# $M$ easurem ent of Indeterm inacy in $P$ ackings of $P$ erfectly $R$ igid $D$ isks 

Sean $M \mathrm{CN}$ am ardil and H ans H erm ann<br>Institut fur C om puterphysik, Universitat Stuttgart, 70569 Stuttgart, GERMANY<br>(D ated: $M$ arch 22, 2024)


#### Abstract

Static packings of perfectly rigid particles w ith C oulom b friction are investigated theoretically and num erically. The problem of nding the contact forces in such packings is form ulated mathem atically. Letting the values of the contact forces de ne a vector in a high-dim ensional space enables us to consider the set of allpossible contact forces as a region em bedded in this sam e space. It is found that the boundary of the set is connected $w$ ith the presence of sliding contacts, suggesting that a stable packing should not have more than $2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N}$ sliding contacts in two dim ensions, where M is the num ber of contacts and $N$ is the num ber of particles.

These results are used to analyze packings generated in di erent ways by eitherm olecular dynam ics or contact dynam ics sim ulations. The dim ension of the set of possible forces and the num ber of sliding contacts agrees w ith the theoretical expectations. The indeterm inacy of each com ponent of the contact forces are found, as well as an estim ate for the diam eter of the set of possible contact forces. W e also show that contacts w ith high indeterm inacy are located on force chains. The question of $w$ hether the sim ulation $m$ ethods can represent a packing's $m$ em ory of its form ation is addressed.


PACS num bers: $45.70 . \mathrm{Cc}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

T he physics ofgranularm aterials involves tw o very different length scales. T he rst length scale is associated $w$ ith the size of the particles. If we w ish to give an accurate value of the density, or describe the $m$ ovem ent of the particles, it su ces to give the particle positions w ith an accuracy of som e fraction of their radii. W e call this length scale the $\backslash$ kinetic" length scale k in , and take it to be of order the particle radius. W hen two particles touch, inter-particle forces at contacts are generated by tiny deform ations that can be characterized by a second length scale, that we will call the \elastic" length scale 'el.

O ne nom ally has 'el k in. If one takes tw o m arbles, 'kin is about half a centim eter. But 'el is not visible to the naked eye, as one can con $m$ by pushing the $m$ arbles together, and trying to observe their deform ation. B ecause one often has 'el ${ }_{k}$ in , it is tem pting to derive sim pli ed num erical or theoretical approaches by taking the lim it el ! 0, corresponding to in nitely rigid particles. O ne exam ple is the inelastic hard sphere m odel, w here collisions are assum ed to be instantaneous. Instead of resolving the forces during a collision, one sim ply calculates the post-collisional velocities as a function of the pre-collisional ones.

T he inelastic hard sphere m odel opens the w ay to the application of kinetic theory and the use of event driven com puter sim ulations. B oth of these techniques have been applied successfiully to a w ide variety of granular
ow sill its revenge in an unexpected way. If the collisions are dissipative, \inelastic collapse" can occur: there can be

[^0]an in nite number of collisions in nite tim $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{-}[4,5]$. In event driven sim ulations, it is necessary to m odify the sim ple inelastic hard sphere $m$ odelto avoid this singularity. There are two general approaches. In the rst approach, each particle carries a clock that records the tim e of its last collision. W hen tw o particles collide, one checks their clocks to see if either one has had a collision less than som e tim e $t_{c}$ ago. If so, the collision dissipates no energy, otherw ise, the collision proceeds norm ally. T he tim e $t_{c}$ corresponds to the duration of a collision $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$. A 1tematively, one can $m$ ake the restitution coe cient depend on im pact velocity in such a way that the energy dissipation goes to zero as the im pact velocity vanishes [7] 1 ].

A nother num erical $m$ ethod based on the approxim ation el ! 0 is contact dynam ics (hereafter \CD")题, lated by requiring them to prevent particle interpenetration and to m inim ize sliding. In this case, the neglected length scale takes its revenge by causing indeterm inacy [ [idi]. In $m$ ost cases, there are $m$ any possible force networks that satisfy the constraints that are im posed on them. This raises severalquestions addressed in this paper: First of all, how big is the set of possible contact forces? Secondly, how are the forces chosen by CD distinguished from all the other possible solutions? F inally, how do the forces chosen by CD di er from those calculated by soft-particle \m olecular dynam ics" (hereafter \M D ") [1] $\left.1_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ w here the particle deform ations are explicitly treated? T his paper addresses these questions.

A nother recently proposed approach sim ilar to CD is the \force network ensemble" [12 1 ensemble is the set of all possible force netw orks that could exist in a given con guration of rigid particles. In Ref. [121, this ensem ble w as sam pled to obtain force distributions, which are com pared w ith M D sim ulations. $P$ arallels w ere draw $n$ betw een the force netw ork ensem ble
and the ensem bles of statistical $m$ echanics, so that one could calculate properties of packings by averaging over the force netw ork ensem ble. But are all $m$ em bers of the ensem ble equally likely to be realized?

These questions have begun to be addressed. For exam ple, it has been show $n$ that the contact forces in static assem blies of frictionless grains can be uniquely determ ined $[1] \mathrm{j}]$. In Ref. $[14]$, the CD algorithm was adapted to sam ple the force netw ork ensemble, allow ing the authors to estim ate its size. The authors found that the ensem ble was not uniform ly sam pled, and that the foroe state generated by the dynam ics had special properties. They also carried out a detailed study of the in uence of tangential friction, and show ed, that indeterm inacy disappears in the lim it of vanishing friction, consistent $w$ ith Ref. [13'].

This paper takes a di erent, but com plem entary approach. W e investigate the structure of the force netw ork ensem ble $m$ athem atically, and show (in agreem ent with [14]) that it is a convex set. In addition, we show that the boundaries of the set are associated w ith contacts where the C oulomb condition ism arginally ful led. These ndings place an upper lim it on the num ber of such contacts that can exist in a static packing, and a low er lim it on the dim ension of the force netw ork ensem ble. W e show how to locate the extrem al points, enabling us to calculate the indeterm inacy of the contact foroes and to estim ate the size of the force ensem ble netw ork. W e also study the di erence between the MD and CD calculation methods.
$T$ his paper is organized into two m ain parts. Sec. II presents a $m$ athem atical form ulation of the problem of
nding the contact forces in a packing of in nitely rigid disks w ith C oulomb friction. It is shown that this problem is equivalent to nding the intersection of a cone and a linear subspace in a high dim ensional space. In Sec.'IIII, we apply these ideas num erically to static packings of about 100 particles, and answ er severalquestions about the range of possible forces that could exist in the packing, and how they are related to the MD and CD solutions.

## II. MATHEMATICALFORMULATION

## A. De nition of the contact matrix

W e study a system of N two-dim ensional, circular grains at rest under gravity $g$ in a rectangular container. We label each grain with a unique integer i, 1 i $N$. $P$ article $i$ is characterized by its $m$ ass $m_{i}$, radius $r_{i}$, position $\Re_{i}$, velocity $\forall_{i}$, $m$ om entum of inertia $I_{i}$, and angular velocity ! $i_{i}$. The xed walls of the container could be considered as particles $w$ ith in nite $m$ ass, but it is $m$ ore convenient to sim ply leave them out of the analysis.

Let $M$ be the num ber of contacts between the $N$ grains. E ach contact can also be labeled with a unique integer , $1 \quad M$. C ontact is characterized by the two touching grains i and j. Given the positions of
particles $i$ and $j$, it is possible to de ne two unit vectors $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{t}$ that point in the directions nom alto and tangent to the contact, respectively. At the contact, the tw o particles exert a nom al force $R$ and a tangential force $T$ on each other.

To calculate the $m$ otion of the particles, it is necessary to know the force $f_{i}$ and the torque $i$ on each particle due to the contacts. Since $f_{i}$ and $i$ depend linearly on the contact forces, one can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=c F: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, the contact forces and the forces experienced by the particles have been collected together into two colum $n$ vectors $F$ and $f$ :
$N$ ote that $f 2 R^{3 N}$ and $F 2 R^{2 M}$. The $m$ atrix $c$ has dim ensions $3 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2 \mathrm{M}$, and is called the contact $m$ atrix. It contains inform ation about which particles touch each other, and the geom etry of the contacts. In Sec. 'IIIB ${ }^{-1}$, we give explicit expressions for the com ponents of c. Follow ing [ill 1 , we call any particular value of F a \contact state" because it gives the state of all the contacts in the granular packing.

U sing this notation, we can easily w rite dow $n$ the sys tem of equations that $m$ ust be solved in order to nd the foroes in a static granular packing under gravity. If the particles do not $m$ ove, the contact forces $m$ ust balance the gravitational acceleration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\quad M g: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $M \quad 2 R^{3 N} \quad R^{3 N}$ is a diagonal $m$ atrix containing the $m$ asses and $m$ om ents of inertia of the particles:


The vector $g$ contains the gravitational accelerations of all the particles, organized in the sam ew ay as $f$ in Eq. (2) .

In contact dynam ics, the unknowns are the contact forces $F$, not the forces on each particle, so we use E q. [1] to re-w rite Eq. (了̧a ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CF}=\mathrm{Mg}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If c were not singular，one could nd a unique solution by inverting Eq．（ $\mathbf{F}^{-1}$ ）：

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=c^{1} M g: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

 cause there exist vectors $F_{0} \in 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C F_{0}=0: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

P hysically，this $m$ eans that there are contact states that exert no net force on the particles．This is the source of indeterm inacy in granular packings［8］1］．O nce we have found a solution to Eq．（F⿳亠二口刂土刂），we can construct an in nite num ber of solutions by adding $m$ ultiples of $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ ．H ow－ ever，not all of these solutions are possible，for reasons discussed in Sec．＇IIIC．＇．

In general， c is singular，and its null space $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ ，plays a very im portant role in this paper．By considering the dim ensions of $c$ ，one can establish a low er bound on the dim ension of $C_{0}$ ．c can be applied to any vector in $R^{2 M}$ ， so its dom ain has dimension 2 M ．c m aps this vector onto another vector in $R^{3 N}$ ，so its range has dim ension of at most 3 N ．Since the dim ension of the range and null space $m$ ust add to the dim ension of the dom ain，we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{C}_{0} \quad 2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If 2M 3 N ，dim $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ could vanish，but this corresponds to a coordination num ber of less than 3 ．Therefore，we expect that dim $C_{0}>0$ ．

B．C onstruction of the contact $m$ atrix
Suppose that particle i and $j$ touch at contact．T hen one can de ne a unit vector in norm al to the particles＇ surfaces at the contact：

To discuss tangential forces，we m ust de ne a unit tan－ gential vector such that $\hat{\mathrm{n}} \hat{\mathrm{t}}=0$ ．Given $\hat{\mathrm{n}}$ ，there are two possibilities for $\hat{\ell}$ ，but $\hat{t}$ can be uniquely de ned by im agining that the tw o dim ensionalspace is em bedded in three dim ensions，and writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{t}=\hat{\mathrm{n}} \quad \hat{\mathrm{z}} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{z}$ is the unit vector，pointing upw ards，perpendic－ ular to the two－dim ensionalplane．
$W$ ith these de nitions，it is now possible to write the forces $f_{i}$ and $f_{j}$ due to contact on particles iand j：

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime}=R \hat{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{T} \hat{\ell} ; \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{R} \hat{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T} \hat{\ell} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this notation is aw kward，because it is necessary to distinguish the two particles of the contact．O ne of
the particles is \ rst＂（particle i）and the other is $\backslash \mathrm{sec}-$ ond＂（particle $j$ ）．The choige of which particle is rst is arbitrary，but once the choige is m ade，it m ust not be changed．A ccordingly，we introduce the sym bol i de ned by

```
        8
        < 1 ifparticle i is rst in contact ;
i = : 1 if particle i is second in contact ;
    : 0 ifparticle i does not participate in contact :
```

For each contact betw een two grains，one elem ent of is 1 ，and another is 1 ．C ontacts betw een a wall and a grain contribute only one nonzero elem ent to．U sing the symbol，Eqs．（111）as a single equation：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{R} \hat{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{T} \hat{\ell}): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation holds for $1 \quad k \quad M$ ，so the total force on a particle can be w ritten as a sum over all the contacts：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \mathrm{k} \quad(\mathrm{R} \hat{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{T} \hat{\in}): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation can be cast in the form of a $m$ atrix $m$ ulti－ plication．From Eq．（1－14 ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$ ）and an analogous equation for the torques，it is possible to deduce the com ponents ofc． $C$ is a $N \quad M$ m atrix of subm atrices $G$ ，where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \text { 人 } 1 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

C. The contact conditions

Eq．（득）does not give a com plete description ofm otion－ less granular packings．G ranular packings are nonlinear because only certain contact forces are physically possi－ ble．For dry granular $m$ aterials $w$ th $C$ oulom b friction， tw o conditions need to be $m$ et：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { R } \quad 0 \text {; and j } j \quad R \text {; } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $=1 ;::: \mathrm{M}$ ．The rst condition says that there are no attractive forces，only repulsive ones．T he second condition states that the tangential force cannot exceed
tim es the norm al force，where the constant is the C oulomb friction ratio．Let us de ne K to be the set of all contact states satisfying Eq．（1］－1）．In Fig．$\underline{1}_{11}^{11}$ ，we show the cross section of $K$ ，cut by the $(R ; T)$ plane of a contact．

Later in this paper，our calculations will be greatly simpli ed because $K$ is a convex set．This can be seen from $F$ ig．${ }^{\prime} 11$, sinc $K$ is a cone $w$ ith its vertex at the origin． The convexity ofK can also established by a sim ple proof． C onsider two points $F_{A} ; F_{B} 2 \mathrm{~K}$. The points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1 \quad) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}} ; 0 \quad 1: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1: C ross section of K cut by the ( $\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{T}$ ) of a contact. C ontacts that satisfy E q. (16) m ust lie in the shaded triangular region.
lie on a straight line betw een $F_{A}$ and $F_{B}$. O ne can show that $\mathrm{F} \quad 2 \mathrm{~K}$ as well, show ing that K is convex.

As we shall see, contacts where an equality holds in Eq. (1]), i.e., where $R=0$ or $j=R$, play a special role in lim iting the indeterm inacy. C ontacts with R $=0$ are called \non-transm itting" contacts because the particles touch, but exert no force on each other. C ontacts where jT $j=R$ holds will be called \sliding contacts" even ifthere is no relativem otion. This term is used because this equality holds w hen tw o particles slide relative to one another.

## D. The set of possible contact states

W e are now in a position to nd the contact foroes in a static granular packing and understand how indeter$m$ inacy arises. G iven an arrangem ent of particles and extemal forces acting on each particle (e.g. gravity), one can calculate the contact $m$ atrix $c$ and the vector $M g$. $T$ hen one rst searches for a $\backslash$ particular solution" $F_{1}$ such that $\mathrm{CF}_{1}=\mathrm{Mg} . \mathrm{These}$ forces are necessary to cancel the extemal forces. The particular solution $F_{1}$ can be $m$ ade unique by requiring that it be orthogonal to every vector in $\mathrm{C}_{0}$.

In general, $\mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{w}$ ill not obey the contact conditions Eq. (1]), so onem ust nd som e $F_{0} 2 C_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{0}+\mathrm{F}_{1} 2 \mathrm{~K}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum $\mathrm{F}_{0}+\mathrm{F}_{1}$ is a possible contact state. There could be $m$ any vectors $F_{0} 2 C_{0}$ that satisfy Eq. (1 $\underbrace{}_{1}$ ), so the solution $m$ ay not be unique. On the other hand, not all vectors from $C_{0} w$ ill satisfy Eq. (1] ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ).

Let $F$ be the set of all such contact states $F=F_{0}+F_{1}$ satisfying E q. (1d). T hen $F$ is the set of all contact states that could be observed in a given granular packing. W e can sum $m$ arize the requirem ents of a contact state by writing

$$
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{0}+\mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{0}+\mathrm{F}_{1} 2 \mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{F}_{0} 2 \mathrm{C}_{0} ; \mathrm{cF}_{1}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g} ;
$$

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1} \quad \xi^{(j)}=0 \text { for } i=1::: \operatorname{dim} C_{0}^{\circ}:(19)
$$

Here $\mathrm{fF}{ }_{0}^{(\mathrm{i})} ; \mathrm{i}=1::$ dim $\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~g}$ denotes a basis of $\mathrm{C}_{0}$. In Ref. [1]'], F is called the \force netw ork ensem ble".

It is clear that the set $F$ is also convex. Let $F_{a}$ and $F_{b}$ be $m$ em bers ofF. Then the interm ediate points $F$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F} & =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}+(1 \quad) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{F}_{1}+\mathrm{F}_{0 ; \mathrm{a}}+(1 \quad) \mathrm{F}_{0 ; \mathrm{b}} \\
& =\mathrm{F}_{1}+\mathrm{F}_{0 ;} ; \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the decom positions $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{F}_{1}+\mathrm{F}_{0}$; $a$ and $F_{b}=F_{1}+F_{0 ; b}$. It can be show $n$ that $F$ satis es all the conditions in Eq. (1) 1 ) $\mathrm{F} \quad 2 \mathrm{~K}$ because K is convex and $\mathrm{F}_{0} ; 2 \mathrm{C}_{0}$ because $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ is a linear subspace. The convexity of F was rst noted in Ref. $[1[-5]$, and show $n$ in Ref. $\left[14_{1}^{1}\right]$.

IfF is em pty, the granular packing is unstable, and the particles $w$ ill $m$ ove. If $F$ contains only one point, there is a unique contact state, and there is no indeterm inacy. Finally, $F$ can contain $m$ any points. In this case, there is no unique solution.

## E. The Boundary of $F$

The boundary off is analogous to the \yield surface" in the elastoplasticity theory of soils [1] [1]. If a system crosses the boundary, and leaves $F$, the packing is no longer stable, and the particles will m ove. W hen this happens, a new contact $m$ atrix $m$ ust be constructed, including perhaps new contacts, and F and $\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{w}$ ill change. For our purposes, we are interested in the boundary off because it contains the extrem al points, where the contact forces are $m$ axim ized or $m$ inim ized.

To investigate the structure of F , we w ill use the concept of an $n$ dim ensional neighborhood of point. $W$ e say a point $F 2 \mathrm{~F}$ has an n dim ensionalneighborhood ifthere exist at $m$ ost $n$ linearly independent vectors $V$ such that one can nd $a_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $<0$ and $a_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{aV} 2 \mathrm{~F} \text {; for all } \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in }<a<\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { ax } \text { : } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s an exam ple, consider a line segm ent em bedded in tw o dim ensional space. E ach point on the line segm ent has a 1 dim ensional neighborhood, and the end points have 0 dim ensional neighborhoods.

N ow let us apply this concept to our set F. Let us suppose that that $F$ contains a contact state $P_{0}$ w ith no sliding or non-transm itting contacts. A multiple of any vector in $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ can be added to $\mathrm{P}_{0}$, as long as it is sm all enough. Therefore, there are $\mathrm{n}=$ _ dim $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ linearly independent vectors $V$ satisfying Eq. (211), $m$ eaning that $P_{0}$ has an $n$ dim ensional neighborhood.

N ext suppose that we have a point $P_{1} 2 \mathrm{~F}$ w ith exactly one sliding contact. Let us label that sliding contact and assum e that we have $\mathrm{R}^{(1)}=\mathrm{T}^{(1)}$. Points $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ $P_{1}+a V$ obey
$\mathrm{R}^{(a)} \quad \mathrm{T}^{(a)}=\mathrm{R}^{(1)} \quad \mathrm{T}^{(1)}+\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{V})} \quad \mathrm{T}^{(\mathrm{V})}$;
(22)
where $R^{(a)}, R^{(1)}$, and $R^{(V)}$ are the appropriate com ponents of $P_{a}, P_{1}$, and $V$ respectively. Since contact is sliding in the state $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, we have $\mathrm{R}^{(1)} \mathrm{T}^{(1)}=0$. N ow, note that a takes on both positive and negative values. If $P_{a}$ is to satisfy the contact conditions, we also need $R^{(V)} \quad T^{(V)}=0$, i.e., contact $m$ ust be sliding in $V$ also. Thus the sliding contact puts a constraint on the vectors $V$ that can be used. H ow ever, given any two vectors from $\mathrm{C}_{0}$, one can construct a linear com bination satisfying this constraint. In this way, $n \quad 1$ linearly independent vectors can be constructed, so $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ has an $\mathrm{n} \quad 1$ dim ensional neighborhood. Sim ilar reasoning can be extended to show that a contact state $w$ ith two sliding contacts has an n 2 dim ensionalneighborhood, and nally, a contact state w ith n sliding contacts is a 0 dim ensional neighbornood, that is, it is an extrem alpoint.

So far, non-transm $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ ing contacts have not been considered. But it is easy to incorporate them, because they can be considered as tw o sliding contacts superim posed on each other, i.e., the contact obeys $T=R$ and $T=\quad R$ at the sametime.
F. Quantifying indeterm inacy

The indeterm inacy of the granular packing is deter$m$ ined by the size and shape of the set $F$. Since $F$ is a convex set with a nite number of extrem al points, one possible approach would be to locate all its extrem al points, but the large num ber of such points $m$ akes this unfeasible. T herefore, we adopt an altemative approach. W e locate the subset $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ext }}$ of extrem al points where a com ponent of som e contact force attains its $m$ axim um or m inim um possible value. Speci cally, for each contact , four di erent extrem alpoints are found: the state where $R$ is $m$ axim um, then where $R$ is $m$ in im ized, and then the states where $T$ is $m$ axim ized and then $m$ inim ized. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that $m$ inim izing a norm al force $m$ eans $m$ aking it approach 0 as closely as possible, but $m$ inim izing a tangential force $m$ eans $m$ aking it approach 1 .)

O nce $F_{\text {ext }}$ has obtained, di erent $m$ easures of indeter$m$ inacy can be extracted. O ne possibility is to calculated the range of possible forces that a given contact can take on:
where $R_{m a x}$; and $R_{m}$ in; are the $m$ axim um and $m$ inim um possible values of the norm al contact force at contact . To obtain a dim ension less num ber, we divide by $\bar{m} g$, the average weight of a particle. We call $R$ and m the \local indeterm inacies" because they quantify the am biguly of the force at one contact.

O ne contact force cannot be $m$ axim ized independently of the others. O ne could therefore ask how much the entire contact force state $m$ ust change $w$ hen we bring one contact force from its $m$ inim vm value to its $m$ axim um.

As an altemative to $R$ and $T$, one could calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{R}} ;=\frac{\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{max} ;} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{m} \text { in } ; ~}^{\mathrm{J}}}{\overline{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{T} ;}=\frac{\mathrm{JF}_{\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{max} ;} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{m} \text { in } ; \ddot{\mathrm{P}}}^{\mathrm{mg}}:}{\overline{\mathrm{m}}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{m} \text { ax; }}$ is the contact state where the norm al force is $m$ axim ized at contact, and the other contact states in Eq. (24) are de ned analogously. T hese quantities estim ate the \diam eter" of F . N ote that F is em bedded in a 2 M dim ensional space, so that 2 M di erent \diam eters" can be calculated. $W$ e call $d_{R}$ and $d_{T}$ the \global indeterm inacies" .

## G.A lgorithm

W e now give the algorithm used to nd the m axim um and $m$ inim um possible forces at a given contact. The rst step is to locate an extrem al point. Let us suppose that we begin w ith a point w ith an n-dim ensional neighborhood in F. Given this point, an extrem al point can be found in the follow ing way: P ick one of the $n$ vectors from the basis of $\mathrm{C}_{0}$. Then, starting from the interior point, $m$ ove in that direction until a sliding contact is detected. Then n 1 linearly independent vectors can be constructed out of the basis of $C_{0}$, all of which preserve the status of the sliding contact. P ick one of these vectors, and proceed in its direction until a second sliding contact is detected (or the rst sliding contact becom es non-transm 此ting). Then $n \quad 2$ linearly independent vectors can be built which obey these tw o constraints. C ontinuing in this w ay, we w illeventually reach a point w here there are n constraints, and no vectors can be constructed that respect all of them. This is an extrem alpoint.

O nce the extrem al point has been reached, its neighboring extrem al points can each be identi ed. Recall that an extrem alpoint is characterized by $n$ constraints arising from n sliding contacts. If we relax one of these constraints, there is one direction in $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ that respects all the other n 1 constraints. If we m ove aw ay from our extrem al point in this direction, we will eventually encounter a new extrem alpoint. This is a neighboring extrem alpoint, connected to the current point by an edge. Since there are n possible constraints to relax, each extrem alpoint w ill have $n$ neighbors. E ach of these neighbors can be checked. If none of them are \better" than the current point (in the sense that the relevant contact force is greater or less), then the current point is the best point. If any one of the neighboring points is better, we m ove there and repeat the process. The convex structure of $F$ guarantees that there are no localm inim a or $m$ axim a that would trap the algorithm .
$T$ he algorithm $m$ ust deal $w$ th a num ber of practical di culties. For exam ple, 过 can happen that a contact m ust alw ays be sliding or non-transm itting. It is necessary to detect this, because such a situation reduces the dim ension of $F$. Therefore before beginning to search for


FIG. 2: The particle $i$ is supported by two walls through contacts and. G ravity pulls the particle dow nw ards. T he angle su ces to characterize the geom etry of this sim ple granular packing.
extrem al points, we rst try to locate a point w thout sliding contacts. This can be di cult, because the sim ulations often yield points $w$ th $m$ any sliding contacts. But given such a point, one can construct a vector belonging to $C_{0}$ that preserves all the sliding contacts except one, and then $m$ oving along this vector so that the num ber of sliding contacts is reduced by one. T his process can be repeated. Som etim es this does not w ork, because the sim ulation yields a point in a tight, multi-dim ensional comer. In this case, the contact dynam ics iterative solver can be used to generate an altemative starting point.

In about 1\% of the cases analyzed in Seciline extrem al states are found $w$ th a di erent num ber of sliding contacts than expected. This $m$ ay happen when one constructs linear com binations that satisfy a given constraint, and by chance, satisfy several other constraints at the same time. A nother possibility is that it is di cult to $m$ aintain su cient num ericalaccuracy during the construction of the linear com binations. R ecall that one cannot test strict equalities w ith oating-point num bers; one should alw ays check that equality conditions such as $T=\quad R$ are satis ed to a certain tolerance. This $m$ ay cause an occasional overestim ate of the num ber of sliding contacts. How ever, since this situation occurs only rarely, it does not a ect the conchusions of this paper.
H. Two Contacts

As a simple application of these ideas, consider the granular packing in $F$ ig $\overline{\underline{L}}$, where $\mathrm{M}=2$ and $\mathrm{N}=1$. T he equations of static equilibrium are

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & \sin +T \cos \quad R \sin +T \cos \\
\mathrm{R} \cos \mathrm{Cos} \sin +\mathrm{R} \cos +\mathrm{T} \sin & =\mathrm{m} g \\
r \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{rT} & =0:(25)
\end{aligned}
$$

C om paring this to Eq. (두) , we have

$$
c=0 \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \sin & \cos & \sin  \tag{26}\\
\cos & \sin & \cos & \sin A \\
0 & r & 0 & r
\end{array}
$$

Thism atrix has a null space that has at least one dim ension. Let us nd $F_{0}$ by solving the system of equations $C F_{0}=0$. The result is

$$
\mathrm{F}_{0}=\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{B} \\
\mathrm{Q}
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\sin \\
\cos \\
\sin \\
\mathrm{Cos}
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{A}
\end{array} \\
& \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

$N$ ote that $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ corresponds to the horizontal com ponents of the contact forces canceling each other.

The particular solution can be found by solving $\mathrm{CF}_{1}=$ $M g$, and then requiring that $F_{0} \quad F=0$. The result is

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{mg}}{2} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{C} \begin{array}{rc}
0 & 1  \tag{28}\\
\operatorname{sos} & 1 \\
\cos \\
\sin
\end{array} ~ \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{A}
\end{gathered} ;
$$

which simply expresses the requirem ent that the vertical com ponent of the contact forces cancel gravity. T he contact states in $F$ all have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1}+\mathrm{aF}{ }_{0}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplying the contact conditions puts restrictions on the values of a which are allowed. For exam ple, requiring $R \quad 0$ and $R \quad 0 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that a must satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a } \quad \frac{\mathrm{mg}}{2} \cot : \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nd the C oulom b condition becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m g}{2} \cos +a \sin \frac{m g}{2} \sin +a \cos : \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

W orking out the various cases connected w the the absolute values, one obtains a low er bound for a.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \quad a_{n ~ i n}=\frac{m g}{2} \frac{\tan }{1+\tan }: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this condition is ful led, than Eq. $\cdot \overline{[ }(\overline{3} \bar{b})$ is alv ays satised as well. $W$ hen $\tan <1=$, there is an upper bound for a:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a } \quad a_{\mathrm{n} a x}=\frac{\mathrm{mg}}{2} \frac{+\tan }{1 \tan }: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this condition is ful lled, then Eq. ${ }^{\circ}(\overline{3} p)$ is satis ed as well. $W$ hen tan $>1=$, there is no upper bound on $a$; a can be arbitrarily large.

N ow the indeterm inacy of this packing can be calculated. Selecting the appropriate com ponents from Eq. (29-1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R=\frac{m g}{2}(c o s+a \sin ): \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ axim um and $m$ inim um possible foroes can be obtained by setting a equal to $a_{m}$ ax or $a_{m}$ in respectively. W hen this is done, one obtains

$$
R=\frac{R_{m \text { ax }} R_{m \text { in }}}{m g}=\frac{a_{m a x} a_{n \text { in }}}{m g} \sin
$$



F IG . 3: Them easures of indeterm inacy $R_{\text {(solid line) and }}^{T}$ (dotted line). is the angle show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2, , and $w$ as taken to be 0:3. At $=0,1=$ and $2=2$. Both measures diverge at $\tan =1=$ or $0: 41$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\sin }{\cos ^{2}{ }^{2} \sin ^{2}}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sam e way, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T=\frac{m g}{2}(\sin \quad \text { acos }): \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting $a=a_{m}$ ax and $a=a_{m}$ in , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\frac{\cos }{\cos ^{2}{ }^{2} \sin ^{2}}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$


III. $N$ U M ERICALAPPLICATION
A. O verview

W e have investigated num erically the indeterm inacy of granular packings with $N=95$ particles. $F$ ig. ' the procedure used to generate the various con gurations considered in this section. First, $\mathrm{N}=95$ grains were placed on a grid inside a rectangular box of size $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$. To prevent the form ation of regular arrays of particles, the radii of the particles are uniform ly distributed in the interval [ $0: 7 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $; \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $]$. T hen, the grains w ere allow ed to fall, under the in uence of gravity, and form a packing at the bottom of the box. T his process w as sim ulated by both $C D$ and MD, yielding the $C D-1$ and MD-1 con $9-$ urations. B oth sim ulations continue until the kinetic energy decrepases to a negligible value, or the elapsed tim e reaches $6 \overline{L_{y}}=g$, i.e. about $t w$ ice the tim e a particle needs to fall a distance $L_{y}$. ( $T$ his second condition is needed because occasionally a particle falls to the bottom and starts to roll with a sm all am ount of kinetic energy that is enough to violate the rst condition, but


FIG.4: A diagram show ing the relationship betw een the various con gurations analyzed in this section. First, 95 grains were placed on a rectangular grid. T hen, the grains w ere allowed to fall, under gravity, and settle at the bottom of the container. This process was sim ulated by both CD and M D, yielding the CD-1 and M D-1 con gurations. T hen the CD-1 con guration was taken as the in itial condition for two $m$ ore sim ulations, yielding CD -2 and M D - 2 .
not large enough so that it reaches another particle or a wall in a reasonable am ount of tim e.) Then the CD-1 con guration is used as the starting point for two $m$ ore sim ulations, yielding the CD-2 and MD-2 con guration, according to the sim ulation $m$ ethod used. In this second $C D$ sim ulation, the initial guess for the contact forces is $\mathrm{F}=0$. This procedure was repeated sixty tim es, yielding a set of 240 con gurations. The 60 initial conditions are distinguished by choosing di erent particle radiieach time.

In the MD simulations, particles interact via linear, dam ped springs in both the norm aland tangentialdirections. W hen a contact becom es sliding, it is assum ed that the tangential spring rem ains stretched at its $m$ axim um length. T he springs have sti ness $1: 2 \quad 1 \overline{0} \bar{m} g=r$ and dam ping constant 7:7 $\quad 10^{4}(\mathrm{~m} g=r) \quad \overline{r=g}$. This choide of param eters leads to an average particle overlap of $710^{6}$ of a particle radius. U sually particles are $m$ uch softer in M D sim ulations, but extrem ely hard particle were used here to approach as closely as possible the CD sim ulations (average overlap: $6 \quad 10^{8}$ of a particle radius). D ue to the hardness of the particles, the M D sim ulations were very slow, taking roughly 100 tim es as long as the $C D$ ones. In the CD sim ulations, the norm al and tangential resitution coe cients were set to 0 . In all cases, the C oulom b friction ratio w as $=0: 3$.

Then the resulting con gurations are analyzed. The


FIG. 5: Three di erent contact states for a con guration w ith $\mathrm{N}=95$ particles. T he CD-1 state obtained from contact dynam ics is on the left. There are $M=160$ contacts. Then this con guration was allowed to relax in a m olecular dynam ics sim ulation, resulting in the M D-2 con guration show $n$ in the $m$ iddle. D uring the relaxation, the num ber of contacts increases to $M=166$. T he state on the right is the extrem al state of the CD-1 con guration with the $m$ axim um norm. The thickness of the lines connecting the centers is proportional to the norm al force, and tangential forces are show $n$ by lines tangent to the particle surfaces.
$m$ atrix c was constructed, and a singular value decom position was used to extract its null space. T hen the set $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ext }}$ de ned in Sec' IIE' is found using the algorithm presented in Sec. found are shown in $F$ ig. 1 state, the m iddle panel is the M D - 2 state, right hand panel shows one of the elem ents of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ext }}$. O ne can see already that all three states are di erent, but the CD-1 and M D -2 states are closer together than either one with the extrem alstate.

Fig. 'Ga show s the dim ension of $F$ as a function of the num ber of contacts. All points fall onto or just above the line $\operatorname{dim} F=2 M \quad 3 N$, con $m$ ing the prediction that $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{F} \quad 2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{Fig}$ í Ga also shows that dim F never exceeds $2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N}$ by m ore than 3 . This $m$ eans that it is reasonable to use dim $\mathrm{F} \quad 2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N}$ to estim ate dim F. There is also no di erence betw een the classes of con gurations, except that M D sim ulations tend to have $m$ ore contacts than the CD ones.

F ig. in the di erent con gurations. A contact is considered sliding if $R \quad j \quad j<\bar{m} g$. For $F \operatorname{lig}_{6}^{\prime} 6 b, \quad=10^{\circ}$. Nontransm 此ting contacts ( R ; 们 $j<\bar{m} \mathrm{~g}$ ) are counted astwo sliding contacts. The num ber of sliding contacts is always less than or equal to 2 M 3N, consistent w ith our analysis of the boundary off in Sec.iIE. The di erence


F IG.6: (a) the dim ension of the set ofpossible contact states $F$ as a function of the num ber of contacts $M$. $T$ he solid line show sthe lower lim it dim $F=2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N}$. (b) the num berM s of sliding contacts as a function ofdim $F$. T he straight line show $s$ $M_{s}=2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N}$. A contact is considered sliding if $R \quad j \Gamma<$ $\bar{m} g, w$ ith $=10^{9} . N$ on-transm itting contacts ( $\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{T}<\overline{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{g}$ ) count as two sliding contacts, consistent w ith our discussion in Sec.IIEI. Four di erent fam ilies of sim ulations are show $n$ : triangles $\left\{\right.$ M D -1 , circles \{ M D -2, crosses \{ CD $-1, x^{\prime}$ s \{ CD -2 .
between the di erent classes of con gurations becom es clear. The CD sim ulations have very few sliding contacts. The two classes of M D sim ulations are also well separated from each other, w th the MD-2 con gurations having them ost sliding contacts. This di erence betw een the two con gurations shows that the MD simulation is able to retain a mem ory of how it was form ed. The M D 1 simulation was generated by letting the particles fall from a given height, whereas the MD -2 sim ulation was started $w$ th the particles alm ost in their nal position. $T$ herefore, $m$ uch $m$ ore energy was dissipated during the M D-1 simulation than the M D-2 sim ulations. The di erence in the num ber of sliding contacts is a sign of their di erent histories.
$N$ ote that the CD sim ulations lack this kind of $m$ em ory. A closer exam ination of the CD-2 sim ulations reveals that there are initially $m$ any sliding contacts, but this num ber decreases rapidly to values nearly zero, as


FIG.7: H istogram soflocalindeterm inacy $R$ (thick lines) and $T$ (thin lines), de ned in Eq. (23i), for the CD con gurations (solid lines), M D con gurations ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (dashed lines), plotted sem ilogarithm ically. The thick lines show $R$ and the thin lines т. The distributions are norm alized so that their integral is unity, hence we call them probability density functions.
shown in F ig. .'. There is one exceptional sim ulation, indicated by the cross near $M_{s} \quad 20$, dim $F \quad 30$, where the system seem $s$ to be trapped in som e comer of $F$ and unable to escape. (T he nearby CD-1 sim ulation probably represents a sim ilar situation, but the two points were generated by di erent random num ber seeds, so it is probably a coincidence that they are so close together.)
B. M easurem ent of Indeterm inacy

## 1. Local indeterm inacy

 calculated. In Fig. $\overline{1}_{1}$, , we show the distribution of $R$ and ${ }_{T}$ for the four di erent fam ilies of con gurations. In all cases, the distributions are exponential, except where a sharp peak appears near ${ }_{R} ; T=0$. N ote that sim ilar exponential tails are observed in contact force distributions.

The MD distributions show slightly larger indeterm inacies than the CD ones, independent of the $m$ ethod of generating the con guration. No contacts were observed w ith in nite indeterm inacies, although it is theoretically possible, as was shown in Sec. "IIH'. In nite indeterm inacy $m$ ay exist in only special packings $w$ th very sm all num ber of particles.

## 2. G lobal indeterm inacy

Let us now consider globalindeterm inacy. H istogram s of the $d_{R}$ and $d_{T}$, de ned in Eq. ${ }^{(2)}(2)$, are show in $F$ ig ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$ ? for the three di erent fam ilies of con gurations. This


F IG . 8: H istogram s of global indeterm inacy $d_{R}$ (thick lines) and $d_{T}$ (thin lines), de ned in Eq. (24), for the CD sim ulations (solid lines), and the M D sim ulations (dashed lines).
$m$ easure of indeterm inacy has very di erent properties from the previous one. In Fig. $\overline{1}, 1$, the m ost probable values of the indeterm inacy were sm all, but in Fig. 'ig, the probability density function presents two $m$ axim a, one close to $d_{R} ; d_{T}=0$, and the another well separated from the $s m$ allest values. The second $m$ axim um is by far the largest, so m axim izing orm inim izing a contact force usually involves changing $m$ any forces throughout the packing, even when the change at the contact in question is small. The maxim a in Fig. 'q can be taken as crude estim ates of the diam eter of $F$, indicating that $F$ has a diam eter of approxim ately $60 \mathrm{~m} g$ in the $C D$ sim ulations and $75 \overline{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}$ in the M D sim ulations. C onsistent w ith F ig. ${ }_{1}^{17}$, the MD sim ulations show slightly higher indeterm inacy than the CD ones. Finally, note that the curves for the norm al and tangential com ponents are nearly the sam e in $F$ ig. .

## 3. Forae Chains

O ne of the m ost rem arkable characteristics of granular packings is that $m$ ost of the force is carried by a sm all fraction of the contacts, which are organized in linear structures called force chains. E xam ples of force chains are clearly visible in F ig. indeterm inacy is also concentrated along foroe chains \{ it is the force bearing contacts which di er the most between the three panels. W e can con $m$ this im pression by dividing the contacts into tw o di erent classes, those w ith above average forces and those w ith below average forces. In Ref. [1]], it was shown that these tw o populations of contacts have di erent properties, the form er being associated w th force chains. (In our case, these two classes do not have exactly the sam e $m$ eaning as in Ref. [1] ], as the stress is not uniform throughout the packing. Nevertheless, it is still possible for us to isolate the contacts in the force chains, at least in the low er


F IG . 9: P robability distributions of the local indeterm inacies $R$ (solid lines) and $T_{T}$ (dashed lines) for contacts $w$ ith $R<\bar{R}$ (thin lines), and for $R>\bar{R}$ (thick lines), where $\bar{R}=9: 3 \bar{m} g$ is the average norm al force. Only data from the CD-1 simulations are show $n$; the others yield sim ilar curves.


F IG . 10: P robability distributions of the global in determ inacies $d_{R}$ (solid lines) and $d_{T}$ (dashed lines) for contacts $w$ ith $\mathrm{R}<\overline{\mathrm{R}}$ (thin line), and for $\mathrm{R}>\overline{\mathrm{R}}$ (thick line), where $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$ is the average norm al force. Only data from the CD-1 sim ulations are show $n$; the others yield sim ilar curves.
part of the packing.) In Fig. '9, we show the distributions of local indeterm inacy in the CD con gurations for each class of contact. The two classes yield quite di erent distributions. T he peak at ${ }_{R} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=0$ is due entirely to the contacts w ith below average force, while the contactsw ith large indeterm inacy have above average forces. Thus, force chains are also \indeterm inacy chains".

W e can exam ine the distribution of global indeterm inacy asw ell. This is done in $F$ ig. $1 \mathbf{1} \underline{1}_{1}^{1}$. T he sm allm axim um near $d_{R} ; d_{T}=0$ is due entirely to contacts $w$ ith $R<\bar{R}$. $T$ he $m$ ain $m$ axim um has contributions from both classes of contacts, but rem oving the weak contacts causes the $m$ axim um to shift tow ards larger values.

## 4. A ltemative $m$ easurem ents of indeterm inacy

In $R$ ef. [14], indeterm inacy is $m$ easured in a di erent way. A con guration is given to the CD simulation program, and iterative solver of the CD sim ulation is asked for a possible solution to the forces. T his solution is then perturbed slightly, and given to the iterative solver as an initial guess, and a new solution is obtained. This is repeated $m$ any tim es, and the force netw ork ensem ble is sam pled in the sam e way as di erent statisticalm echanical ensem bles are sam pled in M onte C arlo sim ulations. O f course, there is no guarantee that that this $m$ ethod w illw eight appropriately the di erent regionsoff or even that it w ill explore all parts of $F$.

W e have carried out this procedure on our packings. For each con guration, we have obtained 500 di erent solutions. The solutions are perturbed by $m$ ultiplying all the contact forces by a random number uniform ly distributed betw een $0: 5$ and $1: 5$. T hen the center $F$ of $F$ can be estim ated by averaging over all 500 points, and the radius $r$ of $F$ can be estim ated by the variance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{1}{500}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{00}} \mathbb{F}_{i} \quad F j^{!_{1=2}}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are the solutions obtained from the iterative solver. A veraging over all 60 con gurations in each class, we obtain $r=(33 \quad 1: 4 / \bar{m} g$ for the CD-1 con gurations, $r=(34 \quad 1: 3) \mathrm{m} g$ for $C D-2, r=(41 \quad 1: 7) \mathrm{m} g$ for $M D-1$, and $r=(40 \quad 1: 5) \mathrm{m} g$ for M D -2 . These values are quite close to half the diam eter of $F$ estim ated from $F$ ig. 'ig. In all cases, the standard deviation of $r$ is about $12 \overline{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}$,
 one can indeed sam ple $F$ in this way.
C. C om parison betw een $M D$ and $C D$

## 1. D istance betw een the states

N ext, we w ould like to exam ine m ore closely the di erence betw een the forces calculated by MD and CD. O ne $w$ ay to do this is to com pare the $C D-1, C D-2$, and $M D-2$ con gurations, where the particles positions are nearly identical. $T$ he distance betw een two states $F_{A}$ and $F_{B}$ is simply 护 $A \quad F_{B}$ j. $I f F_{A}$ and $F_{B}$ have di erent num bers of contacts, one can insert 0's into the appropriate places so that they have the sam e dim ension.
$W e$ nd that the distance betw een $C D-1$ and $C D-2$ is (50 3 ) m , while (75 4 ) m g separates CD-1 and M D - . $T$ hus, the $M D$ m oves the forces farther aw ay from the initial state than CD does. But the MD - 2 and CD -2 states are separated by only ( $453 \sqrt{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}$, indicating that they $m$ ove in approxim ately the sam e direction. N ote that all these distances are less than, but of approxim ately the sam e $m$ agnitude as, the diam eter of $F$. H ence changing from $C D$ to MD or erasing the $m$ em ory of $C D$ causes


FIG.11: $H$ istogram $s$ of $R$ and $T$, de ned in Eq. (391), for the four di erent con gurations. The solid lines show CD sim ulations, and the dotted lines show M D sim ulations. The thick lines show the results of dropping the particles ( CD - 1 and M D -1 ), and the thin lines show the result of obtaining the forces $w$ ith very little particle $m$ ovem ent ( $C D-2$ and M D -2).
a perturbation in the foroes of roughly the sam e size as their indeterm inacy.

## 2. Relation to extrem al states

To see m ore precisely where the state found by the sim ulation stands in relation to the extrem al states, let us consider the follow ing quantities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{R_{\text {sim }}}{R_{m \text { ax }}} \frac{R_{m} \text { in }}{R_{m} \text { in }} ; \quad T=\frac{T_{\text {sim }}}{T_{m \text { ax }}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}^{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $R_{\text {sim }}$ and $T_{\text {sim }}$ are the contact forces found by the sim ulation, and $R_{m}$ ax and $T_{m}$ ax are the $m$ axim um values these forces could attain in this con guration, while $R_{m}$ in and $T_{m}$ in are the m inim $u m$ forces. $R=0 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that the simulation chose the $m$ inim um possible force while $\mathrm{R}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that it chose the m axim um. In F ig. "1 II', we show histogram s of $R$ and $T$ for the four fam ilies


FIG.12: H istogram of the norm al force $R$ in the sim ulated states and various classes of extrem al states. Sixty con gurations of $\mathrm{N}=95$ particles were considered. T he curves for the extrem al states have less noise because each con guration contributesM extrem alstates, but only one contact dynam ics state.
of con gurations. Surprisingly, these distributions depend $m$ ore on the history of the con guration than on the sim ulation $m$ ethod. $W$ hen the particles are dropped and allow ed to settle (C D -1 and M D -1), the norm alforoes are larger, relative to their $m$ inim um and $m$ axim um possible values, than when the particles are sim ply placed into their nalpositions (CD-2 and MD-2). In the tangential case, the CD-1 and MD-1 states show m ore values clustered around the m iddle of the allow ed interval than the CD -2 and M D -2 states. On the other hand, the CD - 2 and M D - 2 states have $m$ ore contacts at their $m a x-$ im um or minim values. These results show that the $C D \mathrm{~m}$ ethod is capable of representing the history of the packing, even though this is not re ected in the num ber of sliding contacts.
D. C ontact Force distributions

## 1. E xtrem al vs $S$ im ulated states

The contact force distributions in the various types of contacts states are com pared in $F$ ig. 1212 . The extrem al and contact dynam ics distributions coincide for $R<30 \bar{m} g$, but then separate, w th the extrem al states exhibiting $m$ ore contacts $w$ ith large forces. This is true, even of extrem al states found while $m$ inim izing $R$. A ll the distributions are approxim ately exponential, sim ilar to those found in other studies $\left[12_{1}^{1}, 161\right]$. T h is result suggests that the exponential contact force distributions are a property of all $m$ em bers of $F$. This $m$ eans that these exponential tails are probably due to som e property of Eq. ( $\overline{\text { Fin }}$ ), and can be studied using the force netw ork approach.


FIG.13: H istogram of the norm al force $R$ for the di erent fam ilies of sim ulations: CD-1 \{ thick solid line, CD-2 \{ thin solid line, M D -1 \{ thick dashed line, M D -2 \{ thin dashed line.

## 2. $M D \operatorname{vsCD}$

F inally, we com pare in Fig. distributions for the four di erent fam ilies of sim ulations. B oth CD-1 and MD-1 yield sim ilar curves, obeying an exponential fallo out to the largest observed values of R. On the other hand, CD -2 and M D-2 have few er contacts at these large values. $T$ his suggests that during the form ation of the packing, som e of the kinetic energy is stored as elastic energy in the foroe chains. If the packing is form ed $w$ ith very little kinetic energy (as in the case of $C D-2$ and M D -2), few er large contact forces are present.

## IV . CONCLUSIONS

O ne conclusion that can be draw $n$ from this w ork is the im portance of sliding contacts. In Sec. 'IIIE', we show ed that they are associated w ith the boundary of $F$, and hence are a sign that the packing is close to yielding. Furthem ore, our results suggest that there should be fewer than $2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N}$ sliding contacts (counting nontransm itting contacts as two sliding contacts) in a stable packing, and no counterexam ples were found am ong the 240 con gurations that w ere exam ined. If a granular packing is slow ly loaded, our w ork predicts that the num -
ber of sliding contacts will increase, and reach $2 \mathrm{M} \quad 3 \mathrm{~N}$ when the packing yields. The M D algorithm produces $m$ any $m$ ore sliding contacts than $C D$. This suggests that packingsunderCD arem uch $m$ ore stable than underM D.

W e w ere able to calculate the localindeterm inacy, that is the range of values a given contact force can assum e. W e found that the contacts $w$ ith large indeterm inacy are also those contacts that $m$ ake up force chains. Therefore, the prim ary origin of indeterm inacy is that the am plitudes of the foroe chains can change. This result also suggests that force chains could be understood by investigating the null space of the contact $m$ atrix $c$, since the di erence betw een any tw o allow ed states belongs to this set.

The global indeterm inacy $m$ easures how $m$ uch the entire netw ork $m$ ust be adjusted in order to $m$ axim ize or $m$ inim ize a force at a given contact. The reorganizations required form ost contacts are signi cant, even when the local indeterm inacy is sm all. T he global indeterm inacy can also be used to estim ate the diam eter ofF. This diam eter in tum allow s one to appreciate the $m$ agnitude of changes occurring w ithin the force netw ork. For exam ple, we saw that changing the sim ulation $m$ ethod changes the force netw ork by an am ount roughly equivalent to the diam eter of $F$. E rasing the $m$ em ory of a CD sim $u-$ lation changes the forces by roughly half as much. O ur estim ates of the diam eter of F are consistent w ith those obtained using a M onte C arlo-like procedure to sam ple F.

Finally, we m ade several observations about how a packing's $\backslash m$ em ory" is form ed. $W$ hen a packing is form ed violently, w ith much kinetic energy, som e of this energy ends up stored in the contacts. Such packings exhibit stronger force chains and larger contact forces than packings form ed gently, w ith very little kinetic energy. B oth $C D$ and MD simulations show this ect, although it is $m$ ore signi cant in the MD sim ulations.
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