

Lattice Dynamics in PbMg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}O₃

S. A. Prosandeev, E. Cockayne, B. P. Burton

Ceramics Division, Materials Science and Engineering Laboratory,
National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-8520
also Physics Department, Rostov State University, 5 Zorge St., 344090 Rostov on Don, Russia

S. Kamba and J. Petzelt

Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic,
Na Slovance 2, 18221 Prague 8, Czech Republic

Yu. Yuzyuk and R. S. Katiyar

University of Puerto Rico, Dept. of Physics, P.O. BOX 23343, San Juan PR. 00931-3343 USA

S. B. Vakhrushev

A. F. Ioé Physical-Technical Institute, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia

(dated: March 22, 2024)

Lattice dynamics for the ordered PbMg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}O₃ supercells were calculated from first principles by the frozen phonon method. Maximum symmetries of all supercells are reduced by structural instabilities. Lattice modes corresponding to these instabilities, equilibrium ionic positions, and infrared reactivity spectra were computed for all supercells. Results are compared with our experimental data for a chemically disordered PMN single crystal.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lead magnesium niobate PbMg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}O₃ (PMN) is an ABO₃-perovskite that exhibits relaxor ferroelectric (RFE) properties, such as a broad, frequency sensitive, dielectric permittivity peak at T_{max} near room temperature.^{1,2} It was originally suggested that RFE properties are associated with a "disorder phase transition" that reflects a random spatial distribution of Curie temperatures,¹ originating from chemical inhomogeneities; however, the implied chemical segregation of Mg²⁺ and Nb⁵⁺ ions on perovskite B-sites has not been observed. Rather, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) indicates chemical short-range order (SRO) such that 1:1 ordered domains, 20-50 Å across, are embedded in a disordered matrix.³ Thus, the microstructure is characterized by fluctuations of a 1:1 chemical order parameter on the 20-50 Å length scale, and not by compositional fluctuations about Mg/Nb = 1:2, as previously suggested.^{4,5}

The "random site model (RSM)" for the ordered domains,^{6,7,8,9,10} has NaCl-type Mg/Nb ordering with one B-site occupied by Nb⁵⁺, and the other by a random mixture of 1/3 Nb⁵⁺ + 2/3 Mg²⁺. Note that the phrase "random-site model" is only used for an idealized model. The phrase "1:1 phase" is used for real crystals that presumably have some SRO chemical correlations which are deviations from randomness on the Mg²⁺-rich sites.

Burns and Dacol¹¹ observed that the refractive index of PMN departs significantly from a linear temperature dependence below a characteristic temperature, $T_B \approx 630\text{K}$ (Burns temperature). They interpreted these data as indicating that polar nanoclusters (PNC) condense at $T < T_B$; and T_B is now generally ac-

cepted as the crossover between RFE and paraelectric (PE) states. Recent TEM studies^{12,13} have attempted to correlate chemical SRO with PNC.

Chemical SRO makes PMN inhomogeneous at a length-scale that affects vibrational mode activities. Mode activities in inhomogeneous media are determined by the range of interatomic forces, typically a few lattice parameters, and they do not depend on the wavelength of the probing radiation. However, the probing radiation wavelength does determine the volume in which the spectroscopic response is averaged. If, as in PMN, the inhomogeneities are smaller than the wavelength, an effective medium approach is justified.

Room-temperature IR reactivity spectra of PMN single crystals were first published in the 1970s:^{1,14} Karamyan reported three polar modes, characteristic of cubic perovskites. Burns and Dacol, however, reported many more modes, which they explained as "two-mode behavior".¹⁵ Subsequent studies of PMN ceramics¹⁶ agreed with Karamyan's spectrum, but the resolution was poor.

The interpretation of Raman spectra from PMN is also controversial (e.g.^{17,18}). The Raman spectra of PMN and PST are similar,^{17,19} and it was assumed that the main features of both reflect Fm $\bar{3}$ m symmetry (locally for PMN) as in the 1:1 phase. In Fm $\bar{3}$ m, the Raman active modes are A_{1g}, E_g, and 2F_{2g}: A_{1g} and E_g modes are observable in parallel (VV) geometry; 2F_{2g} modes are observable in crossed-polarized (VH) geometry. Polarized Raman studies and Raman spectral intensities support TEM results in suggesting that PMN has 1:1 chemical SRO in a disordered matrix.

The objectives of this study are: (1) to perform a comparative supercell stability analyses in PMN, including symmetry-breaking relaxations [i.e. finding ground

states (GS) with symmetries that are lower than those dictated by chemical ordering]. (2) to compute the lattice dynamics for the same set of ordered PMN supercells, and to compare the results with experimental data, e.g. by comparing simulated IR reactivity spectra with the experimental one. The goal is to find which ordered supercell most closely approximates the experimental case of local 1:1 order.

II. INFRARED SPECTRA

IR and THz measurements were performed on a PMN single crystal, down to liquid helium temperatures, where all polar modes are well distinguished. A disk of 9 mm diameter and 3 mm thickness was cut from a PMN single crystal, and polished for specular IR reactivity measurements. A time-domain THz spectrometer was used to determine the complex dielectric response $\epsilon''(\omega)$ in the submillimeter and near-millimeter ranges. This spectrometer uses femtosecond laser pulses to generate THz radiation via optical rectification on a ZnTe single crystal, with an electro-optic sampling detection technique. Low-temperature spectra were taken in transmission configuration from 100–900 GHz, where the thin (100 μ m thick) plane-parallel plate was semitransparent. Room-temperature dielectric response up to 2.5 THz was calculated from the THz reflectance data.²⁰ The unpolarized near-normal reactivity spectra were taken with a Fourier transform IR (FTIR) spectrometer Bruker IFS 113v at 20 K–300 K in the spectral range 20–650 cm^{-1} ; room-temperature spectra were measured up to 4000 cm^{-1} .

IR reactivity spectra were fitted together with complex dielectric THz spectra using a generalized-oscillator model with the factorized form of the complex dielectric function:

$$\epsilon''(\omega) = \epsilon''_0(\omega) + i\epsilon''^{\text{LO}}(\omega) = \epsilon''_1 \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\frac{\omega_{\text{LO}j}^2}{\omega_{\text{TO}j}^2 + i\gamma_{\text{LO}j}}}{\frac{\omega_{\text{TO}j}^2}{\omega_{\text{TO}j}^2 + i\gamma_{\text{TO}j}}} \quad (1)$$

where the dielectric function is related to reactivity $R(\omega)$ by

$$R(\omega) = \frac{\epsilon''(\omega)}{\epsilon''(\omega) + 1} \quad (2)$$

$\omega_{\text{TO}j}$ and $\omega_{\text{LO}j}$ are the transverse optic and longitudinal optic (LO) frequency of the j -th mode, respectively; $\gamma_{\text{TO}j}$ and $\gamma_{\text{LO}j}$ the corresponding damping constants. The high-frequency (electronic) permittivity ϵ''_1 was obtained from the frequency-independent 300K reactivity above the phonon frequencies. The temperature dependence of ϵ''_1 is usually very small and was neglected in our fits. The TO1 mode was fitted to the more accurate FTIR reactivity. Below 30 cm^{-1} , the THz data are more accurate than the FTIR data, so it was given greater weight in

the fit. The results are shown in Table I. In particular, the TO1 phonon frequency shifts from 88 cm^{-1} at 20 K down to 54 cm^{-1} at 300 K. Therefore we can call it soft mode (SM).

The low-frequency part [so called central mode (CM)] of the 300K spectrum, below polar phonon frequencies, was fitted to an overdamped three parameter oscillator model. This fit does not explain the experimental low-frequency permittivity which is one order of magnitude higher, owing to broad dispersion between the audio- and microwave-frequency ranges.^{20,21,22} It is well known that the distribution of relaxation frequencies in this dispersion reaches up to the submillimeter range at room temperature.^{20,22} At 20 K, a new heavily damped excitation appears near 30 cm^{-1} (Table I).




FIG. 1: Temperature dependence of Raman intensity (corrected for the temperature factor).

III. RAMAN SPECTRA

Raman spectra were excited with polarized light from a coherent INNOVA 99 Ar⁺ laser ($\lambda = 514.5$ nm) and analyzed with a Jobin Yvon T64000 spectrometer, that was equipped with a charge coupled device (CCD). Polarized Raman spectra were measured in backscattering geometry on the (1 2 3 mm^3) sample.

Incident light was focused to a spot size of 3 mm in diameter, as measured by optical microscopy. Low-

TABLE I: Parameters of the polar phonon modes in PMN, from fits of IR and THz spectra at 20 and 300 K. Mode frequencies ω_{TOi} , ω_{LOi} and dampings γ_{TOi} , γ_{LOi} are in cm^{-1} , η_i is dimensionless, $\eta_1 = 5.83$.

No	20 K					300 K				
	ω_{TOi}	ω_{LOi}	γ_{TOi}	γ_{LOi}	η_i	ω_{TOi}	ω_{LOi}	γ_{TOi}	γ_{LOi}	η_i
CM	36.2	44.8	62.5	56.2	129.2	24.2	69.2			539.1
1	65.0	15.2	67.1	18.2	0.6					
(SM) 2	88.2	22.0	122.9	32.7	15.7	54.3	38.3	102.0	17.3	79.6
3	245.5	76.9	264.1	49.0	11.8	227.7	85.1	282.6	65.0	17.7
4	272.3	59.4	382.1	60.9	4.1	287.7	55.0	334.2	45.0	0.9
5	385.1	41.2	406.3	21.4	0.1	336.2	47.5	397.7	7.5	0.13
6	434.0	93.7	455.3	22.0	0.3	427.9	55.7	442.3	35.4	0.2
7	547.9	80.6	703.0	22.9	1.8	545.4	105.1	703.0	62.5	2.0

and high-temperature micro-Raman measurements were made with a Linkam FDCS 196 cryostat and Linkam TS1500 hot stage, respectively. Measured Raman spectra were corrected for the Bose-Einstein temperature factor.

Fig. 1 shows the temperature dependence of parallel (VV) and crossed (VH) polarized Raman spectra in the temperature interval 77–700 K. The main feature is that the frequencies of all observed peaks are only weakly temperature-dependent. Below 270 K, a partial depolarization occurs, due to the leakage of intense peaks from the VV spectrum to VH.

All peaks are best resolved at low temperature, though most of them can be traced up to 1000 K. As shown in Fig. 1 the VV spectrum exhibits: a band at 54 cm^{-1} ; an envelope of strongly overlapping bands between 100 and 350 cm^{-1} ; a very weak broad band at about 430 cm^{-1} , that is clearly seen only at low temperature; strongly overlapping bands in the $500\text{--}600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ range; and a very intense band at 780 cm^{-1} . In the VH spectrum the low-frequency band is a doublet (45 and 62 cm^{-1}) and intermediate bands in the $100\text{--}350 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are also strongly overlapping. The intensity of the 780 cm^{-1} band increases abruptly at about 270 K, just below T_{max} . The 780 cm^{-1} band was interpreted as a fully symmetrical (A_{1g}) stretching vibration of oxygen octahedra that originates from 1:1 chemically ordered regions.²³ The $500\text{--}600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ wide band, usually associated with E_g Raman active vibration, increases splitting when T decreases¹⁸ below 350 K, and splits²⁴ in $I4mm$ symmetry but not in $R3m$. Actually, any monoclinic distortions split this mode either. Perfect 1:1 chemical ordering implies four Raman-active modes: $A_{1g} + E_g + 2F_{2g}$. The measured Raman spectra are much more complicated, however, which suggests that both chemical SRO, and local symmetry reductions from lattice instabilities, activate Raman modes that are inactive under $Fm\bar{3}m$ selection rules.

IV. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations for PMN were done with the Vienna ab initio simulation pack-

age (VASP).^{25,26} A plane wave basis set was used for electronic wavefunctions and ultrasoft pseudopotentials were employed.²⁷ Exchange and correlation energies were calculated with the local density approximation (LDA). Lattice-dynamical force constants were calculated via the frozen phonon method. Berry's phase analyses were used to compute dynamical charges, as implemented in VASP by M. Marsmann.

A. Ordered Supercells

Ordered supercells with PMN stoichiometry require $15n$ atoms ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). The calculations presented here are for three 15 atom cells, and two 30 atom cells (Fig. 2): a) $[001]_{\text{NNM}}$; b) $[110]_{\text{NNM}}$; c) $[111]_{\text{NNM}}$; d) $[001]_{\text{NCC}^0}$; e) $[111]_{\text{NT}}$. Here: subscript N = a Nb-layer parallel to $(h;k;l)$; M = a Mg-layer parallel to $(h;k;l)$; C = a chessboard ordered layer, i.e. a $M_{g1=2}Nb_{l=2}$ -layer or $(001)_{1:1}$ -layer; C^0 = a chessboard ordered $(001)_{1:1}$ -layer that is displaced by $[1/2, 0, 0]$ relative to an adjacent C-layer; T = a $(111)_{2:1}$ -layer of composition $M_{g2=3}Nb_{l=3}$, which is triangularly-ordered (Fig. 3a). The $[001]_{\text{NCC}^0}$ structure (d) has $(001)_{\text{Nb}}$ layers that alternate with CC^0 double layers (NaC1-type blocks). This structure was proposed²⁸ as a possible cation ordering GS for PMN, however the stability analyses reported below indicate that the $[111]_{\text{NT}}$ structure is lower in energy. The $[111]_{\text{NT}}$ structure has $(111)_{\text{Nb}}$ layers alternating with $(111)_{M_{g2=3}Nb_{l=3}}$ layers, and, as such, is an ordered approximate of the 1:1 "random-site model". Although labeled "NT" for Nb layer/triangular layer alternation, the cation arrangement in the 2:1 layers depends on the layer's orientation. In Fig. 2e, there are triangularly-ordered layers perpendicular to $[111]$ and $[\bar{1}\bar{1}1]$ and striped layers (Fig. 3b) perpendicular to $[\bar{1}\bar{1}1]$ and $[\bar{1}11]$.

For each ordered supercell, two space group symmetries, and two corresponding energies, are given in Table II: G_{Chem} indicates the space group symmetry dictated by chemical ordering without additional displacive instabilities; G_{SSS} is space group of the lower symmetry "structurally stable state." The SSS was determined by

TABLE II: Supercell space group symmetries G , formation energies E (kJ/mole, where mole is the Avogadro's number of a primitive ABO_3 unit cell, and relaxed cell parameters.)

System	G _{Chem}	E _{Chem}	a b c						G _{SSS}	E _{SSS}	a b c					
[001] _{NNM}	P 4=mm m	16.16	3.977	3.977	12.277	90	90	90	P m	12.27	4.050	3.965	12.250	90	90	89.84
[110] _{NNM}	A m m 2	14.33	5.664	17.087	4.008	90	90	90	C m	5.50	5.660	16.998	4.092	90	90.68	90
[111] _{NNM}	P $\bar{3}$ m 1	14.11	5.650	5.650	6.941	90	90	120	P 1	5.33	5.682	5.759	6.964	89.97	90.48	120.43
[001] _{NCCO}	P 4=nm m	11.51	5.646	5.646	12.044	90	90	90	P 1	2.99	5.645	5.665	12.197	90.15	89.93	89.85
[111] _{NT}	Im m m	7.66	5.615	17.050	8.022	90	90	90	P 1	0.00	(5.613	16.995	8.085	89.99	89.85	90.00)*

*Not the primitive $P1$ cell; 60-atom cell allows comparison with $Immm$ lattice parameters.

TABLE III: Ionic coordinates (in Å) in 15 ion ordered supercells of PMN

	$[001]_{NNM}$			$[110]_{NNM}$			$[111]_{NNM}$		
type	x	y	z	x	y	z	x	y	z
Mg	0.00	-0.03	0.00	3.97	3.97	0.01	-0.12	-0.04	0.03
Nb ₁	0.06	-0.03	4.03	-0.01	4.04	-0.10	-0.05	-0.10	3.99
Nb ₂	0.06	-0.03	8.22	4.04	-0.01	-0.10	-0.16	4.09	4.07
O ₁	-0.14	-0.10	2.16	1.92	4.16	-3.98	-0.26	1.86	4.04
O ₂	-0.23	-0.09	6.12	0.07	2.07	-3.94	-0.30	-0.20	6.02
O ₃	-0.14	-0.10	10.09	6.00	-0.16	-3.92	1.79	3.88	3.99
O ₄	1.99	-0.12	0.00	-0.16	6.00	-3.92	-0.29	-0.18	2.06
O ₅	-0.14	1.93	0.00	4.16	1.92	-3.98	-0.37	-2.08	4.01
O ₆	1.94	0.01	4.18	2.07	0.07	-3.94	1.83	-0.17	3.98
O ₇	-0.17	1.91	4.23	0.11	0.11	-2.02	-0.23	3.84	5.99
O ₈	1.94	0.01	8.07	0.01	4.01	-1.99	-0.23	5.96	4.07
O ₉	-0.17	1.91	8.02	4.01	0.01	-1.99	-2.14	3.76	4.04
Pb ₁	2.17	2.04	1.60	1.77	1.77	-2.27	2.17	2.13	2.15
Pb ₂	2.26	1.98	6.12	6.24	-2.33	-2.23	-1.97	2.14	2.04
Pb ₃	2.17	2.04	10.65	-2.33	6.24	-2.23	-2.01	2.22	5.92

TABLE IV: Basic vectors for the computed structures

structure	multiplier	x	y	z
$[001]_{NNM}$	4	1.012460	0.001455	0.000000
		0.001417	0.991364	0.000000
		0.000000	0.000000	3.062478
$[011]_{NNM}$	4	-1.002116	2.002737	0.004304
		2.002737	-1.002116	0.004304
		-0.004184	-0.004184	-1.022970
$[111]_{NNM}$	5	-0.004329	-0.809859	0.797156
		0.814385	0.814646	0.000288
		-0.806681	0.807082	0.798775
$[001]_{NCCO}$	4	0.999359	-0.996947	0.000397
		1.002589	1.000282	-0.002638
		0.000558	-0.003683	3.049203
$[111]_{NT}$	4	1.997368	-1.006931	-1.009787
		-1.006824	1.997432	-1.009407
		0.992322	0.992308	0.001922




FIG. 2: PMN supercells: $[001]_{NNM}$ (a), $[110]_{NNM}$ (b), $[111]_{NNM}$ (c), $[001]_{NCCO}$ (d), $[111]_{NT}$ (e)

applying random perturbations to the atomic positions, and then relaxing the system until the absolute values of all force constants are less than 0.001 eV/\AA^2 . The difference $E_{SSS} - E_{chem}$ is referred to as the relaxation energy.

In general, $G_{SSS} < G_{chem}$ for $Pm\bar{3}m$, and $E_{SSS} < E_{chem}$. Randomness of the initial perturbation does not guarantee that the SSS is in fact the ground-state of the system ($G_{SSS} = G_{GS}$), but it does guarantee that it is, at least, metastable.

We show here more tables (see Tables III to XII) than

TABLE V : The dynam ical charges of ions in the 15-ion supercells of PM N

ion i	[001] _{NNM}			[110] _{NNM}					[111] _{NNM}								
	Z _{izz}	Z _{ixx}	Z _{iyy}	Z _{izz}	Z _{ixx}	Z _{ixy}	Z _{iyx}	Z _{iyy}	Z _{izz}	Z _{izx}	Z _{izy}	Z _{ixz}	Z _{ixx}	Z _{ixy}	Z _{iyz}	Z _{iyx}	Z _{iyy}
Mg	2.56	1.90	1.90	1.94	2.60	-0.09	-0.09	2.60	2.72	-0.03	0.02	-0.02	2.72	-0.03	0.03	-0.02	2.72
Nb ₁	7.64	8.76	8.76	8.76	8.27	0.19	0.19	8.27	6.93	0.17	-0.13	0.13	6.93	0.17	-0.17	0.13	6.93
Nb ₂	7.64	8.75	8.75	8.76	8.27	0.19	0.19	8.27	6.91	0.19	-0.14	0.14	6.91	0.19	-0.19	0.14	6.91
O ₁	-4.62	-2.15	-2.15	-3.04	-4.15	-0.08	-0.29	-2.60	-2.33	-0.06	-0.12	-0.08	-2.34	0.17	0.23	-0.16	-5.79
O ₂	-5.18	-2.75	-2.75	-3.04	-2.58	-0.29	-0.11	-4.15	-5.79	-0.23	0.16	0.12	-2.33	-0.06	-0.17	-0.08	-2.34
O ₃	-4.62	-2.15	-2.15	-1.97	-7.05	-0.50	0.16	-2.01	-2.34	0.17	0.08	0.16	-5.79	-0.23	0.06	0.12	-2.33
O ₄	-2.91	-1.76	-3.99	-1.97	-2.01	0.16	-0.50	-7.05	-3.88	0.07	-0.13	-0.17	-2.54	0.04	0.12	0.02	-2.56
O ₅	-2.91	-3.99	-1.76	-3.04	-2.60	-0.29	-0.08	-4.15	-2.54	0.04	0.17	0.02	-2.56	-0.12	-0.07	0.13	-3.88
O ₆	-1.94	-6.84	-2.18	-3.04	-4.15	-0.11	-0.29	-2.58	-2.56	-0.12	-0.02	0.13	-3.88	0.07	-0.04	-0.17	-2.54
O ₇	-1.94	-2.18	-6.84	-1.78	-3.59	0.55	0.55	-3.59	-3.86	0.06	-0.12	-0.15	-2.54	0.05	0.11	0.03	-2.56
O ₈	-1.94	-6.84	-2.18	-6.74	-2.05	-0.05	-0.05	-2.05	-2.54	0.05	0.15	0.03	-2.56	-0.11	-0.06	0.12	-3.86
O ₉	-1.94	-2.18	-6.84	-6.74	-2.05	-0.05	-0.05	-2.05	-2.56	-0.11	-0.03	0.12	-3.86	0.06	-0.05	-0.15	-2.54
Pb ₁	3.43	3.80	3.80	3.96	3.97	-0.27	-0.27	3.97	3.85	-0.18	0.12	-0.12	3.85	-0.18	0.18	-0.12	3.85
Pb ₂	3.33	3.90	3.90	3.97	3.56	0.32	0.32	3.56	3.79	0.41	-0.51	0.51	3.79	0.41	-0.41	0.51	3.79
Pb ₃	3.40	3.80	3.80	3.97	3.56	0.32	0.32	3.56	3.88	-0.16	0.10	-0.10	3.88	-0.16	0.16	-0.10	3.88

TABLE VI: Diagonal elements of the dynam ical matrix (in cm⁻¹) for structurally stable [001]_{NNM}, [110]_{NNM} and [111]_{NNM} structures

structure	axis	Mg	Nb ₁	Nb ₂	O ₁	O ₂	O ₃	O ₄	O ₅	O ₆	O ₇	O ₈	O ₉	Pb ₁	Pb ₂	Pb ₃
[001] _{NNM}	z	268	332	339	725	585	724	335	343	296	316	255	322	120	106	120
	x	379	224	224	254	285	251	492	300	597	264	601	263	62	80	62
	y	410	145	145	234	288	233	274	533	230	525	230	526	50	54	49
[110] _{NNM}	z	347	233	233	291	293	253	253	291	293	465	604	604	67	72	72
	x	329	283	286	613	310	654	263	296	602	314	263	262	97	84	87
	y	329	286	283	296	602	263	654	613	310	314	262	263	97	87	84
[111] _{NNM}	z	358	280	280	249	602	249	646	277	240	648	239	279	73	67	72
	x	331	314	283	270	277	605	264	274	722	275	247	584	84	78	77
	y	330	282	314	605	275	268	277	583	251	265	723	277	78	78	84

in our submitted paper, in order to save place in the journal.

B. Short-Range Order and Lattice Averaged Spin Products

Chemically ordered, disordered and random configurations can be quantitatively analyzed with respect to their short-range order (SRO) correlations, and one can answer such questions as: which configuration is most similar to the random state^{29,30,31} or, more relevant for this work, which is most similar to the random site model (RSM)? The procedure is to calculate a lattice averaged spin product, $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_S$, for each structure (S) and cluster (r;t):

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_S = M(0)(0)_S + M(1)(1)_S +$$

$$M(2;1)(2;1)_S + \dots + M(8)(8)_S \quad (3)$$

Here, $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_S$ is the product between multiplicities, $M(r;t)$, for r-body clusters of type t and correlation functions, $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$, for the clusters. The parameter $r=1,2,\dots$, is the number of sites in the cluster (1= site, 2= pair ...8= cube), and t is an arbitrary index (e.g. t=2 for an r-body cluster of type 2). In a two component system, there is a one to one correspondence between symmetrically distinct clusters and (r;t) clusters (symmetrically distinct in the high-symmetry phase). The correlation function for any cluster is $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle = \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \dots \sigma_r \rangle$, where: $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \dots \sigma_r \rangle$ is an ensemble average; $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle = 1$ for Nb; and $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle = 1$ for Mg. In general: $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle = 1$; $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \rangle = 1$ for the site (point) correlation; $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l \rangle = 1$ for the first nn pair; $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l \sigma_m \rangle = 1$ for the 2nd nn pair, etc. The $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \dots \sigma_r \rangle$ sets listed in Table X III are truncated at the cube approximation; i.e. only the correlations for cubes




FIG. 3: Filling the $Mg_{2=3}Nb_{1=3}$ layers in the $[111]_{NT}$ structure with Mg (black circles) and Nb (open circles) in the direction of (a-b) $[111]$, (c-d) $[001]$, and (e-f) $[110]$.

and their subclusters, are included. Indices in column 1 identify symmetrically distinct subclusters of the cube in space group $P\bar{3}m$, labeled as in Figure 6; column 2 lists the $M(r;t)$; columns 3-9 list the $(r;t)$ for the random state, RSM, and the $[001]$, $[111]$, $[110]$, and $[111]_{CCO}$ supercells, respectively.

The $f(r;t)g$ sets for the $[001]$, $[111]$, $[110]$, and $[111]_{CCO}$ structures were calculated by counting the distributions of distinct cube-cluster configurations in each structure, and the distribution of subclusters therein. Correlation functions for the random configuration were calculated using

$$\begin{aligned} x(8)_{ijklmnop} &= \\ &= x(1)_i x(1)_j x(1)_k x(1)_l x(1)_m x(1)_n x(1)_o x(1)_p; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $x(8)_{ijklmnop}$ is the probability that a cube (8-body cluster) has configuration $ijklmnop$, and $x(1)_i$ is the probability of finding atom i on the site labeled i in Figure 6. That is, $x(1)_i = 2/3$ when Nb occupies site i , and $x(1)_i = 1/3$ when Mg occupies site i . Cluster algebra relationships between the $x(r;t)$ and $f(r;t)$ for a two component system was fully described by Sanchez and de Fontaine³², and the extension to multicomponent systems was described in Sanchez et. al.³³.

The set $f(r;t)g$, for the RSM, was approximated numerically by generating a $399 \times 399 \times 399$ site simulation box with ideal NaCl-type ordering of Nb and Mg, ran-

TABLE VII: The ionic coordinates (in Å) for the 30-ion supercells

type	$[001]_{NNM}$			$[111]_{NT}$		
	x	y	z	x	y	z
Mg_1	7.98	-0.02	8.16	-0.07	7.95	-4.06
Mg_2	4.00	-0.00	4.08	7.95	-0.07	-4.07
Nb_1	4.01	-0.09	8.11	3.95	3.96	-4.00
Nb_2	3.95	-0.04	0.08	3.96	3.96	-8.02
Nb_3	7.93	-0.02	0.00	7.94	3.91	-4.08
Nb_4	3.99	-3.98	4.12	3.89	7.95	-4.08
O_1	2.04	0.40	8.10	8.21	3.90	-6.08
O_2	5.96	-0.31	8.04	3.82	4.15	-6.10
O_3	4.40	1.98	8.12	3.91	0.20	-2.15
O_4	3.66	-1.98	8.10	4.27	7.82	-6.08
O_5	2.05	0.17	0.00	-0.12	4.18	-2.14
O_6	6.03	-0.03	12.02	4.15	3.84	-2.10
O_7	4.18	2.01	12.12	1.99	7.85	-4.32
O_8	4.00	-1.98	12.07	5.95	3.82	-4.32
O_9	1.98	0.00	3.86	5.94	0.29	-4.01
O_{10}	6.06	0.06	3.96	0.14	10.02	-4.05
O_{11}	4.02	2.06	3.87	4.22	5.96	-4.03
O_{12}	4.06	-2.02	3.92	3.80	2.03	-4.32
O_{13}	0.27	-0.01	10.21	2.03	4.21	-3.97
O_{14}	4.00	-3.92	1.94	-0.11	5.94	-4.37
O_{15}	0.11	-0.04	6.09	10.01	-0.19	-4.32
O_{16}	3.97	0.14	10.11	-2.07	8.25	-4.03
O_{17}	4.12	0.02	1.95	8.21	1.99	-4.00
O_{18}	3.95	0.20	6.13	7.84	-2.07	-4.36
Pb_1	5.75	1.99	10.07	5.91	1.70	-6.02
Pb_2	5.96	1.98	2.41	1.69	5.91	-6.03
Pb_3	5.84	1.87	6.30	1.95	1.92	-1.76
Pb_4	1.89	1.74	10.10	5.85	5.96	-6.11
Pb_5	5.95	-2.04	2.40	5.85	1.77	-1.90
Pb_6	2.06	1.83	6.27	1.84	5.89	-1.83

domly changing 1/3 of the Mg ions to Nb, and counting cube-, and cube-subcluster, correlations in the simulation box.

Because $\langle r;t \rangle_S$ for any ordered state or random ensemble is a vector, one can unambiguously (except for truncation errors) compare average Pythagorean distances between $\langle r;t \rangle_S$ for different states of ordered. The last two rows of Table XIII list average Pythagorean distances relative to the random configuration, $R_{S Random}$, and the RSM, $R_{S RSM}$:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{S RSM} &= \frac{1}{22} \left[[M(0)(0)_S(0)_{RSM}]^2 \right. \\ &\quad + [M(1)(1)_S(1)_{RSM}]^2 \\ &\quad + [M(2;1)(2;1)_S(2;1)_{RSM}]^2 \\ &\quad \left. + [M(2;2)(2;2)_S(2;2)_{RSM}]^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

TABLE V III: The dynam ical charges of ions in $[001]_{NCCO}$

ion i	Z_{izz}	Z_{ixx}	Z_{iyy}	ion i	Z_{izz}	Z_{ixx}	Z_{iyy}
M g ₁	2.74	2.65	2.65	M g ₂	2.74	2.65	2.65
Nb ₁	6.48	6.00	6.00	Nb ₂	7.87	9.11	9.11
Nb ₃	7.87	9.11	9.11	Nb ₄	6.48	6.00	6.00
O ₁	-2.61	-3.62	-2.82	O ₂	-2.61	-3.62	-2.82
O ₃	-2.61	-2.82	-3.62	O ₄	-2.61	-2.82	-3.62
O ₅	-1.99	-7.04	-2.09	O ₆	-1.99	-7.04	-2.09
O ₇	-1.99	-2.09	-7.04	O ₈	-1.99	-2.09	-7.04
O ₉	-2.61	-3.62	-2.82	O ₁₀	-2.61	-3.62	-2.82
O ₁₁	-2.61	-2.82	-3.62	O ₁₂	-2.61	-3.62	-2.82
O ₁₃	-4.15	-2.57	-2.57	O ₁₄	-5.71	-2.42	-2.42
O ₁₅	-3.93	-2.44	-2.44	O ₁₆	-5.71	-2.42	-2.42
O ₁₇	-4.15	-2.57	-2.57	O ₁₈	-3.93	-2.44	-2.44
Pb ₁	3.52	4.41	4.41	Pb ₂	3.52	4.41	4.41
Pb ₃	4.17	3.93	3.93	Pb ₄	3.52	4.41	4.41
Pb ₅	3.52	4.41	4.41	Pb ₆	4.17	3.93	3.93




FIG . 4: 8-site con guration .

$$\begin{aligned}
& + [M(3;1)(3;1)_S(3;1)_{RSM}]^2 \\
& + \dots \\
& + [M(8)(8)_S(8)_{RSM}]^2 g^{1=2}
\end{aligned}$$

A small value of $R_{S,RSM}$ implies a structure, S , that is more similar to the RSM , so clearly the $[111]_{NT}$ is a better approximate of the RSM than any of the other supercells listed in Fig. 2.

TABLE IX : The diagonal frequencies for the 30-ion supercells of PMN

ion	$[001]_{NCCO}$			$[111]_{NT}$		
	z	x	y	z	x	y
M g ₁	346	328	340	311	334	354
M g ₂	276	340	344	308	335	284
Nb ₁	279	271	290	289	288	279
Nb ₂	322	276	270	272	298	307
Nb ₃	318	286	251	302	276	269
Nb ₄	301	288	283	295	296	291
O ₁	385	537	333	585	271	277
O ₂	262	608	251	675	266	266
O ₃	399	331	521	618	274	256
O ₄	256	267	648	548	284	299
O ₅	300	640	248	626	268	247
O ₆	263	657	257	682	281	276
O ₇	297	272	625	284	670	255
O ₈	281	246	633	273	636	261
O ₉	292	577	302	314	587	296
O ₁₀	281	612	278	266	273	646
O ₁₁	285	278	600	273	268	659
O ₁₂	279	299	613	287	275	625
O ₁₃	555	264	294	281	662	265
O ₁₄	661	267	261	292	295	639
O ₁₅	735	214	207	290	602	324
O ₁₆	557	241	250	284	628	249
O ₁₇	733	233	253	302	295	630
O ₁₈	596	244	245	276	310	631
Pb ₁	108	88	76	81	81	92
Pb ₂	81	78	81	87	86	70
Pb ₃	93	81	68	97	76	94
Pb ₄	105	76	89	78	78	81
Pb ₅	88	79	70	84	82	85
Pb ₆	92	67	76	86	83	76

V . STRUCTURALLY STABLE STATES AND LATTICE DYNAMICS

Lattice dynam ical force constants were calculated by the frozen phonon method; i.e. in turn, displacing each ion by 0.01 Å in each of the three orthogonal (Cartesian) directions; the force matrix is $R_{i,j}$; where i and j index ionic positions, and are the Cartesian directions. The dynam ical matrix DM is $D_{i,j} = R_{i,j} = (M_i M_j)^{1=2}$, where M_i is the i -th ionic mass. Vibrational mode frequencies were found by diagonalizing the DM , and used to compute IR re activity spectra (2):

$$\omega^2(\mathbf{q}) = \omega_1^2 + \sum_i \frac{\omega_i^2}{\omega_i^2 + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \quad (6)$$

TABLE X : Assignment of the frequencies obtained for tetragonally averaged PMN_{NCCO} : n is the degeneracy, direction of displacements, q the wave vector corresponding to the reduced primitive unit cell, " the averaged over the directions contribution to dielectric permittivity. Notice that these frequencies differ from those obtained in the straight computations and, hence, can be used only for a qualitative analysis.

n			q	type	content (")
3	xyz	0	(0;0;0)	acoustic	all ions
4	xy	61	(0;0;2 =3a)	acoustic	Pb
1	z	68	(=a; =a;0)	acoustic	Pb
2	z	83	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	acoustic	Pb
4	xy	85	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	acoustic	Pb
2	xy	94	(=a; =a;0)	acoustic	Pb
2	xy	97	(0;0;0)	optical, Last-type	Pb-BO ₆ translation (11.3)
2	z	111	(0;0;2 =3a)	acoustic	Pb
1	z	121	(0;0;0)	optical, Last-type	Pb-BO ₆ translation (5.3)
1	z	178	(=a; =a;0)	optical	B, O _z
4	xy	192	(0;0;2 =3a)	optical	B, O
2	xy	232	(=a; =a;0)	optical	B, O _z
4	xy	249	(0;0;2 =3a)	optical	B, O
2	xy	249	(0;0;0)	optical, polar	B-O _z bending (0.9)
4	xy	252	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	optical	B, O
2	xy	253	(0;0;0)	optical, polar	B-O _{xy} bending (4.2)
1	z	253	(0;0;0)	optical, nonpolar	O _{xy} bending
4	xy	265	(0;0;2 =3a)	optical	B, O
1	z	266	(0;0;0)	optical	B-O _{xy} bending (4.3)
2	z	266	(0;0;2 =3a)	optical	O _{xy}
4	z	270	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	optical	O _{xy}
2	z	284	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	optical	B, O _z
2	z	287	(0;0;2 =3a)	optical	B, O
2	z	292	(=a; =a;0)	optical	O _{xy}
4	xy	292	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	optical	O _{xy}
2	xy	318	(=a; =a;0)	optical	O _{xy}
4	xy	342	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	optical	B, O _z
2	xy	354	(=a; =a;0)	optical	B, O _z
2	z	402	(0;0;2 =3a)	optical	B, O
1	xy	571	(0;0;2 =3a)	optical	B, O
2	xy	591	(0;0;0)	optical, polar	B-O stretching (0.8)
1	z	599	(=a; =a;0)	optical	B, O _z
1	z	606	(0;0;0)	optical, polar	B-O stretching (0.7)
2	z	660	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	optical	B, O _z
4	xy	677	(=a; =a;2 =3a)	optical	O _{xy}
2	xy	694	(=a; =a;0)	optical	O _{xy}
2	z	729	(0;0;2 =3a)	optical	B, O

$$\epsilon_i = \frac{Z_i Z_i}{4 \pi V \epsilon_0 m_i^2} \quad (7)$$

$$Z = \sum_i Z_i (m_0/M_i)^{1/2} a_i \quad (8)$$

Here V is unit cell volume, M_i is atomic mass, $m_0 = 1.67 \times 10^{-27}$ kg, $\epsilon_1 = 5.83$, the experimental electronic dielectric permittivity, ω is the frequency of the incident radiation, ω_i is the frequency of mode i , and a_i the component

of the DM eigen-vector for the i -th mode, i -th ion, and direction. The damping constant was set to 60 cm^{-1} , the approximate average of damping constants that were fit to experimental results (Table I).

TABLE XI: Eigen vectors for the zone-center modes polarized in the z direction obtained with the help of the tetragonally averaged dynamical matrix of $[001]_{NCC^0}$.

	B	O _x	O _y	O _z	Pb
121	0.027	0.035	0.035	0.032	-0.017
253	0	-0.072	0.072	0	0
266	0.031	-0.056	-0.056	-0.003	-0.002
606	-0.014	-0.019	-0.019	0.094	0.000

TABLE XII: The Wyckoff positions in the $Im\bar{m}m$ group.

a position	ions
4f	Mg ₁ , Mg ₂
2c	Nb ₁
2a	Nb ₂
4e	Nb ₃ , Nb ₄
8m	O ₁ , O ₃ , O ₄ , O ₅
4i	O ₂ , O ₆
4n	O ₇ , O ₉ , O ₁₄ , O ₁₇
4n	O ₈ , O ₁₁ , O ₁₂ , O ₁₃
4n	O ₁₀ , O ₁₅ , O ₁₆ , O ₁₈
8m	Pb ₁ , Pb ₂ , Pb ₅ , Pb ₆
4j	Pb ₃ , Pb ₄




FIG. 5: Computed potential relief for the Last-type soft mode in the $[001]_{NCC^0}$ structure.




FIG. 6: Atomic shifts in the equilibrium structure of $[111]_{NT}$. The average shift of the Pb ions is approximately along a $[111]_{NT}$ direction.




FIG. 7: Computed pair distribution function in the $[001]_{NCC^0}$ and $[111]_{NT}$ structures of PMN. PDF's are compared with experimental results of Egami.³⁷

A. Ionic relaxation

We first consider the relaxation of ions with respect to ideal perovskite positions in the G_{chem} structures. In every structure except $[111]_{NNT}$, the largest displacements involve Pb. In $[001]_{NNT}$, the Pb-ions with 4 Mg neighbors displace by 0.47 Å toward the $(001)_{Mg}$ layers in which the O-ions are underbonded (coordinated by two

TABLE X III: Multiplicities and correlation functions for: a random distribution; the random-site model (RSM); the ve ordered supercells.

Cluster	M (r;t) ^y	Random	RSM ^z	[001]	[111]	[110]	[111] _{NCCO}	[111] _{NT}
0 [?]	1	1	1.00000	1	1	1	1	1
i	1	-1/3	-0.33330	-1/3	-1/3	-1/3	-1/3	-1/3
ij	3	1/9	0.10686	5/9	-1/3	1/9	-1/3	-1/9
ik	6	1/9	0.02985	1/9	1/3	-1/9	5/9	0
io	4	1/9	0.06944	-1/3	0	1/3	-1/3	1/3
ijk	12	-1/27	0.03190	-1/3	1/3	-1/9	1/9	2/9
ikn	8	-1/27	0.05100	-1/3	-1/3	1/3	-1/3	0
ijp	24	-1/27	-0.00544	-1/3	0	1/9	1/9	0
ijkl	3	1/81	0.05259	1	-1/3	5/9	1/9	-1/9
ikmo	12	1/81	0.05528	1	1/3	1/9	1/9	-1/9
ijm	8	1/81	-0.04396	-1/3	-1/3	1/3	-1/3	0
iknp	1	1/81	0.00030	1	-1/3	-1/3	1	1/3
ijlo	24	1/81	-0.03173	5/9	0	-1/3	-1/3	0
iko	48	1/81	-0.07826	1/9	0	-1/9	1/9	-1/9
ijklm	24	-1/243	-0.02746	-1/3	0	-1/9	1/9	-1/9
ijknp	8	-1/243	-0.00626	-1/3	2/3	1/3	-1/3	-1/3
ijkmo	24	-1/243	0.09270	-1/3	-1/3	1/9	1/9	1/9
ijklmn	12	1/729	0.06074	5/9	1/3	1/9	-1/3	1/9
ijklmo	12	1/729	-0.00138	1/9	-1/3	-1/9	5/9	2/9
ijklmnp	8	1/729	-0.28448	-1/3	2/3	1/3	-1/3	-1/3
ijklmno	8	-1/2187	0.01467	-1/3	0	-1/3	-1/3	0
ijklmnop	1	1/6561	-0.04489	1	-1/3	1	1	-1/3
R _{S Random}		0	0.776	1.165	0.653	0.582	0.690	0.401
R _{S RSM}		0.776	0	1.297	1.006	1.080	1.201	0.747

^y M (r;t) is the multiplicity, per site, of the r-body cluster (r= 1,2,...8 sites) of type t.

^z Real numbers are from a 399x399x399 site simulation, and fractions are inferred, from multiple simulations.

[?] The Zero- or empty cluster.

R_{S Random} is the average (divided by the number of correlation functions, 22) Pythagorean distance between the LASP of structure S, and the LASP for a random alloy; and similarly for R_{S RSM}.

Mg²⁺ ions^{34,35}). In [110]_{NM}, the Pb-ions with 2 Mg neighbors displace by 0.47 Å toward the underbonded O-ion between the 2 Mg. The other structures do not contain nearest neighbor Mg-Mg pairs; nonetheless, each Pb-ions in an asymmetric environment of Mg and Nb is displaced off-center by a nonzero \local eld".³⁶ The magnitude of these displacements ranges from 0.25 Å to 0.27 Å for the [001]_{NCCO} and [111]_{NT} structures, but is only 0.04 Å for the [111]_{NM} structure. The small Pb displacements in the [111]_{NM} structure result because of a special local environment of Pb in this structure. The largest ionic motion is by the Nb-ions, which shift 0.09 Å towards nearest neighbor (111)_{Mg} planes. In the [111]_{NT} structure, Pb deviates from the [111] axis; a net displacement of (0.17,0.17,0.08) Å occurs.

Next, we consider the nature and result of symmetry-breaking relaxations leading to the G_{SSS} structures. All the 15-ion supercell have multiple instabilities. While

the different shapes of the supercells studied prevents direct comparison of the modes between the different cells, there are both ferroelectric instabilities of the Last type (Pb motion against the other ions), and antiferrodistortive (tilting) instabilities dominated by O motion. Fig. 5 shows the potential barrier for the Last mode in [001]_{NCCO} structure. With respect to the primitive perovskite cell, every supercell show wide dispersion of Pb- and O-dominated instabilities across the Brillouin zone. Nb motion opposite O in Nb-O-Nb... chains and planes also plays a role in the FE instabilities. We note, however, that long Nb-O-Nb... chains and planes are unlikely to form experimentally.

Given the existence of multiple instabilities in PMN supercells, it is not surprising that the G_{SSS} states involve the freezing of multiple modes. Figure 6 shows the complexity of the local structure in fully relaxed [111]_{NT}. Pair distribution functions (PDF) for the [001]_{NCCO} in

P4=nm m (dotted) and P1 (solid) structures are shown in Fig. 7. The peak at 2.8 Å is already split by the different Pb-displacements in the G_{chem} structures, and the splitting is significantly enhanced in the G_{SSS} structures. The agreement between the predicted and experimental PDF is quite good.

We estimate the polarizations of our stable structures by using the displacements of each ion from its position in G_{chem} , and estimated Born effective charges determined from averaging the calculated Born effective charges for the $[001]_{CCO}$ structure^{38,39}: Z_{Pb} 4.0; Z_{Mg} 2.6; Z_{Nb} 7.4; Z_{O_k} 4.8; Z_{O_z} 2.5. The results are shown in Table V A. The estimated polarization magnitudes are similar in magnitude, though about 10 % smaller than the zero-temperature polarizations estimated from first principles for $PbTiO_3$ ⁴⁰ and $PbSc_{1/2}Nb_{1/2}O_3$.⁴¹ Remarkably, three of the five structures have SSS polarizations that are pseudomonoclinic (close to an $[aab]$ -type direction).

TABLE XIV : Estimated polarization (in C/m²) of structurally stable states of PMN supercells.

structure	P_x	P_y	P_z	P_j
$[001]_{NM}$	0.525	0.191	0.000	0.558
$[110]_{NM}$	{0.158	{0.158	{0.547	0.591
$[111]_{NM}$	0.474	0.476	0.016	0.672
$[001]_{CCO}$	{0.228	{0.243	0.385	0.509
$[111]_T$	{0.238	{0.221	0.346	0.474

B. IR spectra and lattice dynamics of relaxed structures

The IR reactivity spectra are shown in Fig. 8, and compared with our experiment. It is seen that the best agreement between the theory and experiment is for $[111]_T$ structure. The complex dielectric spectra in the phonon frequency range are plotted in Fig. 9 together with experimental data obtained. We have qualitative agreement for high frequencies. At low frequencies, experiment shows very high values of permittivity connected with relaxations of PNC. These frequencies are below phonon's. Relaxation contributions to dielectric permittivity were not included into our theoretical consideration (we discussed these problems in a previous publication^{42,43}). Notice different scales in the experimental and theoretical plots. The total zone-center phonon density of states of the $[111]_{CCO}$ and $[111]_T$ structures are shown in Fig. 10. It is seen from this plot that the high energy phonon band is not very dispersive compared to the low frequency bands and compared to the bands known for ABO_3 perovskites. This can be explained by folding the bands due to ordering of Mg and Nb.

TABLE XV : The ionic coordinates for the structurally stable $[111]_T$ supercells. In units of the basic vectors.

type	1	2	3
Pb	0.920754	0.569936	0.214546
	0.571441	0.922035	0.212031
	0.219389	0.216641	0.269811
	0.752815	0.762316	0.731786
	0.406203	0.066264	0.724385
Mg	0.058984	0.396617	0.746027
	0.169985	0.836951	0.489575
Nb	0.838482	0.171084	0.488449
	0.495887	0.496219	0.501696
O	0.993701	0.993477	0.006489
	0.674003	0.338406	0.986974
	0.337066	0.674674	0.986512
	0.932277	0.574068	0.773252
	0.741991	0.769317	0.249203
	0.421168	0.112100	0.251287
	0.605392	0.901242	0.771526
	0.086447	0.444319	0.246015
	0.273884	0.247484	0.746089
	0.291333	0.779392	0.705622
	0.623756	0.446513	0.697410
	0.731616	0.261126	0.289206
	0.091774	0.913712	0.777444
	0.427503	0.571872	0.783701
	0.608550	0.461512	0.201063
	0.401129	0.582570	0.294687
	0.290460	0.793188	0.193402
	0.960049	0.111226	0.703000
	0.069719	0.928613	0.280924
	0.755079	0.237712	0.789281
	0.952809	0.127627	0.187698

Mode assignments were then made at several levels of approximation by averaging the $[111]_T$ DM.⁴⁴ The lowest-frequency modes of the supercell are related to the folded acoustic modes of the parent 5-atom cell: excluding zero frequency zone center acoustic modes, eigenvectors of the low-frequency modes in the frequency interval 19-100 cm⁻¹ are significant only on Pb. This corresponds to extremely low-frequency acoustic antiphase Pb displacements, which arise due to a very small diagonal element of DM for Pb.

Next, to understand how local 1:1 order may affect the phonon properties, the $[111]_T$ dynamical matrix was averaged with respect to a 1:1 ordered structure. The $[111]_T$ structure is the only one studied which is commensurate with such averaging. Effectively, the $[111]_{Mg_{2/3}Nb_{1/3}}$ layers were treated as though they contained only one average T-cation, $T = (Mg_{2/3}Nb_{1/3})$. Symmetry analysis of $[111]_T$ in $Fm\bar{3}m$, yields the fol-




FIG. 8: Computed (a-e) and experimental (f-g) IR reflectivity of PMN: a) $[001]_{NNM}$; b) $[011]_{NNM}$; c) $[111]_{NNM}$; d) $[001]_{NCC0}$; (e) $[111]_{NT}$; f) 20 K; g) 300 K. Dotted lines are damped oscillator models (see Table I) to the experimental IR reflectivity spectra (solid lines) and THz spectra (solid points).

lowing modes: $A_{1g}(R) + E_g(R) + F_{1g}(S) + 2F_{2g}(R) + 4F_{1u}(IR) + F_{2u}(S)$. Here, IR and R indicate IR- and Raman-active modes respectively, and S indicates silent. Computed eigenvectors of the $[111]_{NT}$ P1 structure were projected onto vectors of the averaged computation to obtain the modes expected to have the highest infrared and Raman activity. The results are shown in Fig. 11, and compared with experimental data from inelastic neutron scattering,⁴⁵ Raman scattering and FIR reflectivity measurements (this study).

Polar TO modes $[F_{1u}(IR)]$ are labeled TO1, TO2, TO3, and TO4. The relative contributions from Pb, T, Nb, O_k , and O_z in the TO1 mode (100 cm^{-1}) are: -0.16, 0.21, 0.27, 0.32, and 0.41 Å; where: O_k is displaced along B-O bonds; O_z is displaced perpendicular to B-O bonds.

The TO2 mode at 270 cm^{-1} , is predominately of the Slater type. Neutron⁴⁵ and our IR measurements (Table I) exhibit bands near this frequency. The TO3 mode near 340 cm^{-1} is from Nb moving opposite to T. Experimental evidences for this band is not definitive, although a mode is found near this frequency in the room-temperature IR data. The TO4 mode near 580 cm^{-1} originates from O_k -(T,Nb) stretching, and it is




FIG. 9: Experimental (a-b) and computed (c-d) dielectric permittivity and losses: (a-b) squares denote experimental microwave measurements obtained by using a THz spectrometer, circles IR measurements; the dashed and solid lines are the results of the fits to IR reflectivity at 20 K and 300 K respectively; (c-d) the dashed and solid lines correspond to the $[001]_{NCC0}$ and $[111]_{NT}$ structures respectively.

clearly observed in the IR and neutron data.

In first-order Raman scattering from $Fm\bar{3}m$ crystals, A_{1g} and E_g modes should be visible in VV geometry, while F_{2g} modes should be visible in VH geometry. The calculated A_{1g} Raman active mode at 810 cm^{-1} matches quite well the intense peak that is observed experimentally at 780 cm^{-1} in VV spectra. In $[111]_{NT}$ $Fm\bar{3}m$, this mode corresponds to a fully symmetrical, IR inactive, O-breathing mode. The calculated Raman active E_g asymmetrical breathing mode near 590 cm^{-1} is broadened due to local symmetry breaking, and corresponds with experimentally observed bands at 500 and 600 cm^{-1} . In all cases, we had some frequency intervals (and even a multiple peak structures) when projecting the modes obtained for GSS onto the modes derived from symmetrized DM.

The calculated Raman active $F_{2g}(2)$ mode near 360 cm^{-1} involves mostly symmetrical O_z displacements. A wide envelope of the bands is seen in our Raman experiment at this frequency. The calculated low-frequency F_{2g} Raman mode near 80 cm^{-1} is mostly from Pb-displacements. In the VH spectrum, the low-frequency band is a doublet (45 and 62 cm^{-1}). Both components are close to the zone-boundary frequency of the




FIG. 10: Computed zone-center phonon density of states in structurally stable states.

TA branch (50 cm^{-1}) according to neutron scattering data⁴⁵ (Fig. 11).

Experimentally, there are strong Raman peaks near 150 cm^{-1} and 250 cm^{-1} . These peaks probably arise from local symmetry breaking (octahedral tilting and/or polar distortions), and not from Nb-T ordering.

V I. D I S C U S S I O N

All the PMN supercells studied here (Fig. 2) are unstable with respect to lower energy structurally stable states, i.e. $E_{\text{chem}} > E_{\text{SSS}}$. Relaxation energies, $E_{\text{SSS}} - E_{\text{chem}}$, are large relative to the thermal vibrational energy, which suggests that local displacive symmetry breaking should persist to high temperatures, generating local strain fields. Some instabilities, however, have barriers of the order of thermal energy, especially Last-type modes corresponding to Pb-BO₆ stretching vibrations in finite-size chemically ordered regions. The softest vibrations are exhibited by Pb-ions that are coordinated by a highly symmetric array of Mg- and Nb-ions, or Pb-ions surrounded by Nb-ions only. Such Pb-ions have diagonal Pb-frequencies (the ratio of the spring constant and mass) of only 80 cm^{-1} .

Of the supercells studied here, $[111]_{\text{NT}}$, in $G_{\text{SSS}} = P1$, has the lowest energy, and with re-

spect to chemical SRO, it is the best approximate of the RSM. This suggests that it is also a good approximate for chemically ordered domains in a PMN crystal with $Pm\bar{3}m$ global symmetry. Egami⁴⁶ and Naberezhnov et al.⁴⁷ reported that the local ferroelectric instability in PMN below Burns temperature is associated with Pb-displacements in opposition to the other ions and this is essentially what occurs in the $[111]_{\text{NT}}$ Last-mode dominated FE instability, $Immm \rightarrow P1$. Note, however, that Last-type instabilities occur in all *ve* supercells. Computed distributions of the interatomic distances in the 30-ion supercells show that Pb-O distances split into two main groups at 2.5 \AA and 3.2 \AA , in agreement with experiment.³⁷

Computed dynamical charges in PMN are not as large as is typical for simple ABO₃ perovskites³⁹: the Nb charge varies from 6.0 to 9.1. An FE-active ion that is surrounded by less FE-active ions, e.g. Nb surrounded by Mg, typically has a reduced dynamical charge, e.g. relative to Nb surrounded by Nb; and the dynamical charge of the less FE-active ion increases (cf.³⁸). This happens because charge transfer is reduced when an ion of relatively low electronegativity is surrounded by ions with higher electronegativities.⁴⁸

Computed phonons for all the structures shown in Fig. 8 exhibit three similar features: (1) B-O-B stretching modes at $500\text{--}900 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; (2) mixed B-O-B bending and O-B-O stretching modes at $150\text{--}500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; (3) Pb-BO₆ stretching modes at $< 150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Differences between the computed IR spectra for different supercells (Fig. 8) are purely quantitative, not qualitative. The $[111]_{\text{NT}}$ spectrum is most similar to the experimental one: the $500\text{--}900 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ band is relatively narrow, as in the experiment (Fig. 8); but the other computed bands are less intense than their experimental counterparts. This is an artifact of using the same damping constant for all computed modes (60 cm^{-1} is an average of the experimental values). The 60 cm^{-1} damping constant, which we used in our computation, is significantly larger than the usual value for pure perovskites, 20 cm^{-1} . A large value for disordered PMN is expected because the random fields caused by chemical disorder broaden the phonon density of states. Experimentally, however (c.f. Sec. II), some modes exhibited extremely small damping constants, which implies sharper features in the reactivity spectrum.

One can see in Fig. 11 that the number and distribution of the modes in $Immm$ group over frequencies are much richer than in $Fm\bar{3}m$ geometry. For example, the single A_{1g} peak in $Fm\bar{3}m$ group can be connected with three A_g modes in $Immm$ group (857 , 800 , and 759 cm^{-1}), in agreement with Raman spectra. The distribution of the frequencies of these peaks in $Immm$ group is due to differences in frequencies for oxygen ions in different Wycko positions: the stretching vibrations of the oxygen ions in Nb-O-Nb chains are harder than other oxygen stretching vibrations, due to ferroelectric instability in these chains (combined with nearest Pb ions)




FIG. 11: Comparison of low-temperature experimental data and computational results: a) 12 K neutron scattering data⁴⁵ (full triangles and squares correspond to the longitudinal and transverse phonon dispersion branches; the bands show the frequencies of continual neutron scattering); b) Raman scattering data in two geometries (VV, solid line, and VH, dotted line) recorded at 77 K; c) dielectric loss $\epsilon''(\omega)$ obtained from the fit of IR and THz spectra at 20 K; d) Results of first principles computations in $Fm\bar{3}m$ symmetry; e) Mode assignments for PMN $[111]_T$ structure in space group $Im\bar{m}$: two last columns correspond to different polarization of Raman spectra.

and a large shift of oxygen ions in the equilibrium state (see Table IX). There is also a difference between ionic vibrations in Mg-O-Nb bridges and in Nb_4O_{10} squares in xy plane.

Ideally, A_g modes should be seen only in VV geometry. The presence of some intensity in VH geometry can be regarded to local fields and distortions. Notice the existence of an IR active mode (704 cm^{-1}) in the same frequency interval which is due to a large (minimal) size of the supercell in comparison with the unit cell at $Fm\bar{3}m$ symmetry.

At the frequency of E_g mode of $Fm\bar{3}m$ group, there are several Raman active symmetrical breathing A_g (663 , 601 , and 534 cm^{-1}) and one antisymmetrical breathing (599 cm^{-1}) modes. The splitting and the absence of large intensity in VH geometry in experimen-

tally measured spectra in this frequency interval testifies against E_g mode in $Fm\bar{3}m$ group but agrees quite well with the computed splitting in $Im\bar{m}$ group and with A_g symmetry of three of the four modes. In the same frequency interval there is a wide distribution of IR active modes that well corresponds to the wide peak in the FIR spectrum (TO_4 mode in terms of $Fm\bar{3}m$ symmetry).

The $Fm\bar{3}m$ group assignment can hardly explain the presence of a peak in Raman and IR spectra at a frequency a little bit higher than 400 cm^{-1} . The computation within $Im\bar{m}$ symmetry does give both Raman active (A_g , 443 , and B_{2g} , 423 cm^{-1}) modes as well as IR active modes (412 , 418 cm^{-1}).

The position of $F_{2g}(2)$ mode in $Fm\bar{3}m$ group is noticeably higher than the position of the intensive peak in Raman spectrum in VH geometry. The $Im\bar{m}$ group

gives a few suitable modes in the right place and gives a wide distribution of these modes over frequencies that correspond to a large width of the Raman lines observed. However, at the present time, we cannot prove that the peak of the Raman intensity in the computation corresponds to the peak in the experiment, because we did not compute the intensities.

Finally, we want to notice that there are a few low frequency modes spread over the frequency interval 25–96 cm^{-1} (below the frequency of the soft mode) which are Raman and IR active. These modes appear because of comparatively low symmetry of the supercell. All they are in the range of the acoustic modes in the reduced (5-ions) unit cell and are connected with antiphase Pb displacements.

Taken together, the first principles results, and experimental data from neutron scattering,⁴⁵ Raman, and IR reactivity enables assignments of specific vibrational modes to experimental phonon peaks. Following Akbas and Davies⁸ PMN is approximated as the RSM. Positions of IR-active, Raman-active and silent modes, were calculated, and distribution functions for all these modes have finite width, and some are split.

There are a few low frequency modes in the range 25–96 cm^{-1} (below the frequency of the soft mode) which are both Raman and IR active. They reflect antiphase Pb-displacements⁴⁹ that are allowed by the low supercell symmetry, and are all in the acoustic-mode range for the reduced (5-ion) unit cell.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We studied *ve* ordered supercells of PMN. Instabilities involving Pb off-centering are observed in all super-

cells and instabilities involving the tilting of O_6 octahedra centered on Mg ions are observed in most. When fully relaxed, the $[\text{111}]_{\text{NT}}$ structure described here has the lowest known first-principles energy for an ordered PMN structure. It is commensurate with the random site model (RSM) of PMN⁸, and has short-range Mg-Nb correlations that are most comparable to the RSM. Its Raman and IR spectra and pair distribution functions are in qualitative agreement with experiment, allowing mode assignment of the dominant features of the spectra. In its lowest-energy state, it is polarized in approximately along an $[\text{100}]$ type direction, yielding pseudomonoclinic symmetry. Unphysical aspects of this perfectly ordered $[\text{111}]_{\text{NT}}$ supercell as an approximant for the 1:1 ordered regions in experimental PMN, include the lack of a disordered matrix, the fact that there is no experimental evidence for ordering on the $\text{Mg}_{2/3}\text{Nb}_{1/3}$ sublattice, and the presence of infinite Nb-O-Nb... chains (which influence the lattice dynamics).

Acknowledgments

Y.I.Y. and R.S.K. appreciate support by the Grant NSF-DMR-0305588 and #NSF-FY2004, S.K. and J.P. support by the Grant Agency of Academy of Sciences (projects Nos. A1010203 and AVOZ1-010-914), Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (projects No. 202/04/0993) and Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic (project COST OC 52520/00). S.A.P. grants ru.01.01.037 ("Russian Universities") and 04-02-16103 (RFBR). S.B.V. acknowledges RFBR 02-02-16695 and CRDF RP1-2361-ST-02.

-
- ¹ G. A. Smolenskii, V. A. Bokov, V. A. Isupov, N. N. Krainik, R. E. Pasynkov and A. I. Sokolov, *Ferroelectrics and related materials*, Gordon and Breach Sci. Publishers, N.Y., 1984.
 - ² L. E. Cross, *Ferroelectrics* 76, 241 (1987).
 - ³ H. B. Krause, J. M. Cowley and J. W. Heatley, *Acta Cryst.* A 35, 1015 (1979).
 - ⁴ E. Husson, M. Chubb, and A. Morell *Mater. Res. Bull.* 23, 357 (1988).
 - ⁵ E. Husson, L. Abello and A. Morell *Mater. Res. Bull.* 25, 539 (1990).
 - ⁶ F. S. Galasso, *Structure, properties and preparation of perovskite compounds*, Pergamon Press, Oxford – London – Edinburgh – New York – Toronto – Sydney – Paris – Braunschweig, 1969.
 - ⁷ H. B. Krause and D. L. Gibbon, *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie* (134), 44 (1971).
 - ⁸ M. A. Akbas and P. K. Davies, *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.* 80, 2933 (1997).
 - ⁹ P. K. Davies, and M. A. Akbas, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 61, 159 (2000).
 - ¹⁰ P. K. Davies, L. Farber, M. Valant, and M. A. Akbas, in *Fundamental Physics of Ferroelectrics 2000*, ed. by R. E. Cohen., AIP 535, 38 (2000).
 - ¹¹ G. Burns and F. H. Dacol, *Solid State Commun.* 48, 853 (1983).
 - ¹² H. G. Jin, J. Zhu, S. Miao, X. W. Zhang, Z. Y. Cheng *J. Appl. Phys.* 89, 5048 (2001).
 - ¹³ S. Miao, J. Zhu, X. Zhang and Z.-Y. Cheng *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 052101 (2001).
 - ¹⁴ A. A. Karamyan, *Sov. Phys. Solid State* 18, 1861 (1977).
 - ¹⁵ A. S. Barker, Jr. and A. J. Sivers, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 47, Suppl. 2 (1975).
 - ¹⁶ I. M. Reaney, J. Petzelt, V. V. Voitsekhovskii, F. Chu, and N. Setter, *J. Appl. Phys.* 76, 2086 (1994).
 - ¹⁷ I. G. Siny, R. S. Katiyar, and A. S. Bhalla, *Ferroelectric Review* 2, 51 (2000).
 - ¹⁸ O. Svitelskiy, J. Toulouse, and Z.-G. Ye, *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 104107 (2003).
 - ¹⁹ H. Idink and W. White, *J. Appl. Phys.* 76, 1789 (1994).
 - ²⁰ V. Bovtun, S. Kamba, A. Pashkin, M. Savinov, P. Samoukhina, J. Petzelt, I. P. Bykov, M. D. Glushuk, Fer-

- roelectrics 298, 29 (2004).
- ²¹ V.P. Bovtun and M.A. Leshchenko, *Ferroelectrics* 190, 185 (1997).
 - ²² A. Levstik, Z. Kutnjak, C. Filipic, and R. Pirc, *Phys. Rev. B* 57, 11204 (1998).
 - ²³ G.A. Smolensky, I.G. Siny, R.V. Pisarev, and E.G. Kuzminov, *Ferroelectrics* 12, 135 (1976).
 - ²⁴ S. Kamba, E. Buixaderas, and J. Petzelt, J. Fousek J. Nosek, and P. Bridenbaugh, *J. Appl. Phys.* 93, 933 (2003).
 - ²⁵ G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B* 47, 558 (1993).
 - ²⁶ G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 11169 (1996).
 - ²⁷ D. Vanderbilt, *Phys. Rev. B* 41, 7892 (1990).
 - ²⁸ B.P. Burton, R.P.M. McCormack, B.H. Toby, and E.K. Goo, *Ferroelectrics* 194, 187 (1997).
 - ²⁹ S.-H. Wei, L.G. Ferreira, J.E. Bernard, A. Zunger *Phys. Rev. B* 42 (15) 9622- (1990).
 - ³⁰ Z.W. Lu, S.-H. Wei, A. Zunger, S. Frota-Pessoa, and L.G. Ferreira *Phys. Rev. B* 44 (2) 512- (1991).
 - ³¹ Z.W. Lu, S.-H. Wei, A. Zunger *Phys. Rev. B* 44 (19): 10470- (1991).
 - ³² J.M. Sanchez, and D. deFontaine *Phys. Rev. B* 21 (1) 216- (1980).
 - ³³ J.M. Sanchez, F. Ducastelle and D. Gratias *Physica* 128A 334- (1984).
 - ³⁴ B.P. Burton and E. Cockayne, *Phys. Rev. B* 60, R12542 (1999).
 - ³⁵ B.P. Burton, *Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 8, 211 (2000).
 - ³⁶ B.P. Burton, U.V. Waghmare, and E. Cockayne, submitted to *Ferroelectrics*.
 - ³⁷ T. Egami, E. Mamontov, W. Dmowski, and S.B. Vakhnushchev, in *Fundamental Physics of Ferroelectrics 2003*, edited by P.K. Davies and D.J. Singh, *AIP* 677, 48 (2003).
 - ³⁸ S.P. Rosandeev, E. Cockayne, and B. Burton, in *Fundamental Physics of Ferroelectrics 2002*, edited by R.E. Cohen, *AIP* 626, 64 (2002); cond-mat/0208316.
 - ³⁹ S.P. Rosandeev, E. Cockayne, and B. Burton, in *Fundamental Physics of Ferroelectrics 2003*, edited by P.K. Davies and D.J. Singh, *AIP* 677, 146 (2003); cond-mat/0303015.
 - ⁴⁰ U.V. Waghmare and K.M. Rabe, *Phys. Rev. B* 55, 6161 (1997).
 - ⁴¹ E. Cockayne, B.P. Burton, and L. Bellaiche, in *Fundamental Physics of Ferroelectrics 2001* (AIP Conference Proceedings 582), edited by Henry Krakauer (American Institute of Physics, Woodbury, NY), p.191 (2001).
 - ⁴² S.P. Rosandeev, E. Cockayne and B. Burton, V. Trepakov, S. Kapphan, M. Savinov, and L. Jastrabik, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. Phys.* 41, 7179 (2002).
 - ⁴³ S.A. P. Rosandeev, E. Cockayne and B.P. Burton, *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 14120 (2003).
 - ⁴⁴ To average the dynamical matrix of a supercell of symmetry G_1 with respect to a structure of symmetry G_2 (G_1 a subgroup of G_2), apply all g_2 rotational and translational symmetry elements of G_2 to the dynamical matrix, take the sum, and divide by the number g_2 of the symmetry elements in G_2 .
 - ⁴⁵ B. Dömer, A.S. Ivanov, S. Vakhnushchev, S. Lushnikov, S. Gvasaliya, D. Strauch, K. Schmalz, *Ferroelectrics* 282, 9 (2003).
 - ⁴⁶ T. Egami, *Ferroelectrics* 267, 101 (2002).
 - ⁴⁷ A. Naberezhnov, S. Vakhnushchev, B. Dömer, D. Strauch, H. Moudou, *Eur. Phys. J. B* 11, 13 (1999).
 - ⁴⁸ I.P. Raevskii, S.A. P. Rosandeev, I.A. Osipenko, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)* 198, 695 (1996).
 - ⁴⁹ A.T. Kachuk, in *Fundamental Physics of Ferroelectrics 2003*, edited by P.K. Davies and D.J. Singh, *AIP* 677, 55 (2003).