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#### Abstract

The dynam ics of a $m$ etastable attractive Bose E instein condensate trapped by a system of laser beam $s$ is analyzed in the presence of $s m$ all uctuations of the laser intensity. It is show that the condensate will eventually collapse. The expected collapse tim e is inversely proportional to the integrated covariance of the tim e autocorrelation function of the laser intensity and it decays logarithm ically with the number of atom s. N um erical sim ulations of the stochastic 3D G ross$P$ itaevskii equation con ms analytical predictions for sm all and m oderate values of m ean eld interaction.


PACS num bers: $03.75 \mathrm{Kk}, 42.65 . \mathrm{k}, 42.50 \mathrm{Ar}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

 progressing new eld of research, "[i] ]. The physical properties of BEC s , which to date com prise eight elem ents $\mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Na}$, $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Yb}$ and their isotopes, are predom inantly determ ined by interatom ic forces. Som e of the atom ic species ( ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li},{ }^{85} \mathrm{Rb},{ }^{133} \mathrm{C}$ s) possess a negative s -w ave scattering length in the ground state and display attractive interactions. The attractive interaction betw een the atom scauses the collapse of the BEC so that a stable BEC was not believed to exist [巨'J. H ow ever, when an extemal spatial con nem ent is in posed for instance by a system of laser beam s , a trapping potential show $s$ up which can counterbalance the attractive interaction and allow $s$ the form ation of a m etastable BEC.W hen the num ber of atom $s$ increases, the attractive interaction becom es stronger and the energy barrier that prevents the 3D BEC from collapsing becom es weaker. To a given trapping potential there corresponds a critical num ber of atom $s$ above which the energy barrier van ishes. The case of a quadratic potential has been studied, the critical num ber of atom s has been com puted by a variational approach and by extensive num erical sim ulations of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii (GP) equation, and the results have been checked experim entally [ $[\underline{1}, \mathbf{N}$,

O ne of the $m$ ost im portant aspects of BEC s in the regim e of attractive interactions is that they are unstable against collapse. The collapse show s up as a rapid and strong shrink ing of the condensate at som e critical num ber of atom s , and is accom panied by signi cant atom ic losses due to $m$ any-body processes,"[p]. The collapse is initiated when the balance of forces goveming the size and shape of the condensate is altered either by intemalor extemal factors. W ith respect to spatial and energetic stability the $m$ agnetic traps appear to be better controllable com pared to optical traps td $]$. On the other hand, due to increasing interest in far-o resonant laser traps for B ose-condensation of atom s which are insensitive to m agnetic elds' $[1[10]$, the investigation of di erent aspects of BEC dynam ics in optical traps is becom ing a very relevant subject. O f particular interest is the ect of tem poral uctuations of the laser intensity which in tum involve tem poral uctuations of the parabolic trapping potentiali[ilill . In the present paper we shall consider the BEC dynam ics under random uctuations of the strength of the parabolic trap potential and we shall show that sm all uctuations can lead to the eventual collapse of the 3D BEC due to a cum ulative e ect of stochastic perturbations. The random uctuations have all ham onics in their spectrum, and som e of them participate in the param etric resonance leading to collapse. This stochastic param etric resonance in the BEC width oscillations has a rough equivalent particle picture: the K ram ers' exit problem which is concemed with noise activated escape from a potential well [12

Q uantum tunneling ( $Q T$ ) is considered asplaying a key role in the condensate collapse w hen the num ber of atom $s$ is
close to the critical num ber $\left[13{ }^{-1}\right]$. W e shall see that the BEC instability driven by random uctuations of the strength of the parabolic trap potential is all the $m$ ore dram atic as the num ber of atom $s$ is closer to the critical num ber. O ur consideration thus show s that even weak noise can play a com petitive role in this lim it with $Q T$ and should be taken into account. T he e ect of optical trap noise was previously considered in the context of stochastic heating of trapped atom $s$ [1] $1,1,1]$. In a far-o resonant optical trap created by a system of red detuned lasers the variable trapping potential can be represented as $V(t ; r)=\quad \exists(t ; r) j=4$, where is the atom ic polarizabillty and $E(t ; r)$ is the electric eld am plitude. The dynam ics of trapped atom $s$ can be described by the corresponding $H$ am iltonian $H=p^{2}=(2 m)+(1=2) m!{ }_{0}^{2}(1+\quad(t)) r^{2}$, where $!_{0}^{2}=k_{0}^{2}=m$ is the $m$ ean square trap oscillation frequency, and $k_{0}$ is proportional to the tim e-averaged laser intensity $I_{0} \ddagger 3$. The tim e dependent spring constant is determ ined by fractional uctuations of the laser intensity $(t)=(I) \quad D)=I_{0}\left[1 I_{1}^{1}\right]$. The in uence of the uctuations of the trap potential on the dynam ics of 1D GP_ type equation has been considered in [15 $\overline{5}_{1}^{1}$ ] and the trap and nonlinearity

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we give a description of the $m$ odel and apply a variational approach. In Section
 num ber of atom s. F inally we check the variational approach and our asym ptotic analysis in Section $\ddagger$ by perform ing direct num erical sim ulations of the G P equation.

## II. THE MODELAND THE VARIATIONALAPPROACH

W e consider the $m$ ean- eld GP equation for the single-particle wave function [in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[ }\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \sim_{t}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m}+V(t ; r)+g j{ }^{\jmath}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nonlinear coe cient is $g=_{R} 4 \sim^{2} a_{s}=m$ where $a_{s}$ and $m$ are respectively the atom ic scattering length and $m$ ass. $T$ he number of atom $s$ is $N=j \jmath d x . V$ is the extemal trapping potential im posed by a system of laser beam $s$. W e consider a harm onic $m$ odel, but we take into account tem poral uctuations of the laser intensity which in tum induces tem poral uctuations of the quadratic potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t ; r)=\frac{m!_{0}^{2}}{2} j \not j[1+\quad(t)]: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the optical trap $!^{2}=I=\left(2 m l_{0}^{2}\right)$, where $l_{0}$ is the size of the laser beam, $I$ is the intensity, is a constant proportional to the laser frequency detuning. The random function ( $t$ ) describes the laser intensity uctuations
$(t)=(I(t) \quad \bar{f})=I_{0}$. The stationary random process has zero-m ean and standard deviation . W e shall see in the follow ing that the standard deviation is not su cient to predict the collapse of the BEC, but the coherence tim e and $m$ ore generally the pow er spectraldensity of $w$ ill play a role.

W e now cast Eq. (11) in a dim ensionless form by introducing the variables $t^{0}=!!_{0} t, r^{0}=r=r_{0}, r_{0}^{1}=\mathrm{P} \overline{m!0_{0}=\sim}$, and $u=\mathrm{P} \overline{4 \dot{j}_{s} \dot{j}_{0}^{2}}$. This yields the follow ing partial di erential equation (PDE)

$$
\begin{equation*}
i u_{t^{0}}=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{0} u+\frac{1}{2} j^{0} f^{j}\left[1+{ }^{0}\left(t^{0}\right)\right] u+s j u{ }^{3} u ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\operatorname{sgn}\left(a_{s}\right)=1$ and $^{0}\left(t^{0}\right)=(t=!0)$. From now on we drop the prim es. The next step consists in applying the variational approach. This approxim ation was rst introduced by A nderson ['I 9 ] and developed in nonlinear optics [201]. A sim ilar technique was elaborated for the BEC dynam ics based on the G P equation [21]. The variationalansatz for the wave function of the BEC is chosen as the G aussian

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; r)=A(t) \exp \quad \frac{\dot{j} \tilde{\jmath}}{2 a(t)^{2}}+\frac{i b(t) \dot{j} y^{\mathcal{j}}}{2}+i \quad(t) \quad: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a(0) r_{0}$ is the intitialBEC mswidth in physical variables

$$
a(0)=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N} r_{0}} \quad j r \jmath^{2} j \quad(t=0 ; r) \jmath^{2} d^{3} r^{1=2}:, ~}
$$

$T$ he num ber of atom $s$ is

$$
N=\frac{p-r_{0}}{4 \dot{a}_{s} j} A(0)^{2} a(0)^{3}=\frac{p-r_{0}}{4 \dot{a}_{s} j} A(t)^{2} a(t)^{3}:
$$



F IG . 1: P otential U (a) for $P=0.2$. The im portant points ( $a_{1}<a_{0}<a_{2}$ ) are also represented.

Follow ing the standard procedure $\left[\overline{2 n}_{0}^{\prime}\right]$, we substitute the ansatz into the Lagrangian density generating Eq. $(\overline{3})$ and calculate the e ective Lagrangian density in term $s$ of $A, a, b, \quad$ and their tim e-derivatives. The evolution equations for the param eters of the ansatz are then derived from the e ective Lagrangian by using the corresponding EulerLagrange equations. In particular this approach yields a closed-form ordinary di erential equation ( $O D E$ ) for the BEC width a

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t t}+a(1+(t))=\frac{1}{a^{3}}+\frac{{ }_{s} P}{a^{4}} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=P \overline{2=} N \dot{\mu}_{s} \dot{j} r_{0}$. We study in this paper the attractive case $\left(a_{s}<0, \mathrm{~s}=1\right)$. The evolution equation nally reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t t}+a(1+\quad \text { (t) })=\frac{1}{a^{3}} \quad \frac{P}{a^{4}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

III. ACTION-ANGLE VARIABLES
A. U nperturbed dynam ics

The energy E of the unperturbed BEC is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} a_{t}^{2}(t)+U(a(t)) ; \quad U(a)=\frac{1}{2} \quad a^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{2}} \quad \frac{P}{3 a^{3}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In absence of random uctuations 0 the energy $E$ is an integral of $m$ otion. The BEC width obeys a sim ple dynam ics with H am iltonian structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p ; q)=\frac{1}{2} p^{2}+U(q) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 U possesses a localm inim um that we shall denote by $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ (see F ig. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}_{1}$ (1). The corresponding ground state has energy $E_{0}=U\left(a_{0}\right)$. Below $a_{0}$ there is the localm axim um $a_{1} w$ th energy $E_{1}=U\left(a_{1}\right)$, and below $a_{1}$ the potential decays to

1 . A bove कo the potential increases to +1 . It crosses the energy level $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ at $\mathrm{a}_{2}$.
If the initial conditions ( $\mathrm{a}(0)$; $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{t}}(0)$ ) correspond to an energy above $\mathrm{E}_{1}$, or below $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ but $\mathrm{a}(0)<\mathrm{a}_{1}$, then the condensate width goes to zero in nite tim e which means that the BEC collapses. On the contrary, if the in itial conditions $\left(a(0) ; a_{t}(0)\right)$ correspond to an energy betw een $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$, and $a(0)>a_{1}$, then the orbits of the $m$ otion are closed, corresponding to periodic oscillations. In order to explicit the periodic structure of the variables a and $a_{t}$, we introduce the action-angle variables. The orbits are determ ined by the energy im posed by the initial conditions:

$$
\mathrm{E}=\frac{1}{2} a_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}(0)+\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{a}(0)):
$$

For E $2\left(E_{0} ; E_{1}\right)$, we introduce $e_{1}(E)<e_{2}(E)$ the extrem ities of the orbit of a for the energy $E$ :

$$
U\left(e_{1}(E)\right)=U\left(e_{2}(E)\right)=E:
$$

The action $I$ is de ned as a function of the energy $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(E)=\frac{1}{2}^{I} \quad p d q=\frac{1}{2}_{e_{1}(E)}^{Z} e_{2(E)}^{2 E} \quad 2 U(b) d b: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The m otion described by $(\underline{(\underline{q})}$ ) is periodic, w ith period

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(E)=\frac{\mathrm{dq}}{\mathrm{p}}=2_{e_{1(E)}^{Z}}^{\mathrm{e}_{2}(E)} \frac{\mathrm{db}}{2 \mathrm{E} \quad 2 \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{~b})} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or else $T(E)=2 \frac{d I}{d E}(E)$. The angle is de ned as a function of $I$ and a by

$$
(E ; a)=\quad Z_{a}^{@} \frac{p^{@ I}}{@ I}=\frac{2}{T(E)}^{Z}{ }^{a} \frac{d b}{2 E(b)}:
$$

$T$ he transform ation ( $E ; a$ ) ! ( $I$; ) can be inverted to give the functions $E(I)$ and $A(I ;)$. The BEC width oscillates betw een the $m$ inim um value $e_{1}(E)$ and the $m$ axim um value $e_{2}(E)$. The energy $E$ as wellas the action $I$ are constant and xed by the initial conditions, so the evolution of the BEC w idth is govemed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(t) & =A(I(E) ;(t)) ; \\
(t) & =(0) \quad \frac{2}{T(E)} t:
\end{aligned}
$$

## B. Perturbed dynam ics

From now on we assume 60 and we denote by the standard deviation of . $W$ e investigate the stability of the BEC when the unperturbed $m$ otion is oscillatory. In particular we aim at studying the collapse time $T_{c}$ de ned as the rst timet such that $a(t)=0$. W hile the energy of the BEC is below $\mathrm{E}_{1}$, the orbit is closed. A s soon as the energy reaches the energy levelE ${ }_{1}$, the BEC collapses in a tim e of order 1 (w r.t. ). We shall show that the hitting tim efor the energy level $E_{1}$ is of order $\quad{ }^{2}$, so the collapse time $T_{c}$ is im posed by the hitting tim $T_{h}$ de ned as the rst time t such that $E(t)=E_{1}$ or equivalently $I(t)=I_{1}:=I\left(E_{1}\right)$.
In presence of perturbations, the $m$ otion of a is not purely oscillatory, because the energy and the action are slow ly varying in tim e. $W$ e adopt the action-angle form alism, because it allow s us to separate the fast scale of the locally periodic m otion and the slow scale of the evolution of the action. Thus, after rescaling $={ }^{2} t$ the action-angle variables satisfy the di erential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\gtrless \frac{d I}{d}=\frac{1}{{ }_{2}}\right) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{I} ;) ; \\
& \geqslant \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}}=\frac{1}{2}!(\mathrm{I}) \quad \frac{1}{\left(\vdash_{2}\right) \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{I} ;) ;} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h(I ;)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2}(I ;)$ and $!(I)=\frac{2}{T(E(I))}$ are $s m$ ooth functions and $h$ is periodic $w$ ith respect to $w$ ith period 2 . The norm alization $={ }^{2} t$ has been chosen so that the random process appears $w$ th the scales of a white noise
 behaves like a di usion $M$ arkov process $w$ ith the in nitesim al generator

$$
L_{I}=\frac{1}{2} A(I) \frac{@^{2}}{@ I^{2}}+B(I) \frac{@}{@ I}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(I)=\frac{1}{Z_{2}^{0}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}^{0}}{ }_{0} h(I ;) h_{I}(I ; \quad!(I) t) E\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(0) & (t)] d t d ~: ~
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ his $m$ eans in particular that the probability density function of $I(t)$ satis es the Fokker $P$ lanck equation $Q p=L_{I} p$, $p(t=0 ; I)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}I & \Phi\end{array}\right)$, where $I_{0}$ is the initial action at time 0 and $L_{I}$ is the adjoint operator of $L_{I}$, i.e. $L_{I} p=$ ( $1=2$ ) $\left.\left.@_{I}^{2} \mathbb{A}(I) p\right] @_{I} \mathbb{B}(I) p\right]$. M oreover, standard results of stochastic analysis allow us to com pute recursively the $m$ om ents of $T_{h}\left[\bar{L}_{1}^{1}\right]$. D enoting $I_{1}=I\left(E_{1}\right)$, the rst $m$ om ent ${ }^{(1)}(I)=E_{I}\left[T_{h}\right]$ (the $m$ ean value of $T_{h}$ starting from action $I$ at time ${ }^{-0}$ ) satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{(1)}=1 ; \quad{ }^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)=0 \text { : } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $n$-th $m$ om ent ${ }^{(n)}(I)=E_{I}\left[T_{h}^{n}\right]$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{(\mathrm{n})}=\mathrm{n}^{(\mathrm{n}} 1\right) ; \quad(\mathrm{n})\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)=0 \text { : } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing sections we shallapply and discuss these general results in tw o di erent fram ew orks: sm alland critical nonlinearity.
IV. SM ALLNONLINEARITY
A. Expansions of the action-angle variables for sm all non linearity

In this section we assum e that $P \quad 1$ which will allow us to derive simple expressions for the physically relevant quantities. The points $a_{j}$ and $E_{j}$ can be expanded for sm all nonlinearity $P$ as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0}=1+O(P) ; \quad & a_{1}=P+O\left(P^{2}\right) ; \quad a_{2}=P \frac{1}{3 P}+O(1) ; \\
E_{0}=1+O(P) ; \quad E_{1}=\frac{1}{6 P^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{P}\right):
\end{array}
$$

$N$ ote that, as P becom es sm all, the potential barrier grows like P ${ }^{2}$, which shows that the trap looks like a deep quadratic extemal potential. The functions $h(I ;)$ and ! (I) can also be expanded for any and $I \quad$ $I=I\left(E_{1}\right)=$ $1=\left(12 P^{2}\right)+O(1=P):$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(I ;)=\frac{1}{2}+I+P \overline{I+I^{2}} \cos ()+O(P) ; \\
& !(I)=2+O(P):
\end{aligned}
$$

A ccordingly the unpertunbed dynam ics of the BEC width for sm allP is approxim ately

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=\frac{r}{1+2 I_{0}+2^{q} \overline{I_{0}+I_{0}^{2}} \cos (2 t):} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ igure, ${ }_{2}$ show $s$ that this approxim ation is indeed very good for the onbit a ( t ) whatever the in itial conditions lying in a closed orbit w ith energy $<\mathrm{E}_{1}$.
B. E ective equations in presence of perturbations

In case of sm all nonlinearity $P$ 1, the above expansions allow us to derive sim ple e ective equations for the BEC action in presence of perturbations. A pplying the general results obtained in Section 'IIIB', we get that the action I (t) behaves like a di usion process w ith the in nitesim al generator

$$
L_{I}=\frac{1}{2} c \frac{@}{@ I}\left(I+I^{2}\right) \frac{@}{@ I}
$$

where

$$
c=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \cos (2 t) E[(0) \quad(t)] d t:
$$



FIG. 2: U nperturbed dynam ics of the BEC width. W e assum e $P=0: 1, a_{t}(0)=0, a(0)=2$ (picture $\left.a\right)$, $a(0)=5: 7(p i c t u r e b)$. $T$ he second case corresponds to an energy very close to $E_{1}$. The results from the resolution of the O D E are com pared w ith the asym ptotic form ula (14).

The expression of $L_{I}$ holds true only for $I<I_{1}$. We can com pute the grow ths of the rst $m$ om ents of the action starting from the ground state $I=0$ while e $\mathrm{c}^{t} \quad \mathrm{P}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0}[I(t)]=\frac{1}{2} e^{c t} \quad \frac{1}{2} ;  \tag{15}\\
& E_{0}\left[I(t)^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{6} e^{3} c^{t} \quad \frac{1}{2} e^{c t}+\frac{1}{3}: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

An em piricalway to estim ate the $m$ ean disintegration $t i m e$ is to look for the time $t_{1}$ such that $E_{0}\left[I\left(t_{1}\right)\right]=I_{1}$, where $I_{1}=1=\left(12 P^{2}\right)$. From Eq. ( $\left.1 \bar{S}_{1}\right)$ we get $t_{1}=(1=c) \ln \left[1+1=\left(6 P^{2}\right)\right]$. This argum ent is rough because the expectations are ill-placed. The exact results provided by the rigorous stochastic analysis con $m$ that this prediction is not correct. Integrating Eqs. ( $12(1-12)$ we get that the expectation of the disintegration tim e starting from the ground state $I=0$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}_{0}\left[\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] & =\frac{2}{\mathrm{c}} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{12 \mathrm{P}^{2}}\right)  \tag{17}\\
& { }^{\mathrm{P}}, 1 \frac{2}{\mathrm{c}}(2 \ln (\mathrm{P}) \ln (12)) ;
\end{align*}
$$

while its variance is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Var}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)=\frac{8}{{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{12 \mathrm{P}^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{dilog}\left(1+\frac{1}{12 \mathrm{P}^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{12 \mathrm{P}^{2}}\right)^{2}  \tag{18}\\
{ }^{\mathrm{P}, 1} \frac{8}{{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}} \quad 2 \ln (\mathrm{P}) \quad \ln (12) \frac{2}{6} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where the dilogarithm function is the tabulated function de ned as follow s:

$$
\operatorname{dilog}(x)=\sum_{1}^{Z} \frac{\ln (y)}{1 y} d y:
$$

E quations (17) are the $m$ ost im portant results of this paper. They show that the collapse tim e varies as $\quad \ln \left(\mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$, while the energy barrier is $\mathrm{P}^{2}$. In physical variables, the expected collapse tim e is

$$
\mathrm{E}_{0}\left[\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right]=\frac{2}{!_{0}} \ln 1+\frac{\sim}{24 \mathrm{~m}!{ }_{0} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{S}}^{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2}} \quad ; \quad=!_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \cos \left(2!{ }_{0} t\right) \mathrm{E}[(0) \quad(\mathrm{t})] \mathrm{dt}:
$$

Taking the experim ental data $!0=10 \mathrm{kHz} \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{N} \quad 5 \quad \mathrm{I}^{3} 0 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}=5 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $=10^{4} 10{ }^{5}$, we obtain the expected collapse tim e (1 10 ) seconds.

TABLE I: C om parisons between the averages and $m \mathrm{~s}$ of the collapse time obtained from num erical sim ulations and from theoretical form ulas. H ere $=0: 3$ and $t_{c}=0: 5$.

| $P$ | h i |  |  | m s( ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | num | theor | error | num | theor | error |
| $0: 05$ | 4112 | 4103 | $0: 2 \%$ | 2241 | 2335 | $4 \%$ |
| $0: 1$ | 2585 | 2591 | $0: 2 \%$ | 1718 | 1601 | $7 \%$ |
| $0: 2$ | 1257 | 1306 | $3: 5 \%$ | 833 | 865 | $4 \%$ |
| $0: 3$ | 586 | 760 | $23 \%$ | 407 | 518 | $21 \%$ |
| $0: 4$ | 205 | 486 | $58 \%$ | 165 | 336 | $51 \%$ |


C. N um erical sim u lations

W e com pare the theoretical predictions w ith num erical sim ulations of the OD E (GG). W e use a fourth-order R unge$K$ utta $m$ ethod for the resolution of the ODE.T he random uctuations are $m$ odeled by a stepw ise constant random process:

$$
(t)=X_{j} X_{j} 1_{\left[j t_{c} ;(j+1) t_{c}\right)}(t) ;
$$

$w$ here the $X_{j}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables $w$ ith uniform distribution over ( $1=2$;1=2) and $t_{c}$ is the coherence tim e of the laser. The coe cient $c$ is then given by

$$
c=\frac{21 \cos (2 t)}{48 t_{c}} ;
$$

The rst series of sim ulations were perform ed w the param eters $=0: 3$ and $t=0: 5 . \mathrm{W}$ e investigate di erent con gurations corresponding to di erent values of the param eter $P$ starting from $a(0)=1$, $a(0)=0$ which is very close to the ground state. W e have carried 1000 sim ulations for each con guration. T he theoretical values for the expected value and standard deviation according to form ulas (1) values obtained from averaging of the results of the num erical sim ulations.
$N$ ote that the statistical form ulas are theoretically valid in the asym ptotic fram ew ork $P$ 1. The num erical sim ulations show that they are actually valid for $\mathrm{P} \quad 0: 2$. M ore exactly, the com parisons betw een the theoretical predictions and the num erical sim ulations show s exgellent agreem ent for the $m$ ean values, and very good agreem ent also for the standard deviations. W e also plot in $F$ ig , the histogram sof the collapse tim es for tw o series of sim ulations.

Finally, in Table III, we report results with a high level of uctuations (nam ely $=2$ ). The theoreticalpredictions are still in agreem ent w th the num erical sim ulations for $P \quad 0: 3 \mathrm{w}$ ith an accuracy of $10 \%$ although the considered con gurations are at the boundary of the validity of the asym ptotic theory.

TABLE II: Com parisons between the averages and ms of the collapse time obtained from num erical sim ulations and from theoretical form ulas. H ere $=2$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 5$.

| C | h i |  |  | $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s( } \mathrm{)}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | num | theor | error | num | theor | error |
| $0: 05$ | $98: 6$ | $92: 3$ | $6: 4 \%$ | $55: 5$ | $52: 5$ | $5: 4 \%$ |
| $0: 1$ | $63: 7$ | 58 | $8: 5 \%$ | $39: 1$ | $36: 0$ | $7: 9 \%$ |
| $0: 2$ | $31: 9$ | $29: 4$ | $7: 8 \%$ | $21: 2$ | $19: 5$ | $8: 2 \%$ |
| $0: 3$ | $16: 1$ | $17: 1$ | $6: 5 \%$ | $11: 4$ | $11: 7$ | $2: 6 \%$ |
| $0: 4$ | $6: 6$ | $10: 9$ | $65 \%$ | $4: 9$ | $7: 6$ | $55 \%$ |



F IG . 4: P icture a: Potential U (a) for $P=P_{c} 0: 01^{\prime} 0: 525(=0: 01)$. P icture b : U nperturbed dynam ics of the BEC width. $W$ e assum e $a_{t}(0)=0, a(0)=0: 66,=0: 01$. The results from the resolution of the $O D E$ are com pared with the asym ptotic form ula (20').

## V. CRITICALNONLINEARITY

A. Expansions of the action-angle variables for critical non linearity

In this section we address the case where the nonlinear param eter $P$ is close to the critical value $P_{c}=4=5^{5=4}$. We do so by setting $P=P_{c} \quad$ and assum ing $\quad 1.0$ nce again, all quantities can be expanded in powers of . A fter som e algebra, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{j}=a_{g}+2^{1=2} 5^{1=8} a_{j}{ }^{1=2}+O() w^{2} \text { th } a_{g}=5^{1=4} ; \quad a_{0}=1 ; \quad a_{1}=1 ; \quad a_{2}=2 ; \\
& E_{j}=E_{g}+2^{1=2} 3^{1} 5^{7=8} E_{j}^{r}{ }^{3=2}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) w \text { ith } E_{g}=3^{1} 5^{1=2}+3^{1} 5^{3=4} ; E_{0}^{r}=1 ; E_{1}^{r}=1 \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

$M$ ore generally, if a $2\left[a_{1} ; a_{2}\right]$, then it can be param eterized as $a=a_{g}+2^{1=2}{ }^{1=8} \quad 1=2 a$ and the potential at a can be expanded as

$$
U(a)=E_{g}+2^{1=2} 3^{1} 5^{7=8} \quad 3=2 U(a)+O\left({ }^{2}\right) ;
$$

where

$$
U(a)=\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{3} \quad 3 a\right):
$$

$N$ ote that locally (i.e. around $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) the potential presents a localm in im um at $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ (see F igure potential well is very di erent from the one observed in the fram ew ork $P \quad 1$ (com pare with $F$ ig the well $a_{2} \quad a_{1}$ is of the order ${ }^{-}$and its depth $E_{1} \quad E_{0}$ is of order ${ }^{3=2}$. The local shape of the potential is given by the cubic function $\mathbb{U}$.

We now consider the action-angle variables. If $\left.\mathrm{E} 2 \mathbb{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}\right)$, then it can be param eterized as $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}+$ $2^{1=2} 3{ }^{1} 5^{7=8} E^{N}{ }^{3=2}$ w ith $\left.E^{N} 2 \mathbb{E}_{0}^{N} ; \mathbb{E}_{1}\right)$. There exist three solutions $e_{3}\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)$ al e $\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right) \quad$ e ( $\left.\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)$ an of the cubic equation $U(a)=E^{N} . e_{1}\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)$ and $e_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)$ determ ine the extrem ities of the orbit of the norm alized width a for the
norm alized energy $E$ in case of unperturbed dynam ics. The cubic equation can be solved:

$$
e_{j}\left(E^{r}\right)=2 \cos \frac{\arccos \left(E^{N}\right)+2(j \quad 2)}{3}:
$$

In particular, if $E=E_{0}$ (i.e. $E^{N}=E_{0}^{N}$ ), then $e_{1}\left(E_{0}^{N}\right)=e_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}_{0}\right)=1$ (and $e_{3}\left(E_{0}^{N}\right)=2$ ), which corresponds to the ground state a ( $t$ ) a, or a ( $t$ ) 1 .

The period $T(E)$ of the closed orbit at energy levelE, as de ned by $\bar{i}(1) \phi)$, can be expanded as well. Introducing

$$
T\left(E^{N}\right)={ }^{1=4} 2 \quad{ }^{1=4} 5^{9=16} T\left(E_{g}+2^{1=2} 3^{1} 5^{7=8} E^{N} \quad 3=2\right) ;
$$

we get that $T$ is at leading order w ith respect to a $O$ (1)-fiunction that can be expressed in term $s$ of tabulated functions

$$
\left.T\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)=\frac{2^{p} \overline{3}}{e_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right) \Theta_{\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)}} K \quad \mathbb{E}^{N}\right) ;
$$

where

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)=\frac{e_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right) \quad e_{e}\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)}{e_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right) \quad e_{\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)}}
$$

and $K$ is the com plete elliptic integral $\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 2\end{array}, p .590\right] . W$ e then de ne a nom alized action $T\left(\mathbb{E}^{N}\right)$ for $\left.\mathbb{E}^{N} 2 \mathbb{E}_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{1}^{\sim}\right]=[1 ; 1]$ by

$$
I\left(E^{N}\right)=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{1}^{Z_{E}^{E}(s) d s: ~}
$$

$T$ he function $\left.I: \mathbb{E}_{0}^{\sim} ; E_{1}^{\sim}\right]!\left[0 ; I_{1}\right]$ is invertible. Its inverse is denoted by $\left.E:\left[0 ; I_{1}\right]!\mathbb{E}_{0}^{\sim} ; E_{1}^{\sim}\right]$ where $I_{1}=18=(5)$. It is plotted in $F$ ig. ' $A^{\sim}(I ;)$. The function $A^{\sim}:\left[0 ; I_{1}\right][0 ; 2)!\left[a_{1} ; a_{2}\right]$ can be expressed in term $s$ of Jacobian elliptic functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A^{\sim}(I ;)=e_{1}(\mathbb{E}(I))+h e_{2}(\mathbb{E}(I)) \quad e(\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}))^{i} \operatorname{sn}^{2} \underline{K(\mathbb{E}(I))} ; \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I})\right) ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where sn is the Jacobian sinus [2-5, p. 589]. In absence of perturbation the action is preserved and the closed orbit of $a(t)$ for a norm alized action $I 2\left[0 ; I_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=A^{\sim} I \text {; (t) with } \quad(t)={ }^{1=4} 2^{1=4} 3^{1=2} 5^{9=16} \frac{2}{T^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}(I)\right)} \text { : } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he true orbit is $a(t)=a_{g}+2^{1=2} 5^{1=8} \quad 1=2 a(t)$. Figure, "itb show sthat this approxim ation (derived in the asym ptotic fram ew ork

1) is indeed reasonably good.

## B. E ective equations in presence of perturbations

Follow ing the strategy presented in Section'I-IMB', we introduce the norm alized action-angle variables so that $\mathrm{E}^{N}(\mathrm{t})=$ $E^{2}(I(t))$ and $a(t)=A^{\sim}(I(t)$; $(t))$. W hile the energy of the BEC is below $E_{1}$, the onbit is closed. A s soon as the energy reaches the energy level $\mathrm{E}_{1}$, the BEC collapses in a tim e of order 1 (w r.t. ). We shall show that the hitting tim $e$ for the energy level $E_{1}$ is of order ${ }^{2}$, so the collapse time $T_{c}$ is im posed by the hitting time $T_{h}$ de ned as the rst timetsuch that $I(t)=I_{1}$. H ere we rescale $=2 \quad 3=2 t$. This norm alization is chosen so that the random process appears w th the scales of a white noise in the di erentialequations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \frac{d I}{d}=\frac{1}{"} \underset{\substack{\mathrm{~m} 2}}{ }(-\mathrm{K} \quad(I ;) ;
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG.5: Functions ITE(I) (picture a) and IT $\overparen{A}(I)$ (picture b).
 functions, and $\check{K}$ is periodic $w$ ith respect to $w$ ith period 2 . By applying a di usion approxim ation theorem [ $[2.3]$, we get that $(I(t))_{t} \quad o$ behaves like a di usion $M$ arkov process $w$ ith the in nitesim al generator

$$
L_{I}=\quad 3=2 \frac{@}{@ I} A^{\Upsilon}(I) \frac{\varrho}{@ I}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Z}_{1} \\
& =\quad E\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(0) & (t)
\end{array}\right] d t ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and $d n$ and an are tw o tabulated elliptic functions $\left.\overline{2} \overline{5}{ }_{N}^{1} p .589\right]$. The conditions ensuring the di usion-approxim ation are $1,{ }^{2} \quad{ }^{3=2}$. The di usion coe cient $\not \mathcal{A}^{\sim}(\mathbb{I})$ is plotted in $F$ ig. ${ }^{-5}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{b}$.

U sing the results reported in Section hitting tim $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$
$w$ here $I_{1}=18=(5)$. In dim ensional variables, the result reads as follow $s$. Starting from the ground state $a_{0}$, the expected value of the collapse tim $e$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left[T_{c}\right]=\frac{\left(P_{c} P\right)^{3=2}}{!_{0}^{2}} C_{1} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the constant $C_{1}=\begin{gathered}R_{I_{1}} \\ 0\end{gathered} \frac{x}{A^{\imath}(x)} d x$. By a num erical integration using $M$ at lab we have found $C_{1}$, $8: 5$. $M$ ore generally, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0}\left[\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]=\frac{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{3 \mathrm{n}=2}}{!_{0}^{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}$ are constants obtained recursively from Eq. (2 $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) . By a num erical integration we have found $C_{2}{ }^{\prime} 110$.

> C. N um erical sim ulations

W e com pare the theoretical predictions $w$ ith num erical sim ulations of the ODE ( (G). W e use the samem odel as in Section 'IV.'. w ith the param eters $=0: 025$ and $t_{c}=0: 5 \mathrm{~W}$ e report in T able,

TABLE III: C om parisons between the averages and ms of the collapse tim e obtained from num erical sim ulations and from theoretical form ulas.

|  |  |  | h i |  |  | m s( ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | num | theor | error | num | theor | error |  |
| $0: 525$ | $0: 01$ | 651 | 653 | $0: 3 \%$ | 447 | 472 | $5 \%$ |  |
| $0: 515$ | $0: 02$ | 1754 | 1846 | $5 \%$ | 1240 | 1334 | $7: 5 \%$ |  |
| $0: 505$ | $0: 03$ | 3175 | 3392 | $7 \%$ | 2217 | 2451 | $10: 5 \%$ |  |
| $0: 495$ | $0: 04$ | 4673 | 5222 | $11: 5 \%$ | 3107 | 3775 | $21: 5 \%$ |  |

expected value and standard deviation according to form ulas $\left.(2,2)^{2}\right)$ as well as the values obtained from averaging of the results of the num erical sim ulations. T he statistical form ulas are theoretically valid in the asym ptotic fram ew ork
$\left(\begin{array}{ll}=P_{C} & P\end{array}\right) \quad 1 . T$ he num erical sim ulations show that they are actually valid for $0: 03$.
VI. VALIDATION OF THEVARIATIONALAPPROACH

T he analysis carried out in this paper is based on the variational approach using a $G$ aussian ansatz. The $G$ aussian ansatz for the study of static and dynam ic properties of trapped gases has been w idely used (see for instance $N \overline{2} \overline{1}_{1}, 12-1$, $\left.\left.{ }_{2}^{2} 7_{1}, \overline{2}_{2}^{2} 8_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{2} \overline{2}\right]\right)$. The variational principle is show $n$ in these papers to be a sim ple Lagrangian-based m ethod that gives reasonable accurate ordinary di erential equations approxim ations to the true dynam ics for the solution of the GP equation. This $m$ ethod $m$ erely assum es $G$ aussian pulse shapes containing a xed number of free param eters and the Lagrangian form of the partialdi erentialequation is used to obtain the param eter evolution equations. H ow ever it is a questionable approach because it is based on the a prioriassum ption that the solution of the PDE has a form which rem ains very close to the chosen ansatz. A ccordingly it has to be checked carefully by fiull num erical sim ulations of the PDE.
$N$ um erical sim ulations of the stochastic GP equation $w$ ith spherically sym metric trap is perform ed by CrankN icholson schem e . T he absorbing boundary condition is em ployed to im itate the in nite dom ain size. This technique allow s to prevent re-entering of linear w avesem itted by the condensate under perturbation into the integration dom ain. W e have rst checked the variationalapproach for the unperturbed system. W e have done so by inserting the $G$ aussian $w$ aveform $w$ ith the am plitude and $w$ idth corresponding to a stationary point (as predicted by the variationalapproach) as an initial condition into the PDE ( $\left.\bar{\beta}_{1}\right)$. We have let the solution evolve in tim e and we have plotted the results in $F$ ig. 'iga. A s can be seen the $G$ aussian ansatz is a good approxim ation when $P$ is not close to the critical value $P_{C}$. A ctually we have found num erically that the critical value for the existence of the BEC is not $P_{c}=0: 535$, as predicted by variational approxim ation, but $P_{C}=0: 459$. For $P$ very close to the realvalue of $P_{c}$, the $G$ aussian ansatz substantially deviates from the exact solution of the 3D GP equation, as show in Fig.

In a second step we have perform ed num erical sim ulations of the GP equation ( white noise w ith zerom ean and autocorrelation function $E\left[\begin{array}{ll}(t) & (t)]=2 \\ (t) & \ell\end{array}\right)$. W e do so by choosing random ly and independently the value of at each tim e step. The mean collapse time is calculated as an average over 100 realizations of random paths along which the width of the condensate evolves from the value corresponding to the m inim um of the e ective potential ab until the value corresponding to its localm axim um $a_{1}$ (see $F$ ig. .117). The in itial w ave-form is selected as a G aussian w ith param eters predicted by the variational approxim ation corresponding to the stationary state of the condensate. F ig. '7a represents the collapse tim efor di erent values of the param eter $P$ which are not too close to the critical value $P_{c}^{-}$. Com parison $w$ th the results from num erical sim ulations of the ODE (5) show s a very good agreem ent. This dem onstrates that the variational approach provides accurate predictions for the behavior of the BEC. for sm all non-linearity, and that the asym ptotic analysis carried out in Section 'IVI', holds true for the random ly driven $G P$ equation.
$F$ inally, we have perform ed num ericalsim ulations of the G P equation ( ${ }^{(31}{ }^{\prime}$ ') driven by a white noise with a nonlinear param eter $P$ very close to the critical value $P_{C}=0: 459$. For near-critical values of the param eter $P$ the $G$ aussian waveform was found to be not enough accurate. In this case we employed the exact solution of the GP equation to in itiate random sim ulations. The exact solution (ground state) of the GP equation is found by im aginary tim e-
 collapse in the perturbed PDE occurs much earlier than in the ODE model. This show sthat the BEC in full GP equation is unstable against collapse at near criticalnonlinear param eter. A sm allperturbation can drive the BEC to collapse through uctuations that are not captured by the variational approach. A ccordingly, we can state that the variational approach provides poor predictions for the behavior of the BEC for critical non-linearity. Several reasons can explain the departure: 1) the G aussian ansatz is not correct (see Fig.


FIG. 6: P icture $a$ : $W$ idth of the BEC for an initial G aussian $w$ aveform $w$ ith param eters corresponding to a stationary point of the potential $U$ (a). The oscillations are insigni cant for $s m$ all values of $P$, and becom e im portant when $P$ approaches the critical value $P_{c}=0: 459$. At overcritical P the $w$ aveform rapidly shrinks ( $a!0$ ), i.e. the BEC undergoes collapse. $P$ icture b: Exact solution of the 3D GP equation (solid line) com pared w ith the G aussian approxim ation w ith the sam e num ber of atom s and $P=0: 44$.


FIG . 7: M ean collapse tim e calculated from stochastic PDE sim ulations (solid squares) and com pared with the corresponding stochastic ODE sim ulations (open circles). Each mean is com puted by averaging over a series of 100 sim ulations. P icture a: $M$ ean collapse tim e as a function of $P$ for a white noise strength $=0: 3$. P icture $b: M$ ean collapse tim e as a function of for a nonlinear param eter $P=0: 44$ close to the critical value $P_{C}=0: 459$.
that the im portant param eter in the near-critical case is not the value of $P$, but the value of the di erence betw een $P$ and $P_{C}$. But the ODE does not capture the correct value of $P_{c}$, so the error com $m$ itted in the evaluation of the di erence $P \quad P_{c}$ becom es very large when $P$ becom es close to $P_{c}$. 3) radiation e ects becom e very im portant, in the sense that the waveform is strongly a ected, even when the sim ulations are perform ed starting from the exact num erical waveform plotted in Fig. '6p, so that we feel that it is useless to try to nd a m ore suitable ansatz. In this respect, one should add that this result is not surprising because it is well known in nonlinear optics that the tim e-dependent variational approach fails to describe the regim e near the collapse [ 31,132$]$. F inally, it is necessary to $m$ ention that the behavior of the gas close to collapse can be a ected by $m$ echanism $s$ that are not included in the G P equation, such as inelastic tw o and threebody collisions [ ${ }^{3} 3$

## VII. CONCLUSION

W e have considered in this paper a condensate trapped by an extemal potential generated by a system of laser beam $s$ in the case of a negative scattering length. W e have studied the stability of the $m$ etastable B E C against sm all uctuations of the laser intensity. W e have shown that collapse of the BEC occurs whatever the am plitude of the
uctuations after a tim e which is inversely proportional to the integrated covariance of the autocorrelation function of the uctuations of the laser intensity. The statistical distribution of the collapse tim e has been com puted. The dependence of the $m$ ean collapse tim e w ith respect to the num ber atom $s \mathrm{~N}$ has been thoroughly analyzed. W e have shown that, for $N$ below the critical num ber of atom $s N_{c}$, the $m$ ean collapse time decreases logarithm ically w ith increasing $N$. As a byproduct of the analysis we have shown that the variational approach is very e cient for the analysis of the BEC for a num ber of atom $\mathrm{s} N$ which is not too close to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$, but we have seen that it com pletely fails for $N$ close to $N_{C}$.
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