The one-loop elastic coe cients for the Helfrich membrane in higher dimensions

JA Santiago¹ and A Zam ora²

¹ Centro de Investigacion Avanzada en Ingenier a Industrial. Universidad

A utonom a del Estado de Hidalgo, Pachuca 42090, Mexico.

² Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autonom a de Mexico, Apartado Postal 70-543, Mexico DF 04510, Mexico.

E-m ail: sgarciaj@uaeh.reduaeh.mx, zamora@nuclecu.unam.mx

A bstract. U sing a covariant geom etric approach we obtain the e ective bending couplings for a 2-dim ensional rigid m em brane em bedded into a (2+ D)-dim ensional Euclidean space. The H am iltonian for the m em brane has three terms: The rst one is quadratic in its m ean extrinsic curvature. The second one is proportional to its G aussian curvature, and the last one is proportional to its area. The results we obtain are in agreem ent with those nding that therm al uctuations soften the 2-dim ensionalm em brane em bedded into a 3-dim ensional Euclidean space.

Subm itted to: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.

PACS num bers: 87.16Dg, 46.70Hg

1. Introduction

Because of their chem ical structure, when one adds am phiphilic molecules in an aqueous substance they spontaneously form membrane structures known as micelles of various geom etrical shapes (spherical or cylindrical in particular). The relevance of these structures, in part, is that they can be regarded as sim pli ed models of biological molecules and, that they can actually be created articially in the laboratory for its use in cosmetics and detergents [1]. Despite the diversity of these am phiphilic membranes, they can be modeled by a single geometric H am iltonian that captures their relevant physical properties as the surface tension and rigidity. For the last, a term that penalizes the energetic cost of giving curvature to the membrane has been suggested [2, 3]. Surprisingly, geometric H am iltonians of this sort of surfaces appear in several areas of physics ranging from string theory and cosm ology to condensed matter [4, 5, 6, 7].

In equilibrium, the membrane con gurations satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations which are obtained by extremizing the Hamiltonian respect to the membrane orthogonal deform ations. These equations are essentially geometric in its own nature. The evaluation of the second variation of the Hamiltonian is necessary to study the stability of equilibrium solutions and to understand the e ect of uctuations on the shape of the membrane. If one wishes to understand, for instance, the transitions between di erent shapes that the membrane can have, one has to study the ect of small uctuations on the membrane shape. A problem in statistical physics, in this context, is to study the mem brane elasticity under thermal uctuations; i.e. to investigate the e ect of such uctuations on the bending constants; the membrane rigidity in particular [8,9,10]. This problem has already been considered by a num ber of authors [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The conclusion they reach is that therm al uctuations soften the membrane. For a quasi-two-dimensional membrane there exists a nontrivial xed point T_c : A membrane with temperature lower than T_c is very rigid at long length scales, but one with temperature greater than T_c has very low rigidity (becoming zero for length scales beyond a characteristic length called the persistence length). For exactly two-dimensional membranes, which is the critical dimension of the model, it can be interpreted that the membrane disappears as a consequence of uctuations. This is similar to what occurs in the O (N) non-linear sigm a model where, in two dimensions, uctuations destroy any attempt of ordering. Recently, however, it has been suggested that therm al uctuations rather sti en the mem brane [16, 17]instead of softening it. The interpretation of this result is not clear yet. So, because of this, we have consider it useful to investigate the subject using an alternative approach.

In principle, there are two di erent approaches which allow one to study the e ect of them al uctuations on the mem brane. One of them; the one we use here, consists of a perturbative expansion for nite D codimension with the inverse of the rigidity T = (T the tem perature) being the perturbative param eter. O ur results indicate that thermal uctuations lower the value of the rigidity, so the model falls into the twodimensional membrane scenario described above. The other approach consists of a non-perturbative approximation in D within the D ! 1 limit [18]. A spointed out in Ref. [19], and by analogy with the O (N) nonlinear sigm a model, the non-perturbative D! 1 lim it approxim ation must som ehow regain the properties of a two-dimensional m em brane in a three-dim ensional Euclidean space within the one-loop approxim ation. In particular, the one-loop result for the mem brane rigidity of codimension D is exact within the D ! 1 limit [18]. This rises the question whether this is still valid to order two-loops. The approach we propose in this article may, am ongst other things, give an answer to this question or it can help to understand, for instance, the e ect of quantum uctuations in geometric models from string theory as those proposing the rigidity term as fundam ental for their description [20].

To be explicit, the work in this article consists in obtaining, in the one-bop order, the elastic bendings for a 2-dimensional membrane embedded into a (2 + D)dimensionalEuclidean space. Though it is possible to generalize for higher dimensional membranes, we consider this the most relevant case. The calculation of the elastic bendings, to this order, starts by determining the operator of uctuations associated with small deformations in the shape of the membrane. A common approach for that is to consider the M onge representation where the H amiltonian is expanded in terms of the membrane height, z = h(x;y). Beyond the G aussian model, perturbation theory yields autointeraction terms extremely di cult to dealwith. It is important to realise, how ever, that carrying out a perturbative expansion can be advantageous indeed as it accounts for the crum pling transition [10]. There is the disadvantage, though, of loosing covariance in the expressions appearing at intermediate calculations.

For this investigation we use an alternative form alism, capable of dealing with geometric models such as the Helfrich model for uid membranes, which does preserve covariance in all expressions. This form alism has been extensively used before by Capovilla and Guven [21], and is found particularly powerful in simplifying long calculations. As an example, within this form alism the Euler-Lagrange equations

can be obtained in a few lines from a geometric Ham iltonian. Moreover, this fully covariant approach allow sone to solve several technically dicult problem s such as the description of lipid membranes with an edge and to tackle the problem of membrane adhesion [22, 23]. In addition to those, it has recently been used to investigate therm al uctuations of uid membranes in higher dimensions. As a result, the operator of

uctuations L^{ij} for a N -dimensionalmembrane embedded into an Euclidean space of dimension (N + D) has been found in a system atic manner [24].

The H am iltonian associated with the model under study is written as a sum of three terms: The rst one is quadratic in the mean extrinsic curvature, Kⁱ. The second one is proportional to the scalar curvature of the membrane R, and the last one proportional to its area A,

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} dA K^{i}K_{i} + dA R + dA$$
: (1)

G iven that $[K] = L^{-1}$, any other term s of higher order in the curvature are irrelevant for two-dimensional membranes in the long-length regime. This H₀ above is a generalized model of a lipid membrane with non-zero rigidity and surface tension which has also been studied in the string theory context [25, 26]. The model is invariant under translations and rotations in space. The partition function Z is constructed as a functional integral over all possible surface con gurations, X, of the form

$$Z = DX \exp (H_0 = T); \qquad (2)$$

where T is the tem perature parameter. In the one-loop order, we expand up to second order the exponent in equation (2) around a conguration extrem izing H₀. Notice that, as discussed in reference [27], even in the one-loop order the integral measure contributes with a non-linear factor (a sort of Liouville term) that has to be taken into account. Leaving this for later consideration and after computing a Gaussian integral, the one-loop elective Ham iltonian may be form ally written as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_0 + \frac{T}{2} \text{Tr} \log L^{\text{ij}}; \qquad (3)$$

with L^{ij} the operator for sm all uctuations and Tr representing the functional trace. Subsequently, we obtain the one-loop elective bending coelecters of the model and present the results below. As it will be shown, they are in agreement with those obtained for the case of a two-dimensionalmembrane embedded into a threedimensional Euclidean space.

The organization of this paper is as follows. As the fundamental tool used throughout this work is essentially geometry, we describe in section 2 the geometry of embedded surfaces. In section 3 we study deformations in the quantities present in the Ham iltonian. Further, the Euler-Lagrange equations of the problem are also written here. In section 3.2 we present the operator of uctuations for the Ham iltonian and in section 4 we obtain the one-loop elective parameters. Finally, our results are summarized in section 5.

2. G eom etry and D eform ations

An orientable N-dimensional surface , embedded into the (N + D)-dimensional Euclidean space R^{N+D} , is locally specified by the embedding functions,

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{X} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ \end{array} \right); \tag{4}$$

where $X = (X^{1}; ;X^{N+D})$ are (N + D) functions of N variables each. The metric _{ab}, induced on , is de ned as

$$ab \coloneqq e_a e_b;$$
 (5)

with $e_a = Q_a X$ ($Q_a = Q = Q^a$) and we are using the inner product in R^{N+D} . This metric _{ab} determ ines the intrinsic geometry of .

The Riem ann curvature R^a_{bcd} is de ned as

$$(\mathbf{r}_{a}\mathbf{r}_{b} \mathbf{r}_{b}\mathbf{r}_{a})\mathbf{V}^{c} = \mathbf{R}_{dab}^{c}\mathbf{V}^{d}; \qquad (6)$$

with r_a being the covariant derivative associated to $_{ab}$. The Ricci tensor is defined by $R^{ab} = R^c_{acb}$ and the scalar curvature given by $R = _{ab}R^{ab}$.

Let us now exam ine the extrinsic geom etry of . The D unit vectors n $_{\rm i}$ (), norm al to in R $^{\rm N\,+\,D}$, are de ned in plicitly by

$$e_a n^i = 0;$$
 (7)

which, after norm alization, satisfy

$$n^{i} n^{j} = {}^{ij} :$$
(8)

Notice that these equations determ ine the norm als nⁱ up to an arbitrary rotation. Therefore, the de nition is invariant under local rotations,

$$n^{i}! O^{i}_{j}(^{a})n^{j}$$
: (9)

The set of vectors fn^i ; $e_a g$ is precisely an adapted base on the surface. Their gradients along the surface are written in term s of this base as the G auss-W eingarten equations

$$\varrho_a e_b = {}_{ab}{}^c e_c K {}^i_{ab} n_i;$$
(10)

$$g_a n_i = K_{abi} {}^{bc} e_c + !_{ija} n^j :$$
(11)

The extrinsic curvatures of are given by the D symmetric rank-two surface tensors, $K_{abi} = n_i \quad @_a e_b = K_{bai}$. We also de ne the trace with respect to the induced metric,

$$K_{i} := {}^{ab}K_{abi}:$$
(12)

Both the intrinsic and extrinsic geometry of , which are determined by $_{\rm ab}$, K $_{\rm abi}$ and $!_{\rm ija}$, can no longer be specified independently. They are related by integrability conditions that correspond to generalized G auss-C odazzi and C odazzi-M ainardiequations, and also by equations that x the curvature $_{\rm ijab}$ associated with the SO (D) connection $!_{\rm ija}$ in term s of K $_{\rm ab}^{\rm i}$:

$$R_{abcd} K_{aci} K_{bd}^{i} + K_{adi} K_{bc}^{i} = 0;$$
(13)

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{a}\mathbf{K}_{bc}^{i} \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{b}\mathbf{K}_{ac}^{i} = 0; \qquad (14)$$

$$_{ijab} + K_{aci}K_{bj}^{c} K_{acj}K_{bi}^{c} = 0:$$
(15)

In these expressions \tilde{r}_a is the SO (D) covariant derivative de ned as

$$\tilde{r}_{a i} = r_{a i} !_{ija} ^{j} :$$
(16)

3. D eform ations in the G eom etry

3.1. The M etric and the Extrinsic Curvature

W e proceed as in the hypersurface case and project the deform ation onto its norm al and tangent components in the form [28]

$$X = {}^{1}n_{i} + {}^{a}e_{a} :$$
(17)

By now we will assume that the surface has no boundary so that the tangent deform ation can be associated to a reparam etrization. The only physical deform ations are those norm alto the surface. Let us then exam ine the deform ation in the adapted base fe_a; $n^{i}g$ under these norm all deform ations. Therefore, the relationships

$${}_{?} e_{a} = (\hat{r}_{a}^{i})n_{i} + {}^{i}K_{ia}{}^{c}e_{c};$$
 (18)

$$_{?} n^{i} = (\hat{r}^{a}) e_{a};$$
 (19)

hold. In those we have used the covariant derivative \tilde{r} de ned in equation (16). By using equation (18), it is straightforward to show that the deformation in the induced metric is given by

$$_{2 ab} = (_{2} e_{a}) e_{b} + e_{a} (_{2} e_{b})$$

= 2K $_{iab}$ ⁱ: (20)

From this, we get the rst order deform ation of the in nitesim al area element

$${}_{?} dA = dAK^{\perp} {}_{i} :$$
(21)

Let us now turn to the storder deform ation of the extrinsic curvature along the ith norm alvector. For that we nd

$${}_{2}K_{ab}^{1} = ({}_{2}n^{1}) @_{a}e_{b} n^{1} @_{a} {}_{2}e_{b}$$
$$= r_{a}r_{b}^{i} + K_{ac}^{i}K_{bj}^{c}^{j}; \qquad (22)$$

As a result, the deform ation in the mean extrinsic curvature is

$${}_{?}K^{i} = {}^{\sim}{}^{i}K^{ab}_{ab}K^{ab}_{j}^{j} :$$
(23)

From these expressions it is straightforward to nd the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations of the model ($E^{j} = 0$), which are given by [24]

$$E^{j} = {}^{\mathbf{K}} {}^{j} + \frac{1}{2} 2R^{ij} K {}^{i}K^{j} K_{i} 2 G_{ab}K^{abj} + K^{j}:$$
(24)

3.2. Second Order Deform ations

To second order we express the result in terms of the deform ations already in hand. Therefore, given the Ham iltonian in equation (1), we not that the operator of uctuations can be written in the compact form

$$L_{ij} = \sum_{ij}^{2} + A^{ab\,ik} \, (\hat{r}_a \, \hat{r}_b)_{kj} + B^a_{\,ik} \, (\hat{r}_a)_{kj} + C_{\,ij} \,; \qquad (25)$$

with the coe cient of the Laplacian term given by $(K^2 = K_i K^i)$

$$A^{ab\,ij} = \frac{1}{2} 2K^{i}K^{j} K^{2\,ij} 4R^{ij} ab \qquad ij ab + 2 K K^{ab\,ij} + 2 G^{abji} + G^{ba\,ij} + G^{ab\,ij};$$
(26)

where the de nitions

$$G_{ab}^{ij} = R_{ab}^{ij} - \frac{ab}{2} R^{ij}; \qquad (27)$$

$$R_{ab}^{\ ij} = K_{ab}^{\ i} K^{\ j} K_{a}^{\ ci} K_{cb}^{\ j}; \qquad (28)$$

have been used. Both coe cients B and C contain K r K and K 4 terms respectively [24]; which will modify, in any case, term sofhigher order in the mem brane curvature. These, how ever, have not been taken into account in the H am iltonian because they are irrelevant for long-length scales for two-dimensionalmem branes.

4. The H eat K ernel R egularization

The heat kernel provides a covariant method for calculating the short distance behaviour of the e ective H am iltonian [29, 30, 31]. One exam ines the heat equation associated with the Laplacian on the surface. Its kernel satis es

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta s} + ~ K_{ij} (; {}^{0}; s) = 0;$$
(29)

subject to the initial condition

$$K_{ij}(; {}^{0}; 0) = -\frac{(; {}^{0})}{P-}_{ij};$$
(30)

The solution to this equation can be written in the form

$$K^{ij}(; {}^{0};s) = \frac{1}{(4 \ s)^{N-2}} \exp \left(\frac{(; {}^{0})}{2s} \right) W^{ij}(; {}^{0};s) D^{1-2}(; {}^{0});(31)$$

with $(;^{0})$ being the world function (equal to half the squared geodesic distance between the points and ⁰) and D $(;^{0})$ the Van V leck-M orette determ inant. In the s! 0 lim it, the exponential norm alized by $1=(4 \ s)^{N=2}$ reduces to the delta function. We thus have that W is a regular function of its arguments which m ay be expanded in power series as

$$W^{ij}(; {}^{0};s) = \int_{1=0}^{N} s^{1}a_{1}^{ij}(; {}^{0}):$$
(32)

In the coincidence limit, $^{0}\,!\,$, the 1st three coe cients a $_{1}^{\rm ij}$ () are given by

$$a_0^{ij} = {}^{ij}; a_1^{ij}() = \frac{R}{6} {}^{ij};$$
 (33)

$$a_{2}^{ij}() = \frac{1}{180} R_{abcd}^{2} R_{ab}^{2} + \frac{R^{2}}{72} + \frac{R}{30} \qquad ij + \frac{1}{2} I_{k}^{i} = k^{j} I_{ab}^{i} I_{ab}^{i}$$
 (34)

Henceforth we will absorb the determ inant $D^{1=2}$ (; ⁰) into the de nition of the a_1^0 s. Despite the undi erentiated coincidence limits are the same, one may need to be careful with coincidence limits of derivatives. The corresponding G reen function associated with the Laplacian can then be expanded as

$$\sim {}^{1}(; {}^{0})^{ij} = \frac{ds}{(4 \ s)^{N=2}} \exp \left(\frac{(; {}^{0})}{2s} \right)^{X^{i}} s^{1}a_{1}^{ij}(; {}^{0}): (35)$$

Notice that the trace over uctuations in the range $k_{m in}; k_{m ax}$ is implemented by integrating sover the range, $k_{m ax}^2; k_{m in}^2$. The heat kernel can be exploited to regularize the trace in the problem. For instance, it can be written in the coincidence limit

$$\operatorname{Tr}\log \sim = dA \frac{ds}{s(4 s)^{N=2}} \int_{1=0}^{X^{1}} s^{1}a_{1}^{11}(); \qquad (36)$$

and the following expression of great use below:

$${}^{2}(; {}^{0})^{ij} = \frac{2}{(4 \ s)^{N-2}} \exp \frac{X}{2s} \sum_{l=0}^{X} s^{l}a_{l}(; {}^{0})^{ij}:$$
(37)

All this allow sus to calculate the second term in equation (3). So, taking the logarithm of equation (25) and expanding we nd

$$Tr \log L^{ij} ' Tr \log {}^{2}_{ij} + \frac{1}{-} TrA^{ab\,ik} \tilde{r}_{a} \tilde{r}_{b} ({}^{2})^{'j} + \frac{1}{-} TrB^{a\,ik} \tilde{r}_{ak} ({}^{2})^{'j} + \frac{1}{-} TrC_{ik} ({}^{2})^{kj} : \qquad (38)$$

As mentioned in section 1, the contribution of the measure is still to be taken into account. To order one loop, that is given by minus the rst term in equation (38), so its contribution cancels out the rst term [27].

By using the expression for the operator of uctuations in equation (26), it is easy to show that the last two terms are at least of the order K 4 , and therefore can just be dropped out. Therefore, the only contribution for the renorm alization comes from the second term. By taking two derivatives of equation (37), we get that in the coincidence limit, 0 !

$$\operatorname{TrA}^{ab}\widetilde{r}_{a}\widetilde{r}_{b}^{*} \stackrel{2}{=} \frac{\overset{X}{\overset{i}{a}} \stackrel{Z}{\underbrace{dss^{l+1}}} \frac{dss^{l+1}}{(4s)^{N-2}} \stackrel{Z}{\overset{dAA}{\overset{ab}{r}} \stackrel{a}{\underset{a}{\tilde{r}}} \stackrel{a}{\underset{b}{a_{1}}} \exp \frac{\sigma}{2s}$$
$$= \frac{\overset{X}{\overset{i}{a}} \stackrel{Z}{\underbrace{dss^{l}}} \frac{dss^{l}}{(4s)^{N-2}} \stackrel{Z}{\overset{dAA}{\overset{abij}{sr_{a}r_{b}}} \operatorname{sr}_{a} \stackrel{a}{\underset{b}{r_{b}}} \frac{a}{2} a_{1}^{j3} \mathfrak{R}$$

The second line follows because, in the coincidence limit, only the term appearing from di erentiating twice survives:

$$\tilde{r}_{a}\tilde{r}_{b} a_{l} \exp \frac{1}{2s} = \tilde{r}_{a}\tilde{r}_{b}a_{l} \frac{ab}{2s}a_{l}:$$
(40)

From equations (39) and (26) we obtain the one loop e ective bendings for the Helfrich mem brane for arbitrary codimension. For N = 2 (i.e. for a two-dimensional mem brane) only the term swith l = 0 must be taken into account to renormalize any ultraviolet singularity, s! 0. Moreover, the Van V leck-Morette determinant does not contribute at all. In addition, from equation (26) we see that

$$A^{a}_{ajj} = \frac{1}{2} (2(2+D)) K^{2} 2 D 4 R;$$
(41)

for a two-dimensional membrane embedded into a (2 + D)-dimensional Euclidean space. Using this result into equation (39) we not the elective bending coupling which describes the crum pling transition, see e.g. reference [8]. For the rigidity we have

$$_{\text{eff}} = T \frac{2+D}{4} \log \frac{L}{a}; \qquad (42)$$

where L is the linear size of the membrane and a is a microscopic cuto. If the codimension is set to D = 1, this equation reproduces precisely the result already found in references [12, 13, 14, 15]. In the string theory context, and using a di erent approach, this result was found in references [25, 26]. For the surface tension we obtain

$$_{\text{eff}} = + T \frac{D}{4} - \log \frac{L}{a} :$$
(43)

A result which coincides with that found in reference [14] (also for arbitrary codimension) and with that from reference [32] (obtained for D = 1). This method provides a way to identify the _{eff} parameter also for arbitrary D. For a two-dimensionalmembrane we get

$$_{\text{eff}} = + \frac{2T}{4} \log \frac{L}{a}; \qquad (44)$$

which is also in agreement with the result found in reference [14] for D = 1 and, as expected, the renormalization of this coe cient does not depend on D. Notice that if the contribution of the measure is not taken into account, the term $Tr \log(\)$ gives a spurious one-loop contribution to the renormalization of both eff and eff. Moreover, for a two-dimensional membrane the Gaussian term does not give a contribution as it should because in this case the term is a topological invariant.

5. Sum m ary

In this paper we have used an extended covariant geometric approach recently developed to obtain the one loop elective bending couplings of a geometric H am iltonian associated with a 2-dimensional membrane embedded into a (2 + D)-dimensional space. Our result is in agreement with that appearing in the literature for a two-dimensionalmembrane embedded into a three-dimensionalEuclidean space. W ork in the two-loop calculation is now underway.

A cknow ledgm ents

One of us, JAS, is grateful to Jem al Guven for many discussions and to Prof. H. K leinert for kind hospitality at the Institute fur Theoretische Physik, Freie Universitat Berlin. AZ acknow ledges hospitality of the Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, UNAM. This work was supported by the PROM EP program PTC in Mexico.

References

- [1] Lipowsky R and Sackmann E 1995 Structure and Dynamics of Membranes, Vols 1 and 2 (H andbook of B iological Physics, E lsevier Science B V).
- $\space{2]}$ H elfrich W $\,$ 1973 N aturforsh 28C , 693.
- [3] Canham P 1970 J. Theor. Biol. 26, 61.
- [4] Chaikin P M and Lubensky T C 2000 Principles of Condensed M atter Physics (Cambridge University Press).
- [5] Vilenkin A and Shellard P 1994 Cosm ic Strings and other Topological Defects (Cambridge University Press).
- [6] Polchinski J 1998 String Theory, Vols 1 and 2 (C am bridge University Press).
- [7] Polyakov A M 1987 Gauge Fields and Strings (Harwood Academ ic Publishers).
- [8] N elson D, P iran T and W einberg S eds 1989 Statistical M echanics of M em branes and Surfaces, Vol5 (P roceedings of the Jerusalem W inter School for Theoretical Physics) (Singapore: W orld Scienti c).

- [9] Safran S 1994 Statistical Physics of Surfaces, Interfaces, and M em branes (R eading U K : A ddison W esley).
- [10] Peliti L 1996 in Fluctuating Geometries in Statistical Physics and Field Theory (Les Houches (1994), eds D avid F, G insparg P and Z inn-Justin J (Elsevier Science B V).
- [11] Helfrich W 1986 J.Phys.France 48,285.
- [12] PelitiL and Leibler S 1985 Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1690.
- [13] Forster D 1986 Phys. Lett. A 114, 115.
- [14] K leinert H 1986 Phys. Lett. A 114, 263.
- [15] BorelliM, Kleinert H, Schakel A 1999 Phys. Lett. A 253, 239.
- [16] Pinnow H A and Helfrich W 2000 Eur. J. Phys. E 3, 149.
- [17] Nishiyam a Y 2002 Phys. Rev. E 66,061907.
- [18] David F and Guitter E 1988 Nuclear Physics B 295, 332.
- [19] K leinert H 1999 Eur. Phys. J. B 9, 651.
- [20] Fazio A R and Savvidy G K 2003 M od. Phys. Lett A 18,2817.
- [21] Capovilla R and Guven J 2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35, 6233.
- [22] Capovilla R, Guven J and Santiago J A 2002 Phys. Rev. E 66, 021607.
- [23] Capovilla R and Guven J 2002 Phys. Rev. E 66,041604.
- [24] Capovilla R, Guven J and Santiago J A 2004 Helfrich membrane in higher dimensions. In preparation.
- [25] K leinert H 1986 Phys. Lett. B 174, 175.
- [26] Polyakov A 1986 Nucl. Phys. B 286, 406.
- [27] CaiW , <code>Lubensky T C</code> , <code>N</code> elson <code>P</code> and <code>Powers T</code> 1994 <code>J.Phys.II</code> <code>France 4</code> , 931.
- [28] Capovilla R, Guven J and Santiago JA 2003 J. Phys. A: M ath. Gen. 35, 6281.
- [29] DeW itt B S 1981, Gauge Invariant E ective Action, in Quantum Gravity II, Second Oxford Symp.1980.C J Isham, R Penrose and W Sciama, eds (Oxford Univ. Press).
- [30] Barvinsky A O and Vilkovisky G A 1985, Phys. Rep. 119, 1.
- [31] BirrelN D and Davies P C 1982, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge Univ. Press).
- [32] David F and Leibler S 1991 J. Phys. II France 1, 959.