arXiv:cond-mat/0405399v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 18 May 2004

Reverse engineering of linking preferences from network restructuring

Gergely Palla[†‡], Illés Farkas[†], Imre Derényi[‡], Albert-László Barabási[†] and Tamás Vicsek[†‡]
[†]*Biological Physics Research Group of HAS, Pázmány P. stny. 1A, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary,*
[‡] *Dept. of Biological Physics, Eötvös University, Pázmány P. stny. 1A, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary,*
[†]*Dept. of Physics, University of Notre Dame, IN 46566, USA*

We provide a method to deduce the preferences governing the restructuring dynamics of a network from the observed rewiring of the edges. Our approach is applicable for systems in which the preferences can be formulated in terms of a single-vertex energy function with $f(k)$ being the contribution of a node of degree k to the total energy, and the dynamics obeys the detailed balance. The method is first tested by Monte-Carlo simulations of restructuring graphs with known energies, then it is used to study variations of real network systems ranging from the co-authorship network of scientific publications to the asset graphs of the New York Stock Exchange. The empirical energies obtained from the *restructuring* can be described by a universal function $f(k) \sim -k \ln k$, which is consistent with and justifies the validity of the preferential attachment rule proposed for *growing* networks.

PACS numbers: 89.75.Hc,02.70.Rr,05.70.-a,05.90.+m

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, the analysis of the network structure of complex systems has become a rapidly expanding interdisciplinary field [1, 2, 3]. Interpreting the dynamics of these complex networks with techniques developed in statistical mechanics represents a new approach to network theory [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. A recently introduced method is to define equilibrium network ensembles as stationary ensembles of graphs generated by restructuring processes obeying detailed balance and ergodicity [11]. During such a restructuring process, edges are removed and/or inserted. If an *energy function* of the graphs is also given, then the construction of the corresponding microcanonical, canonical and grand canonical ensembles can be carried out in a similar way to classical statistical physics. However, unlike in many physical systems, the energy of a graph cannot be derived from first principles and does not necessarily exist. In case of graph ensembles designed to have some prescribed property, a properly defined cost function (or energy) can capture the deviations from this property. This method of defining energy is typically used in optimization contexts.

For network systems, in which the restructuring is assumed to be governed by interactions that can be interpreted in terms of energy, one can try to deduce this energy using the data obtained from the time series of the evolution of the system. In the present work, our goal is to demonstrate this *reverse engineering* process in detail. In particular, we shall put our methods to practice for a few different network systems such as the co-authorship networks of scientific publications [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17], the network of the US film actors [18, 19], and the asset graphs of the New York Stock Exchange [20].

II. RESTRUCTURING PROCESSES AND PREFERENCES

In the following we assume that the preference of establishing a given link can be expressed in terms of a change in the network's total energy. During this graph restructuring process, the edges (which can be deleted, relocated or newly inserted) can be thought of as particles in a physical system and the vertices as the volume of the system. Since the properties of growing networks have already been studied in great details, here we assume that the number of vertices, N, is fixed.

In the statistical mechanics picture, the time evolution of the probability of occurrence of the graphs is governed by a set of master equations [11]:

$$\frac{\partial P_a}{\partial t} = \sum_b (P_b r_{b\to a} - P_a r_{a\to b}) \,, \tag{1}$$

where P_a is the probability of graph a and $r_{a\to b}$ denotes the transition rate from graph a to graph b. If the dynamics is governed by an energy function, then it determines the ratio of the transition rates as

$$\frac{r_{a\to b}}{r_{b\to a}} = e^{-(\Delta E_{ab} - \mu \Delta M_{ab})/T}. \tag{2}$$

In the equation above $\Delta E_{ab} = E_b - E_a$ is the energy difference between the graphs, $M_{ab} = M_b - M_a$ denotes the difference in the total number of edges, μ is the chemical potential associated with the appearance of extra edges in the system and T denotes the temperature, corresponding to the level of noise. If M is kept constant, then in the $T \to \infty$ limit the dynamics converges to a totally random rewiring process, and thus, the ensemble of classical random graphs [21] is recovered. On the other hand, at low temperatures the graphs with lowest energy (meeting the requirements set up by the preferences) are selected with enhanced probability.

As the transition rates obey detailed balance if the dynamics is ergodic, the probability distribution will converge to a stationary distribution.

III. SINGLE-VERTEX ENERGIES

The energy function of a network corresponds to the preferences in the rearranging of the graph structure (e.g. when relocating an edge from one vertex to another). if only the local properties of the graph influence the edge relocation, then

the simplest form of the energy is given by the sum of contributions from the individual vertices. Assuming that these contributions depend only on the degrees of the vertices, the total energy can be written as

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(k_i), \tag{3}$$

where N is the total number of vertices, and k_i denotes the degree of vertex i. Note that if $f(k)$ is shifted by a constant, the resulting dynamics remains unchanged since only the *difference between energies* associated with different degrees may influence the restructuring. Therefore, without loss of generality we may set $f(0) = 0$. Furthermore, the linear part of f is irrelevant if the number of edges is constant (since its contribution is proportional to the number of edges in the graph), and simply renormalizes the chemical potential if the number of edges is allowed to change.

An alternative form of the single-vertex energy functions can be written as

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i'} g(k_{i'}), \tag{4}$$

where i' runs over all vertices that are neighbors of vertex i. In this interpretation, the energy of an individual vertex depends on the connectivities of its neighbors, and vertex i collects an energy $g(k_{i'})$ from each of its neighbors. These neighbors in turn will all collect $g(k_i)$ from vertex i, therefore, the total contribution to the energy from vertex i is $k_i g(k_i)$. Thus, by choosing

$$f(k) \;=\; kg(k), \tag{5}$$

the two alternative forms of the single-vertex energy, (3) and (4), become equivalent.

The advantage of the latter representation is that the irrelevant linear part of $f(k)$ appears as a simple additional constant term in $g(k)$ for $k \geq 1$.

IV. REVERSE ENGINEERING WITH INDEPENDENT EDGE DYNAMICS: THE METHOD

In the following we assume that the energy function of the investigated system falls into the class of the single-vertex energy functions (4). The main point of our method is that it assumes *independent dynamics for each half edge*. In this approach, each vertex i is treated as a hedgehog, with k_i half edges. Since the energy function depends only on the degree sequence, the energy of the network is identical to that of the set of isolated hedgehogs. The restructuring of the network can be approximated by the insertion and deletion of half edges. This approximation is valid when the number of vertices is large compared to the typical number of half edges on the individual vertices. Let us denote by $r_{k\to k-1}$ the rate at which existing half edges on vertices with degree k disappear, and accordingly by $r_{k-1\to k}$ the rate at which new half edges appear on vertices with degree $k-1$. The ratio of these two rates is determined by the energy in a way similar to Eq. (2):

$$\frac{r_{k\to k-1}}{r_{k-1\to k}} = \frac{e^{(f(k)-\tilde{\mu}k)/T}}{e^{(f(k-1)-\tilde{\mu}(k-1))/T}}, \tag{6}$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = \mu/2$ denotes the chemical potential for the half edges. From (6) it is clear that the effect of the chemical potential on the dynamics cannot be separated from the effect of the linear part of $f(k)$, and the multiplication of $f(k)$ and μ by the same number is equivalent to the rescaling of the temperature. For these reasons it is convenient to introduce the dimensionless single-vertex energy

$$\phi(k) = \frac{f(k) - \tilde{\mu}k}{T}, \tag{7}$$

which incorporates the chemical potential as well. This yields

$$\frac{r_{k\to k-1}}{r_{k-1\to k}} = e^{\phi(k)-\phi(k-1)}. \tag{8}$$

The number of disappearing edges on vertices with degree k per unit time can be written as

$$I_{k\to k-1} = r_{k\to k-1} p_k N k, \tag{9}$$

because there are $p_k N$ vertices with k edges and these have a total of $p_k N k$ edges on them. Similarly, the current between the same two states in the opposite direction is

$$I_{k-1\to k} = r_{k-1\to k} p_{k-1} N(N-1), \tag{10}$$

because there are $p_{k-1}N$ vertices with $k-1$ edges and the appearing new half edge can be placed at $N-1$ different positions (corresponding to the $N-1$ possible neighbors of a given vertex). For simple graphs (i.e., when only zero or one connection is allowed between two vertices) the number of these possible positions is $N-1-(k-1)$ and accordingly the $(N-1)$ factor in expression (10) should be replaced by $(N-k)$. However, in all cases studied in this paper we have $N \gg k$, and therefore we may use

$$I_{k-1\to k} = r_{k-1\to k} p_{k-1} N^2, \tag{11}$$

in all situations. From the ratio of the currents (11) and (9) and Eq. (8) we get

$$e^{\phi(k)-\phi(k-1)} = \frac{I_{k\to k-1}}{I_{k-1\to k}} \frac{p_{k-1}}{p_k} \frac{N}{k}. \tag{12}$$

The quantities appearing on the right hand side can be obtained from the available data and the currents can be computed as follows. At each time step each vertex should be compared to its own state in the next time step. Those links that appear only in the latter graph contribute a current of amount 1 to $I_{k\to k+1}$, where k is the degree of the vertex in the former state, whereas those links that are deleted in the time step contribute to $I_{k\to k-1}$. If a new vertex appears in the second graph, each of its links will contribute to $I_{0\to 1}$ with




FIG. 1: We illustrate the measurement of the currents $I_{k\to k+1}$ and $I_{k\to k-1}$ in the dataset by comparing two subsequent states of vertex 0 in a hypothetical simple graph with 17 vertices. (Only edges connected to vertex 0 are shown). In the initial state (left side), the central vertex is connected to vertices $1, 2, 4, 5$ with one edge and to vertex 3 with two edges, hence its degree is $k = 6$. In the second state (right side), the link to vertex 2 and one of the links to vertex 3 disappeared giving a contribution $I_{6\to5} = 2$ to the currents. Similarly, new links to vertices $6, 12$ and 13 appeared, thus $I_{6\to7} = 3$.

a current of amount 1. Similarly, links of disappearing vertices of degree k will contribute to $I_{k\to k-1}$. A simple example demonstrating the evaluation of the currents in the frame of independent edge dynamics is shown in Fig. 1.

Since we set $f(0) = 0$, the corresponding $\phi(k)$ will also be zero for $k = 0$. For $k \geq 1$, we can express $\phi(k)$ using the recursion relation (12) as

$$\phi(k) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \ln \left[\frac{I_{l\to l-1}}{I_{l-1\to l}} \frac{p_{l-1}}{p_l} \frac{N}{l} \right]. \tag{13}$$

In the alternative representation of the energy defined in equations (4) and (5), one can introduce

$$\gamma(k) = \frac{\phi(k)}{k} = \frac{g(k) - \tilde{\mu}}{T}. \tag{14}$$

V. CASE STUDIES

We first tested our method on known energy functions used in Monte Carlo simulations, then applied it to study real world systems ranging from the co-authorship networks of scientific publications to the asset graphs of the New York Stock Exchange. In each case, the statistics of vertices with a given degree became poor with increasing k, therefore only the interval of the well represented degrees was taken into account in our reverse engineering process. The upper boundary of this interval, $k_{\max}$, was set to the point where the time average of the number of vertices with degree k became smaller than 0.1% of the average number of vertices.

As explained in the previous sections, when the total number of edges, M, is fixed, the linear part of $f(k)$ is irrelevant. When M is allowed to vary, a linear function, $-\tilde{\mu}k$, naturally appears in Eq. (2), and cannot be uniquely separated from the energy. Therefore, we will focus on the non-linear part of the empirically obtained $\phi(k)$, corresponding to the non-linear part of the single-vertex energy. In general, the $\phi(k)$ obtained from the data can be decomposed into

$$\phi(k) = C_0 + C_1 k + \phi^*(k), \tag{15}$$

where $\phi^*(k)$ denotes the non-linear part of $\phi(k)$. The additional C_0 constant shift is introduced to account for the irregular behavior of the energy of vertices with zero degrees in the collaboration networks (the networks of the scientific publications and the US movie actors). In these systems $\phi(k)$ is relatively smooth for $k \geq 1$ in contrast to a gap in the energy observed between $k = 0$ and $k = 1$. Accordingly, $k = 0$ has been excluded when fitting the empirical results, and the shift of the $k \geq 1$ part caused by the gap is taken into account with the help of C_0. The $\gamma(k)$ corresponding to (15) can be written as

$$\gamma(k) = C_0/k + C_1 + \gamma^*(k), \tag{16}$$

where $\gamma^*(k) = \phi^*(k)/k$. In the expression above, the first term decays for high k and the second is a constant, therefore, in practical cases the remaining non-linear part is somewhat more apparent than in the $\phi(k)$ representation.

In the figures showing our results, we shall plot $\phi(k) - C_1 k$ and $\gamma(k) - C_0/k - C_1$, since this way both the non-linear part of the energy and the gap at $k = 0$ for the collaboration networks become apparent.

A. Testing with known energy functions

We first tested our method on known energy functions used in Monte Carlo simulations with a constant ($N = 10000$) number of vertices and $\langle k \rangle = 2$. The most trivial choice for the energy function was the case when no energy was present in the rewiring and the edges were replaced randomly. In this case the network converges to a classical random graph regardless of the initial state, and accordingly the maximum degree taken into account in the reverse engineering process was $k_{\max} = 7$. The other tested energy was chosen to be $f(k) = -k \ln(k)$. This choice was motivated by our previous studies which showed that in this system, around $T = 1$ a scale-free degree distribution can be observed [10], hence the interval of k taken into account in the reverse engineering is wider ($k_{\max} = 27$) than in case of the random rewiring.

Fig. 2. shows our results for the two test energies. In both cases, C_0 was set to zero, and C_1 was set equal to $\phi(k = 1)$, (since both energies used in the MC simulations give $f(1) = 0$). In both situations, the resulting empirical $\phi^*(k)$ could be fitted well with the energy used in the MC simulation.

B. Collaboration networks

The collaboration networks investigated in this paper can be listed as follows: the co-authorship networks of neuroscience and math publications, the co-authorship networks of the Los Alamos e-print archives of Condensed Matter, High Energy Physics - Phenomenology and Astrophysics, and the network of the US movie actors. These networks are all bipartite: the




FIG. 2: $\phi(k)$ obtained from the restructuring data of the MC simulation with $C_1 k$ subtracted, together with fits. For the case of $E = 0$, (random rewiring, circles), the system converges to a classical random graph, which results in a narrow degree distribution. In the system with $E = -k \ln(k)$ (crosses), the interval in which $\phi(k)$ can be obtained is wider. Thus the results from reverse engineering are in good agreement with the initial MC energies.




FIG. 3: The empirical $\phi(k)$ obtained for the neuroscience publication network (circles) for $\tau = 3$. In order to make the non-linear part of $\phi(k)$ more apparent, the linear part of the fit, $C_1 k$ was subtracted from the data. The actual values obtained for the fitting parameters were $C_0 = -6.7, C_1 = 12.6, \widetilde{\alpha} = 0.96$. A relatively large gap between $\phi(k)$ at $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ can be observed. The inset shows the corresponding $\gamma(k)$ with $C_0/k + C_1$ subtracted from the data (boxes) together with $-\widetilde{\alpha} \ln(k)$ (dashed line).

collaborators (scientists, actors) and the collaborations (publications, movies) can be represented by two different kinds of vertices, and the links in the system are always placed between vertices of different types (a scientist is connected to the papers in which he/she is a coauthor). Uni-partite projections of these graphs can be made by keeping only one kind of the vertices and placing a link between them if they are linked to a common vertex of the other type in the bipartite graph. In the cases studied in this paper, the projection onto the *graphs of collaborators* has been used: the nodes represent collaborators (scientists or movie actors) and two nodes are linked if they have a common collaboration (articles, movies). In the graphs obtained this way, both edges and vertices are distinguishable and multiple links between vertices are allowed.

The collaboration network databases we have used [22, 23] provide the time of the emergence of the links, but the times when they disappear are not defined. In other words, the time we consider an edge to be alive after its birth influences the structure of the graphs representing the system year after year. This parameter will be denoted by τ, the *life-time of an edge*. The minimal value for τ is equal to the time resolution of the dataset. In case of $\tau = \infty$, the system is simply growing and no edges are deleted. Evidently, the choice of τ may influence all statistical properties of the network.

The number of vertices in these systems is changing slowly with time, but the number of edge restructuring events per time step is larger by two orders of magnitude than the change in the number of vertices. Therefore, the effect of the increase or decrease in N can be neglected, and we use the time average of the right hand side of Eq. (12) (derived for a fixed N) to calculate $\phi(k)$.

The most important statistical properties of the studied networks are summarized in Table I.

1. *Neuroscience- and math publications*

Data on these two systems was obtained from Ref. [22]. The time resolution of the appearance of the new articles was one year in the dataset. The upper boundary of the interval of k taken into account in the reverse engineering was in the range of $20 - 40$. The results in case of the neuroscience papers for $\tau = 3$ years are shown in Fig. 3, and very similar plots were obtained for the math publications. In both cases, $\phi^*(k)$ and $\gamma^*(k)$ can be fitted with

$$\phi^*(k) = -\widetilde{\alpha} k \ln(k), \qquad \gamma^*(k) = -\widetilde{\alpha} \ln(k) \tag{17}$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is a positive constant. The actual value of this constant was $\widetilde{\alpha} = 0.96$ for the neuroscience publications and $\widetilde{\alpha} = 0.99$ in case of the math papers.

In both cases, $\phi(k = 0)$ is separated from the $k \geq 1$ part by a gap, indicating that zero connection is unfavorable for the vertices. This is consistent with the observed degree distributions, in which the vertices of zero degree were suppressed. (In case of the math papers, vertices with zero degree were totally absent).

For $\tau = 1$ and $\tau = 2$, similar results can be obtained with modified fitting parameters.

2. *The Los Alamos e-print archives*

We also studied the restructuring of the co-authorship networks of three e-print archives: Condensed Matter, High Energy Physics - Phenomenology and Astrophysics [23]. In all three cases, the time resolution of the appearance of the

			$\tau = 1$ year				$\tau = 2$ year				$\tau = 3$ year			
	timespan	timestep	$\langle N \rangle$	$\langle k \rangle$	$k_{\max}$	$\widetilde{\alpha}$	$\langle N \rangle$	$\langle k \rangle$	$k_{\max}$	$\widetilde{\alpha}$	$\langle N \rangle$	$\langle k \rangle$	$k_{\max}$	$\widetilde{\alpha}$
movie actors	1896-1999	1 year	9223	28.04	100	0.56	15350	34.52	130	0.69	20373	39.23	150	0.74
neurosci. publ.	1991-1998	1 year	55057	7.837	35	0.86	87513	9.397	38	1.02	113160	10.54	44	0.96
math publ.	1991-1999	1 year	17279	2.737	15	0.83	29116	3.141	17	0.93	38633	3.45	20	0.99
hep-ph	'92.03-'04.02	1 month	3202	7.14	23	0.41	4386	8.52	30	0.58	5186	8.48	35	0.62
astro-ph	'92.04-'04.02	1 month	5472	10.90	60	0.44	7103	13.02	70	0.56	7891	13.98	80	0.59
cond-mat	'92.04-'04.02	1 month	5648	4.16	29	0.73	7464	4.71	34	0.96	8194	4.94	37	0.91

TABLE I: The most important statistical properties of the studied collaboration networks. $k_{\max}$ denotes the maximal degree which occurred frequently enough in the restructuring process to be able to extract the energy, and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is the fitting parameter introduced in Eq. (17).




FIG. 4: The empirical $\phi(k)$ of the Astrophysics archive for $\tau = 3$ years with $C_1 k$ subtracted (circles) plotted together with $-\widetilde{\alpha} k \ln(k) + C_0$ (solid line). The values of the fitting parameters read $C_0 = -4.8, C_1 = 8.4, \widetilde{\alpha} = 0.59$. The $\phi(k = 0)$ is separated from the $k \geq 1$ part by a gap, similarly to the case shown in Fig.3. In the inset the corresponding $\gamma(k) - C_0/k - C_1$ is shown together with $-0.59 \ln(k)$.




FIG. 5: $\phi(k)$ obtained in case of the US movie actors network for $\tau = 3$ with $C_1 k$ subtracted from the data (circles). The resulting functions can be fitted with $-\widetilde{\alpha} k \ln(k) + C_0$ similarly to previously studied collaboration networks. The actual values of the fitting parameters are $C_0 = -14, C_1 = 10.4, \widetilde{\alpha} = 0.74$. The gap separating $\phi(k = 0)$ from the $k \geq 1$ part, characteristic for the other collaboration networks can be seen in this case as well. The $\gamma(k)$ corresponding to this $\phi(k)$ is shown in the inset with $C_0/k + C_1$ subtracted from the data, plotted together with $-\widetilde{\alpha} \ln(k)$.

new manuscripts was one month. To be able to compare the properties of these systems to the previously investigated co-authorship networks, we set $\tau = 1, 2, 3$ years in these cases as well. From the point of view of the energy,the obtained behavior was very similar to the previous cases. For the Condensed Matter, High Energy Physics - Phenomenology networks, $k_{\max}$ was in the range of that seen for the neuroscience publications, and for the Astrophysics archive it was somewhat larger ($k_{\max} = 80$). The non-linear part of the empirical energies could be fitted with functions of the form given in (17). The value of the parameter $\widetilde{\alpha}$ in case of $\tau = 3$ years was $\widetilde{\alpha} = 1.05, \widetilde{\alpha} = 0.77, \widetilde{\alpha} = 0.59$ for the Condensed Matter, High Energy Physics - Phenomenology and Astrophysics archives respectively.

The results for the Astrophysics archive are shown in Fig.4. The gap at $k = 0$ in the energy together with the suppression of the vertices with zero degrees seen in case of the neuroscience- and math publications is also present in all three systems.

3. The network of movie actors

In the data of the US movie actor network [22], the time resolution of the appearance of new films was one year. For this network, the allowed k interval in the reverse engineering is reasonably wide. Similarly to the co-authorship networks studied in the previous paragraphs, the non-linear part of the empirical energy can be fitted with functions of the form given in (17), and a gap at $k = 0$ together with the suppression of the vertices with zero degrees can be observed. Our results for $\tau = 3$ years are shown in Fig.5 with $\widetilde{\alpha} = 0.74$.

C. Asset graphs of the New York Stock Exchange

In this study, we used the asset graph sequence received from [24]. These graphs were constructed from correlations of the 477 NYSE traded stocks: in a given time window the 476 most strongly correlated pairs of papers were linked [20].




FIG. 6: The empirical $\phi(k)$ of the asset graphs of the New York Stock Exchange with $C_1 k$ subtracted from the data (circles), plotted together with $-\widetilde{\alpha} k \ln(k)$ (solid line). The fitting parameters were $C_0 = 0, C_1 = 8.5, \widetilde{\alpha} = 1.7$. The inset shows $\gamma(k) - C_1$ plotted together with $-\widetilde{\alpha} \ln(k)$.

Therefore in this case the obtained graphs were simple graphs. The market data was recorded with a time window width of 1000 days, from 02-Jan-1980 to 31-Dec-1999, and the window was stepped monthly. This resulted in 195 time windows. In this case, the general rule used to determine $k_{\max}$ would result in accepting such degrees also that are represented by less than one vertex on average, therefore $k_{\max}$ was set equal to the highest degree represented by at least one vertex on average, yielding $k_{\max} = 20$.

Similarly to the collaboration networks, the non-linear part of the empirical energy can be fitted using (17) with $\widetilde{\alpha} = 1.7$, as shown in Fig. 6. For this system, the gap in the energy at $k = 0$ seen in the case of the collaboration networks is absent, and accordingly the C_0 fitting parameter can be set to zero.

VI. DISCUSSION

For each studied natural network, the non-linear part of the empirical single-vertex energy can be fitted with the same universal function given in Eq. (17). We note that (due to the relatively narrow intervals in k) power-laws of the form $E = -B \sum_i k_i^{\beta}$ can be used for fitting equally well, with fitting parameters B and β. However, the following two reasons make the logarithmic fit, $E = -\widetilde{\alpha} \sum_i k_i \ln(k_i)$, far more favorable: first, this latter has fewer fitting parameters; and second, since in each example $\widetilde{\alpha}$ has been found to be very close to unity (within a factor of two), even $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is not a real fitting parameter.

The logarithmic fit is also consistent with the rule of preferential attachment observed in growing networks [16, 25, 26]. This can be shown by estimating the energy change of a vertex by the derivative of $\phi^*(k) + \widetilde{\alpha} k = -\widetilde{\alpha} k(\ln(k) - 1)$, yielding $\Delta E/T = -\widetilde{\alpha} \ln(k)$ (the irrelevant linear term $\widetilde{\alpha} k$ has been added to $\phi^*(k)$ for simplicity). Plugging this into the Boltzmann factor, $\exp[-\Delta E/T]$, the resulting acception/rejection ratio for a randomly selected move is proportional to $k^{\widetilde{\alpha}}$. In case of $\widetilde{\alpha} = 1$ we recover the linear preferential attachment rule. For several growing networks, however, the preferential attachment was found to scale as k^{α} [16, 26]. The values for α measured by Jeong et al.[16] are close to those we obtained for $\widetilde{\alpha}$. Hence our results provide a novel justification of the preferential attachment rule.

Furthermore, in the second representation of the energy, in which vertices contribute to the total energy depending on the degrees of their neighbors, the energy contribution of vertex i becomes $\sum \gamma^*(k_{i'}) = -\widetilde{\alpha} \sum_{i'} \ln(k_{i'})$, where i' runs over the neighbors of vertex i. This can be interpreted as follows: the vertices "feel" advantageous to be linked to other vertices of high degree, hence the magnitude of a neighbor's contribution to the energy of vertex i is a monotonously increasing function of that neighbor's degree. The distinction between the neighbors in this picture follows the general Weber-Fechner law of sensation: a stimulus of a given degree generates a perception proportional to the logarithm of that degree in the neighbors. This logarithmic law of perception is natural in cases where the intensity of the stimulus may vary over several orders of magnitude, which is the case for degrees in scale-free networks.

Another situation where the use of $g(k)$ is very convenient is when it is advantageous to reach many other vertices via short paths, but it is expensive to have lots of connections. Under such circumstances the best strategy is to connect to a few other vertices with high degrees: numerous vertices can be reached in two steps at the cost of few own links. If the number of total edges is allowed to vary, such a scenario could simply be modeled by a decreasing $g(k)$ function (such as $-\ln(k)$) together with a negative chemical potential (corresponding to a linear penalty for the own links of a vertex).

VII. SUMMARY

We developed a reverse engineering method to deduce the preferences governing the restructuring in non-growing networks from the statistics of the observed relocations. Our approach is applicable to systems where the preferences can be interpreted by single-vertex energy functions and the dynamics obeys detailed balance. The method was first tested on Monte-Carlo simulations ran with known energy functions yielding reassuring results. Real networks such as the coauthorship network of scientific publications, the network of the US movie actors and the asset graphs of the New York Stock Exchange were also studied.

In each case, the non-linear part of the single-vertex energy function could be fitted with the same universal function $E = -\widetilde{\alpha} \sum_i k_i \ln(k_i)$ with $0.5 < \widetilde{\alpha} < 2$. This energy is shown to be consistent with the preferential attachment rule of growing networks.

Furthermore, the obtained energy can be alternatively written as $E = -\widetilde{\alpha} \sum_i \sum_{i'} \ln(k_{i'})$, where the summation for i' runs over the neighbors of vertex i. In this representation the energy contributions of the vertices can be interpreted by the general Weber-Fechner law of sensation: the stimulus of a given vertex generates a perception in its neighbor propor-

tional to the logarithm of that degree.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Hawong Jeong for providing the database of the US movie actors, the neuroscience and math publications; to Simeon Warner for providing the dataset of the e-print archives; and to Jukka-Pekka Onnela and János Kertész for providing the asset graph sequence of the NYSE. This work was supported in part by the Hungarian Science Foundation OTKA Grants No. F047203 and T034995.

[1] A.-L. Barabási and R. Albert, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 47 (2002).
[2] S. N. Dorogovtsev and J. F. F. Mendes, *Evolution of Networks: From Biological Nets to the Internet and WWW* (Oxford University Press, 2003).
[3] R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vespignani, *Evolution and Structure of the Internet* (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
[4] Z. Burda, J. D. Correia, and A. Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 046118 (2001).
[5] Z. Burda and A. Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 046118 (2003).
[6] J. Berg and M. Lässig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 228701 (2002).
[7] M. Baiesi and S. S. Manna, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 047103 (2003).
[8] S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, and A. N. Samukhin, Nucl. Phys. B **666**, 396 (2003).
[9] I. Derényi, I. Farkas, G. Palla, and T. Vicsek, Physica A **334**, 583-590 (2004).
[10] G. Palla, I. Farkas, I Derényi, and T. Vicsek, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 046117 (2004).
[11] I. Farkas, I. Derényi, G. Palla, and T. Vicsek, `cond-mat/0401640` (to appear in Lecture Notes in Physics).
[12] M. E. J. Newman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 404-409 (2001).
[13] M. E. J. Newman, Phys.Rev. E **64** 016131 (2001).
[14] M. E. J. Newman, Phys.Rev. E **64** 016132 (2001).
[15] A.L. Barabasi, H. Jeong, Z. Neda, E. Ravasz, A. Schubert, T. Vicsek, Physica A **311**, 590-614 (2002).
[16] H. Jeong, Z. Neda and A.-L. Barabási, Europhys. Lett. **61**, 567 (2003).
[17] S. Warner, Library Hi Tech, **21**, No. 2, 151-158 (2003).
[18] D. J. Watts, S. H. Strogatz, Nature **393**, 440 (1998).
[19] A.-L. Barabási, R. Albert Science **286**, 509 (1999).
[20] J.-P. Onnela, A. Chakraborti, K. Kaski, J. Kertész, A. Kanto, Physica Scripta **T106**, 48 (2003).
[21] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, Publ. of the Math. Inst. of the Hung. Acad. of Sci. **5**, 17-61 (1960).
[22] The data was downloaded from the following database, provided by Hawoong Jeong, `http://www.nd.edu/~networks/database/index.html`
[23] The co-authorship data was kindly provided by Simeon Warner via private communications.
[24] The asset graph sequence was kindly provided by J.-P. Onnela and J. Kertész in private communications.
[25] M. E. J. Newman, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 025102 (2001).
[26] P. L. Krapivsky, S. Redner, and F. Leyvraz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4629 (2000).