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#### Abstract

We present an analysis of the structure of the uctuation-induced $m$ icroem ulsion phase in a temary blend of balanced AB diblock copolym ers w ith equal am ounts of A and B hom opolym ers. To this end, graphical analysis m ethods are em ployed to characterize two-dim ensional con guration snapshots obtained with the recently introduced F ield-T heoretic M onte C arlo (FTM C) m ethod. W e nd that a $m$ icroem ulsion form $s$ when the $m$ ean curvature diam eter of the lam ellar phase coincides roughly w ith the periodicity of the lam ellar phase. Further, we provide evidence to the e ect of a subclassi cation of the $m$ icroem ulsion into a genuine and a defect-driven region.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

$M$ icroem ulsions arise when two im m iscible substances are com patibilized by the use of a surfactant low ering the interfacial tension betw een the incom patible com ponents dram atically. O riginally introduced for $m$ ixtures of oil, water, and a surfactant, the term $m$ icroem ulsion applies equally to polym eric blends. $M$ icroem ulsions appear in two form s: droplet and bicontinuous. H ere we consider the bicontinuous case, which is observed in sym $m$ etric system $s$ w ith balanced surfactants. In polym eric em ulsions, the com patibilizing copolym er is usually the m ost expensive ingredient. A good theoretical understanding of these system is therefore desirable from an application point of view, as well. Applications often depend crucially on the m onphology of the blend, and bicontinuty is a particularly useful property, e. g., for im proved sti ness or conductivity.

Traditionally, there have been three distinct coarsegrain approaches to the theoretical study of selfassembling _am phiphilic system s[[]1]: (a) $M$ icroscopic approaches $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$, often buitt around lattice-gas or lattice-
 particle dynam ics ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, 110 orm olecular dynam icsm ethods $14,13,14010$
 leading to a $m$ uch sim pler description by $m$ eans of only a few order param eters and coe cients which can be obtained from experim ent. Polym eric blends have been described very successfiully $w$ th a particular continuous density functional approach usually denoted self-
 back of this $m$ ethod is that it em ploys a $m$ ean-eld $a p-$ proxim ation and therefore neglects the very cause for the existence of $m$ icroem ulsions in these system $s$. (c) $M$ em brane approaches for system $s$ in which the solubility of the am phiphiles is extrem ely low, such that they can form m em branes by them selves[2G]. T his case is not considered here.

As mentioned above, the addition of a com patibilizing agent, here the AB copolym er, is essential to the form ation of $m$ esoscopically ordered phases in a pure A and B hom opolym er m ixture. The melts would otherw ise phase-separate $m$ acroscopically below som e relatively high tem perature, which is typically above room tem perature. M icroem ulsions, although by de nition part of the disordered regim e, do have a nite ( $m$ esoscopic) preferential length scale, and thus their existence depends on the presence of a com patibilizer, as well. A part from the $m$ icroscopic correlation length, , which is the characteristic decay length of the correlation function, a second length scale, $q^{1}$, w hich describes the w avelength of oscillations in the correlation function, com es into play. The disorder line is now de ned as the locus $w$ here $q$ just vanishes. T he onset of the $m$ icroem ulsion regim e, on the other hand, is de ned by the Lifshitz line, which is the locus w here the peak in the structure factor is just found at nonzero w avevector q. A microem ulsion


In a recent paper, we introduced a new approach to inconporating the e ect of them al uctuations in eld theories of polym er m elts: the F ield-T heoretic M onte C arlo (FTMC) m ethod [3 ${ }^{2}$ di]. It is an extension of the earlier m entioned SCFT m ethod. The system under investigation was the temary $m$ odel system consisting of sym $m$ etric A B copolym ers as wellas A and B hom opolym ers, the hom opolym ers being $=0.2$ tim es as long as the copoly$m$ ers. This system had previously been the ob ject ofa series of experim ents conducted by $B$ ates et al. ${ }^{1} 1$ M ean- eld calculations of its phase diagram predict a $\bar{L}$ ifshitz critical point where the disordered, lam ellar, and
 be dem onstrated both in these experim ents as well as in theFTM C sim ulations that the Lifshitz point is destroyed by therm al uctuations and a channelofbicontinuousm icroem ulsion ( $B \quad E$ ) em erges in betw een the lam ellar and phase-separated regions. W hereas the aim of that paper, R ef. ${ }^{13}{ }^{-1}$ ", w as $m$ ainly to establish the validity of the FTMC m ethod and to compare it $w$ th a related com -
 am ine $m$ ore closely the form ation and structure of the $m$ icroem ulsion phase.
$N$ either the disorder line nor the total $m$ onom er Lifshitz line correspond to any therm odynam ic transition. Recently, M orkved et al 's [329] have found that neither line correlates $w$ ell w th the transition from a fully disordered $m$ ixture at higher tem peratures to a w ell-developed B E. N evertheless, they found that dynam ic light scattering provided a clear signal for this transition, which they proposed to be the hom opolym er/hom opolym er L ifshitz line originally introduced by H olyst and Schick [40']. In a sim ilar experim ental system, Schwahn et al. [41] found evidence for the existence of three di erent regim es in the disordered phase, a \disordered blend", a \m icroem ulsion", and a \disordered copolym er" region. T he three regim es di er from each other by the value of the peak wavevector $q$ in the structure factor \{ zero in the disordered blend, large in the disordered copolym er, interm ediate in the $m$ icroem ulsion. O $n$ the theoreticalside, H olyst and P rzybylsk i[4īi] perform ed M onte C arlo sim ulations of a $G$ in zburg-Landau $m$ odel for the lam ellar phase in copolym ens and showed that topological uctuations change the $m$ onotonic decay of the o-specular scattering intensity, introducing the topological Lifshitz line. O ne $m$ ight suspect that such uctuations a ect the characteristic lam ellar distance to higher values. E xperim ents[43]] as well as sim ulations [44 in in ineed reveal that the peak wavevector $q$ is $15 \quad 20 \%$ lower at the order-disorder transition than predicted by SCFT.H ow ever, this e ect can also be explained by local chain stretching

W ith our approach, we can separate the e ect of uctuations from that of local chain rearrangem ents. It com bines the advantages of SCFT, which accounts in full for the chain connectivity but neglects uctuations, with those of G inzburg-Landau sim ulations, which include uctuations but $m$ ake approxim ations for the intrachain correlations. O ur results are qualitatively sim ilar to those of M orkved et al and Schwahn et al. O urm ain result in this paper is the subclassi cation of the $m$ icroem ulsion region into a disordered and a genuine regim e. For the transition from the lam ellar to this disordered $m$ icroem ulsion phase we do not nd a markedly low ered $q$. In the genuine regim $e, q$ di ers from the $m$ ean eld value. Indeed, this is our criterion for the distinction of the two. It should be interesting to exam ine to what extent, if any, our subclassi cation corresponds to M orkved et al. 's [3]ind distinction of poor and good $m$ icroem ulsions, or to Schwahn et al. 's distinction of disordered copolym ens and $m$ icroem ulsions.

In the present work, we have used parallel C ray architectures to sim ulate $A B+A+B m$ elts on tw o-dim ensional lattioes of size 48 48. The high dem ands on com puting pow er have so far lim ited us to two dim ensions. It w illbe
straightforw ard to adapt the $m$ ethod to three dim ensions once this becom es feasible from a com putational point of view. This paper is organized as follow s. In Section II, we shall brie $y$ outline the theoretical $m$ odel underlying FTMC. For a m ore detailed description, we refer the interested reader to our earlier paper [3]']. Section III contains the results and discussion. In this context, our evidence is presented to the e ect of a subclassi cation of the $m$ icroem ulsion. W e conclude in Section IV with a sum $m$ ary.

## II. THE FIELD-THEORETIC MODEL

In this section, we brie y present the $m$ odel underlying FTMC for the temary system under consideration. For details, see Ref. '301. W e study a m ixture of $n_{A}$ hom opolym ers of type $\bar{A}, n_{B}$ hom opolym ers of type $B$, and $n_{A B}$ symmetric block copolym ers in a volume V. T he polym erization index of the copolym er is denoted by $N$, and the corresponding quantities for the hom opolym ers are denoted as by $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{N}$. We consider the case of a sym $m$ etric copolym er, wherein the fraction of $A \mathrm{~m}$ onom ers in the copolym er is $\mathrm{f}=1=2$. W e restrict our attention to the concentration isopleth, where the hom opolym ers have equal volum e fractions $\mathrm{HA}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{HB}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}=2$. Them onom eric volum es of both $A$ and B segm ents are assum ed to be identically equal to $1=0.0 \mathrm{n}$ this m odelwe im pose an incom pressibility constraint. W em odel the e ective interactions betw een seg$m$ ents as $F$ lory $H$ uggins localcontact interactions and use a Gaussian chain model, which corresponds to perfectly exible polym ers. $W$ ith these assum ptions, the canonical partition function of the system can be w ritten as
$Z_{C} /{ }_{1}^{Z} D W{ }_{i 1} D W+\exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}H_{C}(W) & (W+)\end{array}\right]$
with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{C}\left(W \quad ; W_{+}\right)=C \frac{1}{N}^{Z} \operatorname{drW} 2^{Z} d r W_{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=\frac{0}{N} R_{g}^{d}: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper, all lengths are expressed in units of the unperturbed radius of gyration, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{b}(\mathbb{N}=(2 \mathrm{~d}))^{1=2}$, where $d$ is the space dim ension. The param eter $C$ in the above equations, which occurs as a globalprefactor to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$, acts as a $G$ inzburg param eter such that in the lim it C ! 1 the partition function (11) is reduced to its saddle point and the $m$ ean-eld solution becom es exact. In Eq. (2),
$Q_{A} ; Q_{B} ;$ and $Q_{A B}$ denote the single chain partition functions for the $A, B$, and $A B$ chains, respectively, in the potential elds $W$ ( $r$ ) and $W+(r)$. N ote that $W$ is conjugate to the di erence in $A$ and $B$ densities, m , and $\mathrm{W}+$ to the total density, ${ }^{\wedge}$. M oreover, $W$ is real, whereas $W_{+}$is im aginary thereby rendering $H_{c}$ complex. We here em ploy a partial saddle point approxim ation in $W+$, which reduces $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ to real values.

The single chain partition functions can be expressed in term $s$ of the Feynm an-K ac form ulae [20'] as:

$$
Q_{i}={ }^{Z} d r q_{i}(r ; i) ;
$$

where the propagators $q_{i}$ satisfy di usion equations. From these propagators, we can calculate density operators, $A$ and $B$, from

$$
\begin{align*}
A(r)= & \frac{V\left(1 \quad Q_{A B}\right)}{Q_{A B} Z}{ }_{0}^{f} d s q_{A B}(r ; s) q_{A B}^{y}(r ; 1  \tag{5}\\
& +\frac{V_{H} Q_{A}}{2 Q_{A}} \quad d s q_{A}(r ; s) q_{A}(r ; \quad s) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and a sim ilar equation for the $\quad$. The densities which correspond to the experim entally $m$ easurable quantities are the averages over $A ; B$, i. e., $A ; B=h_{A ; B}$ i. For the calculations in the present work, how ever, we have treated A and B as instantaneous densities. W e then calculated tim e averages over param eters calculated on them. Strictly speaking, the quantities A;B are visualizations of the spatial distributions of elds. The time averages so obtained should nevertheless re ect the essential structural properties of the system.

For a detailed description of the FTM C m ethod used to obtain the con guration series that serve as the input


## III. RESULTSAND D ISCUSSION

Fig. ${ }^{11} 1 \mathbf{1}$, show s the uctuation-corrected phase diagram of the temary $m$ elt, as obtained in Ref. $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{0} 1]$, at dim ensionless polym er num ber density $C=50$, which is an inter$m$ ediate value and has been used throughout this work. $C$ om pared $w$ ith the corresponding $m$ ean-eld diagram, one discems (a) a shift in the order-disorder transition to higher segregation strengths, $N$, (b) a slight shift in the sam e direction of the transition betw een the disordered and phase-separated regions, and (c) the em ergence of a cusp-like region of a $m$ icroem ulsion phase. $N$ ote that this diagram was calculated in two dim ensions, due to num erical constraints. Likew ise, the sim ulations carried out in the present work were in two dim ensions only. W hile a full three-dim ensional analysis will likely yield a m ore


FIG.1: P hase diagram of the temary A + B + A B blend ( = 0 2). T he solid lines show them ean-eld phase diagram, which features a three-phase ( $L+A+B$ ) coexistence region reaching up to the Lifshitz point. T he circles give locations of uctuation corrected phase boundaries at $C=50$ (from $R$ ef. [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}101] \text { ). } \text {. }\end{array}\right.$ $T$ he thick dotted lines are guides for the eye. The orderdisorder transition is weakly rst-order, but the coexistence region is too sm all to be displayed. The thin lines in the disordered region indicated the totalm onom er Lifshitz line (dotted), the hom opolym er-hom opolym er Lifshitz line (dashed), and the line of $(q)^{2}=3$ (dashed-dotted), as obtained from $m$ ean- eld theory.
narrow microem ulsion \channel" than that show n in Fig. '11', the good qualitative agreem ent w ith experim ent indicates that essential aspects of the phase behavior are captured in two dim ensions already. Thus it is justi ed to proceed w th further analysis.

In addition to these results taken from our earlier paper, $R$ ef. ${ }^{13} \bar{\sigma}_{1}^{\prime}, F$ ig. $1 \overline{1} 1$ calculated $w$ ithin the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation. T he total m onom er Lifshitz line is found at the hom opolym er volum e fraction [4] ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{1}{1+2^{2}} \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is independent of the incom patibility param eter N. The hom opolym er-hom opolym er Lifshitz line, on the other hand, is independent of , and determ ined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{S}{\frac{8}{\text { н }(1 \quad \text { н })}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was obtained by generalizing the calculation of H olyst and Schick [40'] for hom opolym er/copolym er length ration $=1$ to anbitrary. N ote that in contrast to the case
$=1$ studied by H olyst and Schick, the hom opolym erhom opolym er Lifshitz line is rather close to the total
m onom er Lifshitz line at $=0: 2$. This is because short hom opolym ens sw ell the copolym er blocks, whereas the longer hom opolym ers in system sw th $=1$ are expelled from the copolym er rich regions.

Finally, Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$ also shows the line $(q)^{2}=3$, which distinguishes betw een $m$ icroem ulsions $w$ th weak and strong ordering tendency in con ned geom etries H ere is the correlation length and $q$ the wavevector $q$ of oscillations in the correlation fiunction. T he line $(q))^{2}=3$ w as calculated by expanding the inverse $m$ ean- eld structure factor $S(q)^{1}$ up to fourth order in $g$, i. e., approxim ating $S(q)$ by the $T$ eubner-Strey form [4d],

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(q)=\frac{1}{!+g q^{2}+c q^{4}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then determ ining the value of $N$ where $g^{2}=!c=$ 1 [ $\left.4_{2} 7_{1}\right]$.

W e start by exam ining the structure factor of our tw odim ensionalm elts. It can be calculated from the Fourier transform of the density correlation function, which in tum can be obtained from the uctuating $W_{A}(r)$ $W+(r)+W$ (r) eld via a form ula derived in Ref. BZ $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime} \cdot$ $T$ hus, the structure factor $S(q)$ is the Fourier transform of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{V}^{Z} d r_{0} h^{\wedge}\left(r_{0}\right)^{\wedge}\left(r_{0}+r\right) i \quad h^{\wedge}\left(r_{0}\right) i h^{\wedge}\left(r_{0}+r\right) i\right] ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& h^{\wedge}(r)^{\wedge}\left(r^{\ell}\right) i \quad h^{\wedge}(r) i h^{\wedge}\left(r^{0}\right) i=\frac{2 C}{N} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & r^{0}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \frac{4 C^{2}}{(N)^{2}} \quad\left[W_{A}(r) W_{A}\left(r^{0}\right) i \quad h W_{A}(r) i h W_{A}\left(r^{0}\right) i\right]:
\end{align*}
$$

In Fig. and strongly and weakly structured $m$ icroem ulsions are shown. T he lam ellar structure factor ( $F$ ig. ina) features the hallm ark double peak of a striped pattem. Fig. b) ilhustrates that in a microem ulsion, anisotropy is lost yet a preferential length scale does exist, as evidenced by a ring-shaped region of $m$ axim a. As we progress deeper into the disordered phase at low er $N$, the ring becom es less pronounced, until it is alm ost indistinguishable from a a shapeless low -am plitude noise ( F ig. .
$T$ he above procedure to calculate the structure factor of a con guration is quite expensive from a computational standpoint as it involves integrations over the entire course of a sim ulation. H ow ever, if we are to analyze geom etrical pattems, it is not necessary to use the fulledged structure factor. W e can see the characteristic features of a con guration from the Fourier transform of its density distribution, as well. In this work, we have therefore used tim e averages of quantities derived from the Fourier transform of $A$ in analyzing $m$ onphological properties.
(a)

(b)

(c)


FIG. 2: Structure factors at $C=50$ (a) Lam ellar phase at н $=0: 7$ and $N=12: 5$. (b) Strongly structured $m$ icroem ulsion at ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}=0: 82$ and $\mathrm{N}=12: 5$. (c) W eakly structured m icroem ulsion at $\mathrm{н}=0: 82$ and $\mathrm{N}=10$ :

A s a starting point, we need to nd the preferential length scale of a density distribution A (r). This is equivalent to nding the preferential wave vector of its tw odim ensionalFourier transform, $F$ (q). To this end, we use the square averaged over all angles, de ning

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}(q)=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z_{2}} d F(q) \mathcal{J}^{2} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is now one-dim ensional.


FIG. 3: (a) Equilibrated averages of $F_{0}(q)$ at $C=50$, н $=0: 82$, and di erent values of N . The arrow indicates the $m$ ean- eld location $q_{M} F$ of the $m$ axim um in the structure factor in the disordered phase. (b) Teubner-Strey ts to $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ (q) for selected values of N . The inset show snapshots of $A$ for the same $N$ values ( $12.5,11$, and 8 from left to right). A lattice point is painted black ( $\backslash \mathrm{A}$ ") if $0: 51 \quad$ A 1 , grey if $0: 49$ A $<0: 51$, and and white ( $\backslash \mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ ) if 0 A $<0: 0: 49$.

From $F$ ig. $\overline{\text { in }}$, in which $F_{0}(q)$ is displayed for various $N$ at $H^{\prime}=0: 82$, it can be seen that $F_{0}(q)$ has a pronounced peak for N < 11. Below N 11 the curves becom e m arkedly atter at low q. $N$ ote that the $m$ ean- eld transition betw een the disordered and the lam ellar phase occurs at $\mathrm{N}=11: 4$ (for $\mathrm{H}=0: 82$ ). A bove this point, the position of the (hardly discemible) $m$ axim um of $F_{0}(q)$ agrees well $w$ ith its $m$ ean- eld value,
$q_{1} R_{g}=1: 203$ for $H_{H}=0: 82$. In the $m$ ore structured region of higher $N$, the peak $m$ oves to low er values of q , re ecting the fact that the corresponding $m$ ean- eld length scale, the lam ellar distance, increases w ith increasing $N$. $F$ ig. $\overline{3}_{3}$ b) shows ts of selected curves to the Teubner-Strey form (Eq. $\left.\bar{\beta}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ ) $\left.{ }^{4} 8_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. The ts are reasonable at high and low values of N , and less satisfactory in the vicinity of the $m$ ean- eld transition.
$T$ he technicalities of calculating $F_{0}(q)$ require $q$ to be binned in a histogram and thus approxim ated by discrete values (in practioe, by multiples of $2=\mathrm{L}$, where L is the box length). In addition, F ig. '3, ilhustrates that our data for $F_{0}$ (q) are strongly scattered, $m$ ainly due to the statistical error. This $m$ akes the $q$ coordinate of the $m$ axim um of $\mathrm{F}_{0}(\mathrm{q})$ a poor candidate to be taken as the preferential w ave vector. A sm oother procedure is to use

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{R_{R} d q q F_{0}(q)}{d q F_{0}(q)}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F_{0}(q)$ has a pronounced well-de ned maxim um at nonzero q (e. g., $N$ 11:5 in $F$ ig. ' coincides $w$ ith this $m$ axim um. O therw ise, it slightly overestim ates $q$ (e. g., $q_{0} R_{g}=1: 3$ at $N=11:, q_{0} R_{g}=1: 6$ at $\mathrm{N}=8)$. N ow, the preferential length scale, $\mathrm{L}_{0}$, is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{0}:=\frac{2}{\mathrm{q}}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we de ne the $m$ ean curvature diam eter, $D_{c}$, of the boundaries of $A$ and $B \mathrm{~m}$ icrodom ains in a black-and-white im age sim ilar to those in the inset of F ig. ${ }_{\substack{13}}^{1,1} \mathrm{~b}$ b), in which a lattice point is painted black ( $\backslash \mathrm{A}$ ") if $0: 5<$ A 1 , and white ( $\backslash \mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ ) if 0 A $0: 5$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{c}:=2{\frac{1}{L_{c}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{ds} \frac{d t}{d s}^{2^{\# \frac{1}{2}}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L_{c}$ is the sum of all contour lengths of the $m$ icrodom ain boundaries, and $t$ is the tangent vector at a given $c o-$ ordinate $s$ along the contour. For a detailed explanation of the algorithm used to calculate $D_{c}$, see $A p-$ pendix A. N ote that the snapshots show $n$ here also contain grey pixels, corresponding to a balance density, i.e., $0: 49<$ A $<0: 51$.
 plots of equilibrated averages of $L_{0}$ and $D_{c}$ are displayed for various hom opolym er volum e fractions, H , at $\mathrm{N}=$ $12.5,12$, and 11.5. The deviation of $L_{0}$ from the corresponding $m$ ean-eld values, $L_{M} F$, is show $n$ in the inset. The M onte Carlo sim ulations were run up to approxim ately 1.5 m illion M onte C arlo steps, inchuding equilibration tim es of up to a few 100,000 steps. A M onte



FIG. 4: Characteristic length scales at $N=12: 5, C=50$. (a) Snapshots at ${ }_{\boldsymbol{н}}=0.74,0.78,0.8$, and 0.82 ( rst row from left), and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.84,0.86,0.88$, and 0.9 (second row from left). (b) P referential length scale, $L_{0}$ (circles), and curvature diam eter, $D_{c}$ (squares), in units of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$, vs. H , averaged over the equilibrated parts of sim ulations on a 4848 lattice. $T$ he solid line show s the $m$ ean- eld lam ellar distance $L_{M} F$ for com parison. F illed and em pty sym bols correspond disordered and lam ellar initial conditions, respectively. T he inset show $s$ the di erence of $L_{0}$ and $L_{M}$ F .

C arlo step includes one attem pted random local incre$m$ ent of $W$ per pixel, within ranges that were chosen such that the $M$ etropolis acceptance rate w as $35 \%$. A s a general rule, the m ore disordered the con gurations, the shorter $w$ as the equilibration phase.

In allthree cases, ie., $\mathrm{N}=12.5,12$, and $11.5, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is in good approxim ation constant over an extended н range
 ture is induced by the copolym ers, which are located predom inantly at the interfaces betw een m icrodom ains. It should thus be independent of the am ount of hom opoly$m$ ers. N evertheless, D c gets som ew hat sm aller as N is decreased; the higher tem peratures that correspond to low er $N$ facilitate the bending of the $m$ icrodom ain interfaces.

At $\mathrm{N}=12: 5$, com ing from the lam ellar phase at low ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}$, the curvature radius $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}$ becom es com parable in size to the preferential length scale, $\mathrm{L}_{0}$, as well as the m eaneld length scale, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{F}$, around $\mathrm{H} \quad 0: 75$, which is when
(a)



FIG.5: Same as Fig. for $N=12: A s$ in $F i g$. the snapshots in (a) correspond to $н=0.74,0.78,0.8$, and 0.82 ( rst row from left), and $H_{H}=0.84,0.86,0.88$, and 0.9 (second row from left).
the lam ellae begin to break up. This is in good quantitative agreem ent $w$ ith the location of the uctuationcorrected order-disordered transition of F ig. 11 i . At N $=12$ and $11.5, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is $w$ ellbelow both the m ean- eld periodicities, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{F}$, and the preferential length scales, $\mathrm{L}_{0}$, in the ${ }_{H}$ range exam ined: these con gurations are in the disordered phase. $W$ e have thus identi ed the $m$ echanism by which uctuations generate the $m$ icroem ulsion.
$T$ he preferential length scale $L_{0}$ and the $m$ ean-eld length scale $L_{M} F$ are identicalw ithin error bars at sm all hom opolym er concentrations н . Upon increasing $\boldsymbol{H}$ at $\mathrm{N}=12.5$ and 12 , one notes that $L_{0}$ begins to deviate from $L_{M}$ F at a certain $H_{r}$. This signals the departure from defect-driven behavior and the onset of a $m$ ore genuine $m$ onphology $w$ thin the $m$ icroem ulsion phase. The e ect is stronger for $\mathrm{N}=12: 5$ than for $\mathrm{N}=12$. At
$\mathrm{N}=11: 5$, it is barely noticeable anym ore, and $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ coincides w thin error bars w ith $L_{M}$ F. H ow ever, the higher $N$, the $m$ ore sm eared out $L_{0}$ becom es.
A s pointed out already, a m icroem ulsion is characterized by the existence of a preferential length scale w ithin the disordered phase $\left[1_{1}^{\prime}, ~ " 4 \%_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$. In this sense, all con gu-
 sions. T he previous result, how ever, suggests a m ore diversi ed classi cation into (a) a defect-driven region and


FIG. 6: Sam e as Fig. in for $\mathrm{N}=11: 5 \mathrm{~T}$ he snapshots in (a) correspond to $\begin{aligned} & =0.82,0.83,0.84 \text {, and } 0.85 \text { ( rst row from }\end{aligned}$ left), and $\boldsymbol{н}=0.86,0.87,0.88$, and 0.89 (second row from left).
(b) a \genuine" $m$ icroem ulsion. W e shall denote them D $E$ and $G E$, respectively. In the rst region, the $m i-$ croem ulsion retains the characteristic length scale of a uctuation-free lam ellar phase, and the $m$ ain e ect of the uctuations is to introduce lam ellar defects, which destroy the lam ellar order. Typical con gurations are the rst snapshots (top row) in Figs. 'A1 and 'হِ' a). In the second region, the interfaces them selves uctuate, as can be observed in the im ages in the bottom row of Figs. $\frac{-1}{4}$ and $\left.\overline{15}, ~ a\right)$. This causes an e ective increase of the characteristic length scale (or decrease in the characteristic w avevector). D eeper in the disordered phase, at low $N$, the distinction betw een lam ellar defects and interfacial uctuations is less obvious, one rather observes generalstructured, but unspeci c disorder. In view of the fact that the characteristic $w$ avevector coincides $w$ ith the $m$ ean- eld periodicity or, in the disordered phase, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ ( Fig. ('-1), we classify it as D E.

## IV . SUMMARYAND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have investigated the structure of the disordered $m$ icroem ulsion phase in two-dim ensional sym m etrical temary A B + A + B polym eric blends in dif-


F IG . 7: Substructure of the $m$ icroem ulsion. D E: defectdriven, G E : genuine m icroem ulsion m onphology, 2 : phaseseparated region. The solid lines show the uctuationcorrected phase diagram of $F$ ig. ${ }_{[1]}^{1}$, the dotted lines the $m$ ean- eld phase diagram, and the dashed line is just a guide for the eye.
ferent regions of the phase diagram. $N$ ot surprisingly, the structure of the $m$ icroem ulsion is strongest at param eters $N$ and ${ }_{H}$ where the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation would predict an ordered phase, i. e., where the disorder is brought about solely by_ uctuations. W e have corroborated our earlier result [3d] regarding the m echanism that underlies the form ation of the $m$ icroem ulsion phase in temary $A B+A+B$ polym eric blends: the lam ellae break up when the curvature diam eter of the m icrodom ain boundaries becom es com parable to the periodicity of the lam ellar phase [5d]. W e have further show $n$ that the preferential length scale in the system deviates from its $m$ ean- eld equivalent in a param eter subspace of the m icroem ulsion only, dem onstrating that the m icroem ulsion region is divided into a defect-driven and a \genuine" part. In the resulting redraw ing ( F ig. $\overline{1}_{1-\overline{7}}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ ) of the phase diagram $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} 1,1$, we have indicated the approxim ate location of the con jectured transition betw een the two, as $m$ arked by the occurrence of nonzero values for $L_{0} \quad L_{M F}$ in $F$ ig. $\bar{A}_{1}^{1}$ and $\bar{N}_{1}^{2}$. C om paring $F$ ig. $\bar{T}_{1}$ w ith the various m ean-eld Lifshitz lines indicated in Fig. 극, we nd that both the totalm onom er Lifshitz line and the hom opolym erhom opolym er Lifshitz line are far from the region of interest here. T he num erical results presented in Fig. $\overline{\widehat{3}} 1$ con $m$ that this is still true for the true ( uctuation-corrected) total $m$ onom er Lifshitz line. We have not investigated the hom opolym er-hom opolym er structure factor. Since the short hom opolym ers in our system ( $=0: 2$ ) sw ell the copolym ens, the hom opolym erhom opolym er Lifshitz line is not very far from the total $m$ onom er Lifshitz line.

It is possible that the G E phase coincides w ith the
\m icroem ulsion" ( E ) phase indicated in the experim entalphase diagram of Schw ahn et al. [411]. Strictly speaking, how ever, the two system s cannot be com pared directly to each other, because our sim ulations were carried out in two dim ensions only. W e hope that threedim ensional calculations w ill becom e feasible in the future.

W e thank M .M atsen, V.G anesan, and G .Fredrickson for fruitful discussions. This w ork was supported by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (G erm any). The sim ulations were carried out on the CRAY T3E of the NIC institute in Julich.

APPENDIXA:GRAPHICALANALYSIS

In order to analyze con guration snapshots, it is often advantageous to take the A distribution and convert its continuous values (between 0 and 1) to black-and-w hite bitm aps w ith white pixels for $0 \quad$ A $(x ; y)<0: 5$, and black pixels for $0: 5$ A ( $x ; y$ ) 1. From these im ages, we can then extract the follow ing param eters.

1. A lgorithm to calculate the circum ference, $L_{c}$
(1) Besides the original bilm ap, $\mathrm{B}_{1}$, de ne another bilm ap, $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, and paint it white, i.e., set allpixels to 0.
(2) C opy all border pixels from $B_{1}$ to $B_{2}$. A border pixel is de ned as one which is black (i.e., has a value of 1) and whose four nearest neighbors have at least one white pixel am ong them.
(3) Scan through $B_{2}$ from the upper left to the lower right comer and for each pixel check whether it is black. If so, proceed as follow s:
(3a) If any pair of adjacent nearest-neighbor (NN) and next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) pixels of the current pixel are both black, paint the NN pixel white. This is done to prevent am biguities in the subsequent steps. In practice, how ever, this case is quite rare and barely changes the end result.
(3b) Check the distribution of black pixels am ong the nearest and next-nearest neighbors according to Fig. ", id and add the speci ed num bers to the circum ference.
(4) O nce the entire lattice has been scanned, divide the result by 2 to account for double counting in step (3b).


FIG. 8: Localcontributions to the circum ference. T he current (black) pixel from step (3) here is painted grey. All diagram s are modulo $=2$ rotations.
2. A lgorithm to calculate the curvature diam eter, D c

The curvature diam eter of the (com bined) circum ference, $L_{c}$, of all.black areas is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{c}=2{\frac{1}{L_{c}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{ds} \frac{d t}{d s}^{2^{\# \frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $t$ the local (norm alized) tangent vector. To calculate the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{1}{L_{c}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{ds} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{ds}}^{2} ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we pursue the follow ing strategy:
(I.1) M ake a border pixelbitm ap as above.
(I2) Scan the bitm ap and stop when a black pixel is found.
(I.3) Start a data array, $\left(x_{j}^{i} ; y_{j}^{i}\right)$, for the coordinates (num bered $j 0$ ) of a new line, i 0 .
(I.4) Look for a (nearest or next-nearest) neighbor of the current pixel. In m ost cases, there willbe only one possibility to continue the line. O therw ise, an arbitrary choice is $m$ ade.
(I.5) Rem ove the current pixel from the bitm ap. M ake the neighboring pixel from (4) the current pixel.
(I.6) Repeat (I.4-5) until no neighbor is found for the current pixel. Then term inate that line.
(I.7) R epeat (I2-6) until the bitm ap has been cleared.
(II.1) For each line $i$, $\left(x_{j}^{i} ; y_{j}^{i}\right) \quad\left(x_{j} ; y_{j}\right)$, as determ ined in (I.1-7) that is longer than 3 points, set $j=1$.
(II.2) C alculate ${ }_{j} \mathrm{~d} t=\mathrm{ds}{ }^{3}$ according to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d t}{d s}=\frac{d t_{x}^{2}+d t_{y}^{2}}{d s^{2}} ;  \tag{A3}\\
d t_{x}=\frac{x_{j+2} x_{j}}{\left(x_{j+2} x_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{j+2} \quad y_{j}\right)^{2}} \\
\frac{x_{j+1} \quad x_{j 11}}{\left(x_{j+1} \quad x_{j 11}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{j+1} \quad y_{j 11}\right)^{2}}
\end{gather*} ;
$$

(A 7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ds}=\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{dx}^{2}+\mathrm{dy}^{2}}: \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II.3) Increase j. Repeat (II.2-3) until the end of the line is reached.
(II.4) Repeat (II.1-3) for all lines.
(II.5) A pply

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{ds}:}
$$

N ote that this algorithm produces a slightly di erent (sm aller) value for $L_{c}$ than the one presented in the last section. This because the length of each line is e ectively truncated by three points. $L_{c}$ here acts only as a norm alizing factor and for consistency should be calculated as indicated. If interested in $L_{c}$ itself, one should use the algorithm of the previous section.
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