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#### Abstract

W e present a dynam ical description and analysis of non-equilibrium transitions in the noisy onedim ensional G inzburg-Landau equation for an extensive system based on a weak noise canonical phase space form ulation of the Freidlin-W entzel or $M$ artin-Siggia-R ose $m$ ethods. W e derive propagating nonlinear dom ain wall or soliton solutions of the resulting canonical eld equations $w$ ith superim posed di usive $m$ odes. The transition pathw ays are characterized by the nucleations and subsequent propagation of dom ain walls. W e discuss the general sw itching scenario in term sof a dilute gas of propagating dom ain walls and evaluate the A rrhenius factor in term s of the associated action. W e nd excellent agreem ent with recent num erical optim ization studies.


PACS num bers: $05.40 .-\mathrm{a}, 05.45 . \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{v}, 05.20 . \mathrm{y}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

Phenom ena far from equilibrium are ubiquitous, including turbulence in uids, interface and grow th problem $s$, chem ical and biological system $s$, and problem $s$ in $m$ aterial science and nanophysics. In this context the dynam ics of com plex system s driven by weak noise, corresponding to rare events, is of particular interest. T he issue of di erent tim e scales does in fact characterize $m$ any interesting processes in nature. For instance, in the case of chem ical reactions the reaction time is often orders of $m$ agnitude larger than the $m$ olecular vibration periods [ill]. The tim e scale separation problem is also encountered in the case of conform ationalchanges ofbiom olecules, nucleation events during phase transitions, sw itching of the $m$ agnetization in $m$ agnetic $m$ aterials $\left.\overline{\underline{R}} \overline{1}, \overline{1} \underline{N}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, and even in the case of com ets exhibiting rapid transitions betw een heliocentric onbits around Jupiter [il ${ }^{\prime}$ ].
$T$ he weak noise lim it, characterizing the tim e scale separation, is associated $w$ ith the strong coupling regim e, and the problem of determ ining kinetic pathw ays and transition probabilities betw een $m$ etastable states in system $s w$ ith $m$ any degrees of freedom presents one of the $m$ ost im portant and challenging tasks in $m$ any areas of physics [5]. The long tim e scales are associated w ith the separation in energy scales of the them al energy and the energy barriers betw een $m$ etastable states; the transition takes place by sudden jum ps between $m$ etastable states followed by long waiting tim es in the vicinity of the states. The fundam ental issue is thus the determ ination of transition pathw ays and the associated transition rates.

In the weak noise lim it the standard $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethod or direct sim ulation of the Langevin equation becom es im practical ow ing to the large separation of tim e scales and altemative $m$ ethods have been developed. The $m$ ost notable analytical approach is the form ulation due to Freidlin and W entzel which yields the transition probabilities in term $s$ of an action functional [ $[1]$ ]. This approach is the analogue of the variational principle proposed by $M$ achlup



[^0]to overcom e the tim e scale gap various num ericalm ethods_have also been proposed. W e m ention here the transition


A particularly interesting non-equilibrium problem of relevance in the nanophysics of sw itches is the in uence of
 and Vanden E ijden $\left.{ }_{[2]}^{1} 1_{1}^{1}\right]$, see also $R$ ef. [19], this problem has been addressed using the $G$ inzburg-Landau equation driven by them al noise. A pplying the eld theoretic version of the $O$ nsager $M$ ach hup functional $W$ entzell form ulation $[\underline{\sigma}]$, these authors im plem ent a pow erfulnum ericaloptim ization techniques for the determ ination of the space-tim econ guration $m$ inim izing the Freidlin-W entzellaction and in this $w$ ay determ ine the kinetic pathw ays and their associated action, yielding the sw itching probabilities in the long tim e-low tem perature lim it. The picture that em erges from this num erical study is that of noise-induced nucleation and subsequent propagation of dom ain walls across the sam ple, giving rise to the sw itch betw een $m$ etastable states.

In recent work we have addressed a related problem in non-equilibrium physics, nam ely the K ardar-P arisi-Zhang equation or equivalent noisy Burgers equation describing, for exam ple, a grow ing interface in a random environm ent. $U$ sing a canonical phase space $m$ ethod derived from the weak noise lim it of the $M$ artin-Siggia Rose functional or directly from the Fokker $P$ lanck equation, we have, in the one dim ensional case, analyzed the coupled eld equations
 the transition probabilities in the weak noise lim it are associated with soliton propagation and nucleation resulting from soliton collisions.

In the present paper we apply the canonicalphase space approach to the noisy $G$ inzburg-Landau equation discussed
 analytical argum ents for the propagation of noise-induced solitons and the nucleation events originating from soliton annihilation and creation. The paper is organized in the follow ing way. In Sec. II we introduce the noisy G inzburgLandau equation. In Sec. III we review the canonical phase space approach. In Sec. IV we discuss di usive mode and dom ain wall solutions of the eld equations replacing the noisy $G$ inzburg-Landau equation. In Sec. V we analyze the dom ain wall dynam ics. In Sec. V I we present a stochastic interpretation of our results. In Sec. V II we discuss equilibrium properties and kinetic transitions. In Sec. V III we present an interpretation of the num erical results obtained by the optim ization studies of E et. al $\overline{2} \overline{1} 1]$. Sec. IX is devoted to a sum $m$ ary and a conclusion. A brief version of the present work has appeared in Ref. [29].

## II. THE NOISY GINZBURG-LANDAU MODEL

T he noisy $G$ inzburg-Landau equation driven by white noise has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ u}{@ t}=\frac{F}{u}+\text {; } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the locally correlated G aussian white noise is speci ed by the $m$ om ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x ; t)(0 ; 0) i=\quad(x) \quad(t) ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with noise strength param eter . T he free energy F providing the determ in istic drive is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d x \frac{@ u}{@ x}^{2}+V(u): \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sw itching problem considered by E et al. $\left.\underline{[12}_{1}^{1}\right]$ the double well potential $V$ (u) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})^{2}\right)^{2} ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith strength param eter $k_{0}$. The potential vanishes at the two minim a $u \quad 1$ and assum es the $m$ axim um value $V(0)=k_{0}^{2}$ at $u=0$. The time scale is set by the kinetic transport coe cient and the dim ensionless scalar eld $u$ determ ines the spatial and tem poral state of the $S W$ itch. The $\backslash M$ exican hat" double well potential is depicted in Fig. ${ }^{\prime} \overline{1}$

The G inzzurg-Landau equation in its determ inistic form has been used both in the context of phase ordering kinetics $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[3]}\end{array}\right]$ and in its com plex form in the study of pattem form ation $\left[\bar{\beta} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$. In the noisy case for a nite system the equation has been studied in [32']; see also an analysis of the related 4 theory in [3] ${ }^{3}$ ]. In the present problem the noisy equation provides a generalization of the classical K ram ers problem $\overline{\underline{5}} \mathbf{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$ to spatially extended system s .
 of length squared over tim e, and the dim ension of velocity. For large $\mathrm{k}_{0}$, which is the case considered here, and


F IG .1: W e depict the doublew ellpotentialde ning the unperturbed states of the switch. T he potentialhasminim at $u=1$ and $a \mathrm{~m}$ axim um at $u=0$.
for $=0$ the potentialterm dom inates the di usive term and the eld $u$ locks on to the values 1 in bulk; imposed boundary values being accom $m$ odated over a saturation or healing length of order $k_{0}{ }^{1}$. In the presence of noise the $m$ inim a becom e unstable to them al uctuations and the noise can drive the system over the potentialbarrier.
$T$ he $G$ inzburg-Landau equation $(2,11)$ adm its a uctuation-dissipation theorem yielding the stationary distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {stat }} / \exp \underline{2} F: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a them al environm ent at tem perature $T$ we have $=2 T$ (in units such that $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=1$ ) and $\mathrm{P}_{\text {stat }} / \exp [\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{T}]$, ie., the Boltzm ann distribution. T he equilibrium states follow from the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F}{u}=r^{2} u \quad 2 k_{\theta}^{2} u\left(1 \quad u^{2}\right)=0 ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding tw o degenerate uniform ground states $u=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith free energy $\mathrm{F}=0$, as well as two nonuniform dom ain wall solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{d w}(x)=\quad \tanh k_{\theta}\left(x \quad x_{0}\right) ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

centered at $x_{0}$ and of $w$ idth $k_{0}{ }^{1}$. For large $\dot{x} j$ the dom ain $w a l l$ solutions overlap $w$ th the uniform ground state solutions $u=1 . T$ he associated dom ain $w$ all free energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{dw}}=4 \mathrm{k}_{0}=3: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them inim $a$, $m$ axim $a$, and saddle point structure of the com plex energy landscape of $F$ as a functional of fu ( $x$ ) $g$ are inferred from the spectrum of the di erentialoperator

$$
\left.\frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{u}^{2}}=\quad \begin{array}{lll}
r^{2} & 2 \mathrm{r}_{8}^{2}(1 & 3 u^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{array}\right):
$$

For the ground states $u=1$ the spectnum of ${ }^{2} F=u^{2}$ is given by the plane wave mode exp (ikx) with positive eigenvalues $k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}$. For a single dom ain wall con guration or $n$ connected dom ain $w a l l s$ the spectrum is com posed of zero-eigenvalue translation $m$ odes ( $G$ oldstone $m$ odes) and superim posed phase shifted plane wave $m$ odes
$\exp [i k x) \exp (i) w i t h$ positive eigenvalues $k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}$, see e.g. Ref. $\left.{ }^{3} \mathbf{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. W e thus infer that the free energy landscape possesses tw o globalm inim a at $u=1$ and a series of local saddle points of free energy $4 n k_{6}=3$, corresponding to $n$ connected dom ain walls at positions $x_{i} ; i=1 ; 2 ; \quad n$. In, ig. 2 we have depicted a static 3-dom ain wall con guration connecting the ground states $u=1$ and $u=+1 \mathrm{w}$ th free energy $4 \mathrm{k}_{\boldsymbol{g}}$. The static single dom ain wallorm ulti-dom ain wall con gurations correspond to points in the free energy landscape $F=F(f u(x) g)$ and cannot e ectuate a sw itch betw een the ground state con gurations $u=1$. H ow ever, in the presence of noise the $K$ ram ers escape $m$ echanism sets in and the system can perform a kinetic transition. T he transition probability is typically characterized by an A rrhenius factor $P \quad \exp [\quad F=$ ] where $F$ is the free energy associated $w$ ith the potentialbarriers encountered in the dynam ic transition, see e.g. $\left.{ }^{151} \mid\right]$. In order to address this issue for the noisy $G$ inzburg-Landau equation we apply the canonicalphase space approach.


FIG. 2: We depict a static 3-dom ain wall con guration connecting the ground states $u=1$ and $u=+1$. The dom ain walls are located at $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$. The con guration corresponds to a saddle point in the free energy landscape and has free energy $4 \mathrm{k}_{0}$.
III. THECANONICALPHASESPACEAPPROACH
 probability distribution $P$ ( $f u(x) g$; $t$ ) has the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P}{@ t}=H P ; \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with form al solution $P / \exp \mathbb{H} t=]$. The Fokker $P$ lanck equation ( $3 . \overline{1}$ is driven by the $H$ am iltonian or $L$ iouvil-
 approxim ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P} / \exp \underline{S} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ S}{@ t}+H(p ; u)=0 ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith canonicalm om entum $p=S=u$, de ning the $H$ am iltonian $H$ as a functional of $u$ and $p$ and im plying a principle of least action. The variational principle $S=0, m$ oreover, im plies the $H$ am iltonian eld equations of $m$ otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ u}{@ t}=\frac{H}{p}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \frac{@ p}{@ t}=\frac{H}{u} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=Z^{Z} d x d t p \frac{@ u}{@ t} \quad d t H: \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplying this schem $e$ to the $G$ inzburg-Landau equation $\left(2-\frac{1}{3}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho u}{@ t}=\frac{F}{u}+p ;  \tag{3.7}\\
& \frac{@ p}{@ t}=\frac{{ }^{2} F}{u^{2}} p ; \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

driven by the H am iltonian (the generator of tim e translations)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\quad d x H=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d x p p \quad 2 \frac{F}{u} ; \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ traversed in time T is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(u_{1} ; u_{2} ; T\right)=\int_{u_{1} ; 0}^{Z u_{2} ; T} d x d t \quad p \frac{\varrho u}{\varrho t} \mathrm{H} ; \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the $H$ am iltonian density. In our further analysis we shall also $m$ ake use of the totalm om entum (the generator of space translations)

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad \mathrm{dxu} \frac{@ p}{@ x}: \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prescription for determ ining the relevant A rrhenius factor associated w ith a transition and the kinetic pathw ay is thus straightforw ard. Fixing boundary values in space and tim e the rst step is to solve the coupled eld equations
 evaluate the action $S$ along an onbit according to Eq. ( $3.10_{1}^{\prime}$ ), and nally deduce the transition probability from Eq. (32).

The correspondence w the the equivalent Freidlin W entzelaction $S_{F W}$ is optained by inserting the equation ofm otion
 $\overline{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{u})^{2}$. The Freidlin $W$ entzel m ethod is a Lagrangian con guration space m ethod w th Lagrangian density $\mathrm{L}=$ $(1=2)(@ u=@ t+\quad F=u)^{2}$, whereas the present approach is a phase space form ulation $w$ th action $(\overline{3} .10 \overline{1})$. W e also note that the equations of motion $(3.7)$ and $(3.8)$ are identical to the saddle point equations in the $\bar{M}$ artin-Siggia $R$ ose


## IV. DIFFUSIVEMODEAND DOMAIN WALLSOLUTIONS

$M$ ore explicitly, inserting $F=u$ and ${ }^{2} F=u^{2}$ from Eqs. $(2,0)$ and $(2,9)$ the equations of $m$ otion $(3,7)$ and $(3,8)$ assum e the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ u}{@ t}=\frac{@^{2} u}{@ x^{2}}+2 k_{0}^{2} u\left(1 \quad u^{2}\right)+p ;  \tag{4.1}\\
& \frac{@ p}{@ t}=\frac{@^{2} p}{@ x^{2}} \quad 2 k_{0}^{2} p\left(1 \quad 3 u^{2}\right) \text {; } \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and the H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d x p \quad p+2 \frac{\varrho^{2} u}{\varrho x^{2}}+4 k_{0}^{2} u\left(1 \quad u^{2}\right) \quad: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations of $m$ otion ( $4 .-1$ ) and (4) the energy m anifolds detem ined by Eq. (4.3); for open or periodic boundary conditions the onbits are $m$ oreover con ned by the conservation of the total m om entum given by Eq. ( $\mathbf{B}^{\prime} .11 \%$. From an analytical point of view the
eld equations (A.1) and (421) are in general intractable. Even num ericaly, the negative di usion term in Eq. (4) (2) renders the coupled equations highly unstable as was noted in the num erical analysis of the B urgers equation 2\&].

## A. Linear di usive $m$ odes

It is instructive rst to consider the easily discussed linear case of sim ple di usion for $s m$ all $\mathrm{k}_{0}$. T hus ignoring the non-linear potential term $s$ in Eqs. (1). (1) and (4) we obtain the linear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ u}{@ t}=\frac{\varrho^{2} u}{@ x^{2}}+p ;  \tag{4.4}\\
& \frac{@ p}{@ t}=\frac{\varrho^{2} p}{@ x^{2}} ; \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

generated by the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d x p p+2 \frac{@^{2} u}{@ x^{2}}: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.3: $W$ e show the orbits in the $\left(u_{k} ; p_{k}\right)$ phase space. The nite time orbit from $u_{1 k}$ to $u_{2 k}$ lies on the energy $m$ anifold $E_{k}=p_{k}\left[p_{k} \quad 2 \mathrm{k}^{2} u_{k}\right]$. In the long timelim it $T$ ! 1 the onoits $m$ igrate to the transient manifold $p_{k}=0$ (I) and the stationary $m$ anifold $p_{k}=2 \mathrm{k}^{2} u_{k}$ (II) passing through the saddle point ( $u_{k} ; p_{k}$ ) $=(0 ; 0)$ (SP) im plying ergodicity and a stationary state.

In Fourier space, setting $u_{k}={ }^{R} d x \exp (i k x) u$ and $\mathbb{R}={ }^{R} d x \exp (i k x) p, u_{k}=u_{k}$ and $p_{k}=p_{k}$, the equations ofm otion decom pose and we arrive at the orbit solution, see R ef. [3 $\operatorname{LS}_{1}^{1}$ ],

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(t)=\frac{u_{2 k} \sinh k^{2} t+u_{1 k} \sinh k^{2}(T \quad t)}{\sinh k^{2} T} ; \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $u_{1 k}$ at $t i m e t=0$ to $u_{2 k}$ at $t$ im et $=T ; u_{1 ; 2}={ }^{R}(d k=2) \exp (i k x) u_{1 ; 2 ; k} . T$ he noise eld $p_{k}$ is slaved to them otion of $u_{k}$ and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(t)=k^{2} e^{k^{2} t} \frac{u_{2 k} \quad u_{1 k} e^{k^{2} T}}{\sinh k^{2} T}: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likew ise, the H am iltonian H decom poses into independent $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{m}$ ode contributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 2 \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]: \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the solutions $(\underline{4}-\overline{-7})$ ) and $\left.(4 .)_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ we obtain speci cally for the energy of the $k$-th $m$ ode

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=\frac{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{j}_{2 k} \tilde{j}+j \mathrm{u}_{1 \mathrm{k}} \stackrel{\jmath}{j} 2 \mathrm{u}_{1 \mathrm{k}} u_{2 \mathrm{k}} \cosh \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~T}}{\sinh ^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~T}}: \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orbits lie on the energy manifolds given by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 2 \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]$. In the long time lim it T ! 1 the orbits $m$ igrate to the zero-energy $m$ anifolds consisting of the transient subm anifold $p_{k}=0$ and the stationary subm anifold $p_{k}=2 k^{2} u_{k}$, and pass asym ptotically through the hyperbolic xed point at ( $u_{k} ; p_{k}$ ) $=(0 ; 0)$, determ ining the stationary state. In $F$ ig. . $i_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ' we have show $n$ the orbits for a particular $k m$ ode in a plot of $p_{k}$ versus $u_{k}$.
$F$ inally, the conserved $\bar{m}$ om entum and the action $S$ follow from Eqs. ( $\mathbf{1} .11$ ) and ( 10 )

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad \frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2}(\quad \mathrm{dk})_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}} \text {; } \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{Z}{2} k^{2} \frac{\mathrm{j}_{2 k} \quad u_{1 k} e^{k^{2} T} \mathcal{f}}{1 e^{2 k^{2} T}} ; \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding the transition probability from $u_{1 k}$ to $u_{2 k}$ in timeT,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(u_{1 k} ; u_{2 k} ; T\right) / \exp \underline{1}^{Z} \frac{d k}{2} k^{2} \frac{\mathrm{j}_{2 k} u_{1 k} e^{k^{2} T} \mathcal{J}^{\#}}{1 e^{2 k^{2} T}}: \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the long timelim it T ! 1 we reach the stationary distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s t}\left(f u_{k} g\right) / \exp \frac{1}{Z}^{Z} \frac{d k}{2} k^{2} j_{k} \tilde{j}^{2}: \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result is consistent $w$ ith the noise driven di usion equation $w$ ritten in the form $@ u=@ t=\quad F=u+\quad, h \quad i=$
( $x$ ) ( t ), w ith free energy $F=(1=2) \quad d x(@ u=@ x)^{2}$. Invoking the uctuation-dissipation theorem we then obtain an equilibrium distribution given by the Boltzm ann factor $\exp (\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{T})$, $=2 \mathrm{~T}$, consistent w th Eq . (4.14).

In sum mary, in the linear purely di usive case the con gurations $u(x ; t)$ decom pose into independent $k-m$ odes. In the dynam ical phase space approach the noise $k$ driving the individual $k-m$ odes is replaced by the noise eld $p_{k} w h i c h$ couples param etrically to the tim e evolution of the $u_{k} m$ ode. In a transient time regim $e u_{k}$ is dam ped according to the di usion equation and the orbit in phase space lies close to the transient or noiseless subm anifold $p_{k}=0$ and approaches the saddle point $\left(u_{k} ; p_{k}\right)=(0 ; 0)$. At longer tim es the grow ing noise eld $p_{k}$ drives $u_{k}$ aw ay from the saddle point and the orbit approaches the stationary or noisy subm anifold $p_{k}=2 \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}$, i.e., the distribution associated w ith the noise-driven di usion equation approaches a stationary distribution. In the lim it T ! 1 the orbit passes asym ptotically through the saddle point and the orbit from $u_{1 k}$ to $u_{2 k}$ lies on the zero-energy $m$ anifolds determ ining the stationary state.

## B. D om ain wall m odes

In the nonlinear case the phase space representation of the noise-driven $G$ inzburg Landau equation is given by the coupled eld equations (4) and (21) determ ining the orbits. A $s$ in the linear case we can identify the zero-energy subm anifolds determ in ing the stationary state. This is related to the existence of a uctuation-dissipation theorem for the noisy $G$ inzburg-Landau equation (2, 11) expressed by the existence of a free energy. From the $H$ am iltonian (3.9) we infer tentatively the zero-energy subm anifolds $p=0$ and $p=2 \quad F=u$. The transient noiseless subm anifold $p=0$ is consistent $w$ th the equations of $m$ otion and the con gurations decay according to the dam ped determ in istic G inzburg-Landau equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ u}{@ t}=\quad \frac{F}{u}: \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that in contrast to the linear case where the $u$ con gurations decom pose in $k-m$ odes whidh decay according to $u_{k} / \exp \left(k^{2} t\right)$, an initialcon guration $u_{1}$ in the nonlinear case $w$ illin generaldecay form ing a pattem of interacting and annihilating dom ain wall con gurationsw ith superim posed di usive modes.

The stationary subm anifold $p=2 \quad \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{u}$ inserted in Eqs. $(3.7)$ and $(3.8)$ y ield the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho u}{\varrho t}=\frac{F}{u} ;  \tag{4.16}\\
& \frac{\varrho p}{@ t}=2^{2}-\frac{F}{u}{ }^{2} ; \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ hich are consistent since $@ u=@ t=(1=2) p$. It is interesting to notioe that the $m$ otion of $u$ on the stationary noisy subm anifold $p=2(F=u)$ is a tim e-reversed version of the $m$ otion on the transient sukm anifold $p=0$. Finally, on the zero-energy $m$ anifold the action in Eq. (B). $\overline{1})$ takes the form $S=d^{\prime} d t p @ u=@ t=2 d x d t(@ u=@ t)(F=u)=2 F$, y ielding the stationary distribution in Eq. (2,

In the absence of a uctuation-dissipation theorem as is for exam ple the case for the kinetic KPZ equation or, equivalently, noisy B urgers equation, we can in generalnot explicitly identify the stationary zero-energy subm anifold and thus sim ply determ ine the stationary state; an exqeption is the K P Z equation in 1D where for special reasons a uctuation-dissipation theorem is available, see $R$ efs. $\left[23^{2},{ }^{\prime}\right.$
In order to address non-equilibrium properties such as a speci c transition probability from an in itial state $u_{1}$ to a
 are not integrable and do not yield to a general analytical solution. H ow ever, we can advance our understanding by
rst searching for static solutions on the transient manifold $p=0$, ie., the solution of the equation $F=u=0$ yielding according to Eq. (2. $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ ) the dom ain wall solutions in Eq. (2.7). T he dom ain wall excitations are of the instanton type and can be located at arbitrary positions, see $R$ ef. [3]_]. Since the overlap betw een tw o w ell-separated dom ain walls is exponentially sm all we can construct approxim ate m ulti-dom ain wall solutions of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{d w}=X_{i=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}} \quad i \tanh \mathrm{k}_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{0} ;  \tag{4.18}\\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{dw}}=0:
\end{align*}
$$

Here the parity index $i=1$ for right hand and left hand dom ain $w a l l s$, respectively, and $x_{i}$ indicates the center of the
 state con gurations and $u_{0}=1$ for con gurations overlapping $w$ ith identical ground states $u=1$, respectively. A ssum ing that the inter-dom ain wall distance $\dot{x}_{x_{i}} \quad x_{i_{+}} j$ is large com pared $w$ ith the dom ain $w$ all $w$ idth $1=k_{0}$, i.e., the case of a dilute dom ain wall gas, the expression ( $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1}$ ) constitutes an approxim ate solution to Eq. (2.0.). Since the dom ain wall solutions are associated $w$ ith the transient subm anifold $p=0$ it also follow $s$ from Eqs. (4.3), (3.11) and ( $\overline{-1} .10^{\prime \prime}$ ) that they carry vanishing energy, $m$ om entum, and action $w$ thin the canonical phase space approach.
V. DOMA IN WALL DYNAM ICS

In order to im part dynam icalattributes to the dom ain walls and thus provide solutions to the coupled eld equations (4.11) and (4_2) we perform a linear stability analysis about the static dom ain wall solutions.

> A. D ynam ics of a single dom ain wall

Setting $u=u_{d w}+u$ and $p=p_{d w}+p, p_{d w}=0$ in Eqs. (4.1 $)$ and ( $\left.\overline{-1} \overline{2}\right)$ we obtain to linear order the coupled equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ u}{@ t}=\frac{@^{2} u}{@ x^{2}}+2 k_{0}^{2}\left(1 \quad 3 u_{d w}^{2}\right) u+p ;  \tag{5.1}\\
& \frac{@ p}{@ t}=\frac{@^{2} p}{@ x^{2}} 2 k_{0}^{2}\left(1 \quad 3 u_{d w}^{2}\right) p: \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that in a Schrodinger equation analogue the dom ain wallpro le $u_{d w}$ gives rise to a B argm ann type potential
 Schrodinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=r^{2}+2 k_{0}^{2} 2 \frac{3}{\cosh ^{2} k_{0} x} ; \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} ;  \tag{5.4}\\
& \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

the tim e-dependent expansion coe cients $u_{n}$ and $p_{n}$ are determ ined by the coupled equations of $m$ otion

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d u_{n}}{d t} & ={ }_{n} u_{n}+p_{n} ;  \tag{5.6}\\
\frac{d p_{n}}{d t} & ={ }_{n} p_{n} ; \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

 as the Fourier transform ed versions of Eqs. (4.4) and (4, in in the case of the linear di usive m odes, and the solutions are given by Eqs. (4.7) and (4.8) w ith $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ replaced by n . The canonicalphase space structure w th $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ replaced by $n$ is depicted in $F$ ig.
$T$ he spectrum ofD in Eq . ( $(5, \overline{3})$ is com posed oftwo bound states 0 and 1 w theigenvalues $0=0$ and $1=3 \mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}$, respectively, and a band of phase-shifted plane wave solutions $k$ with eigenvalues $k=k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\frac{3 k_{0}}{4}{ }^{1=2} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} k_{0} x} ; \quad 0=0 ;  \tag{5.8}\\
& 1=\frac{3 k_{0}}{2} \quad \frac{\sinh k_{0} x}{\cosh ^{2} k_{0} x} ; \quad 1=3 k_{0}^{2} ;  \tag{5.9}\\
& k=\frac{1}{2} \quad e^{1=2} e^{i k x} S_{k}(x) ; \quad k=k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2} ;  \tag{5.10}\\
& S_{k}(x)=\frac{k^{2}+k_{0}^{2} \quad 3 k_{0}^{2} \tanh ^{2} k_{0} x+3 i k k_{0} \tanh k_{0} x}{\left(k \quad i k_{\theta}\right)\left(k \quad 2 i k_{\theta}\right)}: \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The com plex space-dependent $s m$ atrix $s_{k}(x)$ gives rise to a space and phase $m$ odulation of the plane wave $m$ ode. For x ! 1 we have $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{x})$ ! 1 , whereas for x ! 1 we obtain $s_{k}(\mathrm{x})$ ! $\exp \left(\mathrm{i}_{0}(k)\right) \exp \left(i_{1}(k)\right)$ where the phase shifts $0=2 \tan ^{1}\left(k_{0}=k\right)$ and ${ }_{1}=2 \tan ^{1}\left(2 k_{0}=k\right)$ are associated $w$ ith the depletion of the band due to the form ation of the bound states 0 and ${ }_{1}$, respectively. In term sof the expansion coe cients $u_{n}$ and $p_{n}$ the $H$ am iltonian $H$,


$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.H=\frac{1}{2}^{X}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Z} \text { ( } \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 2 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \text {; }  \tag{5.12}\\
& =p_{n} \quad d x u_{d w} r n  \tag{5.13}\\
& S=\frac{1}{2}^{n}{ }_{n} \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad \operatorname{dtp} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

expressing the dynam ics of the various m odes.

## 1. Dom ain wall motion

$T$ he $m$ ode 0 w th eigenvalue $0=0$ plays a special role since it is associated w th the uniform translation of the dom ain wall. For $0=0$ the equation of $m$ otions (5.6) and (5.7) take the form $d u_{0}=d t=p_{0}$ and $d p_{0}=d t=0 \mathrm{w}$ ith solutions $u_{0}=p_{0} t, p_{0}=$ cst. For the eld $u$ associated $w$ th the $m$ ode 0 we have $u=u_{d w}+u_{0} 0$. Inserting 0 and $\mathrm{u}_{0}=\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{twe}$ obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{dw}}+\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{t} \frac{3 \mathrm{k}_{0}}{4} \quad \mathrm{k}_{0}^{1} \mathrm{r} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{dw}} / \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{dw}}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{v} t) ; \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

describing a dom ain wallpropagating $w$ th velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{p} \quad \frac{3}{4 \mathrm{k}_{0}}{ }^{1=2} ; \quad=1: \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them ode 0 is the well-know $n$ translation or $G$ oldstone $m$ ode restoring the broken translation sym $m$ etry associated w ith the localized dom ain w all m ode. In the present canonical form ulation the translation m ode also im plies the propagation of the dom ain wall.

It is also instructive to consider the noise eld pwhich in the dynam ical description corresponds to the noise. . In the case of a single dom ain wall we obtain from Eq. [5. 5
eld $m$ ust $m$ ove together $w$ th the dom ain $w$ all con gurations and we conclude that term $s$ beyond linear order give rise to a renorm alization of the noise eld pro le im plying a nite propagation velocity, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}_{0} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \mathrm{k}_{0}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{vt})}: \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The noise eld is thus localized at the position of the dom ain wall corresponding to a noise im pulse associated w ith the form ation of the dom ain wall. In Fig. 'il we have depicted a single moving right hand dom ain wall (index _ = 1)
 have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}_{0} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p}_{0}^{2} ;  \tag{5.18}\\
0 & =\mathrm{p} \quad{\frac{4 \mathrm{k}_{0}}{3}}^{1=2} ;  \tag{5.19}\\
\mathrm{S}_{0} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{p}_{0}^{2}: \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

In term s of the propagation velocity v we have $0=(4=3) \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{v}$ and we can associate an e ective m ass $(4=3) \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{w}$ ith the dom ain wallm otion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}=\frac{4}{3} \mathrm{k}_{0} \text {; } \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

note that the $m$ ass vanishes in the lim it of a broad dom ain wall.


FIG. 4: In a) we show a right hand dom ain wall in $u$ moving with velocity $v$. In b) we depict the associated im pulsive noise eld p

## 2. $D$ eform ation and extended $m$ odes

The bound state 1 given by $(5 . \overline{9})$ is odd and accounts for the sym $m$ etrical deform ation of the $m$ oving dom ain wall. The tim e-dependence of is given by Eqs. (4.7) and (4. 4 ith $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ replaced by $1=3 \mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}$. The band of plane wave solutions in Eq. ( $(\underline{1}, 101)$ ) corresponds to corrections to the leading and trailing edges of the dom ain wall. The m odes have di usive character $w$ th grow ing and dam ped tim e behavior and are given by Eqs. (4.7) and (4.8) $w$ ith $k^{2}$ replaced by $\left(k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}\right)$; note that in the lim it $k_{0}!0$ we recover the linear case. The extended $m$ odes are phase-shifted $2 \tan ^{1}\left(k_{0}=k\right)+2 \tan ^{1}\left(2 k_{0}=k\right)$ which by Levinson's theorem corresponds to the tw o bound states, the translation $m$ ode and deform ation $m$ ode, being depleted from the band.

$$
\text { B. D ynam ics of } m \text { ulti-dom ain wall con gurations }
$$

In the $m$ ulti-dom ain wall case the analysis proceeds in a sim ilarm anner. E xpanding about the static m ulti-dom ain wall con guration in Eqs. (A.18) and (4.19), $u=u_{d w}+u$ and $p=p_{d w}+p_{1} p_{d w}=0$, we obtain for a dilute dom ain wall gas the coupled linear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ u}{@ t}=\frac{@^{2} u}{@ x^{2}}+2 k_{0}^{2} \quad 2+3^{X^{n}} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} k_{0}\left(x \quad x_{i}\right)} \quad u+p_{\#}^{\#}  \tag{522}\\
& \frac{@ p}{@ t}=\frac{@^{2} p}{@ x^{2}} \quad 2 k_{0}^{2} \quad 2+3_{i=1}^{x^{n}} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} k_{0}\left(x x_{i}\right)} \quad p \text {; } \tag{523}
\end{align*}
$$

which are analyzed in term s of the spectrum of the Schrodinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=r^{2}+2 k_{0}^{2} 23^{n} \frac{1}{X^{n}} \frac{\#}{\cosh ^{2} k_{0}\left(x \quad x_{i}\right)} ; \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith identicalw ell-separated potentialwells at $x_{i}$. Expanding $u$ and $p$ on the eigenfiunctions $n$ ofD, $D \quad n=n n$, we recover the equations of $m$ otion $(5.0)$ and $(5,71)$.
$T$ he eigenstates $n$ are readily expressed as linear supenpositions of the eigenstates for the individualpotentialw ells and we obtain the translation $m$ odes 0 w th eigenvalue $0=0$, the deform ation m odes 1 w th eigenvalue ${ }_{1}=3 \mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}$, and the extended plane wave m odes $k$ w th eigenvalues $k=k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{in}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~A}_{0}^{i}{ }_{0}^{i} ; \quad \quad{ }_{0}^{i} / \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \mathrm{k}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} ;  \tag{5.25}\\
& 1=X_{i=1}^{X^{n}} A_{1}^{i}{ }_{1}^{i} ; \quad \quad{ }_{1}^{i} / \frac{\sinh k_{0}\left(x x_{i}\right)}{\cosh ^{2} k_{0}\left(x x_{i}\right)} \text {; }  \tag{526}\\
& k / e^{i k x} Y_{i=1}^{n} s_{k}\left(x \quad x_{i}\right) ; ~ s_{k}(x)=\frac{k^{2}+k_{0}^{2} \quad 3 k_{\theta}^{2} \tanh ^{2} k_{0} x+3 i k k_{0} \tanh k_{0} x}{\left(k \quad i k_{\theta}\right)\left(k \quad 2 i k_{\theta}\right)}: \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

In term sof the individualeigenfunctions the expansions of $u$ and $p$ take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u={ }^{X} u_{n i} \frac{i}{n} ; u_{n i}=u_{n} A_{i} ;  \tag{5.28}\\
& p=X_{n i}^{X^{i}} p_{n i} \frac{i}{n} ; u_{n i}=p_{n} A_{i} ; \tag{5,29}
\end{align*}
$$

and we obtain the equations ofm otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u_{n i}}{d t}={ }_{n} u_{n i}+p_{n i} ;  \tag{5,30}\\
& \frac{d p_{n i}}{d t}={ }_{n} p_{n i} ; \tag{5,31}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith solutions given by Eqs. (4.7) and (4.). M oreover, the dynam ics of a multi-dom ain wall con guration is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\frac{1}{2}_{n i}^{X} p_{n i}\left(p_{n i} \quad 2 \quad n u_{n i}\right) ;  \tag{5.32}\\
& =\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ni}} \mathrm{dxu}_{\mathrm{dw}} r{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{i}} \text {; }  \tag{5.33}\\
& S=\frac{1}{2}_{n i}^{\mathrm{Xi}}{ }_{n i}^{Z} d p_{n i} p_{n i}: \tag{5.34}
\end{align*}
$$

For the translation $m$ ode in particular we have $d u_{0 i}=d t=p_{0 i}, d p_{0 i}=d t=0$, yielding $u_{0 i}=p_{0 i} t$ and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{d w}+p_{0 i \quad i} t{\frac{3 k_{0}}{4}}^{1=2} k_{0}^{1} r u_{d w} / u_{d w}\left(x \quad v_{1} t\right) ; \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith propagation velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=p_{i}{\frac{3}{4 k_{0}}}^{1=2}: \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likew ise, the dynam ics of the dom ain wall at $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0 i} & =\frac{1}{2} p_{0 i}^{2} ;  \tag{5.37}\\
0 i & =p_{0 i}{\frac{4 k_{0}}{3}}_{1=2}  \tag{5.38}\\
S_{0} & =\frac{1}{2} T p_{0 i}^{2}: \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG.5: W e show the transition from the ground state $u=1$ to the ground state $u=+1$ in a system of size $L$ in time $T$ due to the nucleation of a dom ain wall pair at the center of the system.

Sum $m$ arizing, the linear analysis of the static dom ain wallcon guration leads to a picture of a dilute gas ofpropagating dom ain walls. Superposed on the dom ain walls are localized deform ation $m$ odes and extended $m$ odes of di usive character. The tim e evolution of the dom ain wall-linear $m$ ode gas is $m$ oreover sub ject to three constraints: 1) the topological signature of the dom ain $w$ alls im plies that a right hand dom ain $w$ all is $m$ atched to a consecutive left hand dom ain wall, 2) translational invariance im plies that the totalm om entum given by Eq. ( 5.33 ) is conserved, and 3) nally, tim e translation invariance entails that the total energy E given by Eq. ( 5

> C. D om ain wall nucleation and annih ilation

T he above analysis of the dynam ics of the dom ain wall-di usive m ode system is restricted to the dilute gas regim e. H ow ever, the topological constraints together with the conservation law sallow a heuristic analysis of dom ain wall collisions. W hen a right hand dom ain wall collides with a left hand dom ain wall the topological constraint im plies that they $m$ ust annihilate to the uniform ground states $u=+1$ or $u=1 . M$ oreover, since $E q$. ( 3.17 ) im plies that the m om entum $=0$ for $u=1$, the dom ain $w a l l$ pair prior to collision $m$ ust $m$ ove $w$ ith equal and opposite $m$ om enta, i.e., equaland opposite velocities. Since the phase space form ulation is tim e reversalinvariant we also infer that pairs of oppositely $m$ oving dom ain walls of opposite parity can nucleate out $\mathrm{Rf}^{\text {f }}$ the uniform ground states $u=1$. In the ground state Eq. (4, in plies that the energy is given by $E=(1=2) \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{p}^{2}$. C onsequently, in order to generate dom ain wallpairs out of the ground state we m ust assign a nite noise eld p. In F ig. $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{\prime}$, we have in a plot oft versus $x$ depicted the transition from the state $u=1$ to the state $u=+1$ due to the form ation of a dom ain wallpair. T he system is of size $L$ and the transition takes place in tim eT. In $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ G, we have shown the corresponding propagation of the dom ain wall pair receeding from the nucleation zone $w$ ith opposite velocities together $w$ ith the associated noise eld. A ccording to Eqs. $(5.161)$ and ( 5.17$\left.)^{\prime}\right)$ the noise eld pro les com oving $w$ ith the dom ain $w$ alls are positive and have the form $1=\cosh ^{2} \mathrm{k}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x}^{-}\right.$vt)

## VI. STOCHASTIC IN TERPRETATION

The dom ain wall gas picture introduced above allow a system atic dynam ical approach to the determ ination of kinetic pathw ays and to the evaluation of the A rrhenius factors associated with the transitions. The approach $m$ oreover perm its a straightforw ard stochastic interpretation $m$ aking contact $w$ th the custom ary discussion of the noisy $G$ inzburg-Landau equation.

b)


FIG.6: In a) we show the dom ain wall pair propagating aw ay from the nucleation zone. In b) we show the associated com oving noise eld pro les.


FIG.7: W e show the orbits in the $\left(u_{0} ; p_{0}\right)$ phase space in the case of dom ain wall motion. The nitetime onbits lie on the nite energy $m$ anifolds $E_{0}=(1=2) p_{0}^{2}$. In the long time lim it $T!1$ the orbit from $u_{1}$ to $u_{2} m$ igrates to the zero-energy $m$ anifold $E_{0}=0$.

> A. D om ain w all random walk

In the case of a single dom ain wall the dynam ics follow from Eqs. $\overline{(5 \cdot \overline{6})}$ ) and $\overline{(5) .7})$ for $0=0$, i.e., the equations of $m$ otion $d u_{0}=d t=p_{0}$ and $d p_{0}=d t=0 \mathrm{w}$ th solutions $u_{0}=p_{0} t, p_{0}=c o n s t a n t$. The energy $E_{0}, m$ om entum 0 and
 In $F$ ig. Ill we have depicted the phase space for the $m$ otion of a single dom ain wall. The plot depicts onbits from $u_{1}$ to $u_{2}$ in time $T$ on the $m$ anifold $E_{0}=(1=2) p_{0}^{2}$. In the long time lim it the orbits $m$ igrate to the zero-energy $m$ anifold $E=0$. The phase space plot is a degenerate lim it of the phase space plot in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1 / 3}$ for $k_{0}!0 . W$ e note the absence of a stationary zero-energy $m$ anifold and saddle point yielding a stationary state. Since the $m$ om entum 0 according to Eq. $\left(\overline{5}(\overline{1} \overline{9})\right.$ is proportional to the canonicalm om entum $p_{0}$ it follow s directly from the canonical structure that the conjugate variable to 0 is the center ofm ass position $x_{0}$ of the dom ain wall. From the Poisson bracket fu$u_{0}$; $p_{0} g=1$
and $f x_{0} ; 0 g=1$ togetherw ith $0=\left(4 k_{\theta}=3\right)^{1=2} p_{0}$, we infer $u_{0}=\quad m^{1=2} x_{0}, m=4 k_{0}=3$, and the ensuing equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d x_{0}}{d t}=0 ; \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{0}=\frac{0}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{t}+\text { constant: } \tag{6,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likew ise, the energy and action are given by $\mathrm{E}_{0}={ }_{0}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{0}=\mathrm{T} \frac{\stackrel{2}{0}_{2}^{2 \mathrm{~m}}: ~}{\text { in }} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stochastic interpretation of the phase space dynam ics readily follow s . C onsidering an orbit from $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ traversed in time $T$, corresponding to the propagation of a dom ain wall with genter of $m$ ass position $x_{1}$ at time $t=0$ to the


$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}=\frac{1}{2} m \frac{\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right)^{2}}{T} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding the transition probability from $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ in the tim e intervalT

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; T\right) / \exp \frac{m}{2} \frac{\left(x_{2} x_{1}\right)^{2}}{T}: \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the $G$ aussian distribution for random $w a l k$ with root $m$ ean square deviation $X_{m ~}=(2 T=m)^{1=2}, m=4 k_{0}=3$. W e note that the distribution in Eq. (6. 5.5 ) is obtained in the lim it ! 0 from the overdam ped oscillator distribution in Eq. ( $\overline{4} . \overline{1} \overline{3}$ ). . W e conclude that the uniform or ballistic $m$ otion of a dom ain $w a l l w$ ith in the dynam ical description corresponds precisely to ordinary random walk of the dom ain wallw ithin the associated stochastic description.
$T$ he random walk behavior of a dom ain wallalso follow s easily from the $G$ in zburg Landau equation (2.1). Inserting the uctuating dom ain wallansatz $u(x ; t)=\tanh k_{0}(x \quad x(t))$, where $x(t)$ is the tim e-dependent center ofm ass $x$ and noting that $F=u=0$ for a dom ain wall we obtain, integrating over space, setting $(t)=d x \quad(x ; t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x(t)}{d t} / \quad(t) ; \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the Langevin equation for random walk.
It is also straightforw ard to include the contribution to the stochastic behavior from the deform ation and di usive $m$ odes associated w ith the dom ain wall. From Eq. (4.12) applied to the local deform ation $m$ ode (def) $u_{1}$ and the di usive $m$ odes (di) $u_{k}$ we obtain for the total action for a dressed dom ain $w$ all

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{0}+S_{\mathrm{def}}+S_{\mathrm{di}} ; \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{0}$ is given by Eq. $(6$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.S_{\text {def }}=3 k_{0}^{2} \frac{\left(u_{21} u_{11} e^{3 k}{ }^{2}{ }_{0}^{2} T\right.}{}\right)^{2} ;  \tag{6.8}\\
& S_{d i}=\frac{Z}{2}\left(k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{j u_{2 k} \quad u_{1 k} e^{\left(k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}\right) T} \mathcal{J}}{1 e^{2\left(k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}\right) T}}: \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

For the transition probability from an initial dressed dom ain $w$ all con guration $u_{1}(x)=f x_{1} ; u_{11} ; u_{1 k} g$ to a nal dressed dom ain wall con guration $u_{2}(x)=\mathrm{fx}_{2} ; \mathrm{u}_{21} ; \mathrm{u}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$ during the time interval T we nally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} ; \mathrm{u}_{2} ; \mathrm{T}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{def}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{di}} ; \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{0}$ is given by Eq. $[6-5)$ and $P_{\text {def }} / \exp \left[S_{\text {def }}=\right]$ and $P$ di $/ \exp \left[S_{d i}=\right]$. In the long time lim itt! 1 the dom ain wall perform $s$ a random $w a l k$, whereas the deform ation $m$ ode and di usive $m$ odes attain a stationary state, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P\left(x ; T ; u_{1} ; f u_{k}\right) g\right) / \exp \frac{m x^{2}}{2 T} \exp \underline{3 k_{0}^{2} u_{1}^{2}} \exp \underline{1}^{Z} \frac{d k}{2}\left(k^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2}\right) j u_{k} J^{2}: \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

B. D om ain wall gas

In the case of a dihute dom ain wall gas w ith associated linear modes the discussion above applies in a generalized form. From Eqs. ( 5
 respectively, and each dom ain wall lies on the corresponding energy manifold $\mathrm{E}_{0 \mathrm{i}}=(1=2) \mathrm{p}_{0 \mathrm{i}}^{2}$. For each dom ain wall the phase space plot in $F$ ig. $\mathbf{I}_{1}^{1}$ thus applies. Since the action in ( $(5,34)$ is additive $w$ ith a contribution from each dom ain wall the transition probabilities factorize and we obtain for the random walk part from ( 6.5

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(f x_{i 1} g ; f x_{i 2} g ; T\right) /{ }_{i}^{Y} \exp \frac{m\left(x_{i 2} x_{i 1}\right)^{2}}{2 T}: \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likew ise, the contributions from the deform ation and di usive $m$ odes follow from Eqs. ( $\left(\overline{6} . \mathbf{B}_{1}\right)$ and (6)

## VII. KINETIC TRANSITIONS

In the previous sections we have established the dynam ical fram ew ork in the case of the noise-driven $G$ inzburgLandau equation and established the connection to the custom ary stochastic interpretation. In sum $m$ ary, the dom ain wall gas picture provides a description of a sw itching scenario in term sofm oving right hand and left hand dom ain $w$ alls $w$ th associated linear $m$ odes. The dynam ical approach $m$ oreover im plies that the kinetic pathway from an in itial con guration to a nal con guration is associated w th a speci c orbit in canonical phase space. The action associated w ith the orbit yields the A rrhenius factor associated with the transition. T he dom ain wall gas picture show s that a class of orbits, i.e., a class of solutions of the eld equations can be param etrized in term s of a dihute gas of propagating dom ain walls $w$ ith superim posed local deform ation and extended phase-shifted di usive $m$ odes. This picture is derived from a linear analysis and only holds a priori in a dilute gas lim it and at short tim es. A s discussed below the dom ain wall dynam ics at later tim es can be extracted heuristically from a com bination of selection rules and conservation law s.

## A. Equilib rium

Before we discuss kinetic transitions it is instructive rst brie y to discuss the equilibrium properties. In equilibrium the free energy landscape is determ ined by the structure of $(u)$ in $E q$. ( $2(3)$. The com plex landscape is characterized by the presence of tw o globalm in im a corresponding to the unform ground states $u=+1$ and $u=1$ and an in nity of saddle points corresponding to static nonuniform $m$ ulti-dom ain $w a l l$ con gurations. The at parts of the saddle points correspond to the translation $m$ odes associated $w$ ith the dom ain $w a l l s$. The free energy $F=0$ for the ground states. For an n-dom ain wall con guration $F=n m$, where the $m$ ass $m=4 k_{0}=3$. In $F$ ig. ig we have in sketched the free energy landscape associated w th a single static dom ain wall connecting $u=1$ and $u=+1$. In the case of zero noise for $=0$ the system starting from an arbitrary initial con guration $u_{1}(x)$ approaches the state $w$ ith lowest free energy com patible w th the im posed boundary conditions. The relaxationaldynam ics is govemed by Eq. (4.15) and corresponds in the dynam ical approach to an orbit con ned to the $p=0$ zero-energy subm anifold. For open or periodic boundary conditions the con gurations $w$ ith low est free energy are the two degenerate uniform ground states $u=1$. Im posing vanishing boundary conditions $u=0$ at $x=0$ and $x=L$ for a system ofsize $L$ the eld $u$ grow sto the uniform values $u=1$ or $u=+1$ over a healing length of order $1=k_{\theta}$. Im posing periodic boundary conditions we infer that the healing pro le corresponds to a half dom ain wall and that the free energy of the con guration equals $2(1=2) \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{F}$ inally, for skew boundary conditions w th $\mathrm{u}=1$ for $\mathrm{x}=0$ and $\mathrm{u}=+1$ for $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L}$ the state w th low est free energy corresponds to a single dom ain wall $w$ ith free energy $m$. The di erent scenarios are depicted in Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$.1. In the presence of noise for $\} 0$ the ground states becom em etastable and the system populates the excited states with $F \not 0$. The partition function provides a globalcharacterization of the equilibrium excursions in the free energy landscape and is according to Eq. (2, (2) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z={\underset{\text { fug }}{X} \exp \quad \underline{2} F(u) ; ~ ; ~}_{\text {; }} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the con guration fug has the free energy $F(u)$, yielding the Boltzm ann factor in Eq. (7). $\overline{\mathbf{1}})$. The evaluation of $Z$ and associated correlations, e.g., huui $(x ; t)$ in the dilute non-overlapping dom ain wall gas lim it follow $s$ closely the well-know $n$ soliton and instanton $m$ ethods developed in the seventies and used in $R$ ef. [3] ${ }_{-}^{1}$ ] for the classicaleasy-plane
a)

b)
c)


FIG. 8: In a) we have in a contour plot sketched the free energy landscape in the case of a single static dom ain wall overlapping for large $j x j$ ith the ground states $u=1$ and $u=+1$. The points $A$ and $B$ indicate two distinct positions of the dom ain wall, corresponding to the translation m ode. In b) we have sketched the free energy as fiunction of $u$. The $u$ axis labels collectively the $u(x)$ con gurations in the free energy landscape. The points $A$ and $B$ refer to the dom ain wall positions. Since the free energy of the dom ain wall is independent of the center of $m$ ass position the landscape exhibits a constant $F$ ridge. In $c$ ) $w e$ have depicted the corresponding dom ain wall con gurations at center ofm ass positions A and B.
ferrom agnet. Expanding the free energy de ned by Eqs. $(2,3)$ and $(2,4)$ about an $n$-dom ain wall con guration we have, setting $u=u_{d w}+u, F=F\left(u_{d w}\right)+(1=2) u D \quad u$. U sing $F\left(u_{d w}\right)=n m$, inserting $D$ from Eq. (5 $\left.2 \mathbf{L}_{1}\right)$, and expanding $u$ on the eigenfunctions $n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=n m+\frac{1}{2}_{n i}^{X} \quad n j_{n i} \jmath^{f}: \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing a dom ain wall chem icalpotential $d w$ in order to control the dom ain walldensities we arrive at the grand partition function

The overall factor 2 arises from the double degeneracy of the ground state and the dom ain walls connecting the ground states. The $0=0$ eigenvalue yields the translation $m$ ode and it follow $s$ that $d u_{0 i}=m^{1=2} d x_{i}$, where $x_{i}$ is the position of the $i$-th dom ain wall. The factor $1=n$ ! takes into account the ordering of the dom ain wallwhen integrating $x_{i}$ over a system of size L. Perform ing the Gaussian integrals over the deform ation and di usive $m$ odes we have in $m$ ore


FIG. 9: In a) we have show $n$ the ground state con gurations $w$ ith $F=0$ in the case of open or periodic boundary conditions. In b) the lowest con guration with xed boundary conditions have $F=m$. In $c$ ) we show the lowest spatially degenerate dom ain wall con guration $w$ ith $F=m$ in the case of skew boundary conditions.
reduced form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=2^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{n!} \exp \frac{2}{n} n(d w \quad m)(m \quad)^{n=2} L^{n} \sum_{1}^{n=2} \quad \exp \frac{1}{2}_{k}^{n} \log \frac{1}{k} \quad: \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have introduced the large wavenum ber UV cuto in order to regularize $Z$ at $s m$ all distances. $N$ ote that in the treatm ent in Ref. [3] ${ }_{3}^{2}$ ] the lattice distance of the $m$ agnetic chajin provided a natural sm all scale cuto. Replacing the sum $m$ ation over $k$ by an integralaccording to the prescription $k!d k{ }_{k}$, where the density ofstates $k=L=2+$ $(\mathrm{n}=2) \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{dk}, \quad=2 \tan ^{1}\left(\mathrm{k}_{0}=\mathrm{k}\right)+2 \tan ^{1}\left(2 \mathrm{k}_{0}=\mathrm{k}\right)$, and sum $m$ ing over dom ain walls the partition function factorizes into a di usive part and a dom ain wallpart, inconporating also the contribution from the localized deform ation m odes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{di}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{dw}} ; \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 10: W e show the dom ain wall density $n_{d w}$ in units of $=2$ as function of the noise strength in units of $m$. The density vanishes in the lim its ! 0 and ! 1 and exhibits a $m$ axim um at $4 \mathrm{~m}=3$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{d i}=\exp \frac{L}{2} \log -\quad ;  \tag{7.6}\\
& Z_{d w}=2 \exp 8 L k_{0}^{3=2}-\quad{ }^{1=2} \exp \underline{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d w & m
\end{array}\right)^{\#}: \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In a them al environm ent $=2 \mathrm{~T}$ and the partition function gives direct access to e.g., the speci c heat. W e shall not pursue this calculation here except noting that the gap in the dom ain wall excitation spectrum gives rise to a
 stationary uctuations is undeterm ined it is, how ever, instructive to evaluate the dom ain wall density $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{dw}}$. From the
 $\mathrm{k}_{0}=3 \mathrm{~m}=4, \mathrm{x}$ ! f

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{dw}}=8^{1=2} \quad-\quad \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~m} \quad{ }^{3=2} \exp \quad \frac{2}{m} \quad: \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he dom ain $w$ all density vanishes in the lim its ! 0 and ! 1 and exhibits a $m$ axim um for $m$. $W$ e have depicted $n_{d w}$ as a function of in $F$ ig. in',
B. Transitions in the $K$ ram ers case

Before tuming to the noise-induced kinetic transitions in the $G$ inzburg-Landau equation it is instructive to review the classicalk ram ens theory $[\underline{2}, 1371]$ for a single degree of freedom, which can be analyzed com pletely, and its form ulation w thin the canonical phase space approach.

1. K ram ers theory

W e consider the overdam ped case characterized by the Langevin equation for one degree of freedom u

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u}{d t}=\frac{d F}{d u}+\quad \text {; }  \tag{7.9}\\
& h(t) \quad(0) i=  \tag{7.10}\\
& \text { (t); }
\end{align*}
$$

with kinetic coe cient and noise strength ; in therm alequilibrium $=2 \mathrm{~T}$, where T is the tem perature. For the free energy $F$ we assum e a double well pro le w ith maximum $F(0)$ at $u=0$ and $m$ inim a at $u=\ldots 1$ with $F_{-}(1)=0$. The free energy is depicted in Fig. form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P}{@ t}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\varrho^{2} P}{@ u^{2}}+\frac{\varrho}{@ u} \quad \frac{d F}{d u} P \quad: \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note the stationary state for $@ \mathrm{P}=@ \mathrm{t}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {st }}, \exp \quad \underline{2} F \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in accordance w ith Eq. (2,
In order to evaluate the transition rate from, say, the state $u=1$ to the state $u=+1$ across the free energy barrier F (0) we follow K ram ers and set up a constant probability current $J$ across the barrier generated by a source to the left of the barrier in region A and absonbed by a sink to the right of the barrier in region B. From the conservation law $@ P=@ t+@ J=@ u=0$ and Eq. (7.11) we derive the current

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{1}{2} \frac{@ P}{@ u} \quad \frac{d F}{d u} P: \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing a sink at $u_{+}>0$ we obtain the steady state solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(u)=\frac{2 J}{} \exp \quad \underline{2} F(u)_{u^{2}}^{Z_{u_{+}}} d u^{0} \exp \quad \underline{2} F\left(u^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting up a population $n=\begin{array}{r}R_{0} \\ 1\end{array}$ duP ( $u$ ) to the left of the barrier the rate is given by $k=J=n$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{1}=\frac{2}{2}_{1}^{Z} d u \exp \quad \underline{2} F(u)_{u^{Z}}^{Z_{+}} d u^{0} \exp \quad \underline{2} F\left(u^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

F inally, a sim ple steepest descent calculation for ! 0 yields $K$ ram ers celebrated result for the rate in the overdam ped Sm oluchow skilim it, $F^{\infty}=d^{2} F=d u^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{}{2}\left(F^{\infty}(1) F^{\infty}(0)\right\rangle^{1=2} \exp \quad \underline{2} F(0): \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate is determ ined by the A rmenius factor $\exp (\quad(2=) F(0))$ depending on the height of the barrier and the prefactor $(=2)\left(F^{\infty}(1) F^{\infty}(0)\right\rangle^{1=2}$. H ere the double derivative $F^{\infty}$ can be associated $w$ th the oscillation frequencies in the potentialwell at $u=1$ and about the $m$ axim $u m$ at $u=0$

## 2. D ynam ical interpretation of $K$ ram ers theory

H ere we discuss the $K$ ram ers escape problem from a potential well within the canonical phase space method. A coording to the general form ulation in Sec. III the H am iltonian associated w ith the Langevin equation $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} . \overline{9}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{p} \quad 2 \frac{\mathrm{dF}}{\mathrm{du}} ; \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding the equations ofm otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u}{d t}=\frac{d F}{d u}+p ;  \tag{7.18}\\
& \frac{d p}{d t}=\frac{d^{2} F}{d u^{2}} p ; \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and the associated action

$$
S(u ; T)=^{Z_{u ; T}} d t p \frac{d u}{d t} \quad H \quad:
$$





FIG.11: In a) we show the double well structure of the free energy $F$. In b) we show orbits in the canonicalphase space. The zero-energy $m$ anifolds are $p=0$ and $p=2 d F=d u$, intersecting at the saddle points $(u ; p)=(1 ; 0),(0 ; 0)$, and $(1 ; 0)$. In $c)$ we show the inverted double well potential entering in the $N$ ew ton equation description of the transition.

For the norm alized transition probability we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(u ; T)=R^{\exp ^{h} \underline{s(u ; T)}^{i} \underline{\exp }_{\underline{s(u ; T)}}{ }^{i}} \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $F$ ig. 'II' b) we have depicted the phase space for the K ram ers escape case. $T$ he zero-energy $m$ anifolds are given by $p=0$ and $p=2 d F=d u$. On the phase space plot we have shown an onbit from region $A$ to region $B$, ie., across the free energy barrier. C om paring Fig. "II' a) w ith Fig. II' b) we note that the (uphill part of the orbit in region A is controlled by the $p=2 d F=d u m$ anifld $w$ hereas the $\backslash \overline{d o w} n h i l l$ " part in region $B$ is controlled by the $p=0 \mathrm{~m}$ anifold . In the long time lim it the orbit approaches the zero-energy $m$ anifolds $p=0$ and $p=2 d F=d u$ passing close to the saddle points at $u=, 1, u=0$, and $u=1$. A simple calculation along the $\backslash$ bulge" setting $H=0$ and inserting $p=2 d F=d u$ in Eq. ( $(120)$ yields the A rnenius factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \quad \underline{2}(0) ; \tag{722}
\end{equation*}
$$

in accordance w ith $K$ ram ens result in Eq. (7̄.1̄).
Finally, elim inating $p$ in the coupled equations $(\overline{7}=\overline{1} \overline{1})$ and $(\overline{7}(\overline{1} \overline{1})$ we obtain the N ew ton equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}=2 \frac{d}{d u} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d F}{d u}{ }^{2 "} \text {; } \tag{723}
\end{equation*}
$$

 which for a double well free energy possesses three maxim a at $u=0$ and $u=1$. As indicated in Fig ilitc) the long tim e orbit from $A$ to $B$ is associated $w$ ith the long waiting tim $e$ at the $m$ axim um for $u=0$

> C. Transitions in the G in zburg-L andau case

In order to induce a non-equilibrium kinetic transition across the free energy landscape in the $G$ inzburg-Landau case we $x$ the initial con guration $u_{1}(x)$ at time $t=0$ and the nal con guration $u_{2}(x)$ at time $T$. There are tw o fundam ental issues: 1) the determ ination of the kinetic pathways and 2) the evaluation of the transition rate. G enerally, in order to m in im ize the free-energy cost, the pathw ay passes via saddle points, i.e., the m ulti-dom ain wall excitations, in the free energy landscape. The actual path chosen, how ever, depends on the tim e $T$ allocated to the transition. The transition rate is determ ined by the A rrhenius factor $\exp (2 \mathrm{~F}=$ ) associated with the free energy $F$ of the saddle points encountered along the pathway. In addition there is a prefactor determ ined by the attem pt frequencies; this issue, how ever, is not dealt $w$ ith in the present context.

Focussing on a kinetic transition or sw itch between the two ground states $u=1$ and $u=+1$ the pathway corresponds to nucleation of dom ain walls and their subsequent propagation across the system. Let us rst consider the case of $x e d$ boundary conditions $u=0$ at $x=0$ and $x=L$. The ground state con gurations are depicted in Fig. $\left.{ }_{1}, \underline{1}, \mathrm{~b}\right)$. In the presence of noise the ground states arem etastable and the system can sw itch from $u=1$ to $u=+1$ by m eans of the propagation of a single dom ain wall across the sam ple in the transition tim e T. As discussed above the pro les close to the boundaries over a healing length of order $\mathrm{k}_{0}{ }^{1}$ correspond to half dom ain walls and the free energy of either ground state is equal to $F_{d w}=m, m=4 k_{0}=3$; note that for periodic boundary conditions as depicted in $F$ ig. ${ }_{9}^{\prime \prime}$ a) the free energy of the ground states vanishes. In order to e ectuate a transition a dom ain wall m ust nucleate at either boundary and subsequently propagate across the system. The nucleation free energy is $F_{d w}=m$ and from our discussion of the K ram ens case we infer the A rmenius factor $\exp (2 \mathrm{~m}=$ ) or within the dynam ical description a nucleation action of the order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{nucl}}=2 \mathrm{~m}: \tag{724}
\end{equation*}
$$

W thin the conventional stochastic description the noise generates both the nucleation and the subsequent di usion of the dom ain wall across the sam ple. Since the dom ain wall carries a nite energy we must within the dynam ical description assign a nite energy or nite noise eld $p(x)$ at $t=0$ in order to ensure propagation of the dom ain wall. $C$ onsidering the case $w$ here the right hand dom ain $w$ allnucleates at $x=0$, we assign the noise eld $p(x) / p_{0}=\cosh ^{2} k_{0} x$ given by Eq. (5.17) at tim et $=0$. In term $s$ of the $m$ om entum _ $0=p m^{1=2}$ given by Eq. (5.19) we thus obtain the velocity $\mathrm{v}={ }_{0}^{-}=\mathrm{m}$, energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}={ }_{0}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, and action by Eq. $\left.6.6{ }_{3}^{3}\right)$, i.e., $\mathrm{S}_{0}=\mathrm{T}{ }_{0}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{T}$ he constraint that the system


FIG. 12: In a) the sw itching from $u=+1$ to $u=1$ in tim e $T$ is e ectuated by means of a right hand dom ain wallpropagating $w$ ith velocity $v=1=T$. The dom ain $w a l l$ is nucleated at $x=0$ and annihilated at $x=1$. In $b$ ) the process is depicted in an (x;t) plot.
of size $L$ is sw itched at tim e $T$ m oreover im pose a selection rule on the propagation velocity. W e infer $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{T}$ and obtain the action $S_{0}=\mathrm{m}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~T}$ associated w th the transition. T he total action associated w ith a single dom ain wall sw itch is thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(T)=S_{\text {nucl }}+\frac{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{~L}^{2}}{2 T}: \tag{725}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Fig. "12' we have in a) depicted the propagation of a single right hand dom ain wall across the sam ple. In b) we have show $n$ the transition in an $(x ; t) p l o t$. In the present case $w$ th $x e d$ boundary conditions the $m$ om entum 0 associated $w$ ith the $m$ otion of the dom ain $w a l l$ is generated at the boundary $x=0$ at tim $e t=0$ akd, subsequently, absorbed at the boundary $x=L$ at tim et $=T$. Them om entum is given by Eq. (5.13), i.e., $0=p_{0} d x u_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}} \mathrm{d} 0=\mathrm{dx}$. Inserting 0 and $u_{d w}$ given by E qs. (5.8i) and (2.7.7), respectively, and perform ing a partialintegration using ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{cosh}^{4} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{x}=4=3 \mathrm{k}_{0}$ we obtain $0=\mathrm{pm}^{\mathrm{I}^{2}=2}>0$ since $\mathrm{p}_{0}<0$ for a positive propagation velocity. Correspondingly, the $m$ om entum is absorbed at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L}$.

For xed boundary conditions the transition from $u=1$ to $u=+1$ can also take place by means of nucleation of tw o dom ain walls at both ends of the system. The dom ain walls subsequently propagate tow ards one another and annihilate at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L}=2$. The scenario is show n Fig.13. Since the action is additive for the two-dom ain wall system the nucleation action is given by $2 \mathrm{~S}_{\text {nucl }}=2 \mathrm{~m}$. H ow ever, in order to e ectuate the transition in time T the velocity is half the velocity in the single dom ain wall case and we obtain for the action associated $w$ ith the 2 -dom ain wall transition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}(T)=2 S_{\text {nucl }}+\frac{m L^{2}}{4 T}: \tag{726}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 13: In a) the sw itching from $u=+1$ to $u=1$ in time $T$ takes place by m eans of two dom ain walls propagating in opposite directions w ith velocity $v=1=2 T . T$ he dom ain $w$ alls nucleate at the boundaries and anninilate at the center. In b) the sw itching process is depicted in an $(x ; t)$ plot.

Finally, in the general case of a transition from $u=+1$ to $u=1$ in time $T$ by means of the nucleation and propagation of $n$ dom ain walls the nucleation action is $n S_{n u c l}$ and the dom ain $w a l l s m$ ove with velocity $L=T n$; we obtain the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{nS}_{\mathrm{nucl}}+\frac{\mathrm{mL}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{nT}}: \tag{727}
\end{equation*}
$$

In F ig. ${ }^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ' we have in an ( x ) plot show n a 4-dom ain wall sw itch.
In addition to dom ain wall modes tim e-dependent localized deform ation and extended di usive modes are also excited, corresponding to $s m$ all $G$ aussian uctuations about the localm inim a and saddle points and the transition pathw ay from $u=+1$ to $u=1$ proceedsby propagating dom ain walls w ith supenposed linearm odes sub ject topnergy and $m$ om entum conservation and topologicalconstraints. The energy of the initialstate is given by $E=(1=2) \quad d x p^{2}$ and the noise eld thus has to be assigned initially in order to reach the sw itched state $u=1$ in a prescribed time $T$. For topological reasons the dom ain walls $m$ ust nucleate and anninilate in pairs sub ject to absonption or radiation of linear m odes, respectively. Since the linear m odes also carry positive action the dynam icalm odes $w$ ith low est action correspond to nucleation or annihilation of dom ain wallpairs $w$ ith eqrival and opposite $m$ om enta, i.e., equalvelocities.

In the case of periodic boundary conditions the $m$ om entum $=d x u @ p=@ x$ of the initial and nal states is zero. $T$ he system is translational invariant and the form ation and annihilation of one or several dom ain wall pairs $m$ oving w ith the sam e speed take place at equidistant positions along the axis. For xed boundary conditions the translational invariance is broken and the m om entum is nonvanishing corresponding to nucleation and annihilation of dom ain $w$ alls at the boundaries. This general scenario of sw itching is com pletely consistent $w$ ith the num erical analysis in


FIG. 14: W e show a 4-dom ain wall sw itch in an $(x ; t)$ plot.
[2]

1. Im proved estim ate of $\mathrm{S}_{\text {nucl }}$

The above estim ate of the nucleation action $S_{\text {nucl }}$ for a dom ain wall was based on an analogy with the A rrhenius factor in the sim ple $K$ ram ers case of escape from a potential well. H ere we im prove the estim ate of $S_{\text {nucl }}$ based on the phase space form ulation. We consider the case of a sw itch from $u=+1$ to $u=1$ in time $T$ proceeding by 1) the nucleation of two dom ain walls at the center of the system during the time interval $t$, 2) propagation of the dom ain walls w ith opposite velocities during the tim e intervalt $2 t$, and 3) the annihilation of the dom ain walls at the boundaries during the tim e interval $t$. From the $w i d t h$ of a single dom ain $w a l l k_{0}{ }^{1}$ and the propagation velocity $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{~T}$ we estim ate the nucleation tim e, i.e., the tim e it takes for the nucleation to separate in tw 0 distinct dom ain


$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {nucl }}=\frac{1}{2}_{u=+1 ; 0}^{\mathrm{Z} ; t^{u}} d x d t(p d u=d t \quad H) ; \tag{7.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the nucleation con guration just prior to breaking up into two dom ain walls; $H$ is the energy density which we determ ine below. In order to estim ate $S_{n u c l}$ we consider the eld equations (4.1) and (4). The intial con guration is $u(x)=u(x ; t=0)=1$ and denoting the intial noise eld by $p_{0}(x)=p(x ; t=0)$ and, moreover, considering a large system so that $t$ is $s m$ all, we obtain to leading order in $t$ from the equations of $m$ otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x ; t) \quad \frac{\varrho^{2} u(x ; t)}{@ x^{2}}+2 k_{0}^{2} u(x ; t)\left(1 \quad u(x ; t)^{2}\right) \quad \underset{t=0}{ }+p_{0}(x) \quad t+1 \text {; }  \tag{729}\\
& p(x ; t) \quad \frac{@^{2} p(x ; t}{@ x^{2}} \quad 2 k_{0}^{2} p(x ; t)\left(1 \quad 3 u(x ; t)^{2}\right) \quad t+p_{0}(x) \text {; } \tag{7.30}
\end{align*}
$$

or by insertion

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u(x ; t) & p(x) t+1 ; \\
p(x ; t) & \frac{@^{2} p}{@ x^{2}}+4 k_{0}^{2} p_{0}(x) \quad t+p_{0}(x):
\end{array}
$$

These solutions describe the initialpart of the orbit in (up) phase space corresponding to the nucleation oftw o dom ain walls. The unspeci ed initial noise eld $p_{0}(x)$ acts as an in itiator. The noise pro $P^{\mathrm{le}} \mathrm{p}_{0}(\mathrm{x})$ is localized at the position of the nucleation. Since the orbit lies on an energy surface we have $E_{0}=(1=2) \quad d x p_{0}(x)^{2}$ and we infer the energy


FIG. 15: W e sketch the orbit in phase space corresponding to the nucleation of a dom ain wall pair during tim e tnucl, the subsequent propagation across the system during tim e T $2 t_{n u c l}$, and the nal annihilation of the individual dom ain wall at the boundaries during tim e tnucl.
density $H=(1=2) p_{0}\left(x_{R}^{2}\right.$. From the equation ofm otion $d u(x)=d t \quad p(x)$ and inserting in the action in Eq. (i) $\left.2 \mathbf{g}_{1}^{2}\right)$ we obtain $\left.S_{\text {nucl }} \quad(1=2) t d x\left[p_{0}(x)+\left(\quad @^{2} p_{0}(x)=@ x^{2}+4 k_{0}^{2} p_{0}(x)\right) \quad t\right) p_{0}(x) \quad(1=2) p_{0}(x)^{2}\right]$. Rearranging and perform ing a partial integration, assum ing $p_{0}(x)$ localized, we nd the follow ing expression for the nucleation action per dom ain wall:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {nucl }} \frac{1}{2} t E_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(t)^{2} \quad 8 k_{0}^{2} E_{0}+Z^{\mathrm{Z}}{\frac{p_{0}(x)}{@ x}}^{2!}: \tag{7.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is a short tim e estim ate and holds for a large system, i.e., for $k_{0} L \quad 1$. The energy $E_{0}=2(1=2) m v^{2}$ is found from the 2 -dom ain wall sector. Inserting $t=4 T=k_{0} L, v=L=2 T, m=4 k_{0}=3$, estim ating ( $\left.@ p_{0}(x)=@ x\right)^{2}=f k_{0}^{2} p_{0}(x)^{2}$, where $f$ ia a \fudge" factor of order one, and lum ping the term linear in $w$ ith the dom ain wallpair propagation, we obtain $S_{\text {nucl }}=t^{2}(4+f) k_{0}^{2} E_{0}$. Further reduction yields the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {nucl }}=(4+f) m \tag{7.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his expression for the nucleation action has the sam e form as the one derived from the sim ple K ram ers theory. In Fig. 115 w w e have in a phase space plot sketched the orbit and indicated the nucleation, propagation, and anninilation regions.

## VIII. INTERPRETATION OFNUMERICALRESULT

Here we $m$ ake contact $w$ ith the num erical analysis of the $G$ inzburg-Landau equation by $E$, $R$ en, and VandenEijnden [21]. These authors analyze the noise induced sw itching by m eans of an optim ization techniques applied to the Freidlin-W entzel action

$$
S_{F W}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{0}^{Z} d x{ }_{0}^{Z} d t \frac{@ u}{@ t} \frac{@^{2} u}{@ x^{2}}+2 k_{0}^{2} u\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & u^{2} \tag{8.1}
\end{array}{ }^{2}:\right.
$$

for a system of size $L=1$ over a tim e span $T$ and nd that the globalm in im um of $S_{F W}$ corresponds to the nucleation and propagation of dom ain walls. F irst we observe that the $m$ inim izing con gurations, the $m$ inim izers, of ( 8.11 , are identical to the orbits found within the canonical phase space approach. This correspondence was discussed in Sec. III and is seen by noting that insertion of the equation ofm otion for $p$ and the $H$ am iltonian ( 4 for the action $(\overline{3}, \overline{1})$ ) yields the Freidlin $W$ entzel form in $(8,1(1)$.

Sw itching a system of size $L$ in tim e $T$ by $m$ eans of a single dom ain wall, corresponding to the pathway via the low est localm inim um of the free energy at $\underline{F}_{d w}=m$, the propagation velocity $v=p_{0}=m=L=T$ and we obtain the action $S_{1}(T)=S_{\text {nucl }}+\mathrm{mL}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~T}$ in Eq. ( $\mathrm{T}_{2} \overline{2}_{1}$ ) and associated transition probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { P / exp } \quad \frac{S_{\text {nucl }}}{} \exp \frac{\mathrm{mL}^{2}}{2 T}: \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the them odynam ic lim it L! 1, P! 0 as a result of the broken symmetry in the double well potential. At long tim es the action falls o as $1=T$. At interm ediate tim es $t$ and positions $x$ we have $P / \exp \left(m x^{2}=2 \mathrm{t}\right.$ ) and the dom ain wall in the stochastic interpretation perform a random walk with $m$ ean square displacem ent $2 \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{m}$, corresponding to di usive behavior. In Fig. propagating $w$ th constant velocity $v=1=T$ to the right boundary, where it annihilates. $W$ e have used the sam $e$
 plotted the trajectory of the dom ain wall in space and time.
$T$ he sw itching can also take place by nucleating tw o dom ain $w a l l s$ at the boundaries. These then $m$ ove at half the velocity $\mathrm{v}=2$ and subsequently annihilate at the center. T his process corresponds to the pathw ay via the local saddle point of the free energy at $F_{d w}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, and the action is given by $S_{2}(T)=2 S_{n u c 1} 0+2 S_{1}(4 \mathrm{~T})$ in Eq. (720 $\left.{ }^{-}\right)$. The associated transition probability is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P / \exp \quad \frac{2 S_{n u c l}}{} \exp \frac{m L^{2}}{4 T}: \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$


Com bining the contributions from nucleation and the subsequent dom ain wall propagation we obtain the heuristic expression in Eq. ( $\overline{7} \overline{2} \overline{-} \overline{1})$, where $S_{n u c}$ is the action for nucleating a single dom ain $w$ all and $n$ is the num ber of $w$ alls. From the im proved estim ate of $S_{\text {nucl }}$ in Eq. (7 $\left.\overline{3} \overline{4}\right)$ w ith fudge factor $f 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\text {nuc }} \quad 5 \mathrm{k}_{0}: \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

 according to (8.4) we nd excllent agreem ent w ith the num erical results.

A s also discussed in $\left.{ }^{2} 1_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ w e note that the sw itching scenario depends on $T$. At shorter sw itching tim es it becom es $m$ ore favorable to nucleate $m$ ore dom ain walls. In the present formulation this feature is associated with the nite nucleation or annihilation action $S_{\text {nuc }}$. This is evidently a nite size e ect in the sense that the action at a xed $T$ diverges in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it L! 1 , corresponding to the broken sym $m$ etry.

## IX. SUMMARYAND CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have im plem ented the dynam ical phase space approach developed previously for the noisy Burgers equation to the case of the one dim ensional noise-driven $G$ inzburg-Landau equation. Based on a linear analysis of the static dom ain wall solutions in the noiseless case we nd that the kinetic transitions take place by $m$ eans of propagating $m$ ulti-dom ain wall con gurations $w$ ith superim posed local deform ation and extended di usive $m$ odes. The approach also allow s for a detem ination of the A rhenius factor associated $w$ ith the transitions. The $m$ otivation for the present $w$ ork is a recent num ericaloptim ization study by $E$ et. al. [2 $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ of the noisy one dim ensional $G$ inzburg-Landau equation based on the Freidlin-W entzel theory of large deviations.' $W$ e nd excellent agreem ent both qualitatively and quantitatively with the num erical nding of $E$. et al. [211]. The dynam ical approach o ers in the nonperturbative weak noise or low tem perature lim it an altemative w ay of determ in ing dynam ical pathw ays and the A rrhenius part of the associated transition rates.


F IG . 16: The action $S(T)$ given by $\left(\underline{T}-T_{1}\right)$ is plotted as a function of $T$ for transition pathways involving up to $n=6$ dom ain walls. The lowest action and thus the $m$ ost probable transition is associated $w$ ith an increasing num ber of dom ain walls at shorter tim es, indicated by the heavy lim iting curve. The curves correspond to choosing $S_{n u c}=5 \mathrm{k}_{0}$.
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