Dyson Orbitals, Quasi-Particle e ects and Compton scattering

B. Barbiellini and A. Bansil

Departm ent of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115 USA

D yson orbitals play an important role in understanding quasi-particle e ects in the correlated ground state of a many-particle system and are relevant for describing the C om pton scattering cross section beyond the fram eworks of the impulse approximation (IA) and the independent particle m odel (IPM). Here we discuss corrections to the K ohn-Sham energies due to quasi-particle e ects in term s of D yson orbitals and obtain a relatively simple local form of the exchange-correlation energy. Illustrative examples are presented to show the usefulness of our scheme.

PACS num bers: 78.70.Ck, 71.10Ca, 31.25 Eb

I. IN TRODUCTION

Dyson orbitals are a set of one-particle orbitals that are associated with many-electron wavefunctions. These orbitals connect the exact ground-state of the N -electron system with excited states containing N 1 or N + 1 electrons. The importance of Dyson orbitals in understanding Compton scattering spectra has been emphasized recently by K aplan et al. [1], who present a general form alism for the C om pton cross section, which goes beyond the standard treatment involving the fram eworks of the impulse approximation (IA) and the independent particle model (IPM). The breakdown of the IA in describing core Compton pro les is well-known [2]. More recently, high resolution valence C om pton pro les (C P s) of Liat relatively low photon energy of 8 9 keV have been found to show asymmetries in shape and smearing of the Ferm i surface (FS) features where deviations from the IA have been implicated [3, 4].

D yson orbitals also give insight into quasi-particle effects in the correlated ground state of the many body system . In this article, we focus on understanding energies of one-particle excitations of the ground state, which play an important role not only in the form alism of the Compton scattering cross-section, but also in the band structure problem more generally. To this end, a G reen's function approach is used to rst obtain an expression for the exchange-correlation energy in terms of the selfenergy operator involved in the description of the Dyson orbitals. A local ansatz for the self-energy is then invoked to obtain a relatively simple expression for the excitation energies. We illustrate our scheme by considering the example of rst ionization energies of low Z atom s from Z = 1 (H) to Z = 6 (C) and nd good agreement with the corresponding experim ental results. A sanother example, the measured bandgap in diam ond is also reproduced reasonably by our computations.

An outline of this article is as follows. The introductory remarks are followed in Section II by a brief overview of the general form alism of Ref. [1] for the C om pton scattering cross section. The importance of properly including excitation energies in the computation for describing the asymmetry of the CP around q = 0 is stressed. Section III presents the Green's function formulation and discusses our scheme for computing excitation energies. Section IV gives a few illustrative applications of the theory, followed in Section V by a few concluding remarks.

II. DYSON ORBITALS AND COMPTON SCATTER ING

The D yson spin-orbital g_n can be de ned in term s of the many-body ground-state wavefunction $_0$ and the wavefunction $_n$ of the singly ionized excited system characterized by the quantum number n [5, 6, 7, 8]:

$$g_{n}(\mathbf{x}_{N}) = \frac{P_{m}}{N} \sum_{n} (\mathbf{x}_{1} \dots \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = (\mathbf{x}_{1} \dots \mathbf{x}_{N}) d\mathbf{x}_{1} \dots d\mathbf{x}_{N-1};$$
(1)

where the index x denotes both spatial and spin coordinates and the integration over dx_i in plicitly includes a summation over the spin coordinates. The Dyson orbitals thus give generalized overlap amplitudes between the ground state and the singly ionized states of the many body system. Note that, in general, Dyson orbitals do not form an orthonormal set. The Dyson spin-orbital with the spin projection may be written in terms of the spin function () as

$$g_n(x) = g_n(r; ()) = g_n(r) () :$$
 (2)

The excitation energy E $_{\rm b}^{\rm (n)}$ associated with the nth D yson orbital is given by

$$E_{b}^{(n)} = E_{n} (N \quad 1) \quad E_{0} (N)$$
 (3)

where E_0 (N) is the N-particle ground state energy and E_n (N) is the energy of the (N) particle ionized system when it is in its nth quantum state. Eqs. 1-3 above de new hat m ay be thought of as occupied D yson orbitals. For completeness, one can also introduce a parallel set of "unoccupied" D yson orbitals, which connect the ground state to various (N + 1) particle states containing an added electron.

The importance of Dyson orbitals in understanding the nature of the C om pton scattering spectra beyond the

2

fram ework of the IA and the IPM has been emphasized by Kaplan et al. [1]. In particular, the triple-di erential scattering cross-section for the (,e) process, which is the elementary process underlying Compton scattering, is given by

$$\frac{d^{3}}{d!_{2}d_{2}d_{e}} = r_{0}^{2} (1 + \cos^{2}) \frac{!_{2}}{!_{1}}$$

$$X \qquad jg_{n} (q) j^{2} (!_{1} !_{2} E_{b}^{(n)} \frac{p_{n}^{2}}{2m}); \qquad (4)$$

where $g_n(q)$ is the Fourier transform of $g_n(r)$, q is the m om entum transfered to the nalsystem, $!_1$ and $!_2$ are respectively the energies of the photon before and after the collision, and the sum mation extends over the occupied Dyson orbitals. Eq. (4) assum es a large energy transfer (! $_1$! $_2 >> E_b^{(n)}$), so that the outgoing electron possesses su ciently high energy that it can be approxin a ted by a free electron plane wave form . The binding energy $E_{b}^{(n)}$ in the -function in Eq. (4) is usually neglected in obtaining the standard IA -based expression for the cross section. The resulting form of the Compton pro le after coordinates of the recoil electron are integrated over can be shown to be symmetric around q = 0. Therefore, an accurate com putation of the binding energies $E_{b}^{(n)}$ is important for describing the asymmetry of the Compton pro le related to deviations from the IA.

III.GREEN'S FUNCTION METHOD

In order to gain a handle on the nature of the excitation energies $E_{\rm b}^{(n)}$, it proves useful to approach the problem through the G reen's function m ethod. The orbitals g_n (r) of Eq. (1) satisfy the D yson equation [9, 10, 11]

$$(\frac{p^{2}}{2m} + V_{ext}(r) + V_{H}(r))g_{n}(r) + d^{3}r^{0} _{xc}(r;r^{0};E_{b}^{(n)})g_{n}(r^{0}) = E_{b}^{(n)}g_{n}(r);$$
 (5)

where V_{ext} (r) is the external potential, V_H is the H artree potential and x_c is the self-energy.

The Green's function can be expressed as [11]

$$G(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}^{0};!) = \sum_{n}^{X} \frac{g_{n}(\mathbf{r})g_{n}(\mathbf{r}^{0})}{! E_{b}^{(n)} + i \operatorname{sign}(E_{b}^{(n)})}; \quad (6)$$

where is the chemical potential and is an in nitesimal positive number. The density matrix $(r;r^0)$ and the electron density distribution n(r) = (r;r) are obtained by integrating the spectral function A $(r;r^0;!)$ over the occupied electronic energies

$$(r;r^{0}) = \begin{cases} Z \\ d! A (r;r^{0};!); \end{cases}$$
 (7)

where the spectral function A (r;r⁰;!) is given by

A
$$(r; r^{0}; !) = {X \atop n} g_{n} (r) g_{n} (r^{0}) \overline{[(! E_{b}^{(n)})^{2} + {}^{2}]};$$
 (8)

The standard calculation of the self-energy $_{\rm xc}$ and the G reen's function G proceeds via the many-body perturbation theory (M BPT) [12]. The rst order in the M BPT leads to the so-called GW approximation [13] (G stands for the G reen's function and W denotes the screened C oulom b interaction). The GW equation for the self-energy is

$$x_{C} = iG_{0}W$$
; (9)

where G_0 is the 0th order G reen's function. The calculation of W usually requires heavy computations of the dielectric function. Here, we will take a shortcut by describing the screening in terms of the pair-correlation function.

Since m any-body e ects beyond the H artree approximation are contained in the exchange-correlation energy E $_{\rm xc}$, we can write

$$E_{xc} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z} d! d^{3}r d^{3}r^{0}_{xc} (r; r^{0}; !) A (r; r^{0}; !); \quad (10)$$

where the integral is over the occupied states. In the Hartree-Fock limit, the self energy operator is instantenous, i.e., $_{xc}(r;r^0;!) = _x(r;r^0)$. By integrating over !, ones nds the well known result for the exchange energy E_x [14]

$$E_{x} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z}{d^{3}rd^{3}r^{0}} (r;r^{0}) (r;r^{0}) : \qquad (11)$$

We now consider the standard expression of the exchange-correlation energy $E_{\rm xc}$ in terms of the exchange-correlation hole [15]

$$E_{xc} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d^{3}rd^{3}r^{0} \end{bmatrix}_{0}^{Z} \frac{1}{d} \frac{n(r)n(r^{0})C(r;r^{0})}{jr r^{0}j}; \quad (12)$$

where is the coupling constant from the Helm ann-Feynm an theorem, and C is a pair-correlation function describing the exchange-correlation hole. The two expressions of Eqs. (10) and (12) for $E_{\rm xc}$ can be linked by assuming a local and instantaneous ansatz for the self-energy operator [16]

$$_{xc}(r;r^{0};!) = 2_{xc}(r) (r r^{0}):$$
 (13)

Here

$$x_{c}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} z & z^{-1} \\ dr^{0} & d \end{bmatrix} \frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}^{0})C(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}^{0})}{j\mathbf{r} & r^{0}j} : \qquad (14)$$

is the exchange-correlation energy per particle.

Eq.(13) has an intuitive interpretation. W hen an electron m oves, its exchange-correlation hole m oves with it and modi es its e ective mass and excitation energy. Insight into the local approximation for xc is provided by considering the hom ogeneous electron gas. In this case, one obtains

$$x_{c}(r;r^{0};!) = 2_{xc}(r r^{0});$$
 (15)

where xc is a constant due to the translation invariance of the system . A simple expression for $_{\rm xc}$ in the metallic density range is given by [17]

$$x_{c} = \frac{0.916}{r_{s}} \frac{0.127}{P \overline{r_{s}}} Ry;$$
 (16)

where the mean interelectronic spacing $r_{\rm s}$ is obtained via the electron density n by $n(4 r_s^3=3) = 1$. Thus, as a consequence of the short range of the self-energy, the electron-electron interaction leads only to a uniform shift of the levels in relation to the non-interacting gas. In the Hartree-Fock case, the density of states unphysically goes to zero at the Ferm i level as a result of the long range of the self-energy [14]. The situation in a realm etal is presum ably closer to that of the hom ogeneous electron gas as the screening tends to make the correct _{xc} local. Indeed, band calculations based on the D ensity Functional Theory (DFT) employing local potentials [15] have been rather successful in reproducing the experim entalFS's in wide classes of materials [18].

Bearing these considerations in m ind, the correction to the excitation energy $E_{b}^{(n)}$ of Eq. (6) may be obtained in the rst perturbational order as

7.

$$E_{b}^{(n)} = \mathbf{"}_{n} + d^{3}r (2_{xc}(r) v_{xc}(r)) jg_{n}(r) j^{2}; \quad (17)$$

where $"\!\!\!\!n$ denotes the Kohn-Sham eigenvalue and $v_{xc}(r) = E_{xc} = n(r)$ is the exchange-correlation potential in the K ohn-Sham equations. $g_n \left(r \right)$ on the right hand side of Eq. (17) can be reasonably replaced by the Kohn-Sham orbitals [19]. The exchange-correlation energy per particle xc is computed straightforwardly within the localdensity approximation (LDA) [15]. Notably, correction to $E_{b}^{(n)}$ in Eq. (17) will in general be state-dependent and therefore this spectrum will be a useful starting point for implementing the scheme proposed by Barbiellini and Bansil [20] for treating the momentum density and Compton pro le of the correlated ground state of the anisotropic electron gas beyond the Lam -P latzm an correction.

IV . EXCITATION ENERGY CALCULATIONS

Fig. 1 provides an illustrative example of the usefulness of Eq. (17). The rst ionization energy of atoms from H to C (Z = 1)6) is considered using relativistic DFT atom ic wavefunctions [21]. The exchangecorrelation energy $_{\rm xc}$ (r) and the potential $v_{\rm xc}$ (r) have



0

5

 \cap

4 Atomic number (Z) Ŷ

0

6

Comparison of the theoretical and experimental FIG.1: atom ic rst ionization energies for H (Z = 1) to C (Z = 6). D iam onds are the experim ental values [23], open circles give LDA values, while crosses give values corrected by using Eq. (17).

 \sim

3

党

0

2

♦

Ο

1

Ionization Energy (Ry)

0.5

0

been calculated within the LDA parametrized by Hedin and Lundqvist [22]. The LDA eigenvalues (open circles) are seen to be substantially lower than the experimental values (diamonds). The quasiparticle correction of Eq. (17) brings the theoretical values (crosses) in substantial agreem ent with the experim ental values [23]. Note that in the case of H, although the quasiparticle correction yields a large in provem ent, the self-interaction error is not fully rem oved and about 20 % discrepancy between theory and experim ent rem ains.

We have also applied our scheme to investigate the band gap in diam ond. For this purpose, the selfconsistent electronic band structure of diam ond [24] was obtained using the local density exchange-correlation functional of Hedin and Lundqvist [22]. As expected, the LDA band gap of 3:99 eV so computed is too sm all. The inclusion of the connection of Eq. (17) yields a gap of 5:3 eV , which is in far better agreem ent with the experimental value of 5:48 eV [25]. More generally, we expect our correction to reproduce sem iconductor bandgaps with an accuracy com parable to that of the com putationally m ore involved GW approximation [26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. It should also be noted that in analyzing the generalized densityfunctional theory (GDFT), several authors have pointed out that the energy of electronic excitations across the gap of insulators and sem iconductors can be expressed as the sum of the so-called K ohn-Sham gap and a correction that is usually of the same order of m agnitude [31, 32, 33].

We note that quasi-particle e ects directly in uence the shape of the FS of a metal. For instance, the FS of Cu can be measured very precisely so that direct com - parisons with theoretical predictions are easy to follow. de Hass-van Alphen (dHvA) measurements[34] nd the large belly of the FS of C u to be more spherical compared to the LDA computations. In V, dHvA [35] and positron annihilation [36] experiments indicate that the FS pockets at the N symmetry point are smaller than those from LDA calculations. In -Ce, an improved agreement with positron annihilation results is obtained with the LDA FS if the f-band is moved up by 40 50 mRy [37]. Interestingly, aforementioned discrepancies concerning the FSs can be substantially corrected via a simplied computation of the self-energy via the exchange correlation hole [38].

V.SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

W e discuss aspects of D yson orbitals for gaining insight into quasi-particle e ects in the correlated ground state of a many-particle system . The importance of Dyson orbitals, which connect the many-body ground state with its singly ionized excited states, has been en phasized previously for describing C om pton scattering pro les beyond the lim itations of the IA and the IPM and we start with a brief review of this earlier study [1]. We focus on delineating corrections to the Kohn-Sham energies due to quasi-particle e ects and show how one can gain a handle on obtaining substantially in proved excitation energies in molecules and solids. For this purpose, a G reen's function approach is used to suggest a relatively simple local form of the exchange-correlation energy. Illustrative applications of our scheme indicate that our self-energy expression should provide a reasonable description of excitation energies in metals and sem iconductors with an accuracy roughly com parable to that of the GW m ethod.

This work is supported by the USD epartment of Energy contract D = AC03-76SF00098 and bene ted from the allocation of supercomputer time at NERSC and Northeastern University's Advanced Scientic Computation Center (ASCC).

- [1] I.G.Kaplan, B.Barbiellini, A.Bansil, Phys. Rev. B 68, 235104 (2003).
- [2] See e.g. P. E isenberger and P.M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. A 2, 415 (1970).
- [3] C. Stemem ann, K. Ham alainen, A. Kaprolat, A. Soininen, G. Doring, C.-C. Kao, S. Manninen, and W. Schulke, Phys. Rev. B 62, R 7687 (2000).
- [4] J.A.Soininen, K.Ham alainen, and S.M anninen, Phys. Rev.B 64, 125116 (2001).
- [5] B.T. Pickup, Chem. Phys. 19, 193 (1977).
- [6] L.S.Cederbaum and W .Dom cke, Adv.Chem .Phys.36, 205 (1977).
- [7] Y.Ohm and G.Bom, Adv.Quant.Chem.13,1 (1981).

- [8] J. V. Ortiz, in Computational Chemistry: Reviews of Current Trends, Vol. 2, J. Leszczynski. Ed., (World Scientic, Singapore, 1997), p.1
- [9] A J.Layzer, Phys.Rev.129, 897 (1963).
- [10] L.Hedin and S.Lundqvist in Solids State Physics, ed.H. Ehrenreich, D.Tumbull and F.Seitz, (A cadem ic, New York) (1969), Vol.23, p.1.
- [11] I.I. M azin, E G . M aksim ov, S. Yu. Savrasov and Yu.A. U spenskil, Sov. Phys. Solid State 29, 1516 (1987).
- [12] A S. Kheifets, M. Vos and E. W eigold, Zeitschrift fur Physikalische Chem is 215, 1323 (2001).
- [13] L.Hedin, Phys.Rev.139, A 796 (1965).
- [14] N W . A shcroft and N D . M erm in, Solid State Physics, (Saunders College, Philadelphia, 1976).
- [15] R D. Jones and O. G unnarsson, Rev. M od. Phys 61, 689 (1989).
- [16] See also N. I. Kulikov, M. Alouani, M. A. Khan and M. V. Magnitskaya, Phys. Rev. B 36, 929 (1987).
- [17] B.Barbiellini, Phys.Lett.A 134, 330 (1989).
- [18] D D.Koelling, Rep. Prog. Phys. 44, 139 (1981).
- [19] P.Du y, D.P.Chong, M.E.Casida, and D.R.Salahub, Phys.Rev.A 50, 4707 (1994) argue that Dyson orbitals can be represented reasonably well by the Kohn-Sham orbitals for momentum density calculations.
- [20] B. Barbiellini and A. Bansil, J. Phys. Chem. Sol. 62, 2181 (2001).
- [21] D. D. Koelling and B.N. Harmon, J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys. 10, 3107 (1975); Relativistic atom ic code provided by M. Posternak.
- [22] L.Hedin and B.I.Lundqvist, J.Phys.C 4, 2064 (1971).
- [23] L.Pauling, General Chemistry, Dover (New York, 1970). The ionization energies in eV are 13.60, 24.58, 5.39, 9.32, 8.30 and 11.26 for H, He, Li, Be, B and C respectively.
- [24] B.Barbiellini, S.B.Dugdale and T.Jarlborg, Computational Materials Science 28, 287 (2003).
- [25] C D . C lark, P.J.D ean, and P.V. Harris, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A 277, 312 (1964).
- [26] F. Aryasetiawan and O. Gunnarsson, Rep. Prog. Phys. 61, 237 (1998).
- [27] M. S. Hybertæn and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 1418 (1985); Phys. Rev. B 34, 5390 (1986).
- [28] R.W. Godby, M. Schluter and L.J. Sham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2415 (1986); Phys. Rev. B 37, 10 159 (1988).
- [29] F. Gygi and A. Baldereschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2160 (1989).
- [30] X.Zhu and S.G.Louie, Phys. Rev. B 43, 14 142 (1991).
- [31] L.Fritsche, Phys. Rev. B 33, 3976 (1986).
- [32] L.Fritsche and Y.M.Guo, Phys. Rev. B 48, 4197 (1993).
- [33] I.N.Rem ediakis and E.Kaxiras, Phys.Rev.B 59, 5536 (1999).
- [34] P.T. Coleridge and IM. Templeton, Phys. Rev. B 25 7818 (1982).
- [35] R D. Parker and M H. Halloran, Phys. Rev. B 9, 4130 (1974).
- [36] A. A. M anuel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1525 (1982); A K. Singh, A A. M anuel, R M. Singnu, R. Sachot, E. W alker, P. D escouts and M. Peter, J. Phys. F 15, 237 (1985).
- [37] T. Jarlborg, A. A. M anuel, M. Peter, D. Sanchez, A K. Singh, J.-L. Stephan, E. W alker, W. Asmuss and M. Herm ann in Positron Annihilation, Proceedings ICPA8, edited by L.D orikens et al. (W orld-Scientic, Singapore) (1989) p.266.
- [38] B.Barbielliniand T.Jarlborg, JP hys.: Condens.M atter 1,75 (1989).