

Analytic theory of correlation energy and spin polarization in the 2D electron gas

R. Asgari,^{a,b} B. Davoudi,^{a,b} and M. P. Tosì^a

^aNEST-INFM and Classe di Scienze, Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

^aInstitute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Tehran 19395-5531, Iran

Abstract

We present an analytic theory of the pair distribution function and the ground-state energy in a two-dimensional (2D) electron gas with an arbitrary degree of spin polarization. Our approach involves the solution of a zero-energy scattering Schrödinger equation with an effective potential which includes a Fermi term from exchange and kinetic energy and a Bose-like term from Jastrow-Feenberg correlations. The form of the latter is assessed from an analysis of data on a 2D gas of charged bosons. We obtain excellent agreement with data from quantum Monte Carlo studies of the 2D electron gas. In particular, our results for the correlation energy show a quantum phase transition occurring at coupling strength $r_s \approx 24$ from the paramagnetic to the fully spin-polarized uid.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Fk; 71.10.Ca

Key Words: D. Electron-electron interactions

Corresponding author: tosim@sns.it

Developments in techniques of molecular beam epitaxy and chemical vapor deposition have allowed since the mid 1970's the fabrication of semiconductor structures in which the carriers can form a low-density fluid moving in low dimensionality [1]. Many of the electron-electron interaction effects become increasingly important as carrier density and dimensionality are reduced and the homogeneous electron gas – an assembly of fermions interacting by the e^2/r law and moving in a uniform neutralizing background – provides a primitive model for their study [2]. The model is characterized at zero temperature and magnetic field by the carrier density or equivalently by the coupling-strength parameter r_s , which measures the mean interparticle distance in units of the Bohr radius a_B .

A crucial role in the theory is played by the particle pair distribution function $g(r)$, which determines the ground-state energy of the assembly and hence its quantum phase diagram. This function has been the object of several numerical studies by quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methods, starting from the early work of Ceperley and Alder [3] on the three-dimensional (3D) electron gas. In the two-dimensional (2D) case these studies have predicted the critical density for Wigner crystallization at $r_s \approx 35$ [4,5] and a weakly first-order transition to a fully spin-polarized fluid state at $r_s \approx 25$ [6,7].

In a previous work we have presented an analytic theory of $g(r)$ and other ground-state properties of the 3D electron gas [8]. The theory was based on a Fermi hypernetted chain approximation (hereafter indicated by the FHNC/0 acronym) and yields quantitative agreement with QMC data up to at least $r_s = 20$. The object of the present work is to extend the theory of $g(r)$ and the correlation energy to the 2D electron gas. As we shall see, much higher sophistication is needed to attain a quantitatively useful theory in lowered dimensionality. We shall have to dwell on terms beyond the FHNC/0, which come from low-order elementary diagrams and from three-body Jastrow-Feenberg correlations. These effects have been studied theoretically in boson fluids [9,10] and it is natural within our theoretical scheme to draw on the 2D gas of charged bosons in dealing with the 2D gas of charged fermions. An important role will be played below by the newly available QMC data on the 2D charged-boson gas [11].

Our starting point is the energy functional $E[n(r)]$ for an inhomogeneous density profile corresponding to the distribution of particles $n(r)$ around an average particle. That is, $n(r) = n g(r)$, where $n = (r_s^2 a_B^2)^{-1}$ is the average particle density, and the corresponding "external" potential is $v(r) = e^2/r$. As usual, the energy functional is the sum of an ideal kinetic energy term and of three potential energy terms given by the interaction with the "external" potential and by the Hartree and exchange-correlation contributions. As in Ref. [8] we split the ideal kinetic energy functional into the sum of the von Weizsacker-Herring term ($\hbar^2 = 8m$) $\int_R dr j n(r)^2 = n(r)$ and a residue $T[n(r)]$, and minimize the energy by means of the Hohenberg-Kohn variational principle. The result is a differential equation for $g(r)$,

$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{m} r^{-2} + v(r) + W_F(r) + W_B(r) \right] \frac{d}{dr} g(r) = 0 : \quad (1)$$

This equation is formally exact and describes a zero-energy two-body scattering problem in the many-body fluid, in accord with O verhauser's interpretation of $g(r)$ [12].

In writing the "scattering potential" in the form used in Eq. (1) we are implicitly thinking of the many-body wave function as the product of a Slater determinant times a Jastrow-Feenberg correlation factor. The Fermi potential $W_F(r)$ derives from the functional derivative of $T[n(r)]$ and from exchange, and would vanish in a Bose fluid. The Bose-like potential $W_B(r)$ contains the effects of correlations (including the long-range Hartree term) and by itself would determine $g(r)$ in a Bose fluid. We turn to the latter in order to assess the form of $W_B(r)$.

2D fluid of charged bosons. The FHNC/0 and its HNC/0 equivalent for a Bose fluid take

$$W_B^{HNC}(k) = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{4m} \frac{S(k) - 1}{S(k)} [2S(k) + 1] \quad (2)$$

where $W_B(k)$ is the Fourier transform of $W_B(r)$, defined according to the general expression $FT[F(r)] = \int_R dr F(r) \exp(ik \cdot r)$. In Eq. (2) $S(k)$ is the structure factor of the fluid, defined so that $S(k) = 1 + FT[g(r) - 1]$. Using Eq. (2) in Eq. (1) with $W_F(r) = 0$ and self-consistently solving Eq. (1) for $g(r)$, we find quantitative agreement with the QMC data of Ref. [11] at $r_s = 1$ and discrepancies already emerging at $r_s = 5$.

Improvements on Eq. (2) can be sought in two directions for a Bose fluid [10,13]. The HNC may be transcended by the inclusion of low-order elementary diagrams, and three-body Jastrow-Feenberg correlations may be included. The contribution from the first elementary diagrams to the effective Bose potential is

$$W_B^{E_4}(k) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \frac{k^2}{(2)^2} \nu_4(k) + \int \frac{dq}{(2)^2} q^2 [S(q) - 1] \frac{\nu_4(q)}{S(k)} ; \quad (3)$$

where $\nu_4(k)$ is given by a fourfold integral (in 2D) over momentum space. The contribution of three-body correlations is given by a two-fold integral,

$$W_B^{(3)}(k) = \frac{1}{4n(2)^2} \int dq S(p) S(q) u_3(q;p;k) [v(q;p;k) + [\nu(p) + \nu(q)] u_3(q;p;k) g] \quad (4)$$

where $p = (q + k)$, $\nu(k) = \hbar^2 k^2 / [2m S(k)]$ and, with the definition $X(k) = 1 - S^{-1}(k)$, we have $v(q;p;k) = (\hbar^2/m) [k - pX(p) + k - qX(q) + p - qX(p)X(q)]$ and

$$u_3(q;p;k) = \frac{(\hbar^2/2m) [k - pX(p)X(k) + p - qX(p)X(q) + k - qX(q)X(k)]}{\nu(k) + \nu(p) + \nu(q)} \quad (5)$$

The papers of Smith et al. [13] and of Apatja et al. [10] should be consulted for detailed derivations of these equations, in which $u_3(q;p;k)$ is the irreducible three-body vertex.

The effective scattering potential as self-consistently determined in these approximations for the 2D fluid of charged boson at $r_s = 20$ is shown in Fig. 1. In all cases the structure in $g(r)$ which is present in the QMC data of Ref. [11] is underestimated at such strong coupling. We have therefore tried to take into account the higher-order terms that are missed in these approaches at strong coupling by assuming that they lead to corrections in the scattering potential that have roughly the same shape as the low-order terms reported above. In particular, we have found that the choice

$$W_B^{(1)}(r) = W_B^{HNC}(r) + (r_s) W_B^{(3)}(r) \quad (6)$$

leads to a satisfactory account of the QMC data on the 2D Bose gas. Here the parameter is determined by fitting the QMC data on the ground-state energy [11] with a relative precision of 10^{-3} . This yields

$$(r_s) = 1 + 5.888 \exp(-0.07758 r_s^{0.7923}) ; \quad (7)$$

The corresponding form of the scattering potential at $r_s = 20$ is also shown in Fig. 1, while Fig. 2 reports a comparison of our results for $g(r)$ with the QMC data up to $r_s = 50$. We have clearly achieved fully quantitative agreement over this whole range of coupling strength. It may be remarked that nothing is to be gained by further increasing the relative precision of the fit of the QMC ground-state energy, possibly because of errors due to the finiteness of the QMC sample.

2D fluid of charged fermions. We carry over Eqs. (4) and (6) in our calculations of the Bose-like term in Eq. (1) for the 2D electron gas. With regard to the Fermi term $W_F(r)$ in Eq. (1), we adopt the same criteria in determining its form as in Ref. [8]. An important requirement is that Eq. (1) should give the exact fermion-fermion distribution function when one goes to the weak-coupling limit $r_s \rightarrow 0$, when $g(r)$ becomes the Hartree-Fock pair distribution function $g_{HF}(r)$. The Fermi term in the scattering potential is then determined by the Hartree-Fock structure factor $S_{HF}(k)$ according to

$$W_F(k) = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \text{FT} \left[\frac{r^2 p}{p} \frac{\frac{g_{HF}(r)}{g_{HF}(r)}}{\frac{g_{HF}(r)}{g_{HF}(r)}} \right] + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{4m} \frac{S_{HF}(k)}{S_{HF}(k)} \frac{1}{[2S_{HF}(k) + 1]} (r_s) W_B^{(3)}(k) \frac{1}{S_{HF}(k)} ; \quad (8)$$

Here $S_{HF}(k) = \frac{1}{P} [1 + \text{sgn}(\cdot)] S_{HF}(k) = 2$ with

$$S_{HF}(k) = (2\pi)^{-1} \sin^{-1}(k/2k_F) + (k/2k_F) \frac{1}{1 - (k/2k_F)^2} \frac{1}{(2k_F - k) + (k - 2k_F)} \quad (9)$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$ denotes the electron spin, $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} n_{\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} n_{\downarrow}$ is the spin polarization, $k_F = \frac{P}{2} [1 + \text{sgn}(\sigma)]^{1/2} = (r_s a_B)^{-1}$ and θ is the Heaviside step function. The rationale behind Eq. (8) is as in Ref. [8]: the first term on the RHS ensures that the Hartree-Fock limit is correctly embodied into the theory, and the second and third term ensure that the Bose-like scattering potential is suppressed for parallel-spin electrons at weak coupling [14].

Our numerical results for the pair distribution function of the 2D electron gas in the paramagnetic state ($\sigma = 0$) and in the fully spin-polarized state ($\sigma = 1$) are compared with the QMC data of Ref. [15] in Fig. 3. We have clearly achieved fully quantitative agreement with the QMC data up to large values of the coupling strength r_s .

We can then confidently calculate the ground-state energy $\epsilon(r_s; \sigma)$ of the 2D electron gas as a function of r_s in these two states of magnetization, using an integration over the coupling strength according to the expression

$$\epsilon(r_s; \sigma) = \frac{(1 + \sigma^2)}{r_s^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/r_s} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} v_k^{(\sigma)} [\mathcal{S}(k) - 1] \quad (10)$$

(in Rydberg units). The results are compared with the QMC data of Attaccalite et al. [7] in Fig. 5. There clearly is a discrepancy with the data in the absolute values of the energy, but this affects in essentially the same manner the two phases so that we find a transition from the paramagnetic to the fully spin-polarized fluid at $r_s \approx 24$, in excellent agreement with the QMC data. As to the nature of the phase transition, within the accuracy of our calculations it could be either a weakly first-order one or a continuous transition occurring in an extremely narrow range of values of r_s . This is shown in Fig. 4, where we also report our results for the ground-state energy as a function of r_s at several values of the spin polarization σ .

Conclusions. In summary, we have presented a model which quantitatively predicts the two-body correlations in both the 2D charged-boson fluid and the 2D electron gas using as the only input the QMC data for the ground-state energy of the boson fluid as a function of the coupling strength r_s . The essential physical idea that underlies the model is that differences arising from the statistics are disappearing as the fermionic or bosonic fluid is brought into the strong coupling regime, where the Coulomb repulsions suppress close encounters of pairs of particles [16]. However, exchange between parallel-spin fermions must be properly accounted for in the weak-to-intermediate coupling regime.

The model has allowed us to reproduce the quantum phase transition that has been found to occur in the QMC studies of the 2D electron gas, essentially starting from the basic Coulomb Hamiltonian. Within the accuracy of our model the transition could be either a weakly discontinuous transition or a continuous one occurring in a very narrow range of coupling strength. The latter type of quantum phase transition is found to occur in the 3D electron gas [17].

Acknowledgements We are very grateful to Dr. S. Moroni for sending to us the QMC results for $g(r)$ used in Fig. 3. This work was partially funded by MIUR under the PRIN 2001 and PRIN 2003 Initiatives.

References

- [1] See e.g. P. Harrison, *Quantum Wells, Wires and Dots* (Wiley, Chichester, 2002).
- [2] See e.g. N. H. March, *Electron Correlation in the Solid State* (Imperial College, London, 1999).
- [3] D. M. Ceperley, B. J. Alder, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 45 (1980) 566.
- [4] B. Tanatar, D. M. Ceperley, *Phys. Rev. B* 39 (1989) 5005.
- [5] F. Rapisarda, G. Senatore, *Austr. J. Phys.* 49 (1996) 161.
- [6] D. Varsano, S. Moroni, G. Senatore, *Europhys. Lett.* 53 (2001) 348.
- [7] C. Attaccalite, S. Moroni, P. Gori-Giorgi, G. B. Bachelet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88 (2002) 256601.
- [8] B. Davoudi, R. Asgari, M. Polini, M. P. Tosi, *Phys. Rev. B* 68 (2003) 155112.
- [9] E. Krotscheck, M. Saarela, *Phys. Rep.* 232 (1993) 1.
- [10] V. Apařa, J. Halinen, V. Halonen, E. Krotscheck, M. Saarela, *Phys. Rev. B* 55 (1997) 12925.
- [11] S. De Palo, S. Conti, S. Moroni, *Phys. Rev. B* 69 (2004) 035109.
- [12] A. W. Overhauser, *Can. J. Phys.* 73 (1995) 683.
- [13] R. A. Smith, A. Kallio, M. Puoskari, P. Toropainen, *Nucl. Phys. A* 328 (1979) 186.
- [14] A. Kallio, J. Piilo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 4237.
- [15] P. Gori-Giorgi, S. Moroni, G. B. Bachelet, *cond-mat/0403050*
- [16] M. L. Chiofalo, S. Conti, M. P. Tosi, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* 8, (1994) 1207.
- [17] F. H. Zong, C. Liu, D. M. Ceperley, *Phys. Rev. E* 66 (2002) 036703.




FIG. 1. The effective Bose-like scattering potential (in units of $\hbar^2/(m r_s^2 a_B^2)$) at $r_s = 20$, as a function of distance r (in units of $r_s a_B$). The four cases refer to the HNC (Eq. (2)), to the inclusion of a contribution of elementary diagrams ($W_B(r) = W_B^{\text{HNC}}(r) + W_B^{E_4}(r)$, Eq. (3)) and of three-body correlations ($W_B(r) = W_B^{\text{HNC}}(r) + W_B^{(3)}(r)$, Eq. (4)), and to the empirically adjusted choice in Eq. (6).




FIG .2. The pair distribution function $g(r)$ of the 2D fluid of charged bosons as a function of distance r (in units of $r_s a_B$) at $r_s = 10, 20$, and 50 . The theoretical results (full lines) are compared with QMC data by De Palo et al. [11] (dots). The dashed lines show the results obtained in the HNC/O scheme. The results have been shifted upwards by 0.4 and 0.8 for clarity.




FIG. 3. The pair distribution function $g(r)$ of the 2D electron gas at $r_s = 1, 5, 10$, and 20 in the paramagnetic state (top panel) and in the fully spin-polarized state (bottom panel), as a function of distance r (in units of $r_s a_B$). The theoretical results (full lines) are compared with QMC data by Gori-Gorgiet al.[15] (dots). The dashed lines show the results obtained in the FHNC/0 scheme. The results have been shifted upwards by $0.4, 0.8$ and 1.2 for clarity.




FIG. 4. The ground-state energy of the 2D electron gas (in Rydberg units, referred to the Madelung energy $\epsilon_M = -2.2122/r_s$ and multiplied by $r_s^{3/2}$) as a function of the coupling strength r_s . The full lines show the theoretical results for the paramagnetic state and the fully spin-polarized state, while the dots report QMC data from Attaccalite et al. [7]. The other three curves are theoretical results for states of partial spin polarization, as indicated in the figure.