SUPERFIELD /SUPERVECTOR REPRESENTATION

We start by considering the operator,

$$O(E) = H - E; \quad (2.1)$$

where  $H$  is the system's Hamiltonian. We will use the notation,

$$O_{1+} = O(E_1 + i) \quad \text{and} \quad O_2 = O(E_2 - i); \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $E_1, E_2$  are two real energies. Now, we will define the operator  $O_m$ , which is quadratic in  $H$  and mixes the retarded and advanced prescriptions,

$$O_m = O_{1+} O_2 = O_2 O_{1+} \quad (2.3)$$

$$= (H - E)^2 - (r + 2i)^2; \quad (2.4)$$

where  $E = (E_1 + E_2)/2$  and  $r = E_1 - E_2$ . As the factors in  $O_m$  commute, it is easy to verify,

$$O_m [P_{1+}^{-1} \quad O_2^{-1}] = O_2 \quad O_{1+} = (r + 2i); \quad (2.5)$$

therefore, the inverse of the operator  $O_m$  is,

$$O_m^{-1} = \frac{1}{r + 2i} [P_{1+}^{-1} \quad O_2^{-1}] \quad (2.6)$$

(for  $\epsilon \neq 0$ , these inverses are well defined). In other words, the three types of associated Green's functions  $G(x; y) = \langle x | P^{-1} | y \rangle$  are related by,

$$G_m(x; y; E; r) = \frac{1}{r + 2i} [G(x; y; E_1 - i) - G(x; y; E_2 + i)]; \quad (2.7)$$

Our strategy is based on first using a single superfield to compute four-point correlators associated with the  $O_m$  operator. Then, from Wick's theorem and eq. (2.7), we can relate this correlator to the sum of three terms



$$S_m = \frac{1}{2} \tau O_m + \frac{1}{2} \bar{O}_m : \quad (3.2)$$

Using eq. (2.4), and considering the matrix  $K = \frac{1}{2} \tau \bar{\tau}$ , we can also write,

$$\ln \exp(S_m) = N \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\tau + \bar{\tau})^2 E^2 \right] \text{tr} K \quad dH \exp(\text{tr} H S H + E \text{tr} H K);$$

where  $S = \frac{1}{2} N I + \frac{1}{2} K$ . Completing squares, we have,

$$\ln \exp(S_m) = N \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\tau + \bar{\tau})^2 E^2 \right] \text{tr} K + \frac{1}{4} E^2 \text{tr} K S^{-1} K \quad (2 = N)^{\frac{N^2}{2}} I(S); \quad (3.3)$$

where we have defined,

$$I(S) = \int dH \exp(\text{tr} H S H) \quad , \quad S = I + \frac{1}{N} K : \quad (3.4)$$

In order to evaluate  $I(S)$ , we will consider the general case where  $S = I + g(K)$ , substituting  $g(x) = \frac{1}{N} x$  at the end of the calculation.

As the measure  $dH$  is invariant under unitary transformations  $H \rightarrow U H U^\dagger$ ,  $U \in U(N)$ , we have,  $I(U S U^\dagger) = I(S)$ ; and taking the matrix  $U$  that diagonalizes  $S$ :  $U S U^\dagger = D$ ,  $S = U^\dagger D U$  we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} I(S) &= I(D) = \int dH \exp \left[ \sum_i d_i H_{ii}^2 + \sum_{i < j} (d_i + d_j) H_{ij} \bar{H}_{ij} \right] \\ &= \left( \frac{N^2}{2} \right)^Y \prod_i d_i^{1/2} \prod_{i < j} (d_i + d_j)^{-1} = \left( \frac{N^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{N^2}{2}} \exp \left[ \sum_{i,j} \ln(d_i + d_j) A \right]; \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_i = 1 + g_i$  are the diagonal elements of  $D$ , written in terms of the eigenvalues  $g_i$  of the matrix  $g(K)$ , so we obtain,

$$I(S) = \left( \frac{N^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{N^2}{2}} 2^{\frac{N^2}{2}} \exp \left[ \sum_{i,j} \frac{g_i + g_j}{2} A \right] \quad , \quad (x) = \ln(1+x) : \quad (3.5)$$

Now, if we expand  $(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , the exponent in  $I(S)$  can be written in terms of  $U(N)$  invariants,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \frac{g_i + g_j}{2} &= \sum_{i,j} \sum_n \frac{a_n}{2^n} \sum_l g_i^l g_j^{n-l} \\ &= \sum_n \frac{a_n}{2^n} \sum_l \text{tr}(g^l) \text{tr}(g^{n-l}) : \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

In the appendix, we show that the trace of a given function  $f(K)$ , with  $K = \frac{1}{2} \tau \bar{\tau}$ , is given by,

$$\text{tr} f(K) = N f_0 + f(\tau) - f(\bar{\tau}) + \frac{f^0(\tau) - f^0(\bar{\tau})}{\tau - \bar{\tau}}; \quad (3.7)$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} \tau \bar{\tau} ; \quad \bar{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\tau} \tau ; \quad \tau \bar{\tau} = \tau \bar{\tau} :$$

Using this formula in eq. (3.6), we can resume the series in the index  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \frac{g_i + g_j}{2} &= \\ &= (g(\tau) + 2N(g(\tau)=2) + (g(\bar{\tau}) - 2N(g(\bar{\tau})=2) - 2(g(\tau)=2 + g(\bar{\tau})=2) + \\ &+ \frac{d}{d} [ (g(\tau) + 2N(g(\tau)=2) - 2(g(\bar{\tau})=2 + g(\tau)=2) ] + \\ &+ \frac{d}{d} [ (g(\bar{\tau}) - 2N(g(\bar{\tau})=2) - 2(g(\tau)=2 + g(\bar{\tau})=2) ] ; \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where we have used  $g(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

Now, from eqs. (3.5), (3.8), and also considering the formula (3.7) in the first factor of eq. (3.3), we finally obtain,

$$\langle \exp(-S_m) \rangle = G_1(\epsilon; \tau) + G_2(\epsilon; \tau); \quad (3.9)$$

where,

$$G_1 = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left[ -\frac{(r=2 + i)^2}{2} E^2 \left( \frac{2}{N+1} \right) + \frac{2E^2}{2} \frac{2}{N+1} \frac{2}{N+1} \right] \frac{(2 + \epsilon = N)^N}{(2 + \epsilon = N)^N} \frac{(2 + (\epsilon + \tau) = N)}{(1 + \epsilon = N)^{1=2} (1 + \epsilon = N)^{1=2}}; \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$G_2 = G_1 \left[ \frac{2E^2}{2N} \frac{(2 + (\epsilon + \tau) = N)}{(1 + \epsilon = N)^2 (1 + \epsilon = N)^2} + \frac{2}{2N (2 + \epsilon = N) (2 + \epsilon = N)} + \frac{3 \left( \frac{2}{N+1} \right)}{2^3 N^3 (1 + \epsilon = N) (1 + \epsilon = N) (2 + (\epsilon + \tau) = N)} \right]; \quad (3.11)$$

Note that this expression is correctly normalized as for  $\epsilon = 0$ ,  $\tau = 0$  we have,  $S_m = 0$ ,  $\epsilon = 0$ ,  $\tau = 0$  and  $\phi = 0$ . Then, in this case, we see from eq. (3.10) that the first and second members in eq. (3.9) are equal to one.

Now, we can substitute eq. (3.9) in the correlator (3.1) to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{htr}(O_m^{-1}) \text{tr}(O_m^{-1}) + \text{tr}(O_m^{-1} O_m^{-1}) = \\ & (\epsilon=2)^2 (\epsilon-1)^N (\epsilon+1)=2 \int d\epsilon' d\tau d\epsilon d\tau^{-2} [G_1(\epsilon; \tau) + G_2(\epsilon; \tau)]; \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We will still transform this expression to a function of  $\epsilon$  and  $\tau$  only. In order to do so, we recall that the fermionic integral picks-up the term of the integrand having the form  $D_{11} D_{22} \dots D_{NN}$ . Now, in  $\epsilon = \frac{2}{N+1}$ , the  $\epsilon_i$ 's and  $\tau_j$ 's come in pairs  $\epsilon_i \tau_i$ ; then, the crossed terms ( $i \neq j$ ) in

$$-\epsilon_i \tau_j = -\epsilon_j \tau_i = \epsilon_i \tau_i - \epsilon_j \tau_j + \epsilon_i \tau_j - \epsilon_j \tau_i;$$

cannot contribute to the fermionic integral, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\epsilon d\tau G_2(\epsilon; \tau) = \int d\epsilon d\tau G_2(\epsilon; \tau) \sum_i \epsilon_i \tau_i \\ & = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \epsilon_i \tau_i \int d\epsilon d\tau G_2(\epsilon; \tau) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \epsilon_i \tau_i \int d\epsilon d\tau G_2(\epsilon; \tau); \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where we have also used the symmetry among the  $\epsilon_i$ 's. Putting this information together, we arrive at,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{htr}(O_m^{-1}) \text{tr}(O_m^{-1}) + \text{tr}(O_m^{-1} O_m^{-1}) = \\ & (\epsilon=2)^2 (\epsilon-1)^N (\epsilon+1)=2 \int d\epsilon' d\tau d\epsilon d\tau^{-2} [G_1(\epsilon; \tau) - \frac{1}{N} G_2(\epsilon; \tau)]; \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Now, the integrals over  $\epsilon', \tau$  can be easily expressed in terms of a single variable integral over  $\epsilon$ . Using the solid angle in  $M$  dimensions,  $\Omega_M = 2^{M-2} (M=2)$ , and the fermionic integral,

$$\int d\epsilon d\tau F(\epsilon) = (\epsilon-1)^N (\epsilon+1)=2 \frac{d^N}{d\epsilon^N} F \Big|_{\epsilon=0};$$

we finally obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{htr}(O_m^{-1}) \text{tr}(O_m^{-1}) + \text{tr}(O_m^{-1} O_m^{-1}) = \\ & \frac{(\epsilon=2)^2}{(N-1)!} \int_0^1 d\epsilon \epsilon^{N+1} \frac{d^N}{d\epsilon^N} [G_1(\epsilon; \tau) - \frac{1}{N} G_2(\epsilon; \tau)]; \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

#### IV. ANALYTICAL DETERMINATION FOR THE CORRELATORS OF $O_m$ OPERATORS

Here, we will study the analytical properties of the four-point  $O_m$  correlators. Recalling that  $\epsilon$  is a small parameter (we will take the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ), we can drop  $\epsilon^2$  in the exponent  $\frac{r^2}{2} (r=2+i)^2$ , in eqs. (3.10) and (3.11). We will suppose  $r = E_1 - E_2 > 0$ ; then, for the integral representation (3.15) to be well defined, we must take  $\epsilon = i$ . Precisely, this choice produces an associated factor in the bosonic integral,

$$\exp i! \exp(-r=2) \quad , \quad ! = \frac{r^2}{8}; \quad (4.1)$$

which leads to a convergent integral.

We underline here that, as usual, the factor  $N$  in the exponent of the probability measure in eq. (2.8) assures that the energy band attain a finite width when large  $N$  matrices are considered. Therefore, the natural scale for the level spacing is  $1/N$ . For this reason, we will define the variables,

$$r = \frac{s}{N} \quad , \quad N! = \frac{1}{N} \quad , \quad = \frac{s^2}{8}; \quad (4.2)$$

Using eqs. (3.10), (3.11) and (3.15), working around  $E = (E_1 + E_2)/2 = 0$ , and considering the change of variables  $! = !$ ,  $! = i!$ , the small ( $\epsilon < r$ ) contribution of the last term in eq. (2.10) to the mixed retarded and advanced correlator results,

$$\begin{aligned} C(\epsilon) &= \frac{r^2}{2} \text{tr}(O_m^{-1}) \text{tr}(O_m^{-1}) + \text{tr}(O_m^{-1} O_m^{-1}) i = \\ &= N^2 i^N \int_0^{Z-1} d^{N+1} \exp(i) \frac{1}{N!} \frac{d^N}{d^N} \exp(\epsilon) \\ &= \frac{(\frac{1}{N} + b)^{N-1}}{(\frac{1}{N} + a)^{N+1}} \frac{(a+b)^2}{(ab)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\frac{1}{N} + b)^N}{(\frac{1}{N} + a)^N} \frac{(a - \frac{1}{N})(b - \frac{1}{N})(b - a)}{(ab)^{3/2}}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where  $a = \frac{1}{N} + i$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{N} + i$ .

We see that this expression is a sum of terms containing products of the form  $I^{(n)} Q^{(n)}$ , with  $n = 0; 1$  and  $n$ , sem i-integers, where,

$$I^{(n)}(\epsilon) = \int_0^{Z-1} d^{N+1} \frac{(\frac{1}{N} + i)^{N+1} \exp(i)}{(\frac{2}{N} + i)^{N+1-n}}; \quad (4.4)$$

and the  $Q$ 's are polynomials,

$$Q^{(n)}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{d^N}{d^N} \left( \frac{1}{N} + b \right)^{N+1+n} b \exp(\epsilon); \quad (4.5)$$

Now, the integrand in eq. (4.4) presents singularities on the upper complex plane, on the imaginary axis. There is an isolated singularity when  $\epsilon = 2i/N$ , and there is a continuum of singularities for  $\epsilon = iy$ ,  $y > 1/N$ . As no singularities are found on the first quadrant, and the integral on the arc at infinity goes to zero, we can rotate the integration path until the positive imaginary axis is approached anti-clockwise. Recalling that, from eq. (4.1),  $!$  contains a small positive imaginary part that regularizes the integral, according to eq. (4.2) we have also to consider,  $! = i + \epsilon$ . Then, we can use the equivalent representation,

$$I^{(n)}(\epsilon) = (1)^{N+1} \int_0^{Z-1} dy \frac{y^{N+1} (\frac{1}{N} + y + i) \exp(\epsilon - y)}{(\frac{2}{N} + y + i)^{N+1-n}} \quad (4.6)$$

We can split this integral into two parts,

$$I^{(n)} = J^{(n)} + K^{(n)}; \quad (4.7)$$

where the integral in the  $J$ 's runs from 0 to  $1/N$ , while the integral in the  $K$ 's runs from  $1/N$  to 1. A straightforward calculation leads to,

$$J^{(n)}(\epsilon) = (1)^{N+1} (1/N)^{n+1} \int_0^{Z-1} dv \frac{v^{N+1} (1 - v) \exp(\epsilon - \frac{1}{N}v)}{(2 - v)^{N+1-n}};$$

On the other hand, we can write,

$$K^{(n)}(\gamma) = (-1)^{N+1} e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy y^{N+1} (\gamma - \frac{y}{N}) \exp(-y) \frac{1}{(N-n)!} \frac{d^{N-n}}{dy^{N-n}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{N} - y + i}} ;$$

Note that the factor  $e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy y^{N+1} (\gamma - \frac{y}{N}) \exp(-y) \frac{1}{(N-n)!} \frac{d^{N-n}}{dy^{N-n}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{N} - y + i}}$  is pure imaginary, as the  $\gamma$ 's are semi-integer. Then, using  $(\frac{2}{N} - y + i)^{-1} = P(\frac{2}{N} - y)^{-1} + i(\frac{2}{N} - y)^{-2}$ , we see that the real part of the  $K$ 's can be easily computed, as this is associated with the term,

$$\text{Re } K^{(n)}(\gamma) = e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy y^{N+1} (\gamma - \frac{y}{N}) \exp(-y) \frac{1}{(N-n)!} \frac{d^{N-n}}{dy^{N-n}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{N} - y}} ; \quad (4.8)$$

and changing variables,  $y = \frac{2}{N} + u$ ,

$$\text{Re } K^{(n)}(\gamma) = e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du u^{N+1} (\gamma - \frac{1}{N}) \exp(-u) \frac{1}{(N-n)!} \frac{d^{N-n}}{du^{N-n}} \frac{1}{-u}} P^{(n)}(\gamma); \quad (4.9)$$

where we have introduced the functions,

$$P^{(n)}(\gamma) = \exp(-\frac{2}{N}) \frac{1}{(N-n)!} \frac{d^{N-n}}{d\gamma^{N-n}} \frac{1}{-\gamma} \Big|_{\gamma=0} (\frac{1}{N} + b)^{N+1} b \exp(-b); \quad (4.10)$$

and  $b$  has been defined after eq. (4.3).

## V. CORRELATIONS FOR LEVEL SPACING

In this section, in order to test our single super field method, we will first obtain an approximate relationship between the  $O_m$ -correlators and the DOS-DOS correlators, which becomes exact in the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit. Then, we will compare the finite  $N$   $O_m$ -correlators, as  $N$  becomes large, with the well known universal results for DOS-DOS correlations.

The density of states operator, at energy  $E_1$ , is given by,

$$\hat{\rho}(E_1) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \delta(E_1 - E_i) = \frac{1}{2iN} \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1} - O_{1-}^{-1}); \quad (5.1)$$

(cf. eq. (2.2)). Then, the DOS-DOS correlator can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\rho}(E_1) \hat{\rho}(E_2) \rangle &= \langle \hat{\rho}(E_1) \hat{\rho}(E_2) \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2iN} \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1} - O_{1-}^{-1}) \frac{1}{2iN} \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1} - O_{2-}^{-1}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^2 N^2} \langle \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1}) - \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2-}^{-1}) - \text{tr} (O_{1-}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1}) + \text{tr} (O_{1-}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2-}^{-1}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^2 N^2} \langle \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1}) - \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2-}^{-1}) - \text{tr} (O_{1-}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1}) + \text{tr} (O_{1-}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2-}^{-1}) \rangle; \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

As we are interested in analyzing the new single super field representation for mixed correlators, we will simplify the standard part of the calculation as much as possible. In particular, in the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit, the following factorization of retarded-retarded correlators is verified (see for example refs. [4], [17]),

$$\text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1}) = \text{tr} O_{1+}^{-1} \text{tr} O_{2+}^{-1}; \quad (5.3)$$

In this manner, up to irrelevant terms that scale to zero for large values of  $N$ , we can consider the approximation,

$$\langle \hat{\rho}(E_1) \hat{\rho}(E_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{2^2 N^2} \langle \text{tr}^2 (O_m^{-1}) - \text{tr} (O_m^{-1} O_m^{-1}) \rangle + \frac{R}{2^2 N^2}; \quad (5.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{N^2} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \langle \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1})^2 - \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1}) \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1}) \rangle + \\ &+ \frac{1}{N^2} \langle \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1} O_{1+}^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (O_{2+}^{-1} O_{2+}^{-1}) - \text{tr} (O_{1+}^{-1} O_{2+}^{-1}) \rangle; \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where we have used eqs. (2.10), (5.3), and  $r \notin 0, \quad ! \quad 0$ .

In addition, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{htr}(O_{1+}^{-1} O_{1+}^{-1})i &= h^X (E_1 - E_1 - i)^2 i = \frac{d}{dE_1} \text{htr}(O_{1+}^{-1})i; \\ \text{htr}(O_2^{-1} O_2^{-1})i &= \frac{d}{dE_2} \text{htr}(O_2^{-1})i; \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

while, using eq. (2.9) for  $r \notin 0$ , the last term in eq. (5.5) can be separated in only retarded and only advanced parts,

$$\text{htr}(O_{1+}^{-1} O_2^{-1})i = \frac{1}{r} \text{htr}(O_{1+}^{-1})i - \frac{1}{r} \text{htr}(O_2^{-1})i; \quad (5.7)$$

Note that, using (5.2), (2.10), (5.6) and (5.7), the density-density correlator can be generally written in terms of four-point  $O_m$  correlators, up to only retarded and only advanced terms which can be studied by following standard procedures.

In particular, in the Random Matrix case, we quote the well known large  $N$  results,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}(O_{1+}^{-1}) &= -\frac{E_1 + i}{2} (E_1), \quad \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}(O_2^{-1}) = -\frac{E_2 - i}{2} (E_2); \\ (E) &= \frac{r}{2} \frac{4}{E^2}, \quad E^2 < \frac{4}{r}; \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Then, using eqs. (5.6) and (5.7), we see that the three terms in the second line of eq. (5.5), when multiplied by the  $1/N^2$  factor, are irrelevant. In fact, the second line tends to zero faster than  $1/N$ , as from eqs. (5.6) and (5.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \langle \text{htr}(O_{1+}^{-1} O_{1+}^{-1})i &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{N} \langle \text{htr}(O_2^{-1} O_2^{-1})i = \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{1}{N} \langle \text{htr}(O_{1+}^{-1} O_2^{-1})i &= \frac{1}{2r} (E_1 - E_2) = \frac{1}{2}; \end{aligned}$$

thus, implying that a  $1/N$  factor multiplying the sum in the parenthesis of this line would already give a vanishing large  $N$  result.

With regard to the first line in eq. (5.5), let us recall that, as explained after eq. (4.1), the appropriate scaling to study level spacing is  $r = s/N$ , with  $s$  fixed. This is the natural variable we have used in the mixed correlator in eq. (4.3) ( $s^2=8$ ), which we are studying at  $E_1 + E_2 = 0$ , that is,  $E_1 = s/(2N)$ ,  $E_2 = -s/(2N)$ . As a consequence, in the first line of eq. (5.5), we can use,  $(1/N) \text{htr}(O_{1+}^{-1})i \rightarrow i(0)$  and  $(1/N) \text{htr}(O_2^{-1})i \rightarrow -i(0)$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{2^{-2}N^2} &= \frac{1}{2^{-2}} \frac{1}{2} (i(0))^2 + \frac{1}{2} (-i(0))^2 - (i(0))(-i(0)) \\ &= (i(0))^2; \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Then, using this information in eq. (5.4), we find,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{(E_1; E_2)}{(E_1)(E_2)} &= 1 + \frac{(E_1; E_2)}{(i(0))^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{-2} (i(0))^2 N^2} < r^2 = 2 \text{htr}(O_m^{-1}) \text{tr}(O_m^{-1}) + \text{tr}(O_m^{-1} O_m^{-1})i; \\ &= \frac{1}{2 N^2} < (C( )); \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where we have used  $i(0) = P^-$  (cf. eq. (5.8)) and eq. (4.3).

In this manner, we see that the large  $N$  four-point correlator of  $O_m$  operators in eq. (5.10) gives the usual  $h^{\wedge}(E_1)^{\wedge}(E_2)i = (E_1)(E_2)$  correlator.

In order to test our single super field representation for mixed correlators, we can compare the large  $N$  behavior for the last line in eq. (5.10) and the well known  $(N \rightarrow \infty)$  universal behavior for the left member in that equation,

$$1 + \frac{(E_1; E_2)}{(E_1)(E_2)} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{\sin^2 \frac{P-s}{2}}{\frac{P-s}{2}}, \quad (5.11)$$

(note that  $\frac{P-s}{2} = \frac{r}{2}$ , where  $r = 1 - \frac{1}{N}$  is the mean level spacing).

As we have seen in section IV,  $C(s)$  is a sum of terms containing products of the form  $I^{(n)} Q^{(n)}$ , with real coefficients. The polynomials  $Q^{(n)}$  are real (cf. eq. (4.5)), so that  $C(s)$  depends on the real part of the  $I$ 's, which have been splitted into two terms in eq. (4.7), by using the  $J^{(n)}$  and  $K^{(n)}$  functions. It can be seen that the  $J$ 's contribution to  $C(s)$  is suppressed for large  $N$ . On the other hand, the real part of the  $K$ 's has been evaluated in closed form in eq. (4.9). Putting all this information together, we arrive at,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2N^2} < C(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P_{3=2}^{(0)} Q_{1=2}^{(0)} + 2P_{1=2}^{(0)} Q_{1=2}^{(0)} + P_{1=2}^{(0)} Q_{3=2}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{4} P_{1=2}^{(1)} Q_{1=2}^{(1)} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} P_{1=2}^{(1)} Q_{1=2}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4N} P_{3=2}^{(1)} Q_{1=2}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4N} P_{1=2}^{(1)} Q_{3=2}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{4N^2} P_{3=2}^{(1)} Q_{1=2}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{4N^2} P_{1=2}^{(1)} Q_{3=2}^{(1)} : \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

In figure 1, we display, in the ranges  $s \in [0; 12]$ , the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  universal law in eq. (5.11) and our  $N = 10$  and  $N = 70$  correlator  $\frac{1}{2N^2} < C(s)$  in eq. (5.12). We see that the correlators approach the correct asymptotic form as  $N$  increases for all values of the scaled variable  $s$ . For small values of  $s$  the asymptotic law is well approximated even with small values of  $N$ . As  $N$  increases, larger values of  $s$  progressively fit the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  behavior.

To show this effect in more detail we present in figure 2 a set of figures in the range  $s \in [2; 12]$  where we display a detailed view of the universal law and the convergence of our finite  $N$  correlator, by considering  $N = 10, 30, 50$  and  $70$ . We can see that for larger values of  $N$  more oscillations are accommodated, clearly showing the onset of the asymptotic universal law. We also note in this figure, that in a region close to the origin the convergence is very fast. The parameter  $\beta$ , that characterizes the GUE, has been taken equal to one, however, the same matching has been also verified when  $\beta$  is changed. In this set of figures, we have also displayed the corresponding finite  $N$  DOS-DOS correlators obtained in terms of orthogonal polynomials, as given in Mehta's book [18]. Of course, the fitting of these polynomials with the universal law is also improved as  $N$  increases. Although both curves converge to the asymptotic universal law, the finite  $N$  results for  $\frac{1}{2N^2} < C(s)$  do not coincide with the finite  $N$  exact DOS-DOS correlators. This is expected; as we have seen in section V, both quantities differ by terms which only become zero in the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit.

## VI. BOSON-FERMION SYMMETRY AND FOUR-POINT $O_m$ CORRELATORS IN QH SYSTEMS

In this section, we will consider a planar system of electrons in the presence of a magnetic field  $B$  and impurities represented by a random potential  $V(\mathbf{x})$ . The corresponding Hamiltonian operator is,

$$H = H_0 + V, \quad H_0 = \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^2; \quad (6.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the vector potential (we will use the symmetric gauge).

Here, we can also introduce the quadratic operator  $O_m$  according to eq. (2.4). As discussed, because of Wick's theorem, the four-point correlators associated with  $O_m$  will encode important information about the mixed retarded and advanced products appearing in the density of localized states in eq. (1.2). In a similar way to eq. (2.12), we can introduce a single super field with components  $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x})$  whose action is given by,

$$S_m = \int d^2x \left( \bar{\psi} O_m \psi + \bar{\psi} O_m \psi \right); \quad (6.2)$$

thus leading to a properly normalized representation of the four-point correlators containing  $G_m$  Green's functions.

For a very strong magnetic field, the transitions between Landau levels are suppressed. Then, if we are interested in the case where the first Landau level is filled, we can consider the projection,

$$\psi = e^{\frac{1}{4} z^2} u(z), \quad \bar{\psi} = e^{\frac{1}{4} \bar{z}^2} v(\bar{z}); \quad (6.3)$$




FIG. 1: In these two figures the bold line represents the correlator (5.12), for  $N = 10$  and  $N = 70$  respectively. The dashed line corresponds to the  $1/(\sin^2 s) = s^{-2}$  law in eq. (5.11). We have considered  $\epsilon = 1$  in the two figures

where  $z = x + iy$  and  $\epsilon = eB/\hbar$ .

The action  $S_m$  for the projected fields can be written as,

$$S_m = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2z \left[ V + \frac{\hbar e B}{4m} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (r=2+i)^2 (z;z) \right], \quad (z;z) = e^{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} z z} (\bar{u}u + \bar{v}v); \quad (6.4)$$

We would like to underline that eq. (6.4) is local in the random potential (containing up to quadratic terms). Then, if the potentials are uncorrelated at different points, the corresponding average will lead to an effective action which is local in the field  $(z;z)$ ,

$$S_m^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2z g(z); \quad (6.5)$$

where  $g(z)$  depends on the type of disorder.

Then, we will be able to follow refs. [14, 15], defining a superfield  $(z; \psi) = u(z) + i \frac{\epsilon}{2} \psi(z)$  to write,

$$(z; \psi) = \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int d^2z' e^{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (z z')} \psi(z'); \quad (6.6)$$

(the normalization for the Grassmann measure is  $\int d^2\psi = 1$ ) and construct a supersymmetric representation,

$$S_m^{\text{eff}} = \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int d^2z d^2\psi e^{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (z z')} h(z, \psi), \quad h(z, \psi) = \int_0^1 d\lambda g(\lambda); \quad (6.7)$$

with the remarkable boson-fermion symmetry appearing in ref. [15], when computing the total density of states in QH systems. This is an interesting result as this is the underlying symmetry behind the exact expression for the total density.

As discussed in that reference, if the traditional approach to treat mixed retarded and advanced correlators is followed, the boson-fermion symmetry is lost because of the superfield doubling used to represent each prescription. Here, we have seen that this important symmetry is restored if the single superfield representation for mixed correlators is instead considered.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

In this work we have introduced a new representation for mixed retarded and advanced four-point correlators. These quantities are the relevant ones when studying localization and transport properties in disordered systems. On




FIG. 2: In this set of figures the bold line represents the correlator (5.12), for  $N = 10; 30; 50$  and  $70$ . The dashed line corresponds to the  $1 - (\sin^2 s)/s^2$  law in eq. (5.11), while the dotted line corresponds to the  $N$  DOS-DOS correlator obtained in terms of orthogonal polynomials [18]. We have considered  $\beta = 1$  in the four figures.

the other hand, these are precisely the kind of correlators which are more difficult to deal with. This comes about from the mixed prescription involved, which leads to a doubling of the field copies or the superfield representation in the traditional approaches.

Here, we have considered a single Green's function  $G_m$  for an operator  $O_m$ , quadratic in the system's Hamiltonian, which already contains the above mentioned mixing. In this manner, we have been able to encode the nontrivial information in four-point correlators essentially using a single prescription. In general, this  $O_m$  correlator differs from the mixed four-point correlator or the density-density correlator by only retarded and only advanced terms, which can be computed by standard procedures.

These ideas have been tested in the simpler context of Random Matrix theory. For this aim, we have obtained in

section V, in the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit, a direct relationship between the density-density correlator,

$$\langle \hat{n}(E_1) \hat{n}(E_2) \rangle = \langle \hat{n}(E_1) \hat{n}(E_2) \rangle;$$

and our four-point correlator for  $O_m$  operators, whose GUE average has been computed in section III. Our closed expression (5.12) for the  $(N \rightarrow \infty)$   $O_m$  correlator clearly shows the onset of the well known asymptotic level spacing correlation.

Then, we have seen that the single superfield method is an interesting alternative to be considered when studying disorder. In particular, in the context of quantum Hall systems, we have shown in section VI that the boson-fermion symmetry is restored for correlators encoding information about mixed retarded and advanced Green's functions, thus opening the possibility of improving our understanding of localization [19].

#### Acknowledgments

The Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq-Brazil), the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ), CAPES and SR2-UERJ are acknowledged for the financial support. D.G. Barci would like to acknowledge the Abdus Salam international center for theoretical physics, ICTP, for kindly hospitality during part of this work.

#### Appendix

Here we show how to compute traces of matrices which are functions of  $K = \tau^\dagger \tau$ . For a function  $f(x)$ , with a corresponding expansion  $f(x) = \sum_n f_n x^n$ , we have,  $\text{tr} f(K) = \sum_n f_n \text{tr} K^n$ . On the other hand, a direct computation shows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} K &= N \\ \text{tr} K^2 &= N^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^2 \\ \text{tr} K^3 &= N^3 + 3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^2 \right) N - \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^4; \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where,

$$\tau_i^2 = \tau_i^\dagger \tau_i; \quad \tau_i^4 = \tau_i^\dagger \tau_i \tau_i^\dagger \tau_i; \quad \tau_i^6 = \tau_i^\dagger \tau_i \tau_i^\dagger \tau_i \tau_i^\dagger \tau_i; \quad (7.2)$$

It is easy to see the general form of the trace for a given power of  $K$ ,

$$\text{tr} K^n = N^n + n \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^4 + \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^6 + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^{2n-2} + \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^{2n-4} + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^2 \right) N - \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^{2n};$$

or in an equivalent form,

$$\text{tr} K^n = N^n + n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^{2n-2}}{N} - \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^{2n}, \quad n \geq 0; \quad (7.3)$$

Then, we arrive to a formula that gives the trace of a function of  $K$  just in terms of the  $U(N)$ -invariants (7.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} f(K) &= \text{tr} f_0 I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \text{tr} K^n \\ &= N f_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \left( N^n + n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^{2n-2}}{N} - \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^{2n} \right) \\ &= N f_0 + f(\tau^2) - f(0) + \frac{f'(0)}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i^2 - \dots; \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

[1] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 109, 1492 (1958).

[2] F.J.W. Egner, Z. Phys. B 35, 208 (1979).

- [3] A. J. McKane and M. Stone, *Ann. of Phys.* 131, 36 (1981).
- [4] A. D. Mirlin, *cond-mat/0006421*
- [5] R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. B* 23, 5632 (1981).
- [6] H. P. Wei, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 61, 1294 (1988); H. P. Wei, et al, *Phys. Rev. B* 45, 3926 (1992).
- [7] J. T. Chalker and P. D. Coddington, *J. Phys. C* 21, 2665 (1988); B. Huckestein, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 67, 357 (1995).
- [8] L. Moriconi, *Phys. Lett. A* 199, 257 (1995).
- [9] Joel E. Moore, A. Zee and Jairo Sinovs, *cond-mat/0012341*.
- [10] S. F. Edwards and P. W. Anderson, *J. Phys. F* 5, 965 (1975).
- [11] G. Parisi and N. Surlas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 43, 744 (1979).
- [12] E. Brezin and G. Parisi, *J. Stat. Phys.* 19, 269 (1979).
- [13] K. B. Efetov, *Adv. Phys.* 32, 53 (1983).
- [14] C. Itzykson and J. Drouot, in: *Statistical Field Theory*, Vol. 2 (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
- [15] E. Brezin, David J. Gross and C. Itzykson, *Nucl. Phys. B* 235 [FS11], 24 (1984).
- [16] F. Kalisch and D. Braak, *J. Phys. A : Math. Gen.* 35, 9957 (2002).
- [17] Y. V. Fyodorov, in: *Les Houches Session LXI* (North-Holland, 1995), p. 493.
- [18] "Random Matrices and the Statistical Theory of Energy Levels", M. L. Mehta, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
- [19] D. G. Barci and L. E. Oxman, in progress.