arXiv:cond-mat/0404688v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 13 Apr 2006

Erratum: Dynamics and scaling in a quantum spin chain material with bond randomness. [Phys. Rev. Lett 93, 077206 (2004)]

T. Masuda, A. Zheludev, K. Uchinokura, J.-H. Chung, and S. Park

The described experiments were performed on a rather small $BaCu_2(Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5})_2O_7$ single crystal sample of approximate mass 0.5 g. We have since performed follow-up neutron studies using a much larger ($\approx$7 g) sample, to verify our initial result and to extend the measurements to higher energy transfers [1]. To our dismay, the new results were in contradiction with the original findings. As shown in Fig. 1, $\omega S(\omega)$ measured on the new sample (circles) increases linearly in the range 2.5–45 meV. Moreover, we found no anomalous wave-vector broadening of the inelastic peaks. The widths and peak shapes at all energies are fully accounted for by the Müller ansatz [2], that approximates the dynamic structure factor in disorder-free quantum spin chains, assuming $J = 37$ meV for $BaCu_2(Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5})_2O_7$.

Reviewing the raw data of the initial study, we found two separate sources of systematic error that affected the data analysis. The first problem had to do with background subtraction. Assuming a linear background appears to have been a bad choice for the scans collected at 12 and 15 meV energy transfers. By comparing scans measured in the new sample at several equivalent wave vectors we concluded that the original scans are distorted by an underlying broad background peak. As a result, the signal appeared more intense and broader than in reality, and had the unusual Lorentzian shape. The second problem was caused by an trivial mistake in the data reduction: we have incorrectly applied the $\lambda/2$ correction for efficiency of the thermal neutron flux monitor. Once the data points affected by the structured background are dropped, and the $\lambda/2$ correction is properly applied, the intensity scaling deduced from the original experiment (Fig. 1, triangles) becomes consistent with the new measurements of [1] (circles).




FIG. 1: Scaling of $\omega S(\omega)$ measured in $BaCu_2(Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5})_2O_7$ at $T = 4$ and $T = 12$ K using the new sample (circles, [1]), and the corrected original data (triangles). Open, greyed and black symbols correspond to time-of-flight (MAPS spectrometer at ISIS), thermal-neutron 3-axis (HB1 instrument at ORNL) and cold-neutron 3-axis (SPINS spectrometer at NIST) measurements, respectively.

We sincerely apologies for the misguided analysis and interpretation of the neutron data. However, we would like to re-iterate that quenched disorder in $BaCu_2(Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5})_2O_7$ is present and manifests itself in the unusual behavior of magnetic susceptibility and other bulk properties.

[1] A. Zheludev, T. Masuda, G. Dhalenne, A. Revcolevschi, C. Frost and T. Perring, to be published (2006).
[2] G. Müller, H. Thomas, M. W. Puga, and H. Beck, J. Phys. C **14**, 3399 (1981).