Transition from 3D to 1D in Bose Gases at Zero Temperature

L. Salasnich¹, A. Parola² and L. Reatto¹

¹D ipartim ento di Fisica and INFM, Universita di Milano,

Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

²D ipartim ento di Scienze Fisiche and INFM, Universita dell'Insubria,

Via Valeggio 11, 23100 Como, Italy

We investigate the e ects of dimensional reduction in Bose gases induced by a strong harmonic con nement in the transverse cylindric radial direction. By using a generalized Lieb-Liniger theory, based on a variational treatment of the transverse width of the Bose gas, we analyze the transition from a 3D Bose-Einstein condensate to the 1D Tonks-Girardeau gas. The sound velocity and the frequency of the lowest compressionalmode give a clear signature of the regime involved. We study also the case of negative scattering length deriving the phase diagram of the Bose gas (uniform, single soliton, multi soliton and collapsed) in toroidal con nement.

PACS Numbers: 03.75 Kk

I. IN TRODUCTION

Recently the 1D regime has been experimentally achieved for dilute Bose gases in optical traps at ultra-low tem peratures by imposing a strong cylindric radial connem ent, which inhibits transverse excitations [1]. While a 3D dilute Bose gas is well described by the Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) theory [2], in the 1D regime quantum uctuations become important and an appropriate theoretical description requires the many-body approach introduced by Lieb and Linigerm any years ago [3] and recently extended by Lieb, Seiringer and Yngvason [4]. In particular, at su ciently low densities the Bose gas enters in the so-called Tonks-Girardeau (TG) regime, where the system behaves as a gas of spin less Ferm ions (im penetrable Bosons) [5]. This TG regime has not been achieved yet, but is one of the main focus areas of experim ental investigation.

In this article we develop a generalized Lieb-Liniger (G LL) theory to investigate the crossover from a 3D Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) to a 1D TG gas. In GLL theory the N-body wave function is factorized in a cylindric-longitudinal axial wave function and a cylindric-radial transverse wave function as recently done by Das, Girardeau and W right (DGW) [6]. W hile DGW have num erically calculated the transverse wave function, in our GLL approach the transverse wave function has a Gaussian shape with its width as variational parameter. In the case of an axially uniform Bose gas the GLL theory gives a ground-state energy very close to the one calculated in [6]. We use the GLL theory, which is computationally much less demanding than DGW method, to study dynamical properties of nonuniform gases extending our previous analysis of the 3D! 1D transition with BECs [7] by taking into account 1D quantum correlations and also the e ect of an attractive interaction.

II. BOSE GAS UNDER TRANSVERSE CONFINEM ENT

The H am iltonian of a gas of N interacting identical B ose atoms con ned in the transverse cylindric radial direction with a harmonic potential of frequency $!_{?}$ is given by

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{2} r_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (x_{i}^{2} + y_{i}^{2}) + \sum_{i < j=1}^{X^{N}} V(r_{i}; r_{j}); (1)$$

where V $(r_i; r_i)$ is the inter-atom ic interaction. In the Ham iltonian we have used scaled units: energies are in units of the energy h!? of the transverse con nem ent and lengths in units of the characteristic harm onic length $a_{?} = h = (m!_{?})$. We set $V(r_{i}; r_{i}) = g_{3D}$ (r_{i} where $g_{3D} = 4 a_s = a_?$ with a_s the s-wave scattering length of the inter-atom ic potential. This Ferm i pseudopotential gives the correct dilute gas lim it and a wellposed variational problem by choosing a smooth trial wave function [6]. Note that a con nem ent-induced resonance (CIR) has been predicted at $a_s=a_?$ ' 1 [8], i.e. when the absolute value ${E_{\,{\mbox{\footnotesize B}}}}$ jof the highest bound-state energy E_B ' $h^2 = (m a_s^2)$ of a realistic inter-atom ic potential approaches the con ning transverse energy h! ? = $h^2 = (m a_2^2)$ [9]. Therefore our analysis will be \lim ited to the range $\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$, a regime where CIR e ects are negligible [10].

The determ ination of the N-body wave function $(r_1; :::; r_N)$ that m in in izes the energy h \Re j i of the system is a very dicult task. Nevertheless, due to the sym metry of the problem , a variational trial wave function can be written in the form

$$(r_1; ...; r_N) = f(z_1; ...; z_N) \xrightarrow{Y^N} \frac{\exp \frac{x_1^2 + y_1^2}{2^2}}{p};$$
 (2)

where is a variational parameter that gives the elective transverse length of the Bose gas. For repulsive interatom ic interaction one has 1 and only in the case of very strong transverse con nement = 1 (1D system).

By using Eq. (2) and integrating over x and y, the total energy per unit of length reads E = E_z + $E_?$, where the longitudinal axial energy is E_z = hfj $_{\rm i=1}^N$ $_{\rm i=2}^{\rm i}$ + $g_{\rm 1D}$ () $_{\rm i<j=1}^{\rm N}$ (z_i z_j)ffi=L; with $g_{\rm 1D}$ () = $g_{\rm 3D}$ =(2 2) the ective one-dimensional interatom ic strength, and the transverse radial energy is $E_?$ = $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+\ ^2)$, with = N=L is the homogeneous linear density of the Bose gas.

Due to the Lieb-Liniger theorem $\[\beta \]$, for $a_s > 0$ the axial energy per unit length E_z can be written in terms of the axial density only and the total energy E can thus be rewritten as

$$E = \frac{1}{2} {}^{3}e(\underline{\hspace{1cm}}_{2}) + \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\hspace{1cm}}_{2} + {\hspace{1cm}}^{2}) ; \qquad (3)$$

where = $2a_s$ = $a_?$ is the inter-atom ic strength and e(x) is the Lieb-Liniger function, which is defined as the solution of a Fredholm equation and it is such that e(x) = x 4=(3) x^{3-2} for x 1 and $e(x) = (^2=3)(x=(x+2))^2$ for x 1 [3,11].




Fig. 1. Axial energy per particle E $_{z}$ =N versus axial density for a Bose gas under transverse harm onic con nement of frequency ! $_{?}$ with = 2a $_{s}$ =a $_{?}$ = 0:1. Comparison between Lieb-Liniger (LL), generalized Lieb-Liniger (G LL) and D as-G irardeau-W right (D G W) theories. The points of D G W theory are taken from Fig. 1 of paper [6]. Energy in units h! $_{?}$, length in units a $_{?}$, and axial density in units 1=a $_{?}$.

The energy E depends on a variational param eter: the transverse width $\,$. The minimization of the energy E with respect to $\,$ gives the equation

$$^{4} = 1 + e^{0}(\frac{1}{2})$$
: (4)

From this nontrivial equation, for a xed value of , one numerically nds the transverse width of the Bose gas as a function of the longitudinal density . A nalytical results can be obtained in limiting cases. In particular, under the condition < 1, one gets = $\stackrel{p}{-}$ for 1= (3D regime) and = 1 for 1= (1D regime). Note that the TG regime is reached at low densities: .

In Figure 1 we plot the axial energy per particle E $_{\rm Z}$ =N as a function of the axial density obtained by using di erent theories: the present generalized Lieb-Liniger (GLL), Lieb-Liniger (LL) [3], and D as-G irardeau-W right (DGW) [6]. The results clearly show that GLL approach gives practically the same results of the the DGW theory, that is based on a fully numerical treatment of the transverse wave function [6]. Moreover, Figure 1 shows that the LL theory, obtained from Eq. (4) simply setting = 1, is not reliable at high axial densities because the Bose gas becomes three-dimensional.




Fig. 2. First sound axial velocity c_1 versus axial density for a Bose gas under transverse harm onic con nem ent of frequency $!_?$ with $= 2a_s = a_? = 0:1$. Length in units $a_?$, time in units $!_?$ and axial density in units $1=a_?$.

Having derived the function (), one can numerically determine, for a xed , the chemical potential $=\frac{\theta E}{\theta}$ as a function of the density . It is given by

$$= \frac{3}{2} {}^{2} e(\underline{\hspace{1cm}}_{2}) + \frac{1}{2} : \tag{5}$$

A gain, analytical results are obtained in limiting cases. In particular, under the condition < 1, one nds = $\frac{1}{2}$ ()¹⁼² for 1= (3D regime), = + 1 for 1= (1D regime), and = 3^{2} ²=6+1 for (TG regime).

The chem ical potential can be used to derive the axial sound velocity c_1 of the B ose gas, that is obtained with the form ula $c_1 = \frac{\theta}{\theta}$ [13]. In Figure 2 we plot the sound velocity c_1 as a function of the density for dierent values of the inter-atom ic strength . The results show that our generalized Lieb-Liniger (GLL) theory works remarkably well. It gives the 3D BEC result of GP theory at high densities and the TG result of LL theory at low densities.

III. IN CLUD IN G A LONGITUD IN AL EXTERNAL FIELD

Now we consider the e ect of a longitudinal con nement due to an external potential U(z). A ssum ing that the density (z;t) varies su ciently slowly, i.e. the local density approximation (LDA), at each point z the gas can be considered in local equilibrium, and the local chemical potential [(z;t)] is provided by Eq. (5) supplemented by Eq. (4). Under LDA the dynamics can be described by means of a complex classical eld (z;t) that satis es the time-dependent GLL nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\theta^2}{\theta z^2} + U(z) + []$$
; (6)

where $(z;t) = j(z;t)j^2$. This equation is a Euler-Lagrange equation obtained by in posing the least-action principle to the following GLL action functional

$$A = \frac{z}{i\frac{\theta}{\theta t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\theta^2}{\theta z^2}} \quad U(z) \quad E[;] dz;$$

(7)

where E is given by Eq. (3), and m in im izing with respect to . The other Euler-Lagrange equation is precisely Eq. (4), that can be obtained from A by minimizing with respect to . It is important to observe that at high densities (1=) A gives the action functional of the non-polynomial Schrodinger equation (NPSE) we have derived to describe BECs under transverse con nement [7], while at low densities (1=) and in the static case A reduces exactly to the energy functional recently introduced by Lieb, Seiringer and Yngvason [4]. Note that Eq. (6) is equivalent to the two hydrodynam ics equations of a viscousless uid in 1D with density eld (z;t) and velocity eld v(z;t) =instance [12]).

Neglecting the kinetic gradient term $\frac{1}{2}\frac{\theta^2}{\theta\,z^2}$ (Thom as-Ferm iapproximation) and imposing the equilibrium condition, we obtain the equation

$$[\ _{0} (z)] = \ _{T} U (z) ;$$
 (8)

where $_0$ (z) is the equilibrium density and $_T$ is the chem ical potential of the axially inhom ogeneous system . Inverting Eq. (8) one obtains $_0$ (z) = 1 [$_T$ U (z)]. The value of $_{TR}$ is found by imposing the normalization condition N = $_0$ (z)dz. In the case of axial harm onic connement with scaled potential U (z) = $\frac{1}{2}$ 2 z 2 , where = ! $_z$ =! $_z$ is the anisotropy of the full harmonic trap with! $_z$ axial harmonic frequency, the normalization condition can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\begin{bmatrix} T \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} T \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} T$

where $= z = \frac{p}{2}$ is the rescaled axial coordinate.




Fig. 3. Scaled axial density pro le $_0$ ()= $_0$ (0) versus scaled axial coordinate = z = $\frac{1}{2}$ for a Bose gas of N atom s in a harm onic con nement with = 2a_s=a_? = 0:1. = ! $_z$ =!? is the trap anisotropy and $_T$ is the chemical potential. Units as in Fig. 1.

In Figure 3 we plot the axial density $_0$ (). In order to compare di erent cases, we rescale the density with respect to the central one $_0$ (0). We have veri ed that $_0$ () follows with good accuracy the law $_0$ () = $_0$ (0) (1 2), where the exponent ranges between = 2 (TG regime) to = 1=2 (3D BEC regime) by increasing N .

The collective oscillations are determined by writing the density eld in the form $(z;t) = 0(z) + e^{it} 1(z)$, with the function 1(z) obeying a linearized equation which follows from hydrodynamic equations [12,13].




Fig. 4. Frequency of the lowest compressional mode of a Bose gas of N atoms in a harmonic connement. = $!_z=!_?$ is the trap anisotropy and = $2a_s=a_?$ is the interatom ic strength. Units as in Fig. 2.

The problem has simple analytic solutions if the equilibrium density $_0$ (z) has the form $_0$ (z) = $_0$ (0) (1

 $z^2 \!=\! \! \mathbb{Z}^{\,2})$. In this case the lowest axial compressional mode is given by

$$\frac{2}{!^{2}_{\pi}} = 2 + \frac{1}{!^{2}} : \tag{10}$$

For a xed value of we easily determ ine as a function of N using Eq. (4), Eq. (5), Eq. (8) and Eq. (9). In such a way we obtain the lowest axial compressional mode as a function of N , as shown in Figure 4. As expected, while for a large there are two plateaus corresponding to 2 =! 2_z = 5=2 at high densities (3D GP) and 2 =! 2_z = 4 at low densities (TG), for 1 an additional plateau appears with 2 =! 2_z = 3 at intermediate densities (1D GP).

The results shown in Figure 4 improve those obtained by M enotti and Stringari [12] using a sum-rule approach in both LL theory (1D regime) and GP theory (3D BEC regime). In Ref. [12] they found that the curves of 2 =! 2 2 obtained with the two theories do not match at intermediate densities if '0:1. In fact, LL theory predicts the achievement of the 1D mean-eld regime when GP theory already exhibits signicant 3D eects. As clearly shown in Figure 4, GLL theory overcomes such diculties.

Here we have shown that the time-dependent LDA reproduces known results in the appropriate limits and therefore can be safely used to calculate collective oscillations (one-phonon excitations) around static congurations of the Bose gas. Note that, in general, time-dependent LDA can give incorrect results for more complex dynamical phenomena, like multi-phonon excitations [14] or the interference of two Bosonic clouds [15].

IV.NEGATIVE SCATTERING LENGTH

Our variational approach can be applied also to the case of negative 3D scattering length ($a_s < 0$) by using Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) and simply setting e(x) = x with x < 0 in Eq. (3), Eq. (4) and Eq. (5). This corresponds to the Hartree approximation $\sum_{j=1}^{N} (z_j)$ of the the exact N-body wave function $f(z_1; ...; z_N)$ [7]. In the pure 1D regime (= 1), Calogero and Degasperis [16] have shown that with negative scattering length the exact N-body wave function is well approximated by the Hartree ansatz. In this way Eq. (6) reduces to the NPSE, Eq. (4) gives the equation $\frac{2}{n} = \frac{1}{n} (\frac{1}{n})$ for the transverse width [17].

In a toroidal con guration, i.e. cylindric con nement with periodic boundary conditions in the axial direction and U (z) = 0, the Bose gas with negative scattering length is axially uniform below a critical number N $_{\rm C}$ of Bosons and becomes localized (bright soliton) only above this critical number. C arr, C lark and R einhardt [18] have shown that in the pure 1D regime (= 1) this critical number is given by N $_{\rm C}$ = 2 =(j JL), where L is the length of the torus. We easily extend that prediction to a 3D Bose gas by calculating with NPSE the wave-length $_{\rm C}$ of

the zero-energy B ogoliubov excitation above the uniform state [19]: in this way, setting $_{\rm c}=$ L, we not the equation N $_{\rm c}$ j jL = $^2=$ (1 N $_{\rm c}$ j j-L) $^{3-2}=$ (1 3N $_{\rm c}$ j j-(4L)). Contrary to the 1D theory, our G LL theory predicts the existence of the single bright soliton in toroidal con nement only below a critical strength N j j.




Fig. 5. Phase diagram of a Bose gas with negative scattering length in toroidal con nement. N is the number of Bosons, $=2a_s=a_7$ is the inter-atom ic strength and L is the length of the torus. Units as in Fig. 1.

We have numerically veried that such a critical strength is well represented by N j j= 4=3. Above this line only multi-soliton con gurations are possible, which collapse at N j j= L=2 as in the case of the NPSE with box boundary conditions (see [17]). In Figure 5 we show the phase diagram of the toroidal Bose gas with negative scattering length in the plane N j jvs L: uniform, localized with a single bright soliton, localized with a multi-soliton train and collapsed. The properties of these multi-soliton con gurations will be discussed elsewhere.

V.CONCLUSIONS

We have introduced a very elective generalized Lieb-Liniger theory, based on a variational treatment of the transverse width, that points out the crucial interplay between quantum correlations and dimensionality in conned Bose systems. The instructions give a clear signature of the regime involved. Our results exactly reproduces the ones obtained in special regimes with the hydrodynamic method or the sumenule method, but, they also give the crossover from one regime to another. Finally, we have applied our approach to a Bose gas with negative scattering length deriving the complex phase diagram (that includes uniform, single-soliton and multi-soliton con gurations) of an attractive Bose gas in toroidal connement.

- [1] S. Inouye et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 080402 (2001); F. Schreck et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 080403 (2001); M. Greiner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 160405 (2001); H. Moritz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 250402 (2003).
- [2] E.P. Gross, Nuovo Cimento 20, 454 (1961); L.P. Pitaevskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 13, 451 (1961).
- [3] E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605 (1963).
- [4] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J. Yngvason, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91,150401 (2003); E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J. Yngvason, Commun. Math. Phys. 244, 347-393 (2004).
- [5] M. Girardeau, J. Math. Phys. 1, 516 (1960); M. D. Girardeau, Phys. Rev. 139, B500 (1965).
- [6] K K.Das, M D.G irardeau, and E M.W right, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 110402 (2003).
- [7] L. Salasnich, A. Parola, and L. Reatto, Phys. Rev. A 65, 043614 (2002); L. Salasnich, A. Parola, and L. Reatto, Phys. Rev. A 69, 045601 (2004).
- [8] G E. A strakharchik, D. Blume, S. Giorgini, and B E. Granger, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 37, S205 (2004).
- [9] M .O Ishanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 938 (1998).
- [10] T.Bergem an, M $\mathcal G$.M oore, and M .O lshanii, Phys.Rev. Lett. 91, 163201 (2003).
- [11] $e\left(x\right)$ and its derivative $e^{0}\left(x\right)$ are obtained num erically with an iterative algorithm .
- [12] C. M enotti and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 66, 043610 (2002).
- [13] S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2360 (1996).
- [14] F. Dalfovo, A. Lastri, L. Pricaupenko, S. Stringari, J. Treiner, Phys. Rev. B 52, 1193 (1995).
- [15] M D . G irardeau, and E M . W right, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5239 (2000).
- [16] F. Calogero and A. Degasperis, Phys. Rev. A 11, 265 (1975).
- [17] L.Salasnich, A.Parola, and L.Reatto, Phys.Rev.A 66, 043603 (2002); L.Salasnich, A.Parola, and L.Reatto, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 080405 (2003).
- [18] L D . Carr, C W . Clark, and W P. Reinhardt, Phys. Rev. A 62,063611 (2000).
- [19] L. Salasnich, Laser Phys. 14, 291 (2004).