$N$ ew set of $m$ easures to analyze dynam ics of non-equilibrium structures
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#### Abstract

W e introduce a set of statisticalm easures that can be used to quantify non-equilibrium surface grow th. They are used to deduce new inform ation about spatiotem poraldynam ics ofm odel system s for spinodal decom position and surface deposition. P attems grow th in the $C$ ahn $H$ illiard Equation (used to model spinodal decom position) are shown to exhibit three distinct stages. Two models of surface grow th, nam ely the continuous $K$ ardar-P arisi-Zhang ( $K$ P Z) m odel and the discrete R estricted-Solid-O $n$-Solid ( R SO S S m odel are show $n$ to have di erent saturation exponents.


PACS num bers: $47.54 .+r, 47.20 \mathrm{Hw}, 05.70 \mathrm{~Np}, 64.75 .+\mathrm{g}, 89.75 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~d}, 05.50$.+ q,05.40.-a

In recent years, there has been a considerable am ount of e ort to analyze non-equilibrium interfacial grow th and pattem form ation in experim ental and model sys-



 these phenom ena is highly com plex, and most $m$ icroscopic details of such structures depend on initial conditions and stochastic e ects. H ence $m$ odel system s are often used to extract statistical properties of these structures and to determ ine the physical processes that are $m$ ost relevant for their grow th. Som e of the $m$ odel systems that have been introduced to study the spatiotem poraldynam ics of the aforem entioned system sare the C ahn H illiard Equation ( CHE ) [1], the K ardar- I arisiZhang (K P Z) m odel [



In order to con $m$ if a given $m$ odel can accurately represent a pattem form ing process, it is necessary to com pare asm any statisticalm easures as possible. Such a com parison betw een $m$ odelsystem $s$ and physicalsystem $s$ is also needed to validate claim s of universality. U nfortunately, there are only a handful of $m$ easures that are available to be used for such com parisons. The aim of this work is to introduce a new fam ily of such $m$ easures.

C om $m$ only used statisticalm easures to analyze surface grow th and pattems include surface roughness (i.e., the standard deviation of the heights) $W_{L}(t)$, where $L_{L}$ is the lattioe size and t the tim e, the correlation length lig and the dom ain size $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1} 2,\right]$. For the KPZ and RSO $\bar{S}$ interfaces, $W_{L}(t) \quad t{ }^{-}{ }^{-} h e n t \quad L^{z}$. At very large tim es, $W_{\text {L }}(t!1)$ L [8/d]. The grow th exponent, the dynam ic exponent $z$, and the roughness exponent, depend only on the dim ensionality of the grow th process and are independent of $L$ apart from nite-size scaling corrections

[^0][G]. It has been found num erically that these exponents are the sam e in alldim ensions for the KPZ and the RSO S m odels. B ased on the results, it has been suggested that KPZ and RSOS belong to the same universality class. $T$ his is a non-trivialstatem ent, since although both models consider the com petition betw een random deposition and di usion, RSOS is a discrete countenpart of the KPZ $w$ ith distinct $m$ icroscopic dynam ics. The availability of additionalstatisticalm easures can be used to test the validity of the assertion of universality. In fact, one of our conclusions, based on the new measures, is that there are statistical features that are not com m on to K P Z and R SO S m odels.

N on-equilibrium pattem form ation and dynam ics has also been extensively studied in the context of spinodal decom position using the C ahn H illiard Equation $\left[1,1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right.$ [12]. The spatio-tem poraldynam ics can be classi ed into tw o tem poral regim es - an early stage where there are $m$ any sm all chisters (chuster size L) and a late stage where there are large interconnected dom ains and cluster sizes are com parable to $L$. T he dom ain size in the late phase is found to grow in tim ew ith an exponent $\frac{1}{3}$ [1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$.

C onsider a planar pattem represented by a scalar eld $U(x ; t)$ which can be the height of an interface, or som e relevant intensity eld. O ne feature not captured by the correlation length and roughness is the am ount of curvature of the contour lines of $U$. The possibility of using curvature for such an analysis has been proposed before
 sitive to noise. The underlying reason is that the evaluation of $=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{yy}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xy}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is very sensitive to errors in the denom inator, when it is small. In place of , one can use another m easure, nam ely the determ inant of the $H$ essian nom alized by the variance of $U, \quad=\left(U_{x x} U_{y y} \quad U_{x y}^{2}\right)=V \operatorname{ar}(U)$. $U n-$ like, the calculation of is fairly insensitive to noise. Further, for typical local structures, is proportional to
. For example if $U(r)=e^{r}$, $={ }^{3} e^{2 r}$; for $U(r)=\sin (k r), \quad=\quad k^{3} \sin (2 k r)=2$.

The new measures we de ne are,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(; t)={\frac{d^{2} x j j}{d^{2} x}}^{l^{2}=4}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that for each , ( ; t) has dim ensions of inverse length. Furthem ore, the $m$ easures ( ; t) are invariant under all rigid Euclidean transform ations (i.e., translations, rotations, and re ections) of a pattem. T he use of $m$ om ents, , allow s us to em phasize regions with different values of , thereby providing an array of lengthscales associated with the structure. The use of multiple lengthscales is sim ilar in spirit to using $m$ ultifractals $\left[1 \bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}, 1 \overline{1} 1,\right]$ to characterize strange attractors, although the spatio-tem poral nature of the dynam ics for the m odels considered in this Letter $m$ akes a further connection dif-
cult. In our analysis, we de ne ( ; t) as the grow th rate of ( ; t), i.e., ( ; t) $\log ((; t))=\log (t)$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. W e rst discuss our results of our analysis for the CHE, and describe distinct stages in the spatio-tem poral dynam ics using ( ; t). W e then proceed to analyze the KPZ and RSOS m odels and show that during the initial stage,
( $; \mathrm{t})$ does indeed lend additional credence to the suggestion that in the strong non-linear coupling lim it, they do belong in the sam e universality class. H ow ever, our analysis of the late stage shows that the saturation exponents for the tw m odels are di erent. The com putational techniques used to obtain the data for these m odels is discussed in detail elsew here []$\left._{1} \bar{l}_{1}\right]$ and $w$ ill not be expanded upon here.

The C HE m odels spinodaldecom position using the dynam ics of a conservative eld ( $x$; t ) via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}=\frac{1}{2} r^{2}\left(r^{2} \quad+{ }^{3}\right)+{ }^{p-}(x ; t): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, is delta-correlated noise of zero mean and am plitude 1. T he C rank -N icholson $m$ ethod $[2 \underline{1}]$ used to integrate Equation (2) allows us to choose tim esteps as large as $0: 4$ and enables us to investigate the dynam ics of the CHE to tim es large enough to observe saturation of ( $; \mathrm{t}$ ), e.g., $\mathrm{T}=800 ; 000$ for the lattice size $\mathrm{L}=32$ and $T=1 ; 600 ; 000$ for the lattioe size $L=128$. To obtain ( ; t), we used the averages of 32 runs for $L=32$, 16 runs for $L=64$, and 8 runs for $L=128$. The errorbars on all curves are calculated by averaging over these realizations.

The dynam ics of dom ain grow th is as follow s: Beginning from a random initial con guration, $j(x ; t) j$ and the dom ain size grow in time. For su ciently large t,
( $x ; t$ ) reaches its equilibrium values of 1 or +1 [ $[1$
The behavior of ( $; \mathrm{t}$ ) is show n in F ig. 1 (a). The dynam ics can be separated into three stages ( $m$ arked Stage 1,2 , and 3 in the gure). Initially, ( ; t) appears to grow as a power law in tim e for about one and a half decades, as seen in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{11} 1(a)$. There is a form ation of $s m$ all do$m$ ains from the random initial eld. As seen from the topm ost curve of Fig . ${ }_{1}^{11}(\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{b})$, the grow th rates $1(; \mathrm{t})$ for


F IG . 1: $P$ lots of the $m$ easure in tim $e$ for various $m$ om ents. $L=32$. (a) $T$ he noise-free case for di erent, (b) $T$ he slopes versus for Stage 1,2, and 3 for the noise-free case.
all are nearly identical and independent of $t$. D uring this stage, one contribution to $(; t)$ com es from points near the dom ain boundaries. T his is seen by plotting the height along any row or colum n. A though the form ation of dom ains reduces the num ber of interfacialpoints, this decrease is countered by the fact that since $(x ; t)$ has not reached its equilibrium values, the intemalpoints of a dom ain provide an increasing contribution to the integrand. C onsequently, ( $; \mathrm{t}$ ) increases during this stage.

The crossover betw een Stages 1 and 2 occurs w hen the eld saturates to its equilibrium values. A histogram of interfacial heights clearly show sthe concentration near the equilibrium values of 1 . Beyond this stage, interior points of a dom ain no longer contribute to ( $; \mathrm{t}$ ). A s a result, the aforem entioned decrease in interfacialboundary points now leads to a decrease in ( $; \mathrm{t}$ ). The slopes ${ }_{2}(;$ ) in this stage are plotted in the lower curve of Fig. ${ }_{1}^{11}(\mathrm{~b})$. W e nd that in this stage, the distinct mo $m$ ents relax at di erent rates (ie, $2(; t)$ is -dependent). M oreover, it is seen from Fig. ${ }_{1}^{11}(\mathrm{l}$ ( $)$ that the rate of relaxation of the larger m om ents is sm aller than that for


FIG.2: Bubble disappearance for the $L=128$ lattice. The actualtim $e$ is obtained by scaling the $x$-axis values by a factor $10^{5}$. N otice how the peak in the m easure corresponds to the bubble disappearing at approxim ately tim e 148;000. This is for $=3: 4$.
the low erm om ents, im plying that sharper features (those with larger curvature) change relatively slow ly. For exam ple, straightening of dom ain boundary walloccurs at a faster rate than elim ination of a sm alldom ain. $R$ unning averages reveal that $2(; t)$ is uniform until the end of this stage. O bserve one criticaldi erence betw een Stages 1 and 2, nam ely that the di erence in relaxation of the distinct lengthscales cannot be determ ined without an array ofm easures.
$T$ he dom ain size as $m$ easured from the two point correlation function [12'] becom es com parable to $L$ betw een Stages 2 and 3 and $2(; t)$ changes during the transition. T he spatio-tem poraldynam ics beyond this involves a coarsening of the large dom ains and elim ination of the sm all ones. The disappearance of sm all dom ains can be identi ed by peaks in $(; t)$ for large values of. These peaks result from the large curvature of a disappearing dom ain. Fig.
( ; t). It is clear that the elim ination of a dom ain is accom panied by a peak in ( ; t). The decay rates $3(; \mathrm{t}$ ) for the third stage are not uniform in tim e, unlike the previous stages. An exam ple is shown in the central curve off ig. ${ }_{1}^{11} 1(\mathrm{~b})$. A though $3(; \mathrm{t})$ varies in tim e, the general form is the sam $e$; there is a -dependence for sm all values of but a saturation for higher values. At the end of Stage 3, only a handfulof large dom ains rem ain; the only dynam ics beyond this is an extrem ely slow straightening of the interfaces.

Surface roughness and dom ain size cannot provide such detailed inform ation on the spatio-tem poral dynam ics. For a given structure, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{t})$ saturates at the end ofStage 1 , and there is no discemible di erence beyond this. T he dom ain size grow sas $R$ ( $t$ ) $\mathrm{t}^{\frac{1}{3}}$ at large tim es but also saturates betw een Stage 2 and 3, and gives no further inform ation.

W e have also investigated the e ect of adding zero-


FIG.3: M easure for the K P Z m odelfor di erent $. \mathrm{L}=128$ :
$m$ ean extemal noise to the CHE. We nd that in the presence of noise, the dynam ics in Stage 3 is faster than in the noise-free case, i.e., $j_{3}(; t) j$ is larger for the noisy dynam ics. $W$ e also $p_{-}$nd that the saturation tim e of ( ; t) is proportional to ${ }^{p}$ - .

The KPZ equation is a paradigm atic model of nonequilibrium interfacial grow th in the presence of lateral correlations $\left.{ }_{1}^{2}, \bar{l}_{1}, \overline{7}_{1}\right]$. It gives the local grow th of the height pro le $h(x ; t)$ at substrate position $x$ and timetas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ h(x ; t)}{@ t}=r^{2} h+(r h)^{2}+(x ; t): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is the di usion param eter which sm oothens the interface and the prefactor of the nonlinear term which tends to am plify large slopes. ( $x ; t$ ) is delta-correlated noise of zero $m$ ean which represents a random particle
ux. RSOS is a discrete counterpart of the KPZ. Here, particles are added to a random ly chosen site $i$ if and only if the addition ensures that all nearest-neighbor height di erences $j$ hj , where is some pre-determ ined positive integer.
R oughness and correlation function analyses of the dynam ics of both KPZ and RSO S m odels show that their grow th and roughness exponents take sim ilarvalues in all dim ensions, i.e., they are in the sam e universality class for large values of . In the initial stages, the correlations spread across the entire lattice and the correlation length $\frac{1}{E}$, where z 1:6. It has been established num erically [21] that the strong non-linear coupling lim it ofK P Z corresponds to the RSOS m odel in term $s$ of the grow th and the saturation exponents of the surface roughness.

The behavior of ( $; \mathrm{t}$ ) in time for the KPZ model ( $L=128$ ) is show $n$ in $F$ ig. is. $T$ he dynam ics is qualitatively sim ilar to the behavior of the surface roughness, and can be divided into two distinct stages. In Stage 1, the lateral correlations spread across the entire lattioe and we nd that (;t) decreases ast ${ }^{1}(; \mathrm{t})$ where ${ }_{1}(; \mathrm{t})$ is independent of and tw thin num ericalerrors. T he plots labelled by the dotted, dashed and solid lines


FIG. 4: The slopes vs. for various values com pared $w$ ith the R SO S slopes.
in Fig. ${ }_{1} \overline{4} 1$ correspond to $1(; t)$ for three values of of the KPZ model ( $=1$, $=2$, and $=25$ respectively) during the rst stage. $(; t)$ for the RSOS m odelexhibits a sim ilar behavior during Stage 1. In Fig. ' $\overline{4}$, the plot w ith circles show $S_{1}(; t)$ versus for the RSOS model. This corroborates the assertion that for the large lim it, K P Z corresponds to the RSO S m odels in term sof the grow th exponents, since it is seen clearly that 1 ( ; t) for K P Z w ith $=25$ and forRSOS are w thin the errorbars.

In Stage 2 ofF ig' $\overline{1}$, $(; \mathrm{t})$ saturates to a $L$-dependent value (given by L ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ ) where ( ) is the saturation exponent. H owever, in this case, we nd that ( ) for KPZ and RSOS models, even at strong coupling, are very di erent. For exam ple, ( ) for the RSOS m odel is found to be $(0: 1)=0: 095 \quad 0: 004 \mathrm{while}$ that for the KPZ model $(=25)$ is $(0: 1)=0: 075 \quad 0: 007$ when $=0: 1$. For $=1: 0$, the saturation exponent for RSOS is $(1: 0)=0: 083 \quad 0: 002$ while that for the KPZ is $(1: 0)=0: 068 \quad 0: 007$.

In sum $m$ ary, we have introduced a set of characteristics ( ; t) that can be used to study spatio-tem poral dynam ics of system s represented by a scalar eld $U(x ; t)$. A t a given instant, ( ; t) are a set of inverse lengthscales associated w ith a pattem, and are de ned in term softhe determ inant of the H essian. Large values of em phasize regions w th larger curvature of contour lines.

The availability of a fam ily of indices allow s us to provide a m ore com prehensive description than is possible from individualm easures. For exam ple, it was shown for the CHE that during Stage 2, relaxation of the distinct lengthscales associated w th the structure occurs at different rates. This is very di erent from Stage 1 where all such scales grow as ( ; t) e:06. W e are also able to identify instances where sm all dom ains of the pattem disappearly searching forpeaks in ( ; t) for large values of .

A nalysis of the KPZ and the R SO S m odels show stwo stages in pattem evolution. For K P Z, ( ; t) relaxes at a rate that depends on during stage 1 . $T$ he rate of decay
1 ( ;t) for large values of (typically 15) is seen to be the sam e as for the RSO S m odel, thus reinforcing previous claim sthat both $m$ odels belong to the sam e universality class. H ow ever, our analysis provides an additional piece of in form ation, nam ely that all lengthscales
${ }^{1}(; t)$ associated $w$ ith the spatio-tem poral dynam ics of these interfaœs decay at the same rate. ( ; t) saturates in stage 2 for both m odels, and the saturation value depends on the system size L as L (). Interestingly, the function () for the two models is di erent; after saturation, the contours of the tw o m odels exhibit non-universalcharacteristics. It is thus possible to deter$m$ ine which of these $m$ odels better represents the grow th of an experim ental interface.
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