Out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-Einstein relation: determination of the elective temperature of an aging medium Noelle POTTER Federation de Recherche CNRS 2438 \Matiere et System es Complexes", Universite Paris 7,

and

G roupe de Physique des Solides, CNRS UMR 7588, Universites Paris 6 et Paris 7, 2, place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France

Abstract

We analyze in details how the anom alous drift and di usion properties of a particle evolving in an aging medium can be interpreted in terms of an elective temperature of the medium. From an experimental point of view, independent measurements of the mean-square displacement and of the mobility of a particle immersed in an aging medium such as a colloidal glass give access to an out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-Einstein relation, from which the elective temperature of the medium can eventually be deduced. We illustrate the procedure on a simple model with power-law behaviours.

PACS: 05.40.-a Fluctuation phenomena, random processes, noise and Brownian motion 02.50 Ey Stochastic processes

 $K \in Y \otimes O \cap S : F$ luctuation phenom ena; R and m processes; N oise and B row n-ian m otion; Stochastic processes

Corresponding author:

Noelle POTTER Fax number: + 33 1 46 33 94 01 E-m ail: npottier@ccr.jussieu.fr

1. Introduction

A swell-known, the dynam ical properties of system swhich are not far from an equilibrium state can successfully be analyzed in terms of the uctuation-dissipation theorems (FDTs) [1,2]. As recalled for instance in [3], the meaning of these theorems is as follows. The uctuation-dissipation theorem of the $\$ rst kind" (or rst FDT) expresses a necessary condition for a therm on eter in contact solely with the system to register the temperature of the bath. The uctuation-dissipation theorem of the $\$ second kind" (or second FDT) expresses the fact that the bath itself is in equilibrium.

N one of these theorems is valid out of equilibrium. This happens in particular when the bath is constituted by an aging medium with properties evolving with its age (i.e. the time t_w elapsed since the instant of its preparation). In such a case, provided that departures from equilibrium remain weak, it has been proposed to associate to the FDT violation an age and frequency-dependent e ective tem perature T_e : (!; t_w) [4,5]. M ore precisely, the elapsed temperature has been introduced as a quantity modifying the relation between the dissipative part of a given generalized susceptibility and the associated correlation function. A few experimental measurem ents of the elapsed temperature of real out of equilibrium physical systems have subsequently been performed [6,7,8,9].

In the present paper, we focus the interest on the recent experiment as described in [9], in which the elective temperature of an aging colloidal glass is determined by studying the mean square displacement and the frequency-dependent mobility of an immersed probe particle. If the particle would evolve in a bath at equilibrium, the dilusion exponent characterizing its mean square displacement x^2 (t) would only depend on the exponent characterizing the real part < elective temperature T_e : (!), we showed in [11] that, if the latter can be modelized by an inverse power-law of ! at small !, the dilusion exponent depends on both exponents associated with < elective (!). Then, in turn, independent measurements of the exponent sassociated with the elective temperature.

Here we present a more thorough analysis of this problem . We show how the full expression of the elective tem perature can be deduced from the measurements

of the particle m ean square displacem ent and m obility. This general analysis does not rely on any speci c hypothesis on the behaviours of x^2 (t) and (!). We show that, in the aging medium, the particle mean-square displacem ent and mobility are linked together via an out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-E instein relation, which itself derives from a modi ed K ubo form ula for (!). The question of the determ ination of T_{e} : (!) is not straightforward since the modi ed E instein relation de ning the elective temperature solely involves < elected (!).

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3, we recall the form ulation of the equilibrium FDTs. We put the emphasis on the fact that, for each one of the two FDTs, two equivalent form ulations can be given. One form ulation concerns the dissipative part of a given generalized susceptibility, while the other one concerns the corresponding Kubo form ula. In Section 4, we turn to out of equilibrium situations. We show that the elective temperature $T_{e_{1}}$ (!), as de ned via the modiled Einstein and Nyquist relations, is not identical to the quantities $T_{e_{1}}^{(1)}$ (!) or $T_{e_{1}}^{(2)}$ (!) involved in the corresponding modiled Kubo form ulas. We establish the relation linking $T_{e_{1}}$ (!) and $T_{e_{1}}^{(1)}$ (!) (and also the one linking $T_{e_{1}}$ (!) and $T_{e_{1}}^{(2)}$ (!)). In Section 5, we show how this relation allows to deduce the elective temperature from the out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-Einstein relation linking the Laplace transform of the mean square displacement and the z-dependent mobility (z denotes the Laplace variable). In Section 6, we illustrate the whole procedure by considering again the model with power-law behaviours previously studied in [11]. Finally, Section 7 contains our conclusions.

2. Diusion in a stationary medium

The motion of a di using particle of mass m evolving in a stationary m edium is usually described by the generalized Langevin equation [1,2],

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = m \sum_{1}^{Z_{1}} \sim (t \ t) v(t^{0}) dt^{0} + F(t); \quad v = \frac{dx}{dt};$$
 (2.1)

in which F (t) is the Langevin random force acting on the particle and ~ (t) a retarded friction kernel accounting for the viscoelastic properties of the medium. In Eq. (2.1), it is assumed that the di using particle and its stationary environment have been put in contact in an in nitely remote past, as pictured by the lower integration bound 1 in the retarded friction term. Both F (t) and the solution

v(t) of Eq. (2.1) can be viewed as stationary random processes. Their spectral densities are linked by

$$C_{vv}(!) = j(!) f_{C_{FF}}(!);$$
 (2.2)

where

$$(!) = \frac{1}{m [(!)]}$$
 (2:3)

denotes the frequency-dependent particle mobility. In Eq. (2.3), the friction ∞ e cient (!) is the Fourier transform of the retarded kernel ~ (t), as de ned by (!) = $\frac{R_1}{1}$ ~ (t) $e^{i!t}$ dt.

3. Formulations of the equilibrium uctuation-dissipation theorems

W hen the stationary medium surrounding the di using particle is in therm al equilibrium at temperature T, specic relations exist, which allow to express the mobility in terms of the velocity correlation function, and the friction coe cient in terms of the random force correlation function. These two relations respectively constitute the rst and the second FDTs [1,2].

Interestingly, each one of the two FDTs can be form ulated in two equivalent ways, depending on whether one is prim arily interested in writing a Kubo form ula for a generalized susceptibility (!) (in the present case (!) or (!)), or an expression for its dissipative part (namely the E instein relation for <e (!) or the N yquist form ula for <e (!)). This feature is far from being academ ic: indeed the equivalence between these two types of form ulations, which holds at equilibrium, has to be carefully reconsidered out of equilibrium, when one attempts to extend the FDTs with the help of a frequency-dependent e ective temperature [4,5].

Before studying out of equilibrium situations, let us brie y summarize the two formulations of each of the equilibrium theorem s.

3.1. Form ulations of the rst uctuation-dissipation theorem

The linear response theory, applied to the particle velocity considered as a dynam ical variable of the isolated particle-plus-bath system, allows to express the mobility $(!) = _{vx}(!)$ in terms of the equilibrium velocity correlation function:

$$(!) = \frac{1}{kT} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} v(t) v e^{i!t} dt:$$
(3:1)

The K ubo form ula (3.1) for the mobility constitutes a form ulation of the rst FD T [1,2].

A coordingly, the velocity spectral density is related to the real part of the mobility: $_{\rm Z}$

$$C_{vv}(!) = v(t)v e^{i!t} dt = kT 2 < e (!):$$
(32)

Introducing the frequency-dependent di usion coe cient D (!) as de ned by $\frac{7}{2}$

$$D(!) = v(t)v \cos ! tdt;$$
(3:3)

one can rewrite Eq. (3.2) as the well-known Einstein relation:

$$\frac{D(!)}{e(!)} = kT:$$
(3:4)

The Einstein relation (3.4) or the expression (3.2) of the dissipative part <e (!) of the mobility constitute another formulation of the rst FDT. Indeed they contain the same information as the Kubo formula (3.1), since (!) can be deduced from <e (!) with the help of the usual K ram ers-K ronig relations valid for real ! [1,2]. Eq. (3.1) on the one hand, and Eqs. (3.2) or (3.4) on the other hand, are thus fully equivalent, and they all involve the therm odynam ic bath temperature T. Note how ever that, while (!) as given by Eq. (3.1) can be extended into an analytic function in the upper complex half plane, the same property does not hold for D (!).

3.2. Form ulations of the second uctuation-dissipation theorem

From the rst FDT (3.2) and the expression (2.3) of the mobility (!), one gets:

$$C_{vv}(!) = \frac{kT}{m} \frac{2 < e (!)}{j (!) i!^2j}$$
 (3:5)

O ne then deduces from Eq. (22) the well-known N yquist form ula yielding the noise spectral density in terms of the dissipative part < e (!) of the friction coe cient:

$$C_{FF}$$
 (!) = m kT 2 < e (!): (3:6)

C orrespondingly, one can write a K ubo form ula relating the friction coe cient (!) to the random force correlation function:

$$(!) = \frac{1}{m \, kT} \int_{0}^{2 - 1} F(t) F(t) e^{i! t} dt: \qquad (3.7)$$

Eq. (3.6) and Eq. (3.7) are two equivalent form ulations of the second FDT, which both involve the bath temperature T [1,2].

4. E ective temperature in an out of equilibrium medium : the link with the Kubo formulas for the generalized susceptibilities

Let us now consider a particle di using in an out of equilibrium environment, for which no well de ned therm odynamical temperature does exist. Since, in an out of equilibrium regime, even stationary, the FDTs are not satised, one can try to rewrite them in a modied way, and thus to extend the linear response theory, with the help of a (frequency-dependent) e ective temperature.

Such a quantity, denoted as T_{e} :(!), and param etrized by the age of the system, has been de ned, for real !, via an extension of both the E instein relation and the N yquist form ula. It has been argued in [4,5] that the e ective tem perature de ned in this way plays in out of equilibrium systems the same role as the therm odynam ic tem perature in systems at equilibrium (namely, the e ective tem perature controls the direction of heat ow and acts as a criterion for therm alization).

The elective temperature can in principle be deduced from independent measurements, for instance, of < e (!) and D (!) (or of < e (!) and C_{FF} (!)). How – ever, experimentally it may be preferable to make use of the modiled K ubo form ulas for the corresponding generalized susceptibilities. The K ubo form ula for (!) (and also the one for (!)) cannot be extended to an out of equilibrium situation just replacing T by T_{e} : (!) in Eq. (3.1) (and in Eq. (3.7)) [11]. In the following, we will show in details how the K ubo form ulas have then to be rewritten.

4.1. De nition of the e ective tem perature T : (!)

To begin with, following [4,5], we write the relation between the dissipative part of the mobility and the velocity spectral density in an out of equilibrium medium as:

$$C_{vv}(!) = kT_{e}(!) 2 < e(!)$$
: (4:1)

This amounts to assume a modied Einstein relation:

$$\frac{D(!)}{(4.2)$$

Eq. (4.1) (or Eq. (4.2)) de nes a frequency-dependent e ective tem perature $T_{e_{-1}}(!)$.

Interestingly, the same e ective tem perature T_{e} : (!) can consistently be used in the modi ed Nyquist formula linking the noise spectral density C_{FF} (!) = R_1 hF (t)F ie^{i!t}dt and the dissipative part < e (!) of the friction coe cient. One has:

$$C_{FF}$$
 (!) = m kT_e : (!) 2 < e (!): (4:3)

Let us note for further purpose that T_e : (!) is only de ned for ! real. Eqs. (4.1)-(4.3) cannot be extended to complex values of !.

4.2. The modi ed K ubo form ula for the mobility

Out of equilibrium, it is not possible to rewrite the Kubo formula for (!)under a form similar to Eq. (3.1) with T_e : (!) in place of T:

(!)
$$\in \frac{1}{kT_{e} : (!)} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} v(t)v e^{i!t} dt:$$
 (4:4)

Let us instead write a modi ed Kubo formula as:

$$(!) = \frac{1}{kT_{e}^{(1)}(!)} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} v(t)v e^{i!t} dt:$$
(4:5)

Eq. (4.5) de nes a complex frequency-dependent function $T_e^{(1)}$ (!), in terms of which the velocity spectral density C_{vv} (!) = $\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ hv (t)vie^{i!t}dt writes:

$$C_{vv}(!) = 2 < e (!)kT_e^{(1)}(!)$$
 : (4:6)

According to Eq. (4.5), the quantity $(!)kT_{e}^{(1)}(!) = \frac{R_1}{0}hv(t)vie^{i!t}dt$ can be extended into an analytic function in the upper complex half plane. Since this analyticity property holds for (!), it also holds for $T_{e}^{(1)}(!)$.

For real ! , one has the identity:

$$T_{e:}(!) 2 < e (!) = 2 < e (!) T_{e:}^{(1)}(!) :$$
 (4:7)

Eq. (4.7) allows to derive the function $T_{e}^{(1)}(!)$ from a given elective temperature $T_{e}(!)$. Conversely, it provides the link allowing for deducing $T_{e}(!)$ from the modiled Kubo formula for (!).

Thus, the velocity correlation function, which is the inverse Fourier transform of C_{vv} (!), can be expressed in two equivalent forms, either, in terms of the elective temperature, as

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \int_{1}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} e^{-i!(t-t^{0})} kT_{e-1}(!) 2 \le (!); \qquad (4.8)$$

or, in term s of the function $T_{e}^{(1)}$ (!), as:

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \int_{1}^{2} \frac{d!}{2} e^{-i!(t-t^{0})} 2 < e^{-(!)kT_{e}^{(1)}} (!) :$$
(4:9)

Let us note for further purpose that, since C_{vv} (!) is an even function of !, Eq. (4.9) can be rewritten as a cosine Fourier integral,

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \int_{1}^{2} \frac{d!}{2} \cos! (t t) 2 \le (!)kT_{e}^{(1)}(!);$$
 (4:10)

that is¹:

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} \cos! (t t^{0}) 2 (!)kT_{e}^{(1)} (!):$$
(4:11)

4.3. The modi ed Kubo formula for the friction coe cient

Sim ilarly, out of equilibrium, it is not possible to rewrite the Kubo formula for (!) under a form sim ilar to Eq. (3.7) with T_{e} : (!) in place of T:

(!)
$$\notin \frac{1}{m \, kT_{e} \, (!)} \int_{0}^{2 \, 1} F(t) F e^{i! t} dt$$
: (4:12)

Let us instead write a modi ed Kubo formula as:

$$(!) = \frac{1}{m k T_{e}^{(2)}} (!)^{2 - 1} F (t) F (t^{0}) e^{i! t} dt:$$
(4:13)

One has:

$$C_{FF}$$
 (!) = 2m < e (!) $kT_{e}^{(2)}$ (!) : (4:14)

According to Eq. (4.13), the quantity m (!) $kT_e^{(2)}$ (!) = $\frac{R_1}{0}$ hF (t)F ie^{i!t} dt can be extended into an analytic function in the upper complex halfplane. The same property holds for $T_e^{(2)}$ (!).

For real !, one has the identity:

$$T_{e:}(!) \ge < e (!) = \ge < e (!) T_{e:}^{(2)}(!) :$$
 (4:15)

Eq. (4.15) allows to derive the function $T_{e}^{(2)}$ (!) from a given elective tem perature T_{e} : (!), and conversely.

Note that, although the same e ective temperature T_{e} :(!) can be consistently used in both the modi ed E instein relation (4.2) and the modi ed N yquist form ula (4.3), the quantities $T_{e}^{(1)}$:(!) and $T_{e}^{(2)}$:(!) involved in the corresponding K ubo form ulas (i.e. Eq. (4.5) for (!) and Eq. (4.13) for (!)) are not identical.

¹ Since < e[(!) $kT_e^{(1)}$ (!)] is even, =m [(!) $kT_e^{(1)}$ (!)] is odd, as it can be deduced from the K ram ers-K ronig relations linking these two quantities. For this reason, Eqs. (4.10) and (4.11) are equivalent.

5. The out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-Einstein relation and the determination of the elective temperature

Let us consider a particle evolving in an out of equilibrium environment (for instance, an aging colloidal glass). In a quasi-stationary regime, as pictured by the condition $!t_w$ 1, it exists two well separated time scales, respectively, characterizing the times pertinent for the measuring process (of order $!^{-1}$), and the aging time t_w . At a given t_w , the particle velocity can then be assumed to obey the generalized Langevin equation (2.1).

Given the real part < e (!) of the friction coe cient and the e ective tem – perature T_{e} :(!), the spectral density C_{FF} (!) can be derived from the modi ed N yquist form ula (4.3). Then, Eq. (2.1) can be solved (i.e. in particular D (!) can be obtained). Conversely, independent m easurem ents of the particle m ean-square displacement and mobility in the aging medium allow for the determination of its e ective tem perature. The aim of the present Section is to present a simple and convenient way of deducing T_{e} :(!) from the experimental results.

Experimentally, the elective temperature of a colloidal glass can be determined by studying the anom alous drift and di usion properties of an immersed probe particle. More precisely, one measures, at the same age of the medium, the particle mean-square displacement as a function of time on the one hand, and its frequency-dependent mobility on the other hand. This program has recently been achieved for a micrometric bead immersed in a glassy colloidal suspension of Laponite. As a result, both x^2 (t) and (!) are found to display power-law behaviours [9].

5.1. A sym ptotic analysis determ ination of the e ective tem perature in a model with power-law behaviours

In a previous paper [11], we proposed a prelim inary study of this problem . We considered an aging medium characterized, at small frequencies, by a friction coe cient proportional to j! j¹ (with 0 < 2). The di usion properties were characterized by an exponent (with 0 < 2 since the motion must not be kinem atical). In other words, at large times the particle mean-square displacement was assumed to behave like x^{2} (t) t (the case 0 < 1 corresponds to a sub-di usive behaviour, while the case 1 < 2 corresponds to a super-di usive one). At equilibrium, one has = [10]. Out of equilibrium, there is no a priori speci c relation between and . Instead we showed, by applying asymptotic Fourier analysis to the expression (4.8) of hv (t) v (t⁰) i in terms of T_{e} : (!), that the aging medium can be characterized by an elective temperature behaving like j! j at low frequencies, with:

= : (5:1)

This asymptotic analysis directly relies on the modi ed E instein relation (4.2). It does not necessitate the use of the modi ed K ubo form ula (4.5) [11].

5.2. The out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-Einstein relation

In the present paper, we want to go one step further and to show how the modi ed Kubo formula (4.5) for (!) leads to a relation between the (Laplace transform ed) m ean-square displacement and the z-dependent mobility (z denotes the Laplace variable). This out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-E instein relation makes an explicit use of the function $T_{e}^{(1)}$ (!) involved in the modi ed Kubo formula (4.5), a quantity which is not identical to the elective temperature T_{e} :(!), but from which T_{e} :(!) can be deduced using the identity (4.7). Interestingly, this way of obtaining the elective temperature is fully general (i.e. it is not restricted to large times and sm all frequencies). It is therefore well adapted to the analysis of the experimental results [9].

The mean-square displacement of the di using particle,

$$x^{2}(t) = [x(t) \quad x(t=0)^{2}]; \quad t > 0;$$
 (5.2)

can be deduced from the velocity correlation function via a double integration over time: Z to Z to

$$x^{2}(t) = 2 dt_{1} dt_{2} v(t_{1})v(t_{2}) :$$
 (5.3)

Introducing the Laplace transform ed quantities $v(z) = \int_{0}^{R_{1}} v(t) e^{zt} dt$ and $dx^{2}(z) = \int_{0}^{R_{1}} x^{2}(t) e^{zt} dt$, one gets, by Laplace transform ing Eq. (5.2):

$$z^2 q^2 (z) = 2 v(z)v(t = 0)$$
 : (5:4)

By Laplace transform ing the expression of hv (t)v (t = 0) i as a cosine Fourier transform of C_{vv} (!), one obtains:

$$\hat{\sigma}(z)v(t=0) = \int_{1}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{z}{z^{2} + !^{2}} C_{vv}(!): \qquad (5:5)$$

Introducing in Eq. (5.5) the expression (4.6) of C_{vv} (!) (and using the fact that =m [(!) $kT_{e}^{(1)}$ (!)] is an odd function of !), one gets:

$$z^{2} dx^{2}(z) = 2 \int_{1}^{2} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{z}{z^{2} + !^{2}} 2 (!) kT_{e}^{(1)}(!):$$
 (5:6)

Eq. (5.6) yields, by standard contour integration:

$$z^{2} dx^{2}(z) = 2^{(z)k} \hat{T}_{e}^{(1)}(z)$$
: (5:7)

In Eq. (5.7), one has introduced the z-dependent quantities:

$$\hat{T}_{e}^{(1)}(z) = (! = iz); \qquad \hat{T}_{e}^{(1)}(z) = T_{e}^{(1)}(! = iz): \qquad (5.8)$$

Eq. (5.7) is the extension to an out of equilibrium medium of the generalized Stokes-Einstein relation $z^2 dx^2(z) = 2^{(z)}kT$, valid for a medium in equilibrium [12]. Let us emphasize that the function $T_{e}^{(1)}(!)$ is not the elective temperature involved in both the modiled Einstein relation (4.2) and Nyquist formula (4.3). Instead it is the quantity which appears in the modiled K ubo formula for the mobility (Eq. (4.5)). Let us add that one could also have obtained Eq. (5.7) from the K ubo formula (4.5) by setting z = i! in this latter relation (which is allowed since the function $(!)T_{e}^{(1)}(!)$ is analytic in the upper complex half plane):

$$h \hat{v}(z) v (t = 0) i = (z) k \hat{T}_{e}^{(1)}(z) :$$
 (5:9)

Eq. (5.7) then directly follows (using Eq. (5.4)).

5.3. The e ective tem perature

As displayed by the out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-Einstein relation (5.7), independent m easurements of the particle m ean-square displacement and frequency-dependent m obility in an aging medium give access, once $d_x^2(z)$ and $^(z) = (! = iz)$ are determined, to $\hat{T}_{e}^{(1)}(z)$, and to $T_{e}^{(1)}(!) = \hat{T}_{e}^{(1)}(z) = i!$. Then, the identity (4.7) yields the elective temperature:

$$T_{e:}(!) = \frac{\langle e (!) T_{e:}^{(l)}(!) \rangle}{\langle e (!) \rangle};$$
(5:10)

Form ula (5.10), together with the out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-E instein relation (5.7), is the central result of the present Section.

The above described m ethod has recently been used in [9] to obtain the e ective tem perature of a glassy colloidal suspension of Laponite through an imm ersed m icrom etric bead di usion and m obility m easurem ents.

6. Determination of the elective temperature in a model with power-law behaviours

To illustrate the whole procedure, let us consider again the previously described model, in which both x^2 (t) and (!) are assumed to display power-law behaviours.

6.1. The friction coe cient and the mobility

We take for <e (!) (for ! real) a function behaving like a power-law of exponent 1 with 0 < < 2 [10,11]:

$$< e (!) = \frac{j! j}{k}^{1}; j! j !_{c}:$$
 (6:1)

In Eq. (6.1), $!_{c}$ denotes a cut-o frequency typical of the environment, and $!_{c}$ is a reference frequency allowing for the coupling constant = m to have the dimension of a viscosity for any . Introducing the -dependent characteristic frequency ! as de ned by

$$!^{2} = \frac{1}{!^{2}} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{1}{2}};$$
 (6.2)

one has:

\frac{j! j}{!}
$$\sin \frac{j! j}{2}$$
; $j! j$!... (6:3)

The function (!) can be de ned for any complex ! (except for a cut on the real negative axis). It is given by:

$$(!) = ! \frac{i!}{!} ; j! j !_c; < arg! : (6:4)$$

The mobility (!) is related to (!) by Eq. (2.3). In the frequency range j! j !, inertia can be neglected. Then, we may use the overdam ped mobility:

(!)
$$\frac{1}{m}$$
 (!); j! j !: (6:5)

One has, for ! real:

For ! com plex (except for a cut on the real negative axis), one has:

(!)
$$\frac{1}{m!} - \frac{1!}{!}^{1}$$
; < arg!; j! j !: (6:7)

From Eq. (6.7), one deduces the function (z):

$$(z) = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{z}{!}^{1}$$
; jzj !: (6:8)

6.2. The m ean-square displacem ent

Let us set

$$x^{2}$$
 (t) $2\frac{kT}{m!^{2}} \frac{(! t)}{(+ 1)}$; !t 1; (6:9)

where ! ¹ denotes som e characteristic tim e after which the behaviour (6.9) is well settled. The behaviour of the m ean-square displacem ent is a (possibly anom abus) di usive one, as characterized by the exponent (0 < 2). Correspondingly, one has:

$$d_{x^{2}}(z) = 2 \frac{kT}{m!^{2}}! z^{1}; jzj ! :$$
 (6:10)

6.3. Determ ination of the e ective tem perature

From the out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-Einstein relation (5.7), together with the expressions of ^(z) (Eq. (6.8)) and of d_x^2 (z) (Eq. (6.10)), one deduces

$$\hat{T}_{e}^{(1)}(z) = T \frac{!^2}{!^2} z ; jzj !;!;$$
 (6:11)

and:

$$I_{e}^{(1)}(!) = T \frac{!^2}{!^2} (i!); j!j!; (6:12)$$

Then, using Eq. (5.10) and the expressions (6.7) of (!) and (6.12) of $T_{e}^{(1)}$ (!), one obtains the elective tem perature of the aging medium :

Setting = , and introducing the characteristic frequency $\[b]$ as de ned by

$$!_{0} = \frac{!}{!} \frac{2}{2} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}}{\sin \frac{1}{2}}; \qquad (6:14)$$

one can write:

$$T_{e}(!) = T \frac{j! j}{!_0}; j! j !_0;$$
 (6:15)

The e ective tem perature behaves like a power-law of ! with an exponent =

We recover, as expected, the results previously obtained in [11] through the asymptotic Fourier analysis of the velocity correlation function. The present method avoids completely the use of correlation functions, and makes use only of one-time quantities (via their Laplace transforms). It is particularly well suited to the interpretation of numerical data.

7. Conclusion

The main new results of this paper are the out of equilibrium generalized Stokes-E instein relation between d_x^2 (z) and (z), together with the form ula linking $T_{e-1}(!)$ and the quantity, denoted as $T^{(1)}(!)$, involved in the Stokes-E instein relation. One thus has at hand an e-cient way of deducing the e-ective tem perature from the experimental results [9].

The above described procedure represents an actual in provem ent over the one previously presented in [11], which relied upon an asymptotic Fourier analysis of the relation between the velocity correlation function (as deduced from the mean square displacement) and the mobility. Indeed the latter method was restricted to large times and small frequencies, while the one presented here makes it possible to take into account the behaviour of the mean square displacement and mobility in the full range of experimentally accessible values.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e wish to thank B.Abou and F.G allet for helpful discussions on this question.

References

1.R.Kubo, Rep. Prog. Phys. 29, 255 (1966).

2. R. Kubo, M. Toda and N. Hashitsum e, Statistical physics II : nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

3.LF.Cugliandolo, D.S.Dean and J.Kurchan, Phys.Rev.Lett. 79, 2168 (1997).

4. L.F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan and L. Peliti, Phys. Rev. E 55, 3898 (1997).

5. L.F. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, Physica A 263, 242 (1999).

6.T.S.Grigera and N.E.Israelo, Phys.Rev.Lett. 83, 5038 (1999).

7.D.Herisson and M.Ocio, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 257202 (2002); preprint condm at/0403112.

8.L.Buisson, M.Ciccotti, L.Bellon and S.Ciliberto, preprint cond-m at/0403294.

9.B.Abou and F.Gallet, preprint cond-m at/0403561.

10.N.Pottier, Physica A 317, 371 (2003).

11.N.Pottier and A.M auger, Physica A 332, 15 (2004).

12.T G.M ason and D.A.W eitz, Phys.Rev.Lett. 74, 1250 (1995).