The dependence of inform ation entropy of uniform Ferm i system s on correlations and therm all ects

Ch.C. Moustakidis and S.E. Massen

Department of Theoretical Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, GR-54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

Abstract

The in uence of correlations of uniform Ferm i system s (nuclear matter, electron gas and liquid ³He) on Shannon's information entropy, S, is studied. S is the sum of the information entropies in position and momentum spaces. It is found that, for three di erent Ferm i system s with di erent particle interactions, the correlated part of S (S_{cor}) depends on the correlation parameter of the system s or on the discontinuity gap of the momentum distribution through two parameter expressions. The values of the parameters characterize the strength of the correlations. A two parameter expression also holds between S_{cor} and the mean kinetic energy (K) of the Ferm i system. The study of therm all electron the uncorrelated electron gas leads to a relation between the therm all part of S ($S_{therm al}$) and the fundamental quantities of tem perature, therm odynamical entropy and the mean kinetic energy. It is found that, in the case of low tem perature limit, the expression connecting $S_{therm all}$ with K is the same to the one which connects S_{cor} with K. There are only some small di erences on the values of the parameters. Thus, regardless of the reason (correlations or them all) that changes K, S takes alm ost the same value.

PACS numbers: 05.30 Fk, 21.65.+ f, 67.55.-s, 89.70.+ c, 65.40 Gr

1 Introduction

Inform ation theoretical methods have in recent years played an important role in the study of quantum mechanical systems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] in two cases: rst in the clari cation of fundam ental concepts of quantum mechanics and second in the synthesis of probability densities in position and momentum space. An important step was the discovery of an entropic uncertainty relation [1] which for a three-dimensional system has the form

$$S = S_r + S_k$$
 3(1 + ln)' 6:434; (1)

where

$$S_r = (r) \ln (r) dr;$$
 $S_k = n(k) \ln n(k) dk$ (2)

are Shannon's information entropies (E) in position – and momentum –space and (r), n(k) are the density distribution (DD) and momentum distribution (MD), respectively, normalized to unity.

The physical meaning of S_r and S_k is that it is a measure of quantum -mechanical uncertainty and represents the information content of a probability distribution, in our case of various ferm ionic systems density and momentum distributions. Inequality (1) provides a lower bound for S which is attained for G aussian wave functions. It is mentioned that the sum $S = S_r + S_k$ is invariant to uniform scaling of coordinates, while the individual entropies S_r and S_k are not.

Ziesche [9], mentions in his paper that M arch refers to the information entropy with the following words: Further work is called for before the importance of S_r and S_k in atom ic theory can be assessed" [10]. We could extend that statement for fermionic and correlated bosonic systems as well.

The motivation of the present work, which is in the spirit of the above statement, is to extend our previous study of \mathbb{E} in nuclei, atom ic clusters and correlated bosonic systems to the direction of various uniform ferm ionic systems and to connect it with the interaction of the particles and the temperature. In uniform systems the density = N = V is a constant and the interaction of the particles is rejected to MD which deviates from the theta function form of the ideal Ferm i-gas model. It is important to study how the interaction a exts the MD as well as the \mathbb{E} . An attempt is also made to relate the \mathbb{E} with fundamental quantities such as the temperature, the therm odynamical entropy and the mean kinetic energy of the ferm ionic system (electron gas).

The quantum systems which are exam ined in the present work are nuclear matter, electron gas and liquid ³H e. Traditionally, the helium system is regarded as the most strongly interacting, with an almost-hard-core interaction, and the electron gas as the most weakly interacting, the inner C oulom b repulsion being very soft by comparison. The nuclear case lies somewhere between. Historically, the helium problem has proved to be the hardest to be solved, because of the hard core interaction. On the other hand, the nuclear problem presents a singular frustration and a special challenge because of the strong state dependence (dependence on spin, isospin, angular momentum) and noncentral character of the bare two-nucleon interaction, and also because of am biguities in the determ ination of the interaction from rst principles [11]. Moreover, the judgment about strong versus weak interaction depends on the density being studied. This fact is vividly illustrated by the electron gas, which is distinguished from the other exam ples by the long-range nature of the C oulom b interaction. C onsequently, strong coupling prevails in the electron gas in the limit of low density, whereas the helium and the nuclear system s become emore

strongly interacting as the density increases. In all these cases the strength of the interaction m ay be gauged by the depletion of the Ferm isea. Quantitatively, one exam ines the deviation of Z_F from unity, where Z_F is the discontinuity gap of the momentum distribution n (k) at $k = k_F$ in an uniform Ferm i system .

The paper is organized as follows. The method leading to the expression of Shannon's information entropy sum in nite Fermi systems is presented in Section II. Applications of that expression to nuclear matter, electron gas, and liquid ³He are made in the three subsections of Section II. In the same subsections numerical results are also reported and discussed. In Section III the study of the in uence of thermale ects on the information entropy sum ismade. Finally, the concluding remarks and the Summary of the present work are given in Section IV.

2 Information entropy for an in nite Fermi system

The key quantity for the description of the MD both in in nite and nite quantum systems is the one-body density matrix (OBDM). The OBDM is de ned as

$$(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{1}^{0}) = (\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2};...;\mathbf{r}_{N}) \quad (\mathbf{r}_{1}^{0};\mathbf{r}_{2};...;\mathbf{r}_{N}) d\mathbf{r}_{2}:::d\mathbf{r}_{N}$$
(3)

The diagonal elements $(r_1;r_1)$ of the OBDM yields the local density distribution, which is just a constant in the uniform in nite system. Hom ogenity and isotropy of the system require that $(r_1;r_1^0) = (jr_1 \quad r_1^0 \quad j)$ (r). In the case of noninteractive Ferm i systems the associated OBDM is

$$(r) = 1(k_{\rm F} jr_1 r_1);$$

where

 $l(x) = 3x^{3} (\sin x + x \cos x)$

and = N = V is the constant density of the uniform Ferm i system.

The density, normalized to 1 ($^{n}_{0}$ dr = 1), is given by the relation

$$_{\circ} = \frac{1}{N V_{\circ}} = \frac{1}{N \frac{4}{3} r_{\circ}^{3}}$$
(4)

where the volum $eV_o = \frac{4}{3}r_o^3$ corresponds to the elective volum e of the Fermi particle and N is the num ber of ferm ions.

The MD for ferm ions, having single-particle level degeneracy , is de ned by

$$n(k) = {1 \atop 1}^{2} (r)e^{ikr}dr$$
 (5)

The MD, normalized to 1 $\binom{R}{(n \ k)}dk = 1$, is given by the relation

$$n(k) = \frac{1}{V_{k}} (n(k)); k < k_{F}$$

$$n(k^{+}); k > k_{F}$$
(6)

where $V_k = \frac{4}{3} k_F^3$. The Ferm i wave number k_F is related with the constant density = N $_0 = 3 = (4 r_0^3)$ as follows

$$k_{\rm F} = \frac{6^{2}}{2} = \frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{r_0^3} = \frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{r_0^3}$$
(7)

where = 2 for electron gas and liquid ${}^{3}\text{H}e$ and = 4 for nuclear m atter. In the case of an ideal Ferm i gas the MD has the form

$$n_0(k) = \frac{1}{V_k} (k_F - k)$$
(8)

The information entropy in coordinate space (for density $_0$ normalized to 1) for a correlated or uncorrelated Ferm is given by the relation

$$S_{r} = \int_{0}^{1} \ln \varphi dr = \ln V :$$
(9)

Considering that $V = N V_o$, S_r becomes

$$S_r = \ln \frac{4}{3} r_o^3 + \ln N$$
: (10)

The information entropy in momentum space (for n(k) normalized to 1) is given by the relation z

$$S_k = n(k) \ln n(k) dk$$
: (11)

 S_k for an ideal Fermigas, using Eq. (8), becomes

$$S_k = \ln V_k = \ln \frac{6^2 1}{r_0^3}$$
 (12)

From Eq. (10) and (12) the information entropy sum $S = S_r + S_k$ for an uncorrelated in nite Fermi system becomes

$$S_0 = S_r + S_k = \ln \frac{8^3}{2} + \ln N$$
 (13)

It turns out that the functional form

for the entropy sum as a function of the number of particles N holds for the ideal in nite Ferm i system s. The same function has been found in Ref. [2] for atom s and in Ref. [3] for nuclei and atom ic clusters. That expression has been found also in Ref. [12] for the ideal electron gas.

In the case of correlated Ferm i system s, the \mathbb{E} in coordinate space is given again by Eq. (10) while the \mathbb{E} in momentum space can be found from Eq. (11) replacing n (k) from Eq. (6). S_k is written now

$$S_{k} = \ln V_{k} - \frac{4}{V_{k}} \int_{0}^{Z_{k_{F}}} k^{2} n(k) \ln n(k) dk + \int_{k_{F}^{+}}^{Z_{1}} k^{2} n(k^{+}) \ln n(k^{+}) dk$$
(14)

The correlated entropy sum has the form

$$S = S_r + S_k = S_0 + S_{\infty r}$$
 (15)

where S_0 is the uncorrelated entropy sum of Eq. (13) and S_{cor} is the contribution of the particles correlations to the entropy sum. That contribution can be found from the expression

$$S_{cor} = 3 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} x^{2} n(x) \ln n(x) dx + \int_{1^{+}}^{Z_{1}} x^{2} n(x^{+}) \ln n(x^{+}) dx ; \qquad (16)$$

where $x = k = k_F$.

A nother quantity expected to be related with the ${\rm I\!E}\,$ is the mean kinetic energy K , de ned by

where $_{\rm F} = h^2 k_{\rm F}^2 = (2m)$ is the Fermi energy.

From the above analysis it is clear that in order to calculate the E sum in uniform Ferm i systems, the knowledge of the MD is required. In dealing with various ferm ionic systems, we are necessarily driven to computational methods, since a pure analytical treatment is intractable. C om putational many-body methods may be classified in several different ways: they may be based on wave functions or on eld theory. They may be variational or perturbative. In any case the singular, or near-singular character of the basic forces involved precludes a simple, stepw ise perturbative calculation within an independent-particle (plane-wave) basis.

In the present work we apply the low order approximation (LOA) for the calculation of the M D in nuclear matter [13, 14, 15]. For liquid ³H e we use the results of M oroniet al. [16], while the M D for the electron gas is taken from a work of P.G ori-G iorgiet al. [17]. Of course there are a lot of data for the M D, for the two cases, in the literature. In our work we try to use the modern ones that exist up to now. It is worthwhile to point out also that our primary purpose is not the detailed analysis of the M D but the accurate calculation of the correlated part of the information entropy in various cases, using reliable data for the M D.

2.1 Nuclearmatter

The model we study is based on the Jastrow ansatz for the ground state wave function of nuclear matter $$_{\rm v}$$

$$(r_{1};r_{2};...;r_{N}) = \int_{1 \text{ i j N}}^{r} f(r_{ij}) (r_{1};r_{2};...;r_{N})$$
(18)

where $r_{ij} = jr_i \quad r_j \quad j$ is a Slater determ in ant (here, of plane waves with appropriate spin-isospin factors, lling the Ferm i sea) and f (r) is a state-independent two-body correlation function. In the present work the correlation function is taken to be the Jastrow function [18]

$$f(r) = 1 \exp[{^2r^2}]$$
 (19)

where is the correlation parameter. A cluster expansion for the one-body density matrix $(r_1; r_1^0)$ has been derived by Gaudin, Gillespie and Ripka [13, 14, 15] for the Jastrow trial function (18).

In the LOA the momentum distribution is constructed as [15]

$$n_{LOA}(k) = (k_F k) [1 k_{ir} + Y(k;8)] + 8 k_{dir}Y(k;2) [Y(k;4)]^{i}$$
(20)

where

$$c^{1}Y(k;) = \frac{e^{\frac{K^{2}}{4}} e^{\frac{K^{2}}{4}}}{2k} + \int_{0}^{Z} e^{\frac{y^{2}}{4}} dy + \operatorname{sgn}(k) \int_{0}^{Z} e^{\frac{y^{2}}{4}} dy$$
(21)

and

$$c = \frac{1}{8^{p}} - \frac{1}{2}; \quad \tilde{k} = -\frac{k}{p}; \quad \tilde{k} = \frac{k_{F}}{p}; \quad k = 2;4;8;$$
 (22)

and sgn(x) = x = jx j. The norm alization condition for the momentum distribution is

$$\int_{0}^{Z} n_{\text{LOA}}(k)k^{2}dk = \frac{1}{3}k_{\text{F}}^{3}$$
(23)

A rough measure of correlations and of the rate of convergence of the cluster expansion is given by the dimensionless Jastrow wound parameter

$$k_{dir} = [f(r) \ 1^{2}]dr$$
 (24)

where $= 2k_F^3 = (3^2)$ is the density of the uniform nucleon matter. Eq. (24) gives the following relation between the wound parameter k_{dir} and the correlation parameter

$$k_{dir} = \frac{1}{3 \frac{p}{2}} \frac{k_F}{2}$$
 (25)

It is clear that large k_{dir} in plies strong correlations and poor convergence of the cluster expansion. In the num erical calculations the correlation parameter was in the interval: 1.01 2:482. That range corresponds to 0:3 k_{dir} 0:02 and is a reasonable interval in the case of nuclear matter [15].

The calculated values of S_{cor} for nuclear matter versus the wound parameter k_{dir} are displayed by points in Fig.1a. It is seen that S_{cor} is an increasing function of k_{dir} . The function S_{cor} (k_{dir}) is equal to zero for $k_{dir} = 0$ (no correlations) and the dependence of S_{cor} on k_{dir} is not very far from a linear dependence. Thus we tted the numerical values of S_{cor} with the two parameters form ula

$$S_{cor}(k_{dir}) = sk_{dir}$$
 (26)

That simple form ula, with the best t values of the parameters

s = 2:0575; = 0:6364

reproduces the num erical values of S_{cor} very well.

A nother characteristic quantity which is used as a measure of the strength of correlations of the uniform Ferm i system s is the discontinuity, Z_F , of the MD at $k=k_F = 1$. It is dened as

$$Z_{F} = n(1) n(1^{+})$$
:

For ideal Ferm i system s $Z_F = 1$, while for interacting ones $Z_F < 1$. In the lim it of very strong interaction $Z_F = 0$ there is no discontinuity on the MD of the system. The quantity $(1 \quad Z_F)$ measures the ability of correlations to deplete the Ferm i sea by exciting particles from states below it (hole states) to states above it (particle states) [15].

The dependence of S_{cor} on the quantity $(1 Z_F)$ is shown in Fig. 2. It is seen that S_{cor} is an increasing function of $(1 Z_F)$. For the same reasons mentioned before we tted the numerical values of S_{cor} to the two parameters form ula

$$S_{cor}(Z_F) = s(1 \quad Z_F)$$
 (27)

As before, the above simple formula, with the best t values of the parameters

$$s = 2:2766; = 0:6164$$

reproduces the num erical values of S_{cor} very well.

From the above analysis we can conclude that the correlated part of the inform ation entropy sum can be used as a measure of the strength of correlations in the same way the wound parameter and the discontinuity parameter are used.

An explanation of the above behaviour of S_{cor} is the following: The e ect of nucleon correlations is the departure from the step function form of the MD (ideal Fermigas) to the one with long tail behaviour for $k > k_F$. The di usion of the MD leads to a decrease of the order of the system (in comparison to the ordered step function MD), thus it leads to an increase of the inform ation content of the system .

Concluding we should state that, the increase of inform ation entropy sum of the nuclear matter is due to the increase of the number of nucleons of the system, as it is seen from Eq. (13) and also to the increase of correlations.

Finally, the dependence of the E on the kinetic energy K, which is given by Eq. (17), is also examined. The calculated values of the correlated part of E, S_{cor} , versus K is shown in Fig. 3. S_{cor} is an increasing function of K. It should be noted that S_{cor} is equal to 0 for $K = \frac{3}{5}_{F}$. For that reason we tted the num erical values of S_{cor} to the form ula

$$S_{or}(K) = S \frac{K}{F} = 0.6$$
 : (28)

That simple form ula, with the best t values of the param eters

reproduces the num erical values of S_{cor} very well.

Sum marizing, we can conclude that S_{cor} in nuclear matter is an increasing function of the wound parameter and the discontinuity parameter $(1 Z_F)$. It is also an increasing function of the mean kinetic energy of the system. The dependence of S_{cor} on those quantities is given by simple two parameter formulae.

2.2 Electron gas

We consider the electron gas as a system of ferm ions interacting via a Coulom b potential. The electron gas is a model of the conduction electrons in a metal where the periodic positive potential due to the ions is replaced by a uniform charge distribution. The density of the uniform electron gas (Jellium) is $= 3 = (4 r_0^3)$ and the momentum distribution is $n(x;r_s)$, where $x = k = k_F$ and $r_s = r_o = a_B$ (with $a_B = h^2 = me^2$, the Bohr radius).

The momentum distribution of the unpolarized uniform electron gas in its Ferm i-liquid regime, $n(x;r_s)$ is constructed with the help of the convex Kulik function G() [17]. It is assumed that $n(0;r_s)$, $n(1;r_s)$, the on-top pair density $g(0;r_s)$, and the kinetic energy K (r_s) are known (respectively, from accurate calculations for $r_s = 1$;:::;5, from the solution of the O verhauser model, and from quantum M onte C arbo calculations via the virial theorem) [17].

The qualitative behavior of $(x;r_s)$ is the following. It starts at x = 0 with a value $n(0;r_s)$ 1, and decreases with increasing x. For x < 1, it is concave. Then in the Ferm i liquid regime at x = 1, there is a nite jump (Fermigap) from $n(1;r_s)$ to a lower value $n(1^+;r_s) = n(1;r_s)$ $Z_F(r_s)$ with logarithmic slopes at both sides of x = 1. For x > 1, (correlation tail) $n(x;r_s)$ is convex and vanishes for x ! 1. For $r_s = 0$ (ideal Fermigas), $n(x;r_s)$ has the well known step function form n(x;0) = (1 x). Thus, the discontinuity $Z_F(r_s)$, starts with $Z_F(0) = 1$ and decreases with increasing interaction strength r_s . The discontinuity Z_F of n(k) at the Fermisurface narrows as the density decreases, which implies that the system is becoming more strongly coupled. That behavior is due to the fact that the screening of the long-range C oulom b interaction between the electrons becomes less elective at lower density. The inverse behaviour appears in nuclear matter cases and the atom ic ³He, where the basic interactions are of short range and Z_F decreases as the density increases.

At large r_s , the electrons form a W igner crystal with a smooth $n(x;r_s)$. r_s 1 and r_s 1 are the weak- and strong-correlation limits, respectively. For intermediate values of r_s , a non-Fermi liquid regime may exist with $Z_F = 0$. In such a case, $n(x;r_s)$ would be continuous versus x, with a nonanalytical behavior at x = 1 [17].

We examined the dependence of the correlated part of the IE for the electron gas on the correlation parameter r_s , (or = 3=(4 r_o^3)), the discontinuity parameter (1 Z_F) and the mean kinetic energy K. The dependence of S_{cor} on those parameters are shown in Fig. 1b, 2, 3. It is seen that, as in the case of nuclear matter, S_{cor} depends on those quantities through two parameter expressions of the form

= 0:8648;

= 1:6899

s = 0:1312;

s = 2:0381;

$$S_{cor}(r_s) = sr_s$$
(29)

with

$$S_{cor}(Z_F) = s(1 - Z_F)$$
 (30)

with

and

$$S_{cor}(K) = s \frac{K}{F} = 0.6$$
(31)

with

s = 2:0786; = 0:6601:

The values of the parameters s and have been found by least squares t of the above expressions to the calculated values of S_{cor} .

2.3 Liquid ³He

The helium interaction potential is very strong at small distances, its core repulsion being very hard (but not in nite). As a consequence there is a Ferm i-surface discontinuity of roughly $Z_F = 0.3$. This small value supports the view that liquid ³He is the most strongly interacting Ferm i system we have considered.

In the case of liquid ${}^{3}\text{H}$ e the calculation of them om entum distribution is performed from diusion M onte C arb (DMC) simulations using trial functions, optimized via the Euler M onte C arb (EMC) m ethod [16]. The EMC wave functions have pair and triplet correlations fully optimized, and provide the lowest available energy bounds. M oreover, their use in DMC calculations has led

to results of unprecedented accuracy for the energy, pair function, and static structure function. For ³He, back ow correlations have been included, in the usual way, by replacing the plane waves exp (ik_ir_j) in the Slater determ inant with exp (ik_i j), where $j = r_j + \frac{1}{k_{\text{fj}}} (r_j r_j)$. The function (r) can be taken either short range, or long range. The ³He results, presented below, were obtained with short-range back ow.

As in the cases of nuclear matter and electron gas, we examined the dependence of the correlated part of the IE for the liquid ³He on the density = $3=(4 r_0^3)$, the discontinuity parameter $(1 Z_F)$ and the mean kinetic energy K. The dependence of those parameters are shown in Figures 1c, 2 and 3, respectively. As in the previous two cases, S_{cor} depends on those parameters through simple two parameter form ulae of the form

s = 2032:56; = 1:4757

$$S_{cor}() = s \tag{32}$$

with

 $S_{cor}(Z_F) = s(1 - Z_F)$ (33)

with

s = 13:0640; = 0:2070

and

$$S_{cor}(K) = S \frac{K}{F} = 0.6$$
(34)

with

s = 3:0993; = 0:5236:

The values of the parameters s and have been found by least squares to f the above expressions to the calculated values of S_{cor} . The values of the parameters of expressions (32) and (33) indicate the strong character of the interaction of liquid ³He. That character is also indicated by the expression of S_{cor} (Z_F) (Eq. (33)). That expression di ers from the corresponding expressions of the electron gas and nuclear matter.

3 Therm ale ects in electron gas

The electrons of the electron gas, at temperature T = 0, occupy all the lower available states up to a highest one, the Ferm i level. As the temperature increases the electrons of the gas tend to become excited into states of energy of order kT higher than the Ferm i energy. However, the electrons with the lower energy cannot be excited as there are not available states for them to be excited. Only a sm all fraction of the gas, of order $T=T_F$, with energy about kT lower than the Ferm i energy have any chance to be excited. The rest remain una ected in their zero-degree situation. The net result is that the mean occupation num ber becomes slightly blurred compared to its sharp, step function form at T = 0 [19]. In general the occupation number of the electron gas is given by the Ferm i-D irac form ula

$$n() = \frac{1}{\exp \frac{1}{k_{\rm B}T}} () + 1$$
(35)

where $=\frac{p^2}{2m}$ (p = hk), k_B is the Boltzm ann's constant and is the chemical potential. The chemical potential of a gas at absolute zero (T = 0) coincides with the Fermi energy _F. This is the characteristic energy for a Fermi gas and is by de nition the energy of the highest single-particle level occupied at T = 0. The Fermi energy is given by the relation

$$_{\rm F} = \frac{{\rm h}^2}{2{\rm m}} \ 3^{-2} \ ^{2=3} \tag{36}$$

while the Ferm i tem perature is de ned by

$$F_{\rm F} = k_{\rm B} T_{\rm F} \tag{37}$$

W e will exam ine how the IE sum of the electron gas is a ected when the tem perature starts to increase above zero. Our study will include the cases of low tem perature and high tem perature lim it, separately.

3.1 Therm all ects in electron gas for T $T_{\rm F}$

Since there is only one characteristic temperature, the Ferm i temperature, by the term low energy we will mean the lim it T T_F . It is easy to see that for electron gas, i.e. in copper, $T_F = 8.5 = 10^{\circ}$ °K, while the melting point is of the order of 10^{3} °K. Thus, at all temperatures at which copper is a solid, the condition T T_F is satisfied; the electron gas is in its low – temperature lim it. For T T_F the chemical potential, in a rest approximation, is [19, 20, 21]

$$= {}_{\rm F} {}^{2} 1 \frac{{}^{2} {}^{2} \frac{{}^{T} {}^{2}}{{}^{T}_{\rm F}} {}^{2}$$
(38)

and so Eq. (35) becomes

$$n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{h}{\exp \frac{1}{2} x^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{12} x^2 + 1}$$
(39)

where $x = (=_F)^{1=2} = k = k_F$ and $= T = T_F$ 1. The norm alization of n(x) is ${R_1 \atop 0} x^2 n(x) dx = 1=3$.

Following the same steps as in Section 2, the information entropy sum of the electron gas at temperature T T_F is written

$$S = S_0 + S_{\text{therm al}} \tag{40}$$

where S_0 is given by Eq.(13) and

$$S_{\text{therm al}} = 3 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} x^{2} n(x) \ln n(x) dx$$
 (41)

It is worthwhile to notice that the correlations between the ferm iparticles invoke discontinuity to the MD at $k = k_F$ while the therm all ect causes just a slight deviation from the sharp step function form at T = 0. That is shown in F ig. 4a, where the MD for a correlated electron gas with $r_s = 5$ and for an ideal electron gas at temperature T = 0 and $T=T_F = 0.2$ have been plotted versus $k=k_F$. The two cases of the gure ($r_s = 5$ and $T=T_F = 0.2$) give the same value for the information entropy. Thus, even though the origin of the two elects (correlations and temperature) is different and they in unce in a different way the MD, the two information entropies S_{corr} and $S_{therm al}$ are alm ost the same.

The calculated values of $S_{therm al}$ for various values of the tem perature (for $T = T_F$) are shown in Fig. 4b. It is seen that $S_{therm al}$ is an increasing function of the tem perature and depends linearly on it. The line

$$S_{\text{therm al}} = \frac{T}{T_{\text{F}}}$$
; = 2.5466 (42)

reproduces very well all the calculated values of $S_{therm al}$. That expression of the information entropy is similar to the expression which gives the therm odynamical entropy, S_{TE} , for $T = T_F$. S_{TE} in the low temperature limit has the form [19, 21]

$$S_{TE} = \frac{2}{2} N k_B \frac{T}{T_F}$$
 (43)

C on paring Eqs. (42) and (43), a relation between the two entropies could be found in the case $T = T_F$. That relation has the form

$$S_{\text{therm al}} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{S_{\text{TE}}}{N k_{\text{B}}}; = 2.5466$$
 (44)

while the information entropy sum is written

$$S_{IE} = \ln N + \ln 4^{-3} + \frac{2}{2} \frac{S_{TE}}{N k_{B}}$$
(45)

Thus, the inform ation entropy of a Ferm igas, which is a measure of the inform ation content of the system, depends on the number of ferm ions as well as on the therm odynam ical entropy of the system.

The increase of the tem perature changes also the m ean kinetic energy K of the ideal electron gas. For T T_F , K is given by [21]

$$K = \frac{3}{5}_{F} 1 + \frac{5}{12} 2 \frac{T}{T_{F}}^{2^{\#}}$$
(46)

In the exam ined range of T, K changes about 15 %. As K appears both in correlated and uncorrelated Ferm isystems, and a relation of the form $S_{cor} = S_{cor}$ (K) was already found in Sec. 2, it is of interest to exam ine the existence of a relation between $S_{therm al}$ and K.

That relation can be easily found writing Eq. (46) in the form

$$\frac{T}{T_{\rm F}} = \frac{2}{-} \frac{K}{-} 0.6^{1=2}$$

and replacing $T=T_F$ into Eq. (42). The expression connecting $S_{therm al}$ and K is

$$S_{\text{therm al}} = s \frac{K}{F} = 0.6$$
(47)

with

$$s = \frac{2a}{2} = 1:6212; = 0:5$$

Expression (47) is the same with the corresponding expression of S_{cor} (K) which is given by Eq. (31). The values of the parameters and (s = 2.0786 and = 0.6601) of Eq. (31) are close to the constants s = 1.6212 and = 0.5 of Eq. (47). For that reason we should expect that the same values of K corresponding either to the tem perature or to the electron correlation lead to similar values for the two entropies $S_{therm al}$ and S_{cor} . The calculated values of $S_{therm al}$, for the uncorrelated Ferm igas, versus K are shown in Fig. 3. From that gure, it is seen that for the same values of K, $S_{therm al}$ and S_{cor} take similar values, as expected. From the above analysis it is seen that the information entropy sum and the therm alpart of it are related with fundam ental quantities, such as, the tem perature, the therm odynam ical entropy and the m ean kinetic energy of the system.

3.2 Therm all eects in electron gas for T T_F

A relation can also be established between the \mathbb{E} and the therm odynam ical entropy in the classical case when it is assumed that n(k) 1. That condition is valid when the density is low and/or the tem perature is high. In that case the MD has the gaussian form [20]

$$n(k) = -\frac{a}{2}e^{-ak^{2}}; \quad a = \frac{h^{2}}{2mk_{B}T}$$
 (48)

and is normalized as R n (k)dk = 1. The therm odynamical entropy of the system is given by the relation [19, 20]

$$\frac{S_{TE}}{N k_{B}} = \ln V \qquad \ln N + \frac{5}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m k_{B} T}{2 h^{2}}$$
(49)

Following the steps of Section 2, the information entropy sum for the above system is written

$$S_{IE} = \ln V + \frac{3}{2} + 3 \ln 2 + \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m k_B T}{2 h^2}$$
(50)

C om paring Eqs. (50) and (49) and using Eq.(13) a relation between S_{TE} and S_{IE} can also be found in the case T T_F . That relation has the form

$$S_{IE} = S_0 + (\ln 2 \quad 1) + \frac{S_{TE}}{N k_B}$$

= $\ln N + (3 \ln 2 \quad 1) + \frac{S_{TE}}{N k_B}$ (51)

while the therm alpart of the inform ation entropy depends on S_{TE} through the relation

$$S_{\text{therm al}} = (\ln 2 \quad 1) + \frac{S_{TE}}{N k_B}$$
 (52)

Thus the inform ation entropy sum as well the therm alpart of it, in the lim it T T_F depends also on the number of electrons as well as on the thermodynam ical entropy of the system. Those relations are similar to the ones which have been found in the lim it case T T_F , only the two constants are di erent.

From Eqs. (52) and (49) a relation connecting $S_{\text{therm al}}$ with the tem perature can be found. That relation has the form

$$S_{\text{therm al}} = \frac{3}{2} + \ln \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{T}{T_{\text{F}}}$$
(53)

Finally, from the well known result

$$K = \frac{h^2}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{Z} n(k)k^2 dk = \frac{3}{2}k_B T$$
(54)

and Eq. (53) a relation connecting S_{therm al} and K can be found. That relation has the form

$$S_{\text{therm al}} = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{6} + \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{K}{F} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{765} + \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{K}{F}$$
(55)

W e can conclude that, at the classical limit, the ${\rm I\!E}$ as well as its therm al part is related to $S_{\rm TE}$, T and K , as in the low temperature limit.

4 Concluding rem arks and Sum m ary

A study of Shannon's information entropies in position (S_r) and momentum (S_k) spaces for three correlated Ferm i system s i.e. nuclear matter, electron gas and liquid ³He, was made. The analysis was performed applying the LOA for the calculation of the MD in nuclear matter, and using the results of Refs. [17] and [16] for the electron gas and liquid ³He, respectively. The strength of the ferm ion correlations in nuclear matter is measured by the wound parameter, k_{dir} , while for the electron gas and liquid ³He by the value of the constant density of the uniform system s. That strength can be measured also in the same way, in the three system s, by the discontinuity gap, Z_F (or 1 Z_F), of the MD at $k = k_F$.

It was found that the inform ation entropy sum, $S = S_r + S_k$, depends linearly on the logarithm of the number of ferm ions. There is also a dependence of S on the strength of correlations. It is remarkable that for the three di erent Ferm i system s with di erent particle interactions, the same or similar two parameters form ulae exist connecting the correlated part, S_{cor} , of the E of the system with the various kind of the parameters of the system which measure the strength of the interactions. For nuclear matter, electron gas and liquid ³H e the corresponding expressions are: $S_{cor} = sk_{dir}$, $S_{cor} = sr_s$, and $S_{cor} = s$. Our results for electron gas are in agreement with the ones of Ziesche [9]. For nuclear matter and electron gas the dependence of S_{cor} on Z_F is of the form $S_{cor} = s(1 Z_F)$, while for liquid ³He is of the form $S_{cor} = s(1 Z_F)$. The di erence in that expression of S_{cor} comes from the strong character of the particle interaction in liquid 3 He. From the above dependence of S_{cor} on the various parameters it should become clear that the values of the E could be used as a common measure of the particle correlations of Fermi system s. This is also supported by the fact that there is the sam e form ula, in the three system s, which relates S_{cor} with the mean kinetic energy of the system of the form $S_{cor} = s (K = F)$ 0:6). The increase of the \mathbbm{E} (through S_{cor}) with the parameter 1 $Z_{\rm F}$ or with the other correlation parameters $(k_{dir} \text{ or } r_s)$ is due to the fact that the e ext of the particle correlations is to diuse the MD from the step functional form (ideal ferm igas) creating a long tail behaviour for $k > k_{\rm F}$. That di usion of the MD leads to a decrease of the order of the system (in comparison to the order step function MD), thus, it leads to an increase of the information content of the system.

W e studied, also, how the therm all e ects a ect the inform ation entropy sum of the uncorrelated electron gas. The study was made for two cases, the low temperature limit and the high one. It was found that, in both cases, there are relations which connect the therm alpart of the IE with the fundam ental quantities such as the temperature, the therm odynam ical entropy and the mean kinetic energy. The dependence of $S_{therm al}$ on T and S_{TE} is linear with a larger slope in the low temperature limit than in the high one. $S_{therm al}$ depends on the logarithm of K in the high temperature limit, while in the low one is of the form $S_{therm al} = (2 =)$ (K = $_{\rm F}$ 0.6), where is the slope of the linear expression which relates $S_{therm al}$ with T. That expression is alm ost the sam e with the one which holds for the correlated electron gas, $S_{cor} = s$ (K = $_{\rm F}$ 0.6). The values of the parameters s and are very close to the constants 2 = and 0.5, respectively. Thus, independent of the reason that causes the increase of K the inform ation entropy increases alm ost by the sam e am ount either in the correlated electron gas or in the uncorrelated one.


ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Paola Gori-Giorgi for providing the data for the correlated electron gas and Dr. Saverio Moroni for providing the data for the liquid ³He. They would like also to thank Dr. C. P. Panos for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] I.Bialynicki-Birula, JM ycielski, Commun.Math.Phys. 44, 129 (1975).
- [2] S.R. Gadre, Phys. Rev. A 30, 620 (1984); S.R. Gadre, S.B. Sears, S.J. Chakravorty, R.D. Bendale, Phys. Rev. A 32, 2602 (1985); S.R. Gadre, R.D. Bendale, Phys. Rev. A 36, 1932 (1987).
- [3] M. Ohya, P. Petz, "Quantum entropy and its use" (Springer Berlin, 1993).
- [4] V. Zelevinsky, M. Horoi, and B.A. Brown, Phys. Lett. B 350, 141 (1995). V.V. Sokolov,
 B.A. Brown, and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Rev E 58, 56 (1998).
- [5] A. Nagy, R.G. Parr, Int. J. Quant. Chem. 58, 323 (1996).
- [6] V.Majemic, T.Opatmy, J.Phys. A 29, 2187 (1996).
- [7] C P. Panos, S E. Massen, Int J. Mod. Phys. E 6, 497 (1997); G A. Lalazissis, S E. Massen, C P. Panos, S S. D in itrova, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 7, 485 (1998).
- [8] SE.Massen, CP.Panos, Phys.Lett. A 246, 530 (1998); SE.Massen, CP.Panos, Phys. Lett.A 280, 65 (2001); SE.Massen, Ch.C.Moustakidis and CP.Panos, Phys.Lett A 289, 131 (2002); CP.Panos, Phys.Lett.A 289, 287 (2001).
- [9] P.Ziesche, Int.J.Quantum Chem. 56, 363 (1995).
- [10] N.H.March, Electron Density Theory of Atom s and Molecules, (A cadem ic Press, London, 1992), p.34.
- [11] Momentum Distribution, edited by R N. Silver and P E. Sokol (Plenum, New York, 1989).
- [12] C P. Panos, N K. Spyrou, and K Ch. Chatzisavvas, unpublished work.
- [13] M.Gaudin, J.Gillespie, and G.Ripka, Nucl. Phys. A 176, 237 (1971).

- [14] M. DalRi, S. Stringari, and O. Bohigas, Nucl. Phys. A 376, 81 (1982).
- [15] M F.Flynn, JW .Clark, R M.Pano, O.Bohigas, and S.Stringari, Nucl. Phys. A 427, 253 (1984).
- [16] S.Moroni, G. Senatore and S. Fantoni, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1040 (1997).
- [17] P.Gori-Giorgi, and P.Ziesche, Phys. Rev. B 66, 235116 (2002).
- [18] R. Jastrow, Phys. Rev. 98, 1479 (1955).
- [19] D.L.Goodstein, States of Matter (Dover Publications, Inc, New York, 1985).
- [20] F.M andl, Statistical Physics (John W iley, New York, 1978).
- [21] K.Huang, Statistical Mechanics (John Wiley, New York, 1987).



F igure 1: The correlated part of the inform ation entropy, S_{cor} , for a) nuclear matter, b) electron gas, and c) liquid ³He versus the wound parameter k_{dir} , the electric radius r_s , and the density , respectively.




Figure 2: The correlated part of the inform ation entropy for various Ferm i system s versus the discontinuity parameter 1 $~Z_{\rm F}$.




Figure 3: The correlated part of the information entropy for various Ferm i systems and its therm alpart for electron gas versus the mean kinetic energy in units of Ferm i energy.




Figure 4: a) The momentum distribution for correlated electron gas with electric radius $r_{\rm s}=5$ and the uncorrelated one for temperature T=0 and $T=0.2T_{\rm F}$ versus the ratio $x=k=k_{\rm F}$. b) The therm alpart of the information entropy versus the temperature T in units of $T_{\rm F}$.