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#### Abstract

$W$ e consider an array of $N$ quantum dot pairs interacting via Coulombinteraction between adjacent dots and hopping inside each pair. W e show that at the rst order in the ratio of hopping and interaction am plitudes, the array $m$ aps in an e ective two level system with energy separation becom ing exponentially sm all in the m acroscopic (large N ) lim it. D ecoherence at zero tem perature is studied in the lim it of weak coupling $w$ ith phonons. In this case the $m$ acroscopic lim it is robust w ith respect to decoherence. Som e possible applications in quantum inform ation processing are discussed.


PAC S num bers: $03.67 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}, 03.65 . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{z}}, 05.50 .+\mathrm{q}, 73.43 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{q}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In recent years a lot of attention has been devoted to the existence of quantum superposition states in $m$ acroscopic system s. The rst suggestion to understand this phenom enon is due to Schrodinger [1] $\left.\overline{1}^{1}\right]$ w ho introduced the paradox of the cat in quantum superposition betw een live and death, strongly stressing the di erent behavior of the quantum world w ith respect to the hum an experience. It is com $m$ only accepted that quantum behavior vanishes as the system size increases. Rem arkable exceptions are quantum system s which undergo a phase transition, as superconductors and super uids. A quantum supenposition ofm esoscopic states has been observed in SQU $\mathbb{D}$
deviges $\left[\overline{2}_{1}^{1}\right]$ and seem $s$ to be a prom ising tool for the realization of a quantum com puter.

Q uantum $m$ acroscopic states are expected to be robust w ith respect to decoherence and thus ideal candidates for quantum inform ation storage. M oreover, it is w ell known that a quantum system which undergoes a phase transition lives in one of a particular set of states, for a tim e which becom es in nitely large in the lim it of large system size. In particular, if the ground state is tw ofold degenerate, one can associate these states to a m acroscopic quantum bit. The availability of $m$ acroscopic quantum bits is relevant for quantum inform ation processing, as show $n$, for instance, in $R$ ef. [3/1] , in the case of spin clusters. $H$ ere a new application in teleportation processes is also shown.

D ecoherence of a single qubit has been extensively
 causes of decoherence is the coupling w ith a bosonic bath
 at zero tem perature.

In the present paper we investigate the coherence of an array of N double quantum dots coupled through C oulomb interaction in order to show that such system is a suitable candidate as a m acroscopic qubit. T he rst

[^0]step is to show that in the long tim e lim it the array behaves as a two levelsystem w th energy separation which vanishes for large N . The model is exactly equivalent to a one-dim ensional antiferrom agnetic Ising model in a transverse eld. The system is characterized by two equivalent charge con gurations and in the $m$ acroscopic lim it the ordering at zero tem perature im plies a separation of phase space in two regions around each of the degenerate con gurations. H ow ever, for a nite size system, hopping induces oscillations betw een the two congurations. W e associate to this behavior a m acroscopic quantum bit. The antiferrom agnetic Ising model in a transverse eld has been studied since the pioneering work of $B$ ethe $\left[18_{1}^{1}, 1 \underline{1}_{1}^{1}, r_{2}^{1} 0_{1}^{1}\right]$, and has received recently a renew ed attention as a model for quantum com putation [21, '22, $\left.{ }^{2} 2^{2} 3_{1}\right]$. W e found convenient to introduce a sim ple approxim ation which $m$ akes transparent how the tw o levelbehavior appears asym ptotically.

T he study of decoherence in such a system is analogous to decoherence in a quantum register [24]. W e show that, at least in the weak coupling and zero tem perature lim it and for a three-dim ensional environm ent, the system exhibits a robustness grow ing w ith the size of the array.

D ecoherence w ith respect to phonons of a single two level system has been studied $w$ ith various m ethods (see review s by Leggett et al. [16] and $W$ eiss [ $\left.]_{1}^{-1}\right]$ ) . W e found how ever the resolvent m ethod $\left[25,{ }_{2}^{2} 6_{1}\right]$ already introduced in the discussion of electron-phonon interaction problem s $\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$, convenient to obtain results at zero tem perature in the double dot chain.

The paper is organized as follow s. In section II we introduce the m odel of the double dot chain and its interaction $w$ ith a phonon bath. The introduction of the resolventm ethod to discuss decoherence w illbe the argum ent of section $m$ ethod to show that the double dot chain, in the lim it $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{U} \ll 1$, being w the hopping am plitude betw een dots inside each pair and $U$ the $C$ oulom b interaction betw een di erent pairs, behaves as an e ective two level system w ith energy , separation decreasing exponentially w ith N . In section 'VI we study decoherence in our system in the
approxim ation introduced above. Finally, section $\bar{N}$ devoted to conchusions. In appendix we review, inside the present approxim ation, decoherence e ects for a single dot pair.

## II. THE M ODEL

In a previous work [2] ${ }^{-1}$ ] we proposed an array of few coupled quantum dot pärs as a channel for teleportation. W e want here to show the extension of the model to a case of N pairs and discuss the robustness of the system with respect to decoherence due to interaction with an extemal phonon bath at zero tem perature. W e expect that the extensive character of the interaction will increase decoherence $w$ hile the $m$ acroscopic nature of the rst tw o energy states $w$ illenhance the robustness of the system. It willbe show $n$ that the latter feature prevails. Neglecting spin e ects, the double dot array is characterized by the H am iltonian

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{K} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{2}} \mathrm{n}_{1 ;} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{l}+1} ; \quad \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{XN}^{\mathrm{N}}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{c}_{1 ; 2}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}: \text {; (1) }
$$

where $c_{1}^{y}$ creates an electron on the 1 (th) dot on the (th) row of the array and $n_{1 ;}=c_{1}^{y} C_{1}$.

To extend the teleportation schem e described in [2] ${ }_{2}^{2}$ ], we introduce an initial superposition of two spin con $g_{-}$ urations of zero potential energy of $N$ pairs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ji } i=j i+j i ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ji= \#;";\#;";::::"i and ji= J";\#;";\#;:::\#i. Let us consider an initialsystem ofN 1 doublequantum dots w ith hopping inside any pair and w ithout C oulom b interaction. The ground state of this systefn is represented by the tensor product of $(\vec{j} i+j \# i)=\overline{2}$ for each pair. By an adiabatic sw itching of electrostatic repulsion betw een adjacent pairs, the system is driven in its new ground state, which, for $w=\mathrm{V} \ll 1$, is well approx-
 is the same state than $j i(j i)$, de ned on $N \quad 1$ sites. The state $\mathcal{T} i$ is obtained considering an extra double dot (as usual called A lice) in a supenposition state
j"i+ \#i and its interaction with the rst pair of ( $j_{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{1} i+\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1 i}$ ). If the interaction is adiabatically sw itched on again, then the system is driven in a state close to $\ddagger$ is A proper $m$ anipulation of system param eters perm its to transfer the inform ation, i.e. and , encoded previously by A lice, to the last double dot (B ob) [281].

The m odel described above is suitable to be represented by a spin Ham iltonian through the mapping ${ }_{i}^{z}=\left(n_{1 ; 1} \quad n_{1 ; 2}\right)$ and ${ }_{1}^{x}=\left(d_{1 ; 1}^{y} C_{1 ; 2}+h: c:\right)$. This picture is usefulto study the decoherence e ects induced by the interaction $w$ ith a phonon bath. In the spin representation the overall H am iltonian becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{SB}} \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{B}={ }^{X} \quad{ }_{q}{ }_{q} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{aq}_{\mathrm{q}} \text {; }  \tag{5}\\
& H_{S B}=X_{q ; 1}^{X_{q}} g_{q} n_{1} e^{i q \cos 1} a_{q}^{y}+a_{q} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where a mapping between the spin states $\mathrm{J}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{\#}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and the charge states 11 ; $0 i$ and $j 0$; $1 i$ has been perform ed and $n_{1}=\left(l_{1}^{z}+1\right)=2$. We indicate $w$ th the angle between the phonon $m$ ode $q$ and the the dot chain direction. This notation is usefill for describing a generic $d-$ dim ensionalenvironm ent coupled w ith a one-dim ensional system. The constant $g_{q}$ represents the coupling of the dot charge $w$ th the $m$ ode $q$. T he explicit $m$ athem atical expression for $g_{q}$ depends on the speci ccon guration of the system and the type of interaction. In Ref. [2d] the explicit form of $g_{q}$ in som e rem arkable case is given.

## III. RESOLVENT METHOD FOR W EAK COUPLING DECOHERENCE

D ecoherence at zero tem perature is studied using the resolvent $m$ ethod. At the initial tim $e t=0$ system and bath are decoupled: j $(t=0) i=j$ i $\quad j 0 i$ where $j 0 i$ is the vacuum phonon state.

The time evolution of the state $j(t) i=$ $\exp (i H t) j(t=0) i$ is studied in terms of the com plex Laplace transform de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(!) i=i \lim _{!}^{Z_{1}} e_{0}^{i!t} t^{t} j(t) i d t: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resolvent $m$ ethod allow s to w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(!) i=\frac{1}{!H} j \quad(t=0) i: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{!\quad H}=\frac{1}{!H_{0}}+\frac{1}{!H_{0}} H_{I} \frac{1}{!\quad H} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and perform ing a pro jection on the vacuum phonon state, we de ne a new system state $j$ s (! )i = h0j (! )i that obeys to the evolution equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& j s(!) i=\frac{1}{!H_{S}} j s(t=0) i+ \\
& h 0 j \frac{1}{!\quad H_{S}} H_{S B} \frac{1}{!\quad H} j(t=0) i: \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere the bath ground state energy is set to zero and $\mathrm{H}_{0}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{SB}}$.

In the weak coupling lim it only corrections to the im aginary part of $j$ s (! )i will be taken into account. W e rst perform an iteration inside Eq. ii $\overline{0}_{1}^{1}$ replacing (! H ) ${ }^{1}$ w th the right hand side of Eq. ${ }^{2}$, and then introduce a com plete set of interm ediate phonon states:

In a perturbative approach, term $s$ involving pow ers of $g_{q}$ are sm all and can be neglected, unless self-energy contributes appear. In the latter case, a not negligible im aginary part can arise perform ing the sum over $q$ in the continuous lim it.

The contribution involving self-energy in the sum corresponds to $k=0$, since h0 $j(!\quad H)^{1} j(t=0) i$ is exactly j s (!)i. Then, all other linear and quadratic contributions in $H_{S B}$ w ill be neglected. H ence, Eq. 1111 becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{1}{!H_{S}} G\left(H_{s}\right) \text { j } s(!) i=\frac{1}{!H_{S}} j \text { s }(t=0) i ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(H_{S}\right)=h 0 j H_{S B} \frac{1}{!\quad H_{S} \quad H_{B}} H_{S B} j 0 i \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the selfenergy operator acting on the system subspace. The right term of Eq 121 describes the evolution of the $m$ acroscopic state isolated from phonons. As we w ill show in section 'IV1, in the lim it of $w=U \quad 1$, the $m$ acroscopic dot chain behaves as a two level system oscillating between the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ asym ptotic eigenstates $j \quad i=2^{1=2}(j i \quad j i) w$ ith energies E . So, Eqin becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{1}{!H_{S}} G\left(H_{s}\right) \quad j_{s}(!) i=\frac{1}{!\quad E_{+}} j+i h+j s(t=0) i+\frac{1}{!} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}} \text { j ih js }(t=0) i: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that the operator $G\left(H_{S}\right) m a p s$ the subspace spanned by $j$ i into itself, it is possible to reduce Eqi. in in term s of tw o coupled equations:

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
!\quad \mathrm{E}_{+} \quad \mathrm{G}^{++} \mathrm{h}+\mathrm{j} \mathrm{~s}(!) \mathrm{i} & \mathrm{G}^{+} \mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{s}}(!) \mathrm{i}= \\
& & h+j \mathrm{~s}(t=0) i ;
\end{array}
$$

$$
!\quad E \quad G \quad h \quad j s(!) i \quad G^{+} h+j s(!) i=
$$

$$
h \quad j \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{t}=0) \mathrm{i} ; \quad(16)
$$

where G $=h$ jG ji.
To the leading order in the system -bath coupling, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h+j s(!) i=\frac{1}{!} E_{+} G^{++} h+j s(t=0) i ;(17) \\
& h j_{s}(!) i=\frac{1}{!\quad E \quad G} h \quad j s(t=0) i:(18)
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution in the tim e dom ain is obtained assum ing rst the correction introduced by the $m$ atrix elem ents of G as negligible, and then calculating the latter in in $!=E+$ or $!=E$.

For instance, the integral

$$
{ }_{c}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}!\mathrm{t}}}{} \mathrm{G}^{++} } \mathrm{~d}!
$$

is calculted assum ing rst $\mathrm{G}^{++}=0$, obtaining for the pole ! $=E_{+}$, and then substituting this value inside $\mathrm{G}^{++}$, which depends on !. A fter, the principal value of $\mathrm{G}^{++}$will be ignored, and only the im aginary part w ill $m$ atter. W e com pared, in appendix, the results of our approxim ation, w th those know $n$ for single quantum dot pairs.

## IV. DOUBLE DOTARRAYEVOLUTION

A s rst step we calculate the evolution of the system when it is decoupled from the bath. We nd convenient to explicitly solve the evolution from an initial state corresponding respectively to ji or jiintroduced in Eq.各。

W e distinguish in the system H am iltonian the hopping term $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{w}_{1}{\underset{1}{x} \text { from the potential energy }}^{\text {a }}$ $\mathrm{H}_{0}=\mathrm{U}=2 \quad 1 \quad \begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{z} \\ 1 & \mathrm{l}+1\end{array} \mathrm{l}$. T his is the antiferrom agnetic version of the well known one-dim ensional Ising M odel in a transverse eld $\left.{ }^{3} 11\right]$. It is worth noting that the absence of periodic boundary conditions im plies a relaxation $m$ echanism of an initially ordered state where a single dom ain wall propagates between the two end points of the array. $T$ his feature $m$ akes a di erence in the excitation spectrum which is relevant for an array of
nite size.
Applying $H_{I}$ on $j(t=0) i$ the system is driven in a new con guration labeled as $j_{1}(t=0) i$. The action of $H_{\text {I }}$ generates a sum of states each of them di erentiates from $j(t=0) i$ due to one spin ip in a di erent place along the array. H ere it is im portant to note that ips on the rst and the last qubit put the system in a state w ith C oulom b energy U , while all interm ediate transitions lead to a state w th a 2 U electrostatic energy. In the lim it ofU large w ith respect to w , we shall neglect all con gurations involving interm ediate states with energy
greater than $U$.
In each step of a repeated application of $H_{I}$ it is possible to go tow ards new con gurations or to com e back. $T$ hen, for $n>0$, we write
$H_{I} j_{n}(t=0) i=w\left[j_{n 1}(t=0) i+j n+1(t=0) i\right]:$
A fter N steps the system reaches $\mathrm{j} i$ and after 2 N steps it com es back to the initial con guration. De ning $j_{\mathrm{n}} i=j i$ and $j \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{j}_{2 \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{j} i$, and taking into account the tim e evolution we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!\quad U) j_{n}(!) i=j_{n}(t=0) i \quad w\left[j_{n} 1(!) i+j_{n+1}(!) i\right] \quad U\left(n ; 0+n_{n ; N}\right) j_{n}(!) i: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system is solved by $m$ eans of the discrete Fourier transform de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{k}(!)^{E}=\frac{1}{2 N}_{n=0}^{2 \text { 炎 }} j_{n}(!) i e^{i n k} ; \\
& j_{n}(!) i=\frac{1}{2 N}_{k=0}^{2 \text { ik }} e_{k}^{1}(!)^{E} e^{i n k}: \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

A s a consequence of periodicity conditions, $k=\frac{2}{2 N} n$ being $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:: ; 2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 1$.

From Eq. $2 \mathbf{2}^{2} \mathbf{O}_{1}$ follow s

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[!\quad U+2 w \operatorname{cosk}] e_{k}(!)^{E}=e_{k}(t=0)^{E}} \\
P \frac{U}{2 N} j o(!) i+e^{i N k} j{ }_{N}(!) i: \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

It's now possible to extract tw o equations connecting joito j N i:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \circ(!) i=\frac{\left[1+B_{0}(!)\right] j A_{0}(!) i}{\left[1+B_{0}(!)\right]^{2}} \quad B_{N}(!) B_{N}^{2}(!) \quad ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$


where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle A_{n}(!) i=p \frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{\left(\frac{2 N-1}{X^{2 N}}\right)}{e^{i n k} e_{k}(t=0)^{E}}\right. \text {; }  \tag{25}\\
& B_{n}(!)=\frac{1}{2 N} \frac{U}{!}_{q=0}^{2 K} \frac{e^{i n} \bar{N} q}{1 \quad a(!) \cos _{\bar{N}} q} ;
\end{align*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{a}(!)=2 \mathrm{w}=(\mathrm{U} \quad!)$ and noting that $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{B}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}$.

The asym ptotic behavior is determ ined by values of ! close to zero. Then a (!) $\ll 1$ and the denom inator of $B_{\mathrm{n}}$ (!) reads as geom etric series:
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{~m} & \mathrm{n}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{q}:(28)
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum over q gives

The condition for a nonvanishing $B_{n}(!)$ is (l $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{n}$ ) $=2 \mathrm{NK}$, where K is any integer between 1 and +1 :

or, using the K ronecker D elta function

Since the coe cients ofa $N$ ew ton'sbinom ialform ula have to be realand positive, in the lim it a (! ) \ll 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0}(!)^{\prime} \frac{U}{!U}(1+M) ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=1 \quad \frac{1}{2 N}_{q=0}^{2} \frac{1}{1 \frac{2 w}{U} \operatorname{cosq}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains pow ers of $w=U$ and has to be calculated at the desired order in $q$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}(!)^{\prime} \quad \frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{N}}}{\frac{2 \mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{U}}}{}}^{\mathrm{N}}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere w e note that the last contribution cannot be ignored because it gives rise to the energy separation betw een j oiand j ${ }_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}$.

Furtherm ore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}(!) i^{\prime} \frac{1}{U} j_{0}(t=0) i \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overbrace{N}(!) i^{\prime} \frac{1}{U} j_{N}(t=0) i: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a result, after an inverse Laplace transform, we get, apart from corrections containing pow ers of $w=U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j o(t) i=e^{i M U t}\left[j o(0) i \cos t \quad i j{ }_{N}(0) i \sin t\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{N}(t) i=e^{i M U t}\left[j_{N}(0) i \cos t \quad i j o(0) i \sin t\right] ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

having introduced the energy gap

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 \mathrm{w}(2 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{U})^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e eventually obtain the long tim e behavior of a two level system w ith energy separation exponentially vanishing in the large $N$ lim it. A ctually, in Ref. [191] (see
equation (3.32c)) the eigenvalue of Eq. "3"d was derived. O n the basis of this result the phenom enon of asym ptotic degeneracy w as established and show $n$ to be directly related to the appearance of the ordered phase in the large $\mathrm{N} \lim$ it.

## V. DOUBLE DOTARRAY DECOHERENCE IN THE LONG TIMELIM IT

A ccording to the previous analysis we can lim it ourself to consider only the rst two states $j$ i of the array. $T$ he decoherence rate $w i l l$ be however $m$ odi ed by the extensive interaction $w$ ith the bath.

W e have to calculate the m atrix elem ents of $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ in the subspace of $j+i$ and $j$ i taking into account the particular system -bath interaction $H_{S B}$ de ned in Eq. $\overline{\text { IG }}$. Here

$$
G\left(H_{S}\right)={ }_{q ; i ; 1^{0}}^{X} e^{i q \cos }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.1^{0}\right) \tag{40}
\end{array} \dot{j} q J^{2} n_{l^{0}} \frac{1}{!} H_{S}!_{q} n_{1} ;\right.
$$

where the sum over $1 ; l^{0}$ runs over the array sites where electrons are present.
$W$ e choose the basis elem ents $j+i$ and $j i$ de ned respectively as the sum and the di erence of $j i$ and $j i$.
$W$ e introduce the form factor $q$ cos de ned through

$$
{ }^{x} \quad n_{1} e^{i q \cos 1^{j} i=} \quad q \cos j i
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{n}_{1} e^{i q \cos 1} j i=e^{i q} q \cos j i
$$

. Explicitly, qcos $=1 \quad e^{j 2 q \cos N}=1 \quad e^{j 2 q \cos }$. Them atrix elem ents of the self-energy operator are

We nd convenient the introduction of the follow ing generalized densities of states

$$
\begin{align*}
& +()=\left({ }^{+}\right)()={\underset{q}{i}}_{X}^{X} \dot{g}_{q} j^{2} j q \cos j^{2} \cos \frac{q \cos }{2} \sin \frac{q \cos }{2} \quad\left(\quad \frac{1}{q}\right) ; \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2()={\underset{q}{X} \dot{g}_{q} J^{2} j q \cos J^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{q \cos }{2} \quad\left(\quad \frac{1}{q}\right) ; ~}_{\text {i }} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}^{++}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{1()}{\mathrm{E}_{+}}+\frac{2()}{!\mathrm{E}} ;  \tag{49}\\
& \mathrm{G}^{+}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{+}\left(\mathrm{r} \frac{1}{!\mathrm{E}_{+}} \frac{1}{!\mathrm{E}}\right. \text {; }  \tag{50}\\
& G=d \frac{1()}{!}+\frac{2()}{!E_{+}}: \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

The realpart of $G$ gives a negligible contribution to the pole location ifcom pared w ith E and E + . Thus, assum ing a density ofstate di erent from zero only for positive , as in Ref. [1] $[1]$, the only non vanishing contribution is $=\quad \mathrm{Im}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2() ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{E}_{+}$is the energy gap of the tw o level system and is positive (being j+ i the ground state).
$T$ hen the solution for $h+j s(t) i$ and $h j_{s}(t) i$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
h+j s(t) i & =e^{i E+t} h+j s(t=0) i  \tag{53}\\
h j_{s}(!) i & =e^{i E} t \quad{ }^{t} h \quad j s(t=0) i: \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

A s expected, the ground state is not a ected by decoherence, while the excited state relaxes. D am ping is proportional to the density of states calculated at the energy gap. The density of states is how ever quite different from that of a single dot pair. Two com petitive e ects appear. The rst one is represented by the presence of the form factor quos inside 2 , which, in the large $N$ lim it, increases the dephasing rate by a factor proportional to $\mathrm{N}^{2}$. The second, predom inant, e ect to be considered is the expontential reduction $w$ th $N$ of the energy separation.

For instance, in the simple case of $\dot{9}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{J}^{2}=1=\mathrm{N}$ and $!_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{qq}$ (longitudinalphonons)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ( ) / } \quad d \cos d^{d} q \frac{\sin ^{2} q \mathbb{N}}{\sin ^{2} q \cos } \sin ^{2} \frac{q \cos }{2} \quad c^{2} q^{2} \text {; } \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the dim ension of the bath and $c$ is the speed of sound. If we com pare this quantity w th the system oscillation frequency we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{()} / N^{2} \quad \mathrm{~d}=2{ }^{1}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result indicates that, for a phonon bath in three dim ensions, the $m$ acroscopic lim it involves a grow th of the robustness $w$ ith respect to decoherence.

## VI. CONCLUSION S

The existence of a macroscopic degenerate ground state is a general feature of a system exhibiting a phase transition. It w ould be of interest to exploit such degenerate states as elem ents of a m acroscopic qubit. H ere we considered an array of N interacting dot pairs. We showed that, in the long tim e lim it and neglecting correction of order $w=U$, the system oscillates betw een the tw $O$ con gurations characterized by zero electrostatic energy. Such a system has a robustness which increases w ith the size as show $n$ calculating the decoherence due to a phonon bath at zero tem perature. D ecoherence calculation has been perform ed in the fram ew ork of an application of the resolvent $m$ ethod. It is how ever im portant to note that a general conclusion about robustness ofm acroscopic qubits should consider nonzero tem peraturee ects and other di erent $m$ echanism $s$ for daphasing in sem ioonductors (such as cotunneling and background charge uctuations).

A s said, coherent m anipulation of $j$ is is usefulin order to realize a support for quantum inform ation transfer allow ing a solid state teleportation. T he basic inform ation processing steps, i.e. initialization of the system, local gates and readout are respectively obtained by adiabatic variation of system param eters, oscillations betw een $j$ i and $j$ i) and local charge $m$ easurem ent. $M$ oreover, it is w orth to note that, because of the analogy w ith spin clusters behavior, $m$ ost of considerations on quantum com puting developed in Ref. $\left.{ }_{3}^{1}\right]$ ] should be valid also for our system, $w$ th the advantage that instead of $m$ easuring spin states, we need to detect local charges. D ue to the asym ptotic behavior of the e ective hopping am plitude, such a qubit requires gate tim es exponentially increasing w ith the size of the system and the optim alchain length willbe determ ined by the speci c application.

## APPENDIX A: DECOHERENCERATE IN A DOUBLE QUANTUM DOT

W e introduce a double quantum dot in contact w ith a bosonic bath w ith H am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =H_{S}+H_{B}+H_{S B} ; \\
H_{S} & =\frac{{ }^{2}}{z^{2}}+T \times ; \\
H_{B} & ={ }^{q}{ }^{q}{ }_{q} a_{q}^{y} a_{q} ; \\
H_{S B} & =\frac{1}{2}{ }_{z}{ }_{q}{ }_{q} g_{q} a_{q}^{y}+a_{q} ; \tag{A-1}
\end{align*}
$$

discussed in Ref. [12]. Here a one-dim ensional bath is considered for sim plicity. Labeling $w$ ith $\ddagger i$ and $f i$ the eigenstates of $z w$ th respective eigenvalues +1 and 1 , the eigenstates of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are

$$
j i=\frac{1}{N}[2 T \text { ji+ }(\quad \text { ") R i]; } \quad \text { (A-2) }
$$

where $=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mu^{2}+4 \mathrm{~T}^{2}}$ and $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\left(\mathrm{I}^{2}+4 \mathrm{~T}^{2}\right.}$ while the respective eigenvalues are " $=\frac{1}{2}$.

By inversion we obtain

$$
\mathrm{f} i=\mathrm{N}+\frac{+ \text { " }}{4 \mathrm{~T}} j+i \quad \mathrm{~N} \frac{"}{4 \mathrm{~T}} j \text { i; } \quad(\mathrm{A}-3)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R i=\frac{N_{+}}{2} j+i+\frac{N}{2} j i: \tag{A-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. ${ }^{1} \underline{1}_{2}^{-2}$ has now to be solved using

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(H_{S}\right)=\frac{1}{4}_{q}^{X} \dot{g}_{q} J^{2} \quad z \frac{1}{!}!_{q} H_{S}: \tag{A-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e need to calculate $h+j G\left(H_{S}\right) j+i$ and $h$ jG $\left(H_{S}\right) j i$. A ctually, obtaining $\mathrm{G}^{++}$w ill be enough, due the intrinsic robustness of the ground state $j i[30]$ which im plies that G has to be zero (th is feature is easily checked in the present form alism). To do it rst we write jt $i$ in the f; R i basis, then apply ${ }_{z}$, come back in the $j$ ibasis in order to apply (! $\left.!_{q} \quad H_{S}\right)^{1}$, rew rite the new state through 志; Rito apply the second z operator, and nally re-express the result in term sof $j+i$ and $j i$. The result is

The sum over $q$ is perform ed as an integral through the introduction of the density of states which is assum ed to be di erent from zero only for positive values of its argum ent [12]. The second term inside the square bracket gives the contribution to the im aginary part, which is
$=T^{2}()={ }^{2}$. The evolution is thus
$h+j s(t) i=h+j s \quad(t=0) i e^{i-t} e^{\frac{T^{2}}{2}() t} ; \quad(A-7)$
$h j_{s}(t) i=h \quad j_{s}(t=0) i e^{i}{ }^{t}:$
(A -8)
$T$ he density $m$ atrix in the basis $j i$ is then
w th the sam e dephasing rate obtained in [12 $\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{1}\right]$, in the regim e of zero tem perature, using $m$ arkovian assum $p-$ tions.
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