# Therm oelastic Relaxation in Elastic Structures, with Applications to Thin Plates

A.N.Norris<sup>1</sup> and D.M.Photiadis<sup>2</sup>

- (1) M echanical and A erospace Engineering, Rutgers University, P iscataway NJ 08854-8058, USA norris@ rutgers.edu
  - (2) NavalResearch Laboratory, Code 7130, Washington, DC 20375-5350, USA photiadis@nrlnavymil

#### A bstract

A new result enables direct calculation of therm oelastic damping in vibrating elastic solids. The mechanism for energy loss is thermaldiusion caused by inhomogeneous deformation, exure in thin plates. The general result is combined with the Kirchho assumption to obtain a new equation for the exural vibration of thin plates incorporating therm oelastic loss as a damping term. The therm alrelaxation loss is inhom ogeneous and depends upon the local state of vibrating exure, speci cally, the principal curvatures at a given point on the plate. Them al loss is zero at points where the principal curvatures are equal and opposite, that is, saddle shaped or pure anticlastic deform ation. Conversely, loss is maximum at points where the curvatures are equal, that is, synclastic or spherical exure. The in uence of modal curvature on the therm celastic damping is described through a modal participation factor. The e ect of transverse therm aldi usion on plane wave propagation is also exam ined. It is shown that transverse di usion e ects are always small provided the plate thickness is far greater than the therm alphonon mean free path, a requirement for the validity of the classical theory of heat transport. These results generalize Zener's theory of therm oelastic loss in beam s and are useful in predicting mode widths in MEMS and NEM S oscillators.

#### 1 Introduction

High Q resonators are central to the development of new devices and applications that include RF liters, next generation MRI systems, and torque magnetometers. Silicon based micro-and nano-electromechanical systems (MEMS/NEMS) oscillators are the candidates of choice, and include free standing planar devices, such as double paddle oscillators (DPOs), and micro-cantilevers. As the oscillators shrink in size, it has been found that the Q achieved is orders of magnitudes maller than expected based on classical fundamental loss mechanisms. Many mechanisms have been proposed, including surface loss [1, 2, 3] that increases with the surface to volume ratio. However, under controlled conditions with minimal surface

defects and adsorbates, measurements on silicon DPOs have shown that room temperature losses are adequately described by therm celastic relaxation, while unexplained mechanisms operate at lower temperatures. Interestingly, the mode of vibration of DPOs is designed to be primarily torsional with very little exure (and hence no therm celastic coupling). However, as demonstrated by Photiadis and his co-workers [4, 5, 6, 7] it is precisely the small amount of exural motion that accounts for loss in these supposedly torsional oscillators.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a consistent theory for predicting intrinsic dissipation arising from therm coelasticity in elastic structures. Particular attention will be given to exuralm otion of thin plates. This work is a step towards understanding the fundamental limits of dissipation in small structures such as NEM and MEM devices.

We are concerned with determining the thermomechanical loss of elastic modes, for example, the exural mode of a rectangular thin plate. A useful point of departure is the classic theory of Zener [8] for an elastic thermoelastic damping. The key to this approach is the assumption, implicit in Zener's work, that there is little relative dierence between the isentropic (unrelaxed) and isothermal (relaxed) mechanical responses, and hence the mechanical and thermal problems are essentially decoupled. Since the thermoelasticity is weak, the transition from the instantaneous or unrelaxed system to the relaxed state can be viewed as a quasistatic thermal process, governed by the standard equations for thermal diusion, although now in the presence of an inhomogeneous deformation.

Energy loss in a mechanical oscillator is measured in terms of the quality factor, dened as Q=2 E $_0=E$ , where E $_0$  is the mechanical energy of the oscillator and E is the energy loss per cycle. The quality factor for a lightly damped single degree of freedom system with nondimensional damping 1 is Q=1=(2), and by assumption we only consider systems with light damping, or Q 1. The relation between Q and  $_{at}$ , the attenuation per unit length of a propagating wave of frequency !, is  $Q=!=(2)_{at}v$ , where v is the speed of energy propagation, also equivalent to the group velocity. This identity can be derived by assuming the energy is a quadratic function of the eld variables, so that energy decays with distance dase v at v and hence the fractional decrease in energy per period is v at v at v at v and hence the fractional decrease in energy per period is v at v and hence the fractional decrease in energy per period is v at v at

Therm celastic loss can be most  $\sin p \ln v$  viewed as a relaxation mechanism with a single relaxation time . The generic frequency dependent quality factor Q (!) for a relaxation mechanism is

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{c}{c_0} \frac{!}{1 + !^{2-2}};$$
 (1)

where c is the (relatively) instantaneous increase in elastic modulus,  $c_0 ! c_0 + c$ , caused by the process under consideration. The change in elasticity is well known for therm oelasticity, and has been estimated for several con gurations. Thus, Zener [8] showed for exure of a beam that

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{E^{-2}T}{C_p} \frac{!_{0}}{1 + !_{0}^{2}};$$
 (2)

where E is the isotherm all Young's modulus, T the absolute temperature, the volume coe cient of therm all expansion, and C  $_{\rm p}$  is the heat capacity at constant stress. The characteristic relaxation time is  $_{\rm 0}$  =  ${\rm h^2C_p}$ =( $^{\rm 2}$ K) where h is the thickness and K the therm all

conductivity. In fact, as Zener rst demonstrated [9], the simple expression (2) is the leading term in an in nite series which is well approximated by the single term (see (33) and Appendix B). Zener's method was derived in the context of scalar problems, where the strain, for instance, involves a single component. An important example is the exure of a beam or reed, as considered originally by Zener, and later by others, for example, [10]. The present work generalizes Zener's method to consider general elastic deformation. This includes the inhomogeneous deformation associated with modes in thin plates and other structures.

Since the original work of Zener num erous papers have appeared on therm alrelaxation in the context of coupled therm oelasticity. In a pair of papers [11, 12] A lolas provided a rigorous formulation using continuum thermomechanics and linear elasticity theory for isotropic m aterials. He derived detailed and explicit solutions for the therm celastic dam ping in vibrating beams, including the circular rod and the rectangular beam. The result for the latter was derived separately by Lifshitz and Roukes [13], although A lblas' solution is the more general of the two. These analyses are compared with the present formulation later (see Appendix B). Kinra and Milligan [14] again derived the coupled isotropic therm oelastic equations and provided a solution for unidim ensional structures, including a discontinuous beam . Perhaps the most thorough analysis of therm aldamping in the context of the coupled equations of therm oelasticity is due to Chadwick [15]. By considering a modal decomposition of the elastic and thermal elds, an exact relation for the complex valued frequency of oscillation of each mode was obtained. This enabled Chadwick to derive a generalization of Zener's expression for the therm oelastic damping of an arbitrary elastic body. Chadwick subsequently derived the governing equations of them oelasticity for thin plates and beam s [16]. The equations are in the form of coupled equations, one of which reduces to the classical equations for the structural mode, for example, exural waves in thin plates, and the other the temperature di usion equation, in the limit of zero coupling. The analysis in [15, 16] is restricted to isotropic solids.

This paper has several objectives. The set is to demonstrate how the Zener model follows from the full equations for the coupled dynamic system by using a consistent approximation scheme. In the process we generalize Zener's approach to incorporate general elastic deformation, specifically the elastic stress and strain tensors. The main applications are to thin plate structures, for which we obtain a Zener-like result for arbitrary exural deformation that includes the general curvature tensor. Our results will also include the possibility of thermal diffusion in the lateral direction in thin plates, which is explicitly ignored in Zener's approach, but was considered by Alblas [11, 12] and Chadwick [16]. However, it will be shown that circum stances under which lateral thermal ux becomes important coincide with the limit in which the thin plate theory is no longer applicable.

The paper is arranged as follows. Governing equations of them coelasticity are presented in Section 2 for anisotropic elastic bodies. General solutions are discussed in Section 3 with no particular type of structure in mind. The theory is applied to thin plates in exure in Section 4 and a non-dimensional modal participation factor (MPF) is introduced which de nest he local contribution to them coelastic (TE) loss in terms of the plate curvature. An alternative method for deriving the TE loss of travelling exural waves is presented in Section 5 using generalized plate equations. The elects of lateral thermal discussed in the context of travelling wave solutions in Section 5.

Dependent variable	,	е,	e,s	,s
e =	S +			$S_s + \frac{a}{C_p} s$
s =	<u>C p</u> +	<u>C v</u> + e		
=		Се	C se a S	
=			$\frac{a}{C_{v}}$ (s e)	<u>a</u> (s )

Table 1: Therm celastic constitutive equations. The left column indicates the dependent variable. Each subsequent column corresponds to a set of constitutive equations in terms of the independent variables in the rst row.

# 2 Equations of them oelasticity

#### 2.1 Constitutive relations of therm om echanics

The therm om echanical variables are the bulk stress and strain, and e, the tem perature deviation, from the ambient absolute tem perature  $_a$  ( $j \not\models_a$  1), and the entropy deviation per unit volume, s, from the ambient entropy  $s_a$ . All quantities are dened relative to their ambient values, and would be zero in the absence of exterior motivating forces. The constitutive relations for strain and entropy in terms of the independent variables stress and temperature, f; g, are [17, 18, 19]

$$e = S + ; S = C_p (=_a) + :$$
 (3)

Table 1 sum m arizes the alternative form ulations of the same equations based on dierent choices of the independent variables: fe; g, fe; sg or f, sg.

The m aterial constants are as follows: S is the fourth order tensor of isotherm aloom – pliances, with inverse C, and corresponding adiabatic quantities are  $S_{\rm S}$  and  $C_{\rm S}$ . The sym – metric second order tensor of therm alexpansion coe cients is , and the related tensor

is de ned as = C , while the quantities C  $_p$  and C  $_v$  are the heat capacities per unit volume at constant stress and strain respectively. The following relations can be veri ed from Table 1:

$$C_p = a = (C_v = a) + C$$
;  $S = \S + (a = C_p)$ ;  $C = C_s$   $(a = C_v)$ : (4)

A word about notation: = tr( ) is a scalar, while is a fourth order tensor.

The constitutive relations in Table 1 follow from the standard therm odynam ic relations

$$dU = de +_a ds; dF = de _a d;$$
 (5)

$$dG = e d a ds ; dH = e d +_a ds;$$
 (6)

where U , F , G and H are, respectively, internal energy, H elm holtz free energy, G ibbs free energy and enthalpy, all per unit volume. These are related by the standard connections U = F + TS = H + e = G + TS + e, where here, T and S are the absolute tem perature and entropy, T = a + S = a + s. The energy densities can be expressed, in the quadratic approximation that is used here, as

$$2U = e \quad Ce + (C = a)^{2}; \quad 2F = e \quad Ce \quad (a = C_v) s^{2};$$
 (7)

$$2G = {}_{S}S = ({}_{a}=C_{p}) s^{2}; \quad 2H = S + (G = {}_{a})^{2}; \quad (8)$$

The constitutive relations in Table 1 follow from (5) and (7) combined with the basic de nitions of the thermal expansion coe cients and the heat capacity,

$$=\frac{@e}{@T}$$
 ;  $Q_{p,v} = C_{p,v} T$ : (9)

#### 2.2 Them oelastic relaxation governing equations

We rst present the exact governing equations and then make appropriate asymptotic approximations. The motion is assumed to be caused by external forcing with no internal applied body forces or sources of heat. The heat ow in an elastic body is governed by the energy balance

$$a\underline{s} + d\underline{w}q = 0; \tag{10}$$

where q is the heat ux. The equation for s in term s of and in Table 1 and (10) im ply

$$C_p - + \operatorname{div} q = \quad a \qquad (11)$$

Irreversibility is introduced by requiring the heat ux to satisfy a generalized form of Fourier's relation [19]

$$q + _{r}q + K r = 0;$$
 (12)

where K is the positive denite therm alconductivity tensor, and  $_{\rm r}$  is the therm alrelaxation time [19]. The Cattaneo (Vernotte heat ux equation (12) includes the classical and more commonly used K inchhollaw in the limit as  $_{\rm r}$ ! 0. The parameter  $_{\rm r}$  is sometimes introduced to ensure nite speeds in the theory [20]. Our objective is to solve the linear system of partial differential equations, (11) and (12), for as a function of the forcing in the right member of (11). The heat ux can be eliminated to give a single equation for ,

$$C_p -+ r$$
 div K r = 1 +  $r \frac{\theta}{\theta t}$  a \_: (13)

A closed system of equations is obtained by applying the dynam ic equilibrium condition

$$d\dot{x} \qquad u = 0; \tag{14}$$

where the mass per unit volume and u is the elastic displacement vector, related to the strain via  $e = ru + (ru)^T = 2$ . It is shown in Appendix A that closed-form solutions of the coupled system of equations (13) and (14) are generally feasible only under restricted conditions. These require, essentially, that the material must be elastically isotropic, which is too limiting for our purposes.

# 3 Solution for arbitrary structures

#### 3.1 A sym ptotic approxim ation

The key quantities are the positive de nite compliance and sti ness di erences S S S S S and C C S C. These determine the energy decrement between the nal (isothermal) relaxed and initial unrelaxed (adiabatic) states, and they follow from (4) as S = (  $_a$ =C  $_p$ )  $^T$  and C C  $_s$  C = (  $_a$ =C  $_v$ )  $^T$ . Zener's approach is based on a separation between the mechanics and the thermodynamics. By assumption, the total di erence between the relaxed and unrelaxed energies is small. Specifically E  $_0$ =E $_0$  1, where the mechanical energy E $_0$  =  $\frac{1}{2}$   $_0$  decisible ned by e $_0$  and  $_0$ , which are related by the purely mechanical equation  $_0$  = C e $_0$  (ignoring temperature and entropy variations). Thus, E $_0$  =  $\frac{1}{2}$   $_0$  S  $_0$  and the decrement may be defined as

$$E_0 = {}_0 S_0 = (a=C_p) {}_0^2$$
: (15)

A lternatively, E  $_0$  e C e = ( $_a$ =C  $_v$ )  $_{\rm C}$  e , where the approximation is due to the assumed purely mechanical relation between  $_0$  and e $_0$ . The main point is that the relative change in energy between the unrelaxed and relaxed states in either case is the same to leading order in , where the nondimensional parameter governing TE damping is

$$= E_a^2 = C_p$$
: (16)

This de nition of is chosen to equal the relative change in elastic moduli  $c=c_0$  for TE relaxation of a thin beam, equation (2). It can also be expressed as

$$= \frac{1}{3} (1 \quad 2) (C_p \quad C_v) = C_p; \tag{17}$$

where is the isotherm al Poisson's ratio. Chadwick [15] employed a slightly dierent nondimensional parameter (denoted here as  $_{\rm C}$  to distinguish it from )

$$c = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1+}{1} \frac{C_p C_v}{C_{vv}}$$
 (18)

It is clear that the nondim ensional param eters are closely related, and in particular, of the same order of magnitude.

#### 3.2 Solution by projections for anisotropic systems

The coupled equations (13) and (14) are solved using a regular perturbation procedure in the asymptotic parameter 1. We will achieve the solution using a projection method, similar to Zener's approach. A separation of variables reduces the problem to coupled ordinary dierential equations in time. A nisotropy in the elastic material does not permit a modal expansion with a common set of scalar eigenfunctions, the basis for Zener's method, and the key to a generalization of his method to the limited but important case of isotropic solids [15], see Appendix A. However, even in the case of the exact solution obtained by

Chadwick [15], the interesting phenom ena are obtained by the leading order approximation to the complex-valued frequencies. It therefore makes more sense ultimately to proceed by a regular asymptotic approximation at the stage of the coupled equations (13) and (14). In this approach we view them as decoupled to leading order, whereby the elasticity problem is solved with no thermale ects. That is, we consider the elasticity as an uncoupled but vital forcing term in the thermal equation (13).

Thus, to leading order equation (13) gives an uncoupled equation for temperature with the stress entering on the right hand side as a forcing term. It is important to note that the forcing in (13) is proportional to \_\_. When the thermal expansion tensor is isotropic, the forcing depends upon the rate of hydrostatic stress, even when the material is elastically anisotropic. Thus, it is the hydrostatic stress, not strain, that governs the TE loss.

Further progress is made using projections onto a set of eigenfunctions. In fact, this is similar to the method rst proposed by Zener [8], which treated a simpler decoupled therm collasticity problem. We rst discuss the generalization of Zener's method to (13) as it allow sus to determ ine the nalanswer in a form similar to the familiar and classical result of Zener for an elastic beam in exure. We will later compare the general solution with direct solutions for particular congurations. Assume the temperature can be represented as

$$(x;t) = \begin{cases} x^{k} \\ n (t) n (x); \end{cases}$$
 (19)

where  $x = (x;y;z) = (x_1;x_2;x_3)$  and the eigenvalues n and eigenfunctions n satisfy

$$C_p^1 \text{div K r }_n + {1 \atop n} = 0; \quad n = 0; 1; 2; ...;$$
 (20)

plus appropriate boundary conditions (for exam ple, no ux). The am plitudes solve

$$_{n} + _{n} + _{n} + _{n} = 1 + _{r} \frac{e}{et} \frac{a}{C_{p}} h_{n}; \quad _{i}$$
 (21)

where the brackets indicate the inner product  $hf;gi = {R \atop dV f(x)g(x)}$ .

#### 3.3 A general result for energy loss

Before considering applications of (19) { (21) to particular structures we rst derive a general result for TE dissipation. A measure of local structural damping may be de ned in terms of the local relative loss in energy per cycle. The rate of change of local mechanical energy per unit volume is E=0. We assume periodic oscillation for and e and determ ine the loss in the mechanical energy through the coupling to irreversible thermal process, , also periodic. Using the relation for e in terms of and in Table 1, gives

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{-}; \tag{22}$$

Taking the average over a cycle, and using ff = 0 where the overbar indicates a time average, in plies that the local irreversible energy loss rate per unit volume is

$$\overline{E(x)} = \frac{X^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x;t)} = \frac{X^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x;$$

The total power dissipated is thus

$$d = \int_{n=0}^{Z} dV \frac{1}{E(x)} = \int_{n=0}^{X^{i}} \frac{1}{n (t)h_{n}; \underline{i}}$$

$$= \int_{n=0}^{X^{i}} \frac{1^{2} n}{(1 + 2^{2} r_{n})^{2} + 1^{2} \frac{2}{n}} \frac{1}{C_{p}} h_{n}; \underline{i}$$
(24)

where we have assumed periodic motion of period 2 = ! and used (21) to express the time harmonic temperature eld in terms of the stress.

The loss factor is then given by Q  $^1$  tan = E=(2 E<sub>0</sub>), where E =  $\frac{1}{d}$ 2 =! is the loss per cycle and E<sub>0</sub> is the total energy of oscillation. We nd

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{a}{C_p E_0} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - x^2 + x^2)^2 + (1 - x^2)^2 + (1 - x^2)^2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n}; \qquad (25)$$

This provides a general form ula for the TE loss in terms of the inhom ogeneous stress. The Q of a particular mode may be straightforwardly obtained by integrating (25) over the volume of the oscillator and dividing by the total energy. This equation may alternatively be expressed in terms of the inhom ogeneous strain, however, we not the stress formulation more convenient.

Equation (25) is one of the main results of the paper, as it provides a means to compute TE dissipation given a solution in term s of the inhomogeneous stress.

We remark on the sum mation in (25). If the 1st term in the in nite sum is dominant, as is often the case [8], the sum can be truncated after only one term (n = 0). This gives a result very similar to (1) except that the simple Lorentzian in the latter is replaced by the generalized Lorentzian amplitude

A (! ) = 
$$\frac{!}{(1 + !^2 + !^2 )^2 + !^2 }$$
: (26)

Of course, this reduces to the classical Lorentzian when  $_{\rm r}=0$ , which has a maximum as a function of! when! = 1. It is worth describing the properties of this generalized Lorentzian, in particular how  $_{\rm r}$  in uences the maximum. For every  $_{\rm r}=0$ , A has a single maximum at a unique value of! = ! de ned by

! = 
$$(1 4r + 16r^2)^{1=2} 1 + 2r^{1=2} = (r 6) where r = r = : (27)$$

Furtherm ore, ! 1 for a restricted range of  $_{\rm r}$ . Speci cally, 1 !  $\frac{p}{4=3}$  for 0  $_{\rm r}$   $_{\rm p}$   $\frac{2}{4=3}$  for ! equal to unity at the two extremes ( $_{\rm r}$  = 0 and  $_{\rm r}$  = 2 =3) and ! =  $\frac{p}{4=3}$  for  $_{\rm r}$  = =4. Conversely, ! < 1 for  $_{\rm r}$  > 2 =3. In particular, for relatively large  $_{\rm r}$  , the maximum is at ! ( $_{\rm r}$ ) $^{1=2}$  = 1. The value of A at the maximum ,  $A_{\rm max}$ , increases monotonically from  $A_{\rm max}$  = 1=2 when there is zero thermal relaxation,  $_{\rm r}$  = 0, to  $A_{\rm max}$  ( $_{\rm r}$ =) $^{1=2}$  for  $_{\rm r}$  .

# 4 Them oelastically damped orthotropic thin plates

## 4.1 Thin plate dynamics

We consider plates that are orthotropic with axes of sym metry coincident with the coordinate axes. A ssum e, with no loss in generality, that the therm alexpansion tensor is diagonal = diag( $_1$ ;  $_2$ ;  $_3$ ). By virtue of the thin plate con guration we can ignore the normal stress  $_{zz}$ , and employing the K irchho approximation for the deformation, we have

$$= {}_{1 \text{ xx}} + {}_{2 \text{ yy}} = \frac{z}{1 {}_{12 \text{ 21}}} (1 + {}_{21})E_{1 \text{ 1 xx}} + (1 + {}_{12})E_{2 \text{ 2 yy}}; \qquad (28)$$

where is the curvature tensor with components xx, yy and xy. The curvature is related to the transverse de ection of the centre-plane, w (x;y), by

$$_{ij} = \frac{\theta^2 w (x; y)}{\theta x_i \theta x_j} :$$
 (29)

The quantity  $_{ij}$  is the Poisson ratio for strain in the j-direction caused by stress in the i-direction, and the two Poisson's ratios satisfy  $_{21}E_1 = _{12}E_2$ . The instantaneous potential energy density per unit area of a plate in exure is

$$E_{PE} = \frac{I}{2} \frac{1}{1_{12}} E_{12} E_{1xx} + E_{2yy} + 2_{21}E_{1xx} Y_{yy} + 4_{xy}^{2}; \qquad (30)$$

where is the in-plane shear modulus and I hz;  $zi = h^3 = 12$ .

#### 4.2 A symptotic solution by projections for thin plates

Our purpose here is to obtain a general solution for the TE loss based on the assumption that the transverse di usion of heat can be ignored. This assumption is examined in Section 5 where it is shown that provided the assumptions of thin plate theory and classical heat transport are satised, the transverse heat ow gives rise only to small corrections to the TE loss.

The governing equation for the non-equilibrium temperature eld is obtained by ignoring the transverse heat ow terms in (13),

$$C_p -+ r K_3 \frac{e^2}{e^2} = 1 + r \frac{e}{e^2} =$$
 (31)

where the dependence of the local temperature on position is governed solely by the x-dependence of the prescribed stress eld where now  $x = (x;y) = (x_1;x_2)$ . A lso, K  $_3$  is the through-thickness element of the thermal conductivity tensor, which in keeping with the general orthotropic formulation, is  $K = \text{diag}(K_1; K_2; K_3)$ .

The analysis leading to (24) for the power dissipated by TE e ects can be repeated with the proviso that the eigenfunctions  $_{\rm n}$  of the heat equation are now functions only of z, the thickness direction, and inner products should in this case be interpreted accordingly

as  $hf;gi = {R \atop dzf(z)g(z)}$ . Hence the analogue to (24) refers not to the total power lost, but instead to the rate of energy loss per unit area at position x;

$$E_{-}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{X^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1} & \frac{1^{2} n}{(1 + \frac{1^{2} n}{n})^{2} + \frac{1^{2} n^{2}}{n}} \frac{a}{C_{p}} \frac{a}{h_{n}(z);} & (x;z)^{\frac{2}{p}} \end{cases}$$
(32)

The temperature modes of interest are antisymmetric about the mid-plane [8] with

$$_{n} = (2=h)^{1=2} \sin(2n+1) \frac{z}{h};$$
  $_{n} = (2n+1)^{2} \frac{h^{2}C_{p}}{{}^{2}K_{3}};$   $n = 0;1;2;::::$  (33)

Tem perature modes that are symmetric in z have zero coupling to exural stress components, since they are antisymmetric, and also to any membrane stresses, which are constant across the thickness. It is evident from (32) and (28) that the them alloss in exure depends upon the quantities [8]

$$f_n = h_n; zi^2 = hz; zi = 96 = [(2n + 1)]^4$$
: (34)

Combining (28), (32), and (34), we obtain

$$E_{-}(x) = E_{\text{D iss}}(x) \frac{E_a^2}{C_p} \int_{n=0}^{x^2} f_n \frac{!^2_n}{(1 !^2_{rn})^2 + !^2_n^2}$$
(35)

where  $E = (E_1 + E_2)=2$  is the average Young's modulus,  $= (_1 + _2)=2$ , is the curvature tensor, and the dissipation energy density' (per unit area)  $E_{D iss}$  is given by

$$E_{D iss} ( (x)) = \frac{I}{E^{2}} \frac{(1 + 21)E_{1 2 xx} + (1 + 12)E_{2 2 yy}}{1 + 12 21}^{2} :$$
 (36)

Equation (35) is a key result pertaining to TE dissipation in structures which can be modelled as thin plates. Unlike most previous results, the predicted dissipation is inhomogeneous.

The localTE dissipation depends on position via the dependence on the local curvature tensor (x). This aspect m ay be explored by de ning the quantity  $p() = E_{D \text{ iss}}() = E_{0}()$ , which gives a measure of the localTE energy dissipation relative to the local deform ation energy. Expressing the total energy as twice the average potential energy by virtue of the virial theorem we nd,

$$p(\ ) = \frac{E^{2}(1 \quad _{12} \quad _{21})^{-1} \quad (1 + \quad _{21})E_{1} \quad _{1} \quad _{xx} + \quad (1 + \quad _{12})E_{2} \quad _{2} \quad _{yy}^{2}}{E_{1} \quad _{xx}^{2} + E_{2} \quad _{yy}^{2} + 2 \quad _{21}E_{1} \quad _{xx} \quad _{yy} + \quad 4 \quad (1 \quad _{12} \quad _{21}) \quad _{xy}^{2}};$$
(37)

The parameter p simplies for materials of cubic symmetry, such as silicon, with E  $_1$  = E  $_2$  = E  $_1$  =  $_2$  and  $_{12}$  =  $_{21}$  , and hence

$$p(\ ) = \frac{1+}{1} \frac{xx + yy^{2}}{x^{2} + y^{2} + 2 xx yy + 4E^{1} (1^{2})^{2}x^{2}};$$
(38)

For isotropic m aterials, E = 2 (1 + ), and p() becomes

$$p(\ ) = \frac{1+}{1} \frac{(\text{tr })^2}{(\text{tr })^2 - 2(1) \det};$$
 (39)

In this case, p() depends upon the two principal invariants of the curvature: tr =  $_{xx}$ +  $_{yy}$  and det =  $_{xx}$   $_{yy}$   $_{xy}^2$ . Let  $_1$  and  $_2$  be the two principal curvatures, satisfying  $_1$ +  $_2$  = tr and  $_1$   $_2$  = det , then

$$p(\ ) = \frac{1+}{1} \frac{1+2^{2}}{1+2^{2} 2(1)} : \tag{40}$$

Thus,

0 
$$p()$$
 2=(1 ); (41)

with p() = 0 at locations where the plate is locally saddle-shaped,  $_1$  =  $_2$ , and p achieves its maximum value at points where it is locally spherical,  $_1$  =  $_2$ .

The bounds (41) also apply to materials of cubic anisotropy, and occur in the same circum stances as for the isotropic material, as can be veri ed from (38).

## 4.3 TE loss factors for exural modes and waves

The loss factor of a particular exuralm ode m ay be predicted via (35) once the displacement eld w (x) of the mode is known. The curvature tensor is rst evaluated via the standard relation (29). The total energy lost from the mode per cycle is then calculated by integrating (35) over the volume of the plate and time averaging. In the most common situation, the displacement eld will be evaluated in frequency space as a complex quantity, and the time average is obtained in the usual way as  $\overline{f}(t)g(t) = \frac{1}{2}Re[f'(t)]g'(t)]$ , where f'(t) is the Fourier transform. Thus the TE dissipation for mode is given by

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{E_{a}^{2} \stackrel{X^{1}}{\longrightarrow} f_{n}}{C_{p}} f_{n} \frac{!_{n}}{(1 \quad !_{n}^{2})^{2} + !_{n}^{2}} \frac{R_{dA E_{D iss}()}}{E_{0}}$$
(42)

where  $E_0 \ = \ ^R$  dA (E\_K  $_E$  +  $E_{P\;E}$  ) is the total energy of mode .

The modal energy  $E_0$  may be computed as either twice the average kinetic energy of the system or twice the average potential energy of the system, whichever is more convenient. The kinetic energy is often preferable when using experimental data because the second derivatives appearing in the curvature tensor can be ill behaved in the presence of noise.

The expression for the TE loss factor given above is closely related to the loss factor given by Zener for a simple beam in exure. Zener gave the result (see (2)),

$$Q_{\text{Zener}}^{1} = \frac{E_{\text{a}}^{2}}{C_{\text{p}}} \frac{!_{0}}{1 + !_{0}^{2}}$$
 (43)

where we have included only the  $\,$  rst term in the in nite sum . Our results may thus be interpreted as  $\,$  R

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{{^{1}} dA E_{D iss}()}{F_{O}} Q_{Zener}^{1} = p Q_{Zener}^{1}$$
 (44)

where the quantity p was called the modal participation factor (MPF) in the isotropic case studied in [4]. The MPF is evidently closely related to the quantity p() analyzed above.

The MPF  $\sin pli$  es considerably for an isotropic m edium . U  $\sin q$   $\sin ilar m$  anipulations as em ployed above, we nd

$$p = \frac{IE}{E_0 (1 - \frac{9}{3})} dA (tr)^2$$
 (45)

a result which diers from that given in [4] by a factor of  $(1)^2$ . The reason for the dierence is that it was assumed in [4] that exural energy would be dissipated at the rate predicted by Zener for a beam . However, a plate undergoes more compressive stress than a beam and thus dissipates more energy accordingly.

It is not di cult to show, based on (45), that the MPF for travelling exural waves in an isotropic plate is

$$p = \frac{1+}{1} \quad \text{for a exural wave:} \tag{46}$$

This shows the di erence between the TE dissipation of a cantilever beam (p = 1) versus the corresponding vibration of a plate. The identity (46) may be obtained by explicit substitution of a exural waves solution, or more simply, as follows. Integrating by parts and using the governing plate equation EI(1  $^2$ )  $^1$  r  $^4$ w  $h!^2$ w = 0, gives

Z 
$$dA = \frac{Z}{(tr)^2} = \frac{Z}{dA} = \frac{Z}{wr^4w} = \frac{2(1-2)}{IE} = \frac{Z}{dA} = \frac{(1-2)}{IE} = \frac{(1-2)}{IE} = \frac{(47)}{IE}$$

The last equality employs the expression for the potential energy, (30). Also, it is assumed that the plate boundary conditions may be ignored in the above integration, which is true for a travelling wave in a plate of in nite' extent.

# 5 E ective thin plate equations and exural waves

The general theory is now applied to thin plates in exure with the goal of deriving general governing equations similar to the classical Kirchho thin plate equations. Our objective is to provide an alternative means of calculating p for exural waves, and also to exam ine the range of validity of our approximation in ignoring lateral thermal diusion.

The equation for  $\,$ , (13), becomes for time harm onic motion (e  $^{i!t}$  assumed)

$$\frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta z^{2}} + k^{2} = k^{2} (a=C_{p}) \qquad \frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta x^{2}} + \frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta y^{2}} :$$
 (48)

where  $K = diag(K_1; K_2; K_3)$  and

$$k^2 = i! (1 \quad i! _r)C_p = K_3:$$
 (49)

If the stress term on the right hand side of (48) is weakly dependent on x and y, then we may argue that inherits the same weak dependence. Provided this dependence on transverse position is uniform, the case of simple plane wave, the nalterm may be combined with the

 $k^2$  term , and hence interpreted as modifying the therm aldi usion rate. Here, we ignore this and  $\sin p \lim (48)$  to

$$\frac{\theta^2}{\theta z^2} + k^2 = k (a = C_p)$$
 (50)

The importance of the simplication (50) at this stage is that it allows us to derive a set of elective plate equations in which the TE damping appears directly. This approach follows on that of Alblas [12] and of Lifshitz and Roukes [13] who derived the elective equation governing the motion of a thermoelastically damped beam.

A ssum ing zero ux conditions at z = h=2, and noting that the right m ember of (50) is proportional to z in exure, = hz; iI  $^1$  z, we not that the solution is

$$= \frac{a}{IC_p}hz; iz \frac{\sin kz}{k\cos(kh=2)} : (51)$$

The thermally perturbed stress is therefore, using the expression for entropy in terms of temperature and strain from Table 1,

$$= Ce + \frac{a}{IC_p}hz; \qquad _0i \quad z \quad \frac{\sin kz}{k\cos(kh=2)} \qquad : \tag{52}$$

The stress in the absence of therm ale ects,  $_0$ , is proportional to z. In order to apply (52) to the thin plate the standard plane stress conditions must be enforced. We consider an orthotropic plate with a sym metry plane coincident with the neutral plane (z = 0), for which the standard stress/strain relations for plane-stress are

where  $_{xx}^{(0)}$ ,  $e_{xx}^{(0)}$ , etc. are the stresses and strains in the absence of the therm coelastic dam ping. B ased on (52) this implies that the in-plane TE stresses are

This provides the variation of stress through the thickness due to the tem perature variation. The mom ents are found by taking the  $\,$ rst mom ent of the stresses through the plate thickness, leading to

$$M_{xx} = M_{xx}^{(0)} + \frac{(1 + 221)_a E_1}{(1 + 221)^c_p} f(kh)_{1} M_{xx}^{(0)} + 2M_{yy}^{(0)};$$

$$M_{yy} = M_{yy}^{(0)} + \frac{(2 + 112) {}_{a}E_{2}}{(1 1221)C_{p}} f (kh) _{1}M_{xx}^{(0)} + _{2}M_{yy}^{(0)};$$

$$M_{xy} = M_{xy}^{(0)};$$
(55)

where M  $_{xx}^{(0)}$  = hz;  $_{xx}^{(0)}$  i, etc., and the function f is

$$f() = 1 + \frac{24}{3} - \tan_2 :$$
 (56)

The standard moment-curvature relations follow from (53) and the Kirchho assumption as

The governing equation for the thin plate is

$$\frac{e^{2}M_{xx}}{e^{2}x^{2}} + 2\frac{e^{2}M_{xy}}{e^{2}x^{2}} + \frac{e^{2}M_{yy}}{e^{2}y^{2}} + h!^{2}w = 0;$$
 (58)

Thus, we obtain the e ective thin plate equation for w,

$$\frac{I}{1 \quad _{12 \quad 21}} \quad E_{1}w_{xxxx} + E_{2}w_{yyyy} + 2 \ 2 \ (1 \quad _{12 \quad 21}) + \ _{21}E_{1} \ w_{xxyy} 
\frac{f (kh)_{a}I}{(1 \quad _{12 \quad 21})^{2}C_{p}} \quad (_{1} + \ _{2 \quad 21})^{2}E_{1}^{2}w_{xxxx} + 2(_{1} + \ _{2 \quad 21})(_{2} + \ _{1 \quad 12})E_{1}E_{2}w_{xxyy} 
+ (_{2} + \ _{1 \quad 12})^{2}E_{2}^{2}w_{yyyy} + h!^{2}w = 0;$$
(59)

For a plate m ade of m aterial w ith cubic sym m etry, this reduces to

$$1 + \frac{1+}{1} \frac{E_a^2}{C_p} f(kh) r^4 w + 4(1) (1+)_{\overline{E}} \frac{1}{2} w_{xxyy} \frac{1^2}{E_1} h!^2 w = 0;$$
(60)

The second term vanishes for isotropic materials, in which case we may combine the damping with the plate stiness to get an equation in the standard form,

$$D r^{4} w h!^{2} w = 0;$$
 (61)

where the TE loss is now contained in the complex-valued exural sti ness

$$D = \frac{EI}{1} + \frac{1+}{1} = \frac{E_a^2}{C_p} f(kh) :$$
 (62)

In the more general orthotropic situation, the TE damping is inhomogeneous, as in (59), and cannot be interpreted in terms of a single frequency-dependent complex modulus of elasticity.

Our equation (61), a generalization of Zener's results for a beam [9], gives a hom ogeneous damping as a result of assuming a uniform plane wave vibration. This provides some guidance as to the loss factors of vibrating thin plate structures but nite structures will support resonant modes that consist of a variety of wavenum bers which can interfere with one another, and thus the actual loss factor for a particular mode may dier signicantly from this value. A particularly salient example is the case of a twisting mode for which the source eld for temperature uctuations, tr (for isotropic ), is very small, and the resulting values of Q 1 may be orders of magnitude smaller than the result given in (61).

Corresponding results for exuralwaves in circular rods are in Appendix C. In particular we note that the function f takes on a dierent form from that for a plate.

#### 5.1 Dispersion relation including in-plane variation

It is now relatively straightforward to revise the analysis of Section 4 to include in-plane variation in both the stress and the temperature. We begin by assuming that all eld variables possess in-plane dependence  $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\ x}$  with wavenumber . Then (48) becomes

$$\frac{Q^2}{Qz^2} + ^2 = \Re (_a = C_p)$$
 (63)

where =  $(k^2 \quad ^2K_1=K_3)^{1=2}$  and k is de ned in (49). Solving as before, we not that the tem perature is

= hz; 
$$\frac{i^a}{i \cdot C_p} \frac{k^2}{2} z \frac{\sin z}{\cos(h=2)}$$
; (64)

and sim ilar generalizations can be obtained for the stresses and m om ents, (52) { (57).

We cannot derive a governing equation, similar to (61), for example, since now the in-plane dependence of the stress and hence w has been assumed a priori. However, the in-plane wavenum ber can be obtained in a self-consistent manner from the latter equation by assuming w =  $w_0 e^{i x}$ , where  $w_0$  is constant. This yields an equation for ,

<sup>4</sup> !<sup>2</sup> (1 <sup>2</sup>) 
$$\frac{h}{EI}$$
 1 +  $\frac{1 + \frac{1 + \frac{Ea^2}{2} k^2}{C_D} f(h)$  = 0; (65)

the solution of which we discuss next.

#### 5.2 E ects of transverse TE dissipation

The wavenumber of a exural wave in an undamped thin plate is  $k_{\rm f}$  , where

$$k_f^4 = !^2 (1 ^2) h = (E I);$$
 (66)

Treating as an asymptotic series in the small parameter , it is clear that the leading order solution to the general dispersion relation of (65) is  $= k_f + 0$  (). The next term is given

by

$$= k_{f} 1 \frac{1}{4} \frac{1+}{1} \frac{k^{2}}{2} f(fh) + O(f^{2});$$
(67)

where  $_{\rm f} = (k^2 - k_{\rm f}^2)^{1=2}$ . Direct substitution gives

$$f = k_0 (1 + ial_{m fo} = h i!_r)^{1=2};$$
 (68)

where  $k_0$  = ( i!  $C_p$ =K  $_3$ )  $^{1-2}$  and  $l_m$   $_{fp}$ , the m ean free path for phonons at tem perature T , is

$$L_{\text{m fp}}(T) = \frac{3K_3(T)}{cC_p(T)};$$
(69)

The quantity c is the average elastic wave speed, and the order one constant a is given by

$$a = [2(1) ]^{1-2} (K_1 = K_3) c:$$
 (70)

Values of the mean free path at room temperature are typically on the order of tens of nanometres using the value  $c = (c_L + 2c_T)=3$  where  $c_T = \frac{1}{2}$  is the transverse wave speed and  $c_L = [2(1)]=(1)=(1)$  by  $c_T = (1)$  the longitudinal speed. For isotropic conductivity the parameter a is a function of Poisson's ratio,

$$a = {}^{p} \frac{3(1)}{8(1)} + \frac{2(1)}{1} = {}^{p} \frac{27}{27};$$
 (71)

which is of order unity.

Equation (68) provides an avenue to compute corrections to classical TE dissipation arising both from transverse di usion and thermal relaxation. The asymptotic solution (67) indicates a propagating exural wave has attenuation equal to Im . Using the relation between attenuation and Q , and noting that the undamped exural wave has group velocity  $2! = k_f$ , we note  $1=Q = \text{Im } 4 = k_f$ , or

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{1}}{1} \text{ Im } \frac{1 + \frac{1!}{r}}{1 + \frac{1!}{r} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r}$$

In order to proceed further in understanding this we replace the function f by its series expansion. Equations (B.1), (B.2) and (B.3) in ply

$$f k_0 (1 i!_r + ial_{m fp} = h)^{1=2} = \int_{r=0}^{X^{1}} f_n 1 + \frac{1}{1 + i!_n (1 i!_r + ial_{m fp} = h)} : (73)$$

where n, n = 0;1;2;::: are de ned in  $(33)_2$ . Thus,

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{1+}{1} \sum_{n=0}^{X^{\frac{1}{2}}} f_n \frac{!_n (1+!_n (al_{m fp}=h))}{(1+!_n (al_{m fp}=h))!_{n-r}^2 + !_{n-r}^2} :$$
(74)

The classical result for the TE loss in a vibrating beam is [8]

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{!_{0}}{1 + !_{0}^{2}}:$$
 (75)

This ignores the elect of  $_{\rm r}$ , and for the purpose of comparison with (74) we consider the case  $_{\rm r}=0$  in the latter. We truncate (74) at the latter (which is reasonable considering  $f_0=f_1=81$ ), and for further simplicity, the factor  $f_0=96=^4=0.9855$  is replaced by unity, giving

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{1+}{1} \frac{! _{0} (al_{m fp}=h)}{1 + ! _{0} (al_{m fp}=h)^{2} + ! _{0}^{2}} :$$
 (76)

Comparing (75) and (76) the rst di erence is the factor (1 + ) = (1 - ) which can be attributed to the fact that it is a plate rather than a beam as discussed in the previous subsection, see (44) and (46). The major additional distinction between the present theory and the classical result is the presence of the terms involving  $l_{m fp}$  resulting from transverse di usion, which are absent in Zener's theory and previous analyses. These corrections are always small, since the domain of validity of the therm odynamic analysis employed here is restricted to distances far greater than the mean free path, the domain h  $l_{m fp}$ .

A Itematively, we note that (76) contains two characteristic times: the Zener' relaxation time  $_0=h^2C_P=^2K_3$ , and a new characteristic time de ned by  $=_0(al_{m\ fp}=h)$ . It is easily checked that  $!=(k_fh=)^2$ , which must be a small quantity in order for the K irchho assumption to remain valid, that is, a necessary prerequisite for the validity of the plate theory is that the exural wavelength is much longer than the thickness. A lso,

$$= h^{2} \frac{p}{12(1^{2})} = E; (77)$$

which suggests interpreting as the travel time of an elastic wave across the thickness. But this time must be much less than 1=!, otherwise thickness resonances can occur, again violating the conditions of the plate theory.

Thus, the restrictions on the use of both the thermal conduction and the thin plate theories requires that !  $_0$  (al<sub>m fp</sub>=h) is small. Nevertheless, small corrections arising from transverse di usion can be estimated in this fashion.

The e ect of non-zero  $_{\rm r}$  can be considered by using an estim ate for this relaxation time. Rudgers [21] provides the estim ates  $_{\rm r}=_{\rm mfp}=3$ , where  $_{\rm mfp}=1_{\rm mfp}=c$  (Rudgers actually calculates two approximations for  $_{\rm r}$  but they are of the same order of magnitude). The term !  $_{\rm r}$  must remain small in the context of thin plate theory, otherwise the same assumptions as before are violated, for example, the wavelength is far less than the thickness. However, if the phonon mean free path is comparable with the plate thickness, then both the transverse diusion and the Cattaneo {Vernotte terms can become important.

#### 6 Conclusion

E quation (25) is one of the basic results of the paper, as it provides a m eans to compute TE dissipation given a solution in terms of the inhomogeneous stress. This computation is in

general a complicated undertaking because it requires the determination of the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the heat equation in the particular geometry of interest. Nevertheless, a clear recipe for an approximate calculation of the TE dissipation in an arbitrary, anisotropic, elastic medium is given.

The theoretical scope is then narrowed to the case of thin plate structures for which the therm all heat ow simplies dram atically. In this case, we are able to obtain an explicit form ula for the TE loss in an arbitrary, anisotropic elastic system, (36). This equation, which shows that TE loss is in general inhom ogeneous, is the other principal result obtained. It should be emphasized that the E derived in (36) has the property that it is not a global measure of damping but is local, and can be used to de ne TE loss at a point in a structure, and then integrated to determ ine the Q of an arbitrary vibrationalm ode. This distinction is particularly in portant for geometries of interest in MEMS/NEMS applications, for the TE loss rate of low order modes in typical geometries may vary signicantly with position. The local result for TE loss predicts that the attenuation of a exural wave in a large plate is (1 + ) = (1 + ) times the attenuation of a wave in a beam at the same frequency.

Finally, we have investigated the e ects of transverse di usion. Transverse di usion e ects are most easily analyzed for plane wave behaviour. For this case we have derived an e ective plate equation including the e ects of them coelasticity to leading order in the TE coupling. The TE dissipation can be exam ined fairly simply in the plane wave case because TE losses are hom ogeneous, and are therefore contained in a single loss factor. Corrections to TE loss resulting from transverse di usion are found to be generally small within the domain of validity of our theoretical models.

# A cknow ledgem ent

 ${\tt DMP}$  gratefully acknowledges the support of the  ${\tt O}$  ce of  ${\tt N}$  aval  ${\tt R}$  esearch.

#### R eferences

- [1] D.W. Carr, S. Evoy, L. Sekaric, H.G. Craighead and J.M. Parpia, Param etric amplication in a torsional microresonator, Appl. Phys. Lett. 77 (2000) 1545 (1547.
- [2] P.M ohanty, D.A. Harrington, K.L. Ekinci, Y.T. Yang, M.J. Murphy and M.L. Roukes, Intrinsic dissipation in high-frequency micromechanical resonators, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 085416.
- [3] J.L.Yang, T.O no and M.Esashi, Energy dissipation in submicrometer thick single-crystal silicon cantilevers, J.M. icroelectromech. Sys. 11 (2002) 775{783.
- [4] D.M. Photiadis, B.H. Houston, X. Liu, J.A. Bucaro and M. H. Marcus, Thermoelastic loss observed in a high Q mechanical oscillator, Physica B 316 (2002) 408 (410.
- [5] X. Liu, J.F. Vignola, D.M. Photiadis, A. Sarkissian, B. H. Houston, R. D. Merithew and R.O. Pohl, Low temperature study of loss mechanisms of mechanical oscillators, Physica B 316 (2002) 393{396.

- [6] X.Liu, S.F.M orse, J.F.V ignola, D.M.Photiadis, A.Sarkissian, M.H.M arcus and B.H.Houston, On the modes and loss mechanisms of a high Q mechanical resonator, Appl. Phys. Lett. 78 (2001) 1346{1348.
- [7] B.H. Houston, D.M. Photiadis, M.H. Marcus, J.A. Bucaro, X. Liu and J.F. Vignola, Thermoelastic loss in microscale resonators, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 (2002) 1300{1302.
- [8] C.Zener, Elasticity and Anelasticity of Metals (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1948).
- [9] C. Zener, Theory of internal friction in reeds, Phys. Rev. 52 (1937) 230 (235.
- [10] A.S.Nowick and B.S.Berry, Anelastic Relaxation in Crystalline Solids (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
- [11] J.B.Alblas, On the general theory of therm o-elastic friction, Appl. Sci. Res. A 10 (1961) 349{362.
- [12] J.B.Alblas, A note on the theory of them oelastic damping, J. Therm al Stresses 4 (1981) 333{355.
- [13] R. Lifshitz and M. L. Roukes, Therm oelastic damping in micro-and nanomechanical systems, Phys. Rev. B 61 (2000) 5600 (5609.
- [14] V.K.Kinra and K.B.M illigan, A 2nd law analysis of therm oelastic damping, J.Appl. Mech. 61 (1994) 71{76.
- [15] P. Chadwick, Thermal damping of a vibrating elastic body, Mathematika 9 (1962) 38{48.
- [16] P. Chadwick, On the propagation of therm celastic disturbances in thin plates and rods, J.Mech.Phys.Solids 10 (1962) 99{109.
- [17] R.M. Christensen, Mechanics of Composite Materials (Wiley, New York, 1979).
- [18] W . Nowacki, Dynamic Problems in Thermoelasticity (Noordho, Leyden, 1989).
- [19] D.S.Chandrasekharaiah, Thermoelasticity with second sound: a review, Appl. Mech. Rev. 39 (1986) 355{376.
- [20] H.W. Lord and Y. Shulman, A generalized dynamical theory of therm celasticity, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 15 (1967) 299{309.
- [21] A. J. Rudgers, Analysis of them oacoustic wave propagation in elastic media, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 88 (1990) 1078 (1084.
- [22] C. Zener, General theory of therm oelastic internal friction, Phys. Rev. 53 (1938) 90 (99.

# G eneral solutions of the equations of them oelasticitv

The governing equations (13) and (14) are more commonly expressed as coupled equations of tem perature and displacement. This can be achieved by rst elim inating

$$divCe$$
 u r = 0; (A.1)

$$-+ _{r} C_{v}^{1} \operatorname{div} K r + 1 + _{r} \frac{e}{et} \frac{a}{C_{v}} e = 0$$
: (A 2)

These may be expressed more succinctly as follows for time harmonic motion (e  $^{i!t}$  is assum ed),

$$L(r;!)U + !^2U = 0;$$
 (A.3)

w here

$$U = {1=2 \ u \atop (i!)^1 \ (C_v = a)^{1=2}}$$
 (A.4)

$$U = \begin{cases} 1=2 & u \\ (i!)^{1} & (C_{v}=a)^{1=2} \end{cases}$$

$$(A.4)$$

$$L = 4 \qquad (A.5)$$

$$i! (C_{v}=a)^{1=2} b^{T} (r) \qquad (a=C_{v}) \sim (r;!)$$

 $Q_{ij}(v) = C_{ikjl} v_k v_l, b(v) = v \text{ and } (v;!) = [a(r+(i!)^1)]^1 v \text{ K v. Equation (A 3)}$ represents a generalized eigenvalue problem for the complex-valued modal frequencies.

Som e simplication is possible for isotropic bodies, for which = I and = 3  $_{T}$  I where T = E = (1 2) is the isotherm albulk modulus. A ssum ing the ansatz [15]

$$U = r$$
; where  $r^2 + r^2 = 0$ ; (A.6)

the eigenvalue problem (A.3) becomes

$$!^{2} \quad \mathcal{L}^{2} \quad ^{2} + \frac{i!}{(C_{v} = a)^{1-2}} = 0;$$
 (A.7)

$$\frac{i!}{(C_v = a)^{1=2}} + !^2 \frac{K^2}{C_v (r + (i!)^1)} = 0;$$
 (A.8)

where c, is the longitudinal wave speed. Elim inating the constant gives an equation for ! in term s of the wavenum ber :

$$!^{2}$$
  $\stackrel{?}{\not\subseteq}$   $^{2}$   $\frac{K^{2}}{C_{v}(1 - i!_{r})}$   $i! + i!_{2} - \frac{a^{2}}{C_{v}} = 0$ : (A.9)

Thus, the problem of determining the eigenfrequencies is reduced to noting solutions to the Helmholtz equation (A.6)2 in the domain of interest. Chadwick [15] discusses this further and provides formulas for the roots of (A.9) for  $_{\rm r}$  = 0, in which case it reduces to a cubic in!.

The separation of variables approach does not work for generally anisotropic bodies. However, it is worth noting that solutions of the form (A.6) are valid as long as the therm all properties are isotropic and the elasticity tensor C satis es  $C_{ijkl}n_jn_kn_l=c_0n^2n_i$  for all n, and some constant  $c_0$ . The most general form of anisotropy with this property has stiness (using Voigt notation)

## B Exact results for the function f

Some exact results are presented for the function f of (56) occurring in the TE theory for beams and plates in exure. We begin with the representation

$$f() = 1 + x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{f_{j}}{\frac{1}{2} + j};$$
 (B.1)

where  $f_j$ , j = 0; 1; 2; ..., are de ned in (34) and

$$_{j} = 2^{2} = [(2j + 1)]^{2}$$
: (B 2)

The in nite series in (B.1) is a consequence of the fact that the left m em ber is a m erom or phic function of with simple poles at = (2j+1), and residues that are readily determined. Note that

$$X^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
  $f_{j} = 1$ : (B.3)

It follows from (B.1) that for real-valued ,

Im f 
$$(1 + i) (1 ir)^{1=2} = \int_{i=0}^{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} f_{i} \frac{i}{(1 r_{i})^{2} + \frac{2}{i}}$$
: (B.4)

W e also note the identity

$$f((1+i)) = 1 + \frac{6}{2} + \frac{(1-i)}{2} + \frac{\sinh + \sin}{\cosh + \cos}$$
; (B.5)

which is useful in the case of r = 0 (r = 0), as it provides a closed form expression for the TE damping in that case via [13]

Im 
$$f((1+i)) = \frac{6}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sinh + \sin}{\cosh + \cos}$$
: (B.6)

The exact result for a beam in exure was derived by Lifshitz and Roukes [13], and corresponds to the use of the function f of (56). The equivalence of their derivation and Zener's prediction [8] is con f med by (B.4) (both Zener and Lifshitz and Roukes considered the case f = 0). Thus, while the closed form expression of Lifshitz and Roukes is novel, it is f imply a more concise expression of the innite series of Zener. Both are based on the same implicit or explicit f rst order approximation arising from the f all dierence between the adiabatic and isothermal systems.

In fact, the analysis of Lifshitz and Roukes [13] is a special case of the more general problem solved by Alblas [12], in which the lateral (third) dimension of the beam is taken into account and the boundary value problem for the temperature is more general. However, Alblas considered the situation in which the boundary condition for the temperature variation is zero, and as a result he obtained a different functional form of the TE damping.

# C Them oelasticity theory for a circular rod

In the case of a circular rod of radius a we nd that the solution to (50) is

= 
$$(\underline{I}c_p)^{-1}hz;$$
 ir  $\frac{J_1(kr)}{kJ_1^0(ka)}$  cos; (C.1)

where  $I_c = hz$ ;  $zi = a^4 = 4$ , z = r cos and k is de ned in (49). The function associated with the moment of the temperature is f (ka) where now

$$f() = 1 + \frac{4}{3}$$
  $\frac{J_1()}{J_1^0()}$ : (C 2)

Zener [22] analysed the circular rod using the projection method involving a series rather a closed form expression. By comparing the results here with those of Zener, we conclude that [22]

$$f_n = \frac{8}{q_n^2 (q_n^2 - 1)};$$
  $n = \frac{a^2 C_p}{q_n^2 K};$  where  $J_1^0 (q_n) = 0;$   $n = 0;1;2;...$  (C.3)