Erich J. Mueller

Laboratory of Atom ic and Solid State Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

We show how lasers may create elds which couple to neutral atoms in the same way that the electrom agnetic elds couple to charged particles. These elds are needed for using neutral atoms as an analog quantum computer for simulating the properties of many-body system softharged particles. They allow for seem ingly paradoxical geometries, such as a ring where atoms continuously reduce their potential energy while moving in a closed path. We propose neutral atom experiments which probe quantum Halle ects and the interplay between magnetic elds and periodic potentials.

PACS num bers: 03.75.b, 03.75.Lm, 03.67 Lx, 32.80 Lg, 73.43.-f,

Recently, m any researchers have expressed interest in using ultracold alkaliatom sas analog quantum computers to simulate properties of solid state systems [1]. For example, the leading m odel of high tem perature superconductivity, the H ubbard m odel, can be studied by placing alkali atom s in an optical lattice { a periodic potential form ed by interfering several laser beam s. Experimental realizations of the H ubbard m odel could show whether it captures the phenom ena of high tem perature superconductivity. Similarly, cold gases provide an ideal setting for studying m odels of quantum H alle ects [2] and exotic phase transitions [3].

A major in pediment to studying some of these models, such as those describing quantum Halle ects, is the lack of elds which couple to the neutral atoms in the same way that the electric and magnetic elds couple to charged particles. Here, we show how to create these arti cial electrom agnetic elds. Since these elds are only analogies of the real electric and magnetic eld they do not obey M axwell's equations. One can therefore create unphysical and counterintuitive eld con gurations which lead to a set of as-yet unstudied behavior. Among our examples of these seem ingly impossible eld con gurations, we describe an E scher staircase' setup where atom s can move around a closed path, continually reducing their potential energy.

The literature already contains several, som ew hat lim ited, implementation of electrical and magnetic edds for neutral atom s. Experim entalists routinely use the Earth's gravitational eld as an analog of a uniform eleceld [4]. They also study systems in non-inertial tric fram es: uniform acceleration is equivalent to a constant electric eld [5], while circular motion corresponds to a uniform magnetic eld [6]. Recently, Jaksch and Zoller [7] described a method where an e ective magnetic eld can be applied to two-state atom s in an appropriately designed optical lattice in the presence of an external 'electric eld'. Our approach is an elaboration of Jaksch's, where the two-state atoms are replaced by three-state atom s. This allow s us to overcom e the major de ciency of Jaksch and Zoller's scheme: we do not need an external electric eld to generate the magnetic eld. This

(a) •••	A1	0 C3	A4	∆ B5	0 C6	A7	<b>0</b> C9	•••	(c)	$\triangle$		
(b) 	A A		A A A		: OCOCOC :	A A A	COC	••••	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	B	A = C = C = C = B	

FIG.1: (Color Online) Lattices of three types of sites: (a) 1-D chain, (b) square, (c) ring, and (d) triangular.

in provem ent com es at the cost ofm ore com plicated laser con gurations.

As in these prior analogs of electrom agnetism, our arti cial elds contain no dynam ical degrees of freedom. Therefore they neither give rise to analogs of C oulom b interactions between the neutral atom s, nor do they support analogs of light.

Subsequent to the preparation of this manuscript, another scheme for generating analogs of electrom agnetic

elds was suggested by Sorensen, Dem ler, and Lukin [8]. That work uses time dependent hopping matrix elements along with a large oscillating quadrupolar potential. Compared to our approach, Sorensen et al. use a much simpler laser con guration, however there are nontrivial technical issues involved with the stability of the oscillating potential.

B asic Setup: Our approach relies upon creating an optical lattice with three distinct sets of minima. Each of these minima trap a di erent internal state of the neutral atom s. The internal states will be labeled 'A', 'B', and 'C', and the minima will be labeled by their location and by the state that is trapped at that location. For example, gure 1(a) shows a one-dimensional array labeled as  $_1 - BA - C_3 - A_4 - B_5 - C_6 - A_7 - B_8 -$ . Importantly, this setting breaks parity symmetry.

Looking at this one dimensional chain, an atom in state A, sitting in site  $A_4$ , is immobile. The atom cannot hop to site  $B_5$  or  $C_3$ , because it would need some mechanism for changing its internal state. The probability of tunneling by three sites to  $A_1$  or  $A_7$  is astronom ically sm all.

We turn on hopping between site  $A_4$  and  $B_5$  by introducing a laser with the following properties: (i) the

2

laser frequency  $!_{AB}$  is close to the energy di erenced between the internal states A and B (ie.  $!_{AB} = E_A = E_B$ ); (ii) the laser polarization is chosen so that the transition from internal state A to B is allowed; (iii) the laser cannot induce transitions from states A to C or from B to C, either because the transition is forbidden, or because the detuning is too great. One does not have to use a single laser to drive this transition but can instead use a R am an transition, which involves multiple lasers and the virtual occupation of one or m ore interm ediate state.

In the presence of this laser eld, the atom can explore a two state H ilbert space. In the rotating wave approxim ation, the tim e dependent Schroedinger equation is

$$i\theta_{t} \stackrel{A4}{}_{B5} = H (t) \stackrel{A4}{}_{B5} \qquad (1)$$

$$H (t) = \frac{E_{A}}{}_{AB}e^{i(!_{AB}t+)} \qquad (1)$$

The quantum mechanical amplitude for the particle being in state A (B) on site  $A_4$  (B<sub>5</sub>) is  $_{A4}$  ( $_{B5}$ ). The energy of the internal states A = B are  $E_{A=B}$ . The Rabi frequency  $_{AB}$  is proportional to the product of the laser amplitude and the overlap between the states trapped in  $A_4$  and  $B_5$ . We take  $_{AB}$  to be real, and introduce a phase , which is related to the phase of the coupling laser. In particular, if we translated the entire lattice by som e distance r, the phase would change by ! + q r, where q is the wave-vector of the coupling laser [9].

This, and future Hamiltonians are more compactly written in a second quantized notation,

$$H = E_{A} \stackrel{\gamma}{_{A4}}_{A4} + E_{B} \stackrel{\gamma}{_{B5}}_{B5} \stackrel{\gamma}{_{B5}}$$
(2)  
$$_{AB} e^{i(!_{AB}t+)} \stackrel{\gamma}{_{A4}}_{B5} + e^{i(!_{AB}t+)} \stackrel{\gamma}{_{B5}}_{A4}$$

where, for example, creation and annihilation operators  $\stackrel{\gamma_{A}}{_{A4}}$  and  $\stackrel{\gamma_{A4}}{_{A4}}$  add and rem ove a particle from site A<sub>4</sub> in internal state A. In the non-interacting system, the operators  $\stackrel{\circ}{}$  obey the same equations of motion as the wavefunction in (1). At the single-particle level it does not matter whether we use bosonic or ferm ionic commutation relations. Where no confusion will result, we may neglect the letter A which denotes the internal state.

We apply time-dependent canonical transform ations of the form  $j ! e^{if(t)} j, j' ! e^{if(t)} j'$ . As is readily veri ed from the equations of motion (1), under this transform ation the H am iltonian becomes H ! H  $f^{0}(t) j' j$ . In particular we can construct a time independent H am iltonian by transform ing into the 'rotating frame,'

$$\hat{A}_{4} ! e^{i(E_{A}t)} \hat{A}_{4}$$
(3)

$$b_{B5} ! e^{i(E_{B}t+A_{B})} b_{5}$$
 (4)

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ 5 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} ; (5)$$

where 
$$= A_B$$
 and  $= !_{AB}$  ( $E_A = E_B$ ).

Introducing twom ore lasers, coupling states B-C, and C-A with appropriately chosen intensities and detunings, this same procedure yields the Ham iltonian

$$H = {P \atop j} j {\binom{y}{j}} {\binom{y}{j}} {\binom{y}{j+1}} + {\binom{y}{j+1}} {\binom{y}{j}} ; (6)$$

corresponding to a one-dimensional chain of sites in a uniform electric eld. As is shown below, this same approach can produce electric elds in higher dimensions. In this case, momentum transfer from the lasers will generate an electric eld.

H igher D im ensions: In more complicated geometries there m ay not be a C anonical transform ation which leads to a time independent H am iltonian. However, the time dependence takes a simple form if one transform s

$$j_{jj}! e^{iE_{j}t^{*}}_{jj};$$
 (7)

where j labels the site located at  $r_j$ , and  $_j = A$ ; B; C gives the internal state which is trapped at that site. The H am iltonian then becomes

$$H = \sum_{\substack{i \ j}}^{X} e^{iq_{ij}R_{ij}}e^{i_{jj}t_{jj}} + H \mathcal{L}: :$$
hiji
(8)

The sum includes all nearest neighbor sites hiji. The internal state trapped at site i is i. The bond position  $isR_{ij} = (r_i + r_j) = 2$ . The hopping is = for <del>f</del>, = 0. The parameter 0 is given by the overlap of the wavefunctions on neighboring sites. The wave-vector of the laser coupling state to isq (soq = 0). The detuning is = ! €, E) when Æ = 0. The letters  $H \mathcal{L}$ : denote the Herm itian and conjugate of the previous term .

If all of the laser intensities are adjusted so that =  $_0$  for all ; , then equation (8) is form ally the equation of motion of a particle with charge e in a vector potential de ned on the bonds by

$$\frac{e}{c} A (R_{ij}) \quad if = q_{ij} \quad R_{ij} \quad i_{j} t; \qquad (9)$$

where  $r_{ij} = r_i - r_j$ .

U sing this mapping to a vector potential, we can construct many interesting eld con gurations. For exam ple, consider a lattice with the striped geometry shown in gure 1 (b), where as one moves in the  $\hat{x}$  direction, one encounters alternating rows of sites A, B, and C. With this geometry, only the x-component of the vector potential, Ax, will be non-zero. In the sim plest case, where each of the three coupling lasers have the same wavevector q and detuning , the vector potential is A(r) =\$ (c=ed) (q r t), where d is the lattice spacing. This corresponds to a uniform electric eld  $E = \hat{x}$  c=ed and a uniform magnetic eld  $B = \hat{X}$ q (c=ed). By changing the relative angle between q and the  $\hat{x}$  axis, one can control the strength of the magnetic eld. Since the recoil

m om entum q can be m ade com parable to the inverse lattice spacing, one should be able to construct extrem ely large elds where ux through a unit cell of the lattice exceeds the magnetic ux quantum  $_0 = 2$  c=e.

If q is aligned with the hopping direction, then the e ective magnetic eld vanishes, resulting in an electric eld without a magnetic eld.

A pplications: Earlier we introduced some interesting problem swhich could be addressed by applying e ective electric and magnetic elds to a system of particles on a lattice. Here we discuss a further possibilities.

At moderate values of the \magnetic eld" experiments could explore how the periodic potential affects vortex structures in a Bose condensate [10]. One could also study vortex physics near \pairing transitions" where the structure of vortices change [11]

Atmuch larger elds ( 0) Jaksch and Zoller [7] recently discussed the exciting idea of using neutral atom s to study the fractal energy spectrum that Hofstadter [12] predicted for noninteracting charged particles on a lattice in a magnetic eld. The spectral gaps would be observable as plateaus in the density of noninteracting harm onically trapped ferm ions. It would be even more exciting to explore an interacting system in this same regime, and study fractional quantum Hall physics, and the interplay between quantum Halle ects, Mott insulating physics, and this fractal single-particle spectrum [13]. The sim plest such experim ent would use the geom etry in gure 1(b), and allow the system to equilibrate = 0. All single particle observables are m easurwith able through imaging, while photoassociation provides access to the short range pair correlation function [2]. Som e transport m easurem ents are possible by detuning

Several authors have shown that for lling fractions 1=2 < < 6, bosons with short range interactions in a strong magnetic eld will form non-trivial many-body states [2]. Ferm ions are more tricky, as s-wave interactions (which dom inate at low tem peratures) cannot lead to fractional quantum H alle ects in ferm ions. How ever, resonantly enhanced p-wave interactions can lead to such correlated states [14].

€0.

the lasers so that

P revious proposals for creating analogs of quantum H all states in cold atom s relied upon rotation to provide the e ective vector potential. Such schemes are made di cult by the need to carefully balance the centripetal force which maintains rotation and the harm onic trapping potential. The window of rotation speeds for nding strongly-correlated physics falls o with the inverse of the number of particles. The present approach does not require this delicate balancing of forces, and therefore allows one to study these e ects in a macroscopic system.

Not only are magnetic elds of interest, but so are large electric elds. For example Sachdev et al. [15] have discussed the intricate M ott-Insulator states which are found when the 'voltage di erence' between neighboring wells is comparable to the on-site repulsion. The method presented here is a powerful tool for studying such states.

U nphysical Fields: We once again emphasize that although A couples to the neutral atoms as if it were a vector potential, it does not obey Maxwell's equations. Consequently, one can engineer seem ingly paradoxical geometries. Consider, for instance, the ring of sites illustrated in gure 1c, with all detunings set equal. A coording to equation (9), there is a uniform 'electric'

eld pointing along the chain. Thus a particle can move around the ring, continuously moving to a lower potential energy, returning to the starting point, but (by conservation of energy) having gained a great deal of kinetic energy. One can repeat the process ad in nitum; the maximum velocity is limited only by Um klapp processes. That is, when the particles deB roglie wavevector coincides with the intersite distance, the matter wave is B ragg relected o of the lattice, and reverses direction. If the chain was not bent in a circle, this relection would lead to the familiar B loch oscillations. No conservation laws are violated by this continuous acceleration, as the lasers provide a source of energy and momentum.

This bizarre situation where a particle can reduce its potential energy by moving in a closed path is reminiscent of the optical illusion in MC Escher's print \A scending and Descending," where a staircase form s a continuously descending closed loop. The quantum mechanical properties of a particle in such a chain of N sites are ascertained by noting that the Ham ilto- $\sum_{j=1}^{N} (e^{i t y} + e^{i t y}); w = 1$ nian, H = 1, is translationally invariant, and therefore N + 1 extraordinarily simple in momentum, space. In terms  $e^{2 \text{ ijk=N}} = N$ , the Ham iltoof operators  $a_k$  =  $_{k} E_{k}$  (t) $a_{k}^{Y}a_{k}$ : The eigenvalues nian is diagonal, H =  $2 \cos(2 \text{ k=N} + t)$  are time dependent, re- $E_k$  (t) = ecting the non-equilibrium nature of the system. The motion of a wave-packet is determined by the instantaneous phase velocity

$$v = \frac{dN}{2} \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} = 2 d \sin(2 k = N + t);$$
 (10)

which oscillates as a function of time. The factor of dN = 2, where d is the intersite spacing, converts the velocity into physical units. This oscillation is exactly the Bragg di raction previously mentioned. During one period of oscillation, the particle moves around the ring approximately 2 = (N) times.

A more complicated geometry with similar paradoxical properties is illustrated in gure 1d. In this structure, a triangular lattice is formed from three interpenetrating sublattices with wells of type A, B, and C. Here, a constant detuning yields a very intricate unphysical electric eld con guration: arrows depict directions in which hopping reduces the potential energy. Upon traversing alternate plaquettes, a particle can continuously increase, or decrease its potential energy. To understand the behavior of a particle in this lattice, one once again relies upon translational invariance, and introduces operators  $a_k = \frac{1}{r} r e^{ik r}$ , where k lies in the rst Brillouin zone (BZ) of the triangular lattice, and the sum is over all lattice sites. The H am iltonian is then

$$H = e^{it} f^{P}_{j=1} f^{Y}_{r} f^{r+r_{j}} + H \mathfrak{L}^{i} (11)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{\substack{B \ Z \\ B \ Z}}^{Z} \frac{d^{2}k}{d^{2}k} a_{k}^{y} a_{k}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \cos(k) \frac{d^{2}k}{d^{2}k} t): (12)$$

The lattice generators  $fr_1$ ;  $r_2$ ;  $r_3$  g connect nearest neighbor sites, and are illustrated by arrows in gure 1d. Only two of these generators are linearly independent  $(r_1 + r_2 + pr_3 = 0)$ . The area of the rst Brillouin zone is  $= 8^{-2} = 3d^2$ , where d is the lattice spacing. A gain, the group velocity of a wave packet is simply the gradient of the energy  $E_k = 2^{-1} j \cos(k - jr + t)$ . Of particular note is the fact that at the zone center (k = 0) the group velocity is alway zero. Thus a stationary packet rem ains stationary. This result is not surprising, since there is nothing in the geometry which picks out a direction in

which the packet could start to move. M ore surprising is the fact that the elective mass, related to the curvature of  $E_k$  is oscillatory at k = 0, spending equal amounts of time positive and negative. When the elective mass is negative, quantum dilusion acts opposite to its normal behavior, and wave packets become sharper. Thus localization occurs: the wave packet's size oscillates periodically, rather than continually growing. Similarly, if the packet has a small momentum with jkj = 2 =d, then the particle does not simply propagate ballistically, but its velocity oscillates sinusoidally about v = 0, and the particle is trapped near its initial location.

P hysical R ealization: There are m any ways to engineer the three-state lattices described above. The di cult task is to produce the con nem ent and R am an couplings with a sm all num ber of lasers in a geom etry which can be easily in plem ented. A detailed analysis of the various con gurations goes beyond the scope of this paper, and a m ore com prehensive article is in preparation.

A key idea is that if the internal states are related by symmetries (ex. a spin-1 multiplet), then the various traps can be created by the same lasers, and the (A-B)and (B-C) R am an transitions can use the same drive. Driving transitions with microwave or RF elds, rather than lasers, will reduce the need for optical access [16].

A n alternative approach is to note that one can create analogs of electrom agnetic elds even if the sites A, B, and C, trap atom s in the same state. One can instead rely on a superlattice structure, where the energies of the three sites di er by large am ounts [17]. Hopping is only possible if a Ram an laser supplies the m issing energy; detuning and recoil give the same e ects as in the case with di erent internal states.

- W. Hofstetter, J.I. Cirac, P. Zoller, E. Dem ler, M D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 220407 (2002) E. Jane, G. Vidal, W. Dur, P. Zoller, J.I. Cirac, Quant. Inf. and Comp. 3, 15 (2003).
- [2] N.R.Cooper and N.K.W ilkin, Phys.Rev.B 60, R16279 (1999); S.Viefers, T.H. Hansson, and S.M. Reim ann, Phys.Rev.A 62, 053604 (2000); N.K.W ilkin and J.M.F. Gunn, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 6 (2000); N.R.Cooper, N.K. W ilkin, and J.M.F.Gunn, ibid. 87, 120405 (2001); B. Paredes, P.Fedihev, J.I. Cirac, and P.Zoller, ibid. 87, 010402 (2001); T.-L. Ho and E. J. Mueller, ibid. 89, 050401 (2002); J.W. Reinders, F.J.M. van Lankvelt, K. Schoutens, and N. Read, ibid. 120401 (2002); B. Paredes, P.Zoller, and J.I. Cirac, Phys.Rev.A 66, 033609 (2002); N.Regnault, and Th.Jolicoer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 030402 (2003).
- [3] T. Senthil, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, A. V ishwanath, and M. P. A. Fisher, cond-m at/0312617.
- [4] B.P.Anderson and M.A.Kasevich, Science 282, 1686 (1998).
- [5] K.W.Madison, C.F.Bharucha, P.R.Morrow, S.R.
   Wilkinson, Q.Niu, B.Sunaram, and M.G.Raizen, Appl. Phys. B 65, 693 (1997).
- [6] K.W. Madison, F.Chevy, W.W ohlleben, and J.Dalibard, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 806 (2000) J.R.Abo-Shaeer, C.Raman, J.M. Vogels, and W.Ketterle, Science 292, 476 (2001); P.C.Haljan, I.Coddington, P.Engels, and E.A.Comell, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, 210403 (2001) E. Hodby, G.Hechenblaikner, S.A.Hopkins, O.M.Marag, and C.J.Foot, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 010405 (2002)
- [7] D.Jaksch and P.Zoller, New J.Phys. 5, 56 (2003)
- [8] A. Sorensen, E. Demler, and M. Lukin, condmat/0405079 (2004).
- [9] If the coupling involves a multi-photon R am an transition, then the wave-vector q is the appropriate sum /di erence of the wave-vectors of each of the lasers, corresponding to the recoil m om entum associated with the transition. C olinear R am an beam s yield q 0.
- [10] J. W. Reijnders and R. A. Duine, cond-m at/0401583 (2004). H. Pu, L.O. Baksm aty, S.Y i, and N. P. Bigelow, cond-m at/0404750 (2004).
- [11] M.W.J.Romans, R.A.Duine, S.Sachdev, and H.T. C.Stoof, cond-mat/0312446; L.Radzihovsky, J.Park, and P.B.W eichman, cond-mat/0312237; A.Kuklov, N. Prokov'ev, and B.Svistunov, Phys.Rev.Lett. 92,050402 (2004); Phys.Rev.A 69,025601 (2004).
- [12] D.R.Hofstadter, Phys.Rev.B 14, 2239 (1976)
- [13] X.-G. W en and Y.-S. W u, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1501 (1993); D.P. fannkuche and A.H.M. acdonald, Phys. Rev. B 56, R7100 (1997).
- [14] N. Regnault, and Th. Jolicoeur, cond-mat/0404093 (2004).
- [15] S. Sachdev, K. Sengupta, and S. M. G invin, Phys. Rev. B 66, 075128 (2002).
- [16] To achieve large analog m agnetic elds, at least one transition m ust be driven by R am an lasers.
- [17] S. Peil, J. V. Porto, B. L. Tolra, J. M. Obrecht, B. E. King, M. Subbotin, S. L. Rolston, and W. D. Phillips, Phys. Rev. A, 67, 051603 (2003).