Levy ights as subordination process: rst passage times

Igor M . Sokolov

Institut fur Physik, Hum boldt Universitat zu Berlin, Newtonstra e 15, 12489 Berlin, FRG

Ralf Metzler

NORDITA { Nordic Institute for Theoretical Physics, Blegdam svej 17, 2100 Copenhagen , Denmark $^{\rm Y}$

We obtain the rst passage time density for a Levy ight random process from a subordination scheme. By this method, we infer the asymptotic behavior directly from the Brownian solution and the Sparre Andersen theorem, avoiding explicit reference to the fractional disusion equation. Our results corroborate recent indings for Markovian Levy ights and generalize to broad waiting times.

An important issue in the theory of stochastic processes is the problem of rst passage [1, 2, 3, 4]: Its solution is a key to the understanding of chem ical reactions, stability of states of dynamical systems under external perturbations, extinction of populations, and many other problems in natural sciences. In normal Gaussian diffusion, a standard method of solution of the rst passage problems is the method of images due to Kelvin [3, 4, 5]. However, for Levy ights (LFs) it has recently been demonstrated that the images method leads to a result that contradicts the Sparre Andersen theorem, accoording to which the rst passage time density (FPTD) of a random walk process asymptotically follows the unit 3=2 behavior for any symmetric distriversal f (t) bution of jump lengths [4, 6, 7]. This statement is of great in portance since it not only points out possible inapplicability of Kelvin's method to superdiusive jump processes, but also poses intricate questions of a correct continuous lim it for Levy ights and their description within fractional di usion or Fokker-Planck equations.

LFs are a paradigm for anom alous stochastic processes with a wide range of applications such as chaotic dynamics, processes in plasma, transport in micelles, or even quantum systems [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. They can be de ned in terms of a random walk process with long-tailed jump length distribution (x) = $\frac{1}{3}x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ (0 < < 2) [15]. A liternatively, LFs can be considered as appearing from a Langevin equation with -correlated Levy noise [16, 17, 18]. The characteristic function of an LF given by

$$P(k;t) = \exp (K^{()})kjt$$
 (1)

is of stretched $_R$ G aussian type, where P (k;t) F fP (x;t)g = $_1$ P (x;t) exp (ikx)dx is the Fourier transform of the probability density function (PDF) P (x;t) [2,8,9,19]. In what follows, the generalized diusion constant K $^{(\)}$ will be set to unity. In the limit = 2, the characteristic function (1) reduces to the Gaussian

P $(k;t) = \exp K^{(2)}k^2t$, the characteristic function of a standard random walk with G aussian limit distribution and nite variance $hx^2(t)i = 2K^{(2)}t$ [1, 20], and nite higher order moments. For the general case 0 < < 2, only fractional moments of the form

hṛxji=
$$\frac{2^{1+}}{1=2} = \frac{(1=2+ =2) (=)}{(=2)} K^{()}t^{=};$$
 (2)

exist with < which can most easily be obtained by using properties of Fox H -functions [21].

The fractional di usion equation [10, 11, 17, 21]

$$\frac{\partial P(x;t)}{\partial t} = K^{(j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x} \dot{y}} P(x;t)$$
 (3)

governing an LF re ects the inherent long jumps by the non-locality of the fractional Riesz-Weyloperator [10, 18, 21, 22]

$$\frac{\text{@ P (x;t)}}{\text{@ jxj}} \quad \frac{1}{2\cos(\ =2)\ (2\)} \quad \frac{\text{@}^2}{\text{@ x}^2} \quad \frac{\text{Z 1}}{\text{1}} \quad \frac{\text{P (;t)d}}{\text{jx j }^1};$$
(4)

for 1 < 2, and an analogous expression for 0 < 1; its Fourier transform F f@ P (x;t)=@ jxjg is jxjP (k;t) [18, 22]. In the lim $\pm = 2$, the fractional operator (4) reduces to the standard second-order partial derivative, as \pm should.

In terms of the dynamical equation for the PDF, the formulation of the rst passage problem in terms of an absorbing (Dirichlet) boundary condition requires a cuto in the Riesz-Weyl integral (4) [7]. Contrasting the universal Sparre Anderson result for the FPTD, it was found that the probability density of rst arrival at a site di ers from the FPTD, and is explicitly dependent on the index of the LF [7]. In the present work, we present an alternative derivation of the FPTD for LFs based on a subordination to a regular random walk process of the kind developed in Refs. [23, 24], leading us to a new, a priori unexpected twist: Although the PDF of the free process (without boundaries) is described by the fractional di usion equation, Eq.(3), this equation does not uniquely describe the whole process, i.e. the exact properties of its sam ple paths. Depending on how anom alous

E lectronic address: igor.sokolov@physik.hu-berlin.de

 $^{{}^{}y}$ E lectronic address: m etz@ nordita.dk

transport statistics are introduced, we will discuss the appropriateness or failure of the images method.

Let us consider a stochastic process subordinated to a discrete random walk with some operational time that is de ned by a one-sided Levy law [23]. The notion of subordination implies that the corresponding random process can be understood as follows [3]. The motion of the random walker can be parameterized by the number of steps n, the PDF of the random walker's position after n steps being given by the PDF $P_{RW}(x;n)_{R_1}$ The corresponding characteristic function $P_{RW}(x;n)_{R_1}$ The corresponding characteristic function $P_{RW}(x;n)_{R_1}$ The corresponding characteristic function in terms of the characteristic function $P_{RW}(x;n)_{R_1}$ in terms of the characteristic function $P_{RW}(x;n)_{R_1}$ for instance, Ref. [2].

The number of steps n itself that m ay be considered as the internal operational time of the process, is a nondecaying random function of the physical time (clock time) t. Denoting by p_n (t) the probability to perform exactly n steps up to time t, we obtain

$$P(x;t) = X P_{RW}(x;n)p_n(t):$$
 (5)

At long times we suppose that a continuous operational time T may be introduced instead of the discrete index n. Moreover, we assume that the continuous analog of P_{RW} (x;n) exists. In this limit, we not from (5)

$$Z_{1}$$

$$P(x;t) = P_{x}(x;T)p_{T}(T;t)dT; \qquad (6)$$

where P_x (x;T) is the PDF to be at x at operational time T and p_T (T;t) is the PDF to be at operational time T at clock time t. This continuous limit corresponds exactly to them athem atical notion of the subordination, see Sec. X.7 of Ref. [3]: If fX (T)g is a M arkov process with continuous transition probabilities and fT (t)g a process with non-negative independent increments, then fX (T (t))g is said to subordinate to fX (t)g using the operational time T.

Instead of having the PDF P_{RW} (x;n) of a Brownian random walk, let us assume the more general case that P_{RW} (x;n) corresponds to an LF, so that P_{RW} (x;n) is given by a sym metric Levy distribution of the form [3]

$$P_{RW}(x;n) = l_0 n^{1=}$$
 $L \frac{x}{l_0 n^{1=}}; 0$ (7)

 $(0<\ 2)$, where l_0 is a scaling factor with length as physical unit. Note that the lim it =2 corresponds to a Gaussian prole for P_{RW} (x;n). Let us additionally assume that the number of steps per unit of the physical (clock) timet is distributed according to some distribution with a power-law tail: p(n; t=1) / n 1 , with $0<\ 1$. Then, according to the generalized central limit theorem, at long times p_n (t) tends to a continuous limit distribution corresponding to the one-sided Levy law

$$p_T(T;t) = \frac{0}{t}^{1=} L T \frac{0}{t}^{1=};$$
 (8)

Here, $_0$ is a scaling factor with physical unit time. The one-sided character of (8) ensures that p_T (T < 0;t) 0 β , 19].

To obtain the lim it distribution P(x;t) based on relations (7) and (8), we rst Fourier-transform Eq. (6) in respect to x. W ith expression (1) for the characteristic function of a sym metric Levy stable density, we nd

$$P (k;t) = \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} \exp \frac{k l_{0} j T}{t} = \frac{0}{t}$$

$$L T \frac{0}{t}^{1=}; ; dT: (9)$$

$$P (k;t) = \exp \frac{h}{k} i \qquad (10)$$

This expression, in turn, is the characteristic function of a symmetric Levy stable density with index . The scaling factor K $^{()}$ l_0 = $_0$ can be interpreted as the associated fractional di usion coe cient that depends on the indices of the corresponding subprocesses only through their product = .

The PDF (10) fulls the fractional diusion equation (3) with order = . This can also be shown on grounds of the subordination scheme developed in Refs. [23, 25]. However, it is remarkable that the dynamical equation (3) de nes the PDF P (x;t), but not the process (or better, the identity of the subprocesses) itself; unless we have to do with the lim iting case = 2 that necessarily corresponds to = 2 and = 1, de ning the process uniquely. In other words, although the PDFs of all processes with identical are the same, these processes may still dier in the fractal dim ension of their sample paths given by the set of jumps of random length corresponding to LFs with index; and similarly they dier in the nature of the connection between operational time T and physical clock time t according to the one-sided Levy stable density with index . This issue is of great importance for rst passage problem s, as we dem on strate now.

Our subordination procedure corresponds to a (random) change of the time variable of the process from operational time T to clock time t. This allows us to solve a number of problems connected to the underlying random process without explicitly referring to the fractional equation (3). We here consider the rst passage across a boundary located at x=0.

Let S $_n$ (κ_0) be the survival probability on the positive sem i-axis (i.e. the probability of not crossing the boundary within the rst n steps) after starting at $\kappa_0>0$ at n=0. A coording to the Sparre Andersen theorem [3, 4, 6], the asymptotic form of this probability does not depend on the jump length distribution if only it is symmetric. For large number of steps, one invariably has $_n$ (κ_0) ' $_n$ (κ_0) $_n$ (κ_0) where the prefactor $_n$ (κ_0) depends

on the initial position x_0 , as well as on and l_0 . On sub-ordinating the number of steps n to physical clock time twe see that the survival probability up to time t is

S
$$(t; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_0) p_n (t);$$
 (11)

where p_n (t) is the probability that exactly n steps occur within clock timet. Note that equation (11) corresponds to the spatial average of Eq. (5). Changing from n to the continuous operational time variable T, we get:

S
$$(t;x_0) = {\begin{array}{*{20}{c}} & Z_1 \\ & & (T;x_0)p_T \ (T;t)dT: \end{array}}$$
 (12)

This and $_{n}(x_{0})$ ' $c(x_{0})$ $^{1=2}$ gives rise to

S
$$(t;x_0)' c(x_0) = \frac{1}{1-2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{0}{t} = (2);$$
 (13)

as derived in the Appendix. We now obtain the FPTD,

f (t) =
$$\frac{dS(t;x_0)}{dt}$$
, $\frac{c(x_0)}{1=2}$ $1 + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{\frac{1-(2)}{0}}{t^{1+1-(2)}}$: (14)

The following limiting cases can be distinguished:

(i) If the subordination from operational time T to clock time t through p_T (T;t) is narrow with = 1, i.e., p_T (T;t) = (T t= $_0$), the universal f (t) t $^{3-2}$ behavior according to the Sparre Anderson theorem is recovered. In other words, in order to change this asymptotic behavior, one has to consider 0 < < 1 explicitly.

(ii) If we consider the process subordinated to G aussian di usion (= 2), then = =2 and

f (t)
$$\frac{2c(x_0)}{1=2}$$
 (1+) $\frac{0}{t^{1+1}}$: (15)

This result has the same scaling as the FPTD derived through them ethod of im ages in Ref. [7]. A few words on the interpretation of this seem ingly paradox anding are in order. Result (15) corresponds to a random walk process with a Gaussian jump length density (x), so that the corresponding trajectory is that of a regular Brownian walk. It is therefore perfectly legitim ate to use the images method for such a process, even though it is described by the fractional di usion equation (3). In contrast, the genuine ('classical') LF discussed in Ref. [7] corresponds to a broad (x) $l_0 = j_x j^+$ with explicitly smaller than 2, but = 1. For this strongly non-local process with fractal trajectory, the FPTD follows the result (i). In this latter case, that is, the method of images fails and the FPTD follows f (t) t $^{3=2}$.

(iii) In general, for a given one has to m ake sure that
the inequalities =2 < 1 are ful led, since simultaneously the two conditions 1 and = = with
0 < 2 have to be met. The FPTD for such a general
therefore shows the following asymptotic behavior</pre>

$$f(t) / t$$
; $3=2$ $1 + 1=$; (16)

where 0 < 2. In this scheme, the Sparre Andersen decay with exponent 3=2 is the slow est one possible. This makes perfect sense since due to the Levy stable form of $p_n\left(t\right)$ n 1 a broad distribution of single jump events occurs in a nite time interval (0;t), increasing the likelihood of crossing the boundary within any given nite time intervaldram atically. The Levy nature of $p_n\left(t\right)$ thus leads to an oversam pling of the space in comparison to a process = 1.

The subordination scheme developed here allows one to express the FPTD of a random process solely on the basis of the properties of the Sparre Andersen universality and the subordination map from operational time T to physical clock time. Starting of from an LF in (n;t) coordinates, we introduce a broad distribution $p_n\left(t\right)$ of events per clock time interval t. We not that the resulting process is governed by the fractional diffusion equation (3) whose order = is a product of the Levy index of the jump length distribution, , and the subordination distribution $p_n\left(t\right)$, . The knowledge of alone is therefore insu cient to deduce the exact form of the jump length PDF and the trajectory it gives rise to.

As a direct consequence, the resulting FPTD in the lim it $p_n = n_{i,1}$ (the trivial subordination with index=1) fulls the Sparre Anderson universality for any process with symmetric jump length distribution. This case includes the case of genuine LFs as those discussed in Ref. [7], and is violated by the method of images for all 0 < index=1. Conversely, for index=2, the subordination process has the trajectory of a normal Brownian random walk and is amenable to the images method to determine the FPTD. The result scales like index=10 to index=11 as previously obtained. In the case of general and , the range spanned by the exponent in the FPTD index=12 is index=13 to index=13.

We believe that above notings help in interpreting the inadequacy of the images method for genuine LFs as found in [7] and moreover show that caution is necessary when generalizing well-known results from ordinary to anomalous diusive processes.

We acknowledge helpful discussions with Aleksei Chechkin and Yossi K lafter.

Appendix A: CALCULATION OF THE
$$(\ 1 = 2) - O \ R \ D \ E \ M \ O \ M \ E \ N \ T$$

To obtain the nal form of Eq. (13), we have to evaluate the integral

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix}^{1=2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix}$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}^{1=(2)}$
 $\begin{bmatrix} L \end{bmatrix}$
 $\begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix}^{0}$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$

which is equal to the (1=2)-order mom ent

M
$$_{1=2}$$
 () = $_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ $_{1}$ $_{1=2}$ L (; ;)d

of the one-sided Levy stable density L(;;). To calculate this expression, one can follow two alternative

routes. As such techniques are useful when dealing with Levy processes, we sketch both ways.

(i) The density L (;;) is the inverse Fourier transform of the characteristic function '(k) = $\exp \frac{1}{2} e^{i} = 2$ for k > 0, continued to the negative sem i-axis by '(k) = '(k). Then,

M
$$_{1=2}$$
 () = $\frac{Z_{1}}{\frac{d}{1=2}}Re^{\frac{Z_{1}}{1}}\frac{dk}{e^{ik}}e^{ik}$ exp $kje^{i}=2$

Interchanging both integrals, one can express the integral over through Fresnel integrals to obtain $_0^1$ exp(ik)d = $_0^2$ (1 i)k $_0^{1-2}$. Changing then ik to u we nd for the overall integral:

M
$$_{1=2}$$
 () = $^{1=2}$ Re $_{0}$ du exp u)u $^{1=2}$:

Noting that the integrand is analytical everywhere except for u=0, vanishes for $juj!\ 1$ in the lower right quadrant of the complex plane, and that the integral converges, we can change the contour of integration to the positive real axis, so that

$$Z_{1}$$
 $M_{1=2}() = du \exp u u^{1=2}: (A1)$

- Substituting = u, we recover the the integral representation of the -function, so that Eq. (13) follows.
- (ii) A lternatively, the density L(;;) is the inverse Laplace transform of exp u =

$$^{1} \text{H}^{1;0}_{0;1} \text{ u}$$
 ; expressed in terms of the

Fox H -function [21, 26]. By standard m ethods [26, 27], the inverse Laplace transform can be performed, yielding

L(;;)=()
$$^{1}H_{1;1}^{1;0}$$
 $\frac{1}{0;1}$ $(0;1)$ $(0;1=)$:

A fter a substitution, the resulting integral

M ₁₌₂ () =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $z^{1=2}$ H ^{1;0} $z^{(0;1)}$ (0;1=)

can be easily evaluated, by noting that it corresponds to the M ellin transform $\hat{g}(s)$ $_0^{t-1}$ t^{s-1} g(t) of $H_{1;1}^{1;0}(z)$ at s=1=2. The result can be directly identified with the denition of the H-function [21, 26], so that M $_{1=2}$ () = $_1^{1}$ (1=[2])= (1=2), reproducing Eq. (13).

- [1] N. van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981).
- [2] B. D. Hughes, Random Walks and Random Environments, Volume 1: Random Walks (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995).
- [3] W . Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications (John W iley & Sons, New York, NY, 1968).
- [4] S.Redner, A guide to rst-passage processes (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001).
- [5] H.S.Carslaw and J.C.Jaeger, Conduction of heat in solids (Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1948).
- [6] E. Sparre Andersen, Math. Scand. 1, 263 (1953); ibid. 2, 195 (1954).
- [7] A.V.Chechkin, R.Metzler, J.Klafter, V.Gonchar, and L.V.Tanatarov, J.Phys.A 36, L537 (2003).
- [8] J. P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, Phys. Rep. 195, 127 (1990).
- [9] M.F.Shlesinger, G.F.Zaslavsky, and J.K lafter, Nature Nature 363, 31 (1993).
- [10] R.M etzler and J.K lafter, Phys. Rep. 339, 1 (2000).
- [11] I.M. Sokolov, J. K lafter, and A. B lum en, Phys. Today 55 (11), 48 (2002).
- [12] G.M. Zaslavsky, Phys. Rep. 371, 461 (2002).
- [13] A.V. Chechkin, V.Y. Gonchar, and M. Szydlowsky, Phys. Plasma 9,78 (2002).
- [14] H. Katori, S. Schlipf, and H. Walther, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2221 (1997).
- [15] J.K lafter, A.B lum en, and M.F. Shlesinger, Phys. Rev. A 35, 3081 (1987).

- [16] B.J.W est, P.G rigolini, R.M etzler, and T.F.Nonnenmacher, Phys. Rev. E 55 99 (1997)
- [17] H. C. Fogedby, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2517 (1994); S. Jespersen, R. Metzler, and H. C. Fogedby, Phys. Rev. E 59, 2736 (1999).
- [18] A. V. Chechkin, V. Yu. Gonchar, J. K lafter, R. M etzler, and L. V. Tanatarov, J. Stat. Phys., at press.
- [19] P. Levy, Theorie de l'addition des variables aleatoires (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1954). B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, Limit Distributions for Sums of Random Variables (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1954).
- [20] P. Levy, Processus stochastiques et mouvement Brownien (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1965).
- [21] R. Metzler and T. F. Nonnenmacher, Chem. Phys. 284, 67 (2002).
- [22] S.G. Sam ko, A.A. Kilbas, and O.I.M arichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications (Gordon and Breach, New York, NY, 1993).
- [23] I.M. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. E 63, 011104 (2001).
- [24] D. Brockm ann and I.M. Sokolov, Chem. Phys. 284, 409 (2002).
- [25] I M . Sokolov, J. K lafter and A . B lum en, Phys. Rev. E 64, 021107 (2001).
- [26] H. M. Srivastava, K. C. Gupta, and S. P. Goyal, The H-functions of one and two variables with applications (South Asian Publishers, New Delhi, 1982).
- [27] W .G.G lockle and T.F.Nonnenm acher, J.Stat.Phys. 71,755 (1993).