

Vibration of the Dimer on Ge(001) Surface Excited Coherently by STM Current

Hiroshi Kawai and Osamu Narikiyo

Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Kyushu University, Ropponmatsu, Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan

(Dated: March 22, 2022)

The vibration of the dimer on Ge(001) surface with the higher vibrational number excited coherently by STM current is theoretically investigated. The coherent excitation rate of the dimer vibration is obtained by the Hamiltonian consisting of the terms of the electron system and the electron-vibration coupling terms. The transformation of the local structures reported in STM experiments is shown to be driven by the dimer vibration excited coherently by the STM current. The sample bias voltage of STM above which the transformation to the $p(2 \times 2)$ structure is able to be observed in the experiments, is semiquantitatively reproduced by the quasi-one-dimensional character of the π^* -band. We show that the excitation rate has the term not decaying on the distance from the STM tip for the one-dimensional band. The contrastive difference of the shapes of the transformed region depending on the sign of the bias voltage observed by the experiments is explained by the difference between the quasi-one-dimensional character of the π^* -band and the two-dimensional character of the π -band on Ge(001) surface.

PACS numbers: 68.35.Ja, 68.37.Ef, 73.40.GK, 63.20.Kr

On Ge(001) surface, neighboring atoms form the buckled dimers. The orientational arrangement of the buckled dimers orders into the $c(4 \times 2)$ structure through the order-disorder phase transition about 315K [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Recently, the phase manipulation of Ge(001) surface on Sb-doped substrate by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) apparatus has been reported [8, 9]. The local surface structure on Ge(001) surface is modified reversibly and hysteretically between $c(4 \times 2)$ and $p(2 \times 2)$ structures at 80K by the sample bias voltage V_s of STM. The transformation of the local structure from $c(4 \times 2)$ to $p(2 \times 2)$ is observed at positive sample bias voltages of $0.8\text{V} \leq V_s$ and the reverse transformation from $p(2 \times 2)$ to $c(4 \times 2)$ is done at negative $V_s \leq -0.7\text{V}$ [8, 9]. The transformation takes place occasionally during the scan of the surface by STM. The transformation rate to the $p(2 \times 2)$ structure is reported to be proportional to the tunneling current of STM (I_{STM}) [8, 9]. The occasional transformation to the $p(2 \times 2)$ structure during the scan is restricted to the dimer row including the dimer beneath the tip of STM, and takes place simultaneously in the long region in the dimer row. In most cases, the transformed $p(2 \times 2)$ region in the host structure of $c(4 \times 2)$ continues to the outside of the scanning area along the dimer row. The reverse transformation to $c(4 \times 2)$ presents a remarkable contrast to the transformation to $p(2 \times 2)$. The transformed $c(4 \times 2)$ region expands over the consecutive dimer rows beneath the tip. The region, however, is restricted within the range of a few nm away from the dimer beneath the tip for both directions of along and perpendicular to the dimer row.

In the present letter, we investigate theoretically the vibration of the dimer on Ge(001) surface excited coherently by STM current, using the Hamiltonian consisting of the term of the electron system describing the tunneling current of electrons and the electron-vibration coupling term. The excitation rate of the vibration of the

dimer beneath the tip to the higher vibrational number n by the coherent process is shown to be proportional to I_{STM} . We report that the excitation rate of the vibration of the dimer away from the tip decays much slowly on the distance from the tip for the direction along the dimer row in the case of $V_s > 0$. The range of the distance that the transformation is effective, is expected to be of the order of the mean free path of electron in the π^* -band.

Previously, we have investigated the vibration of the dimer excited by STM current on Si(001) surface of the p-type substrate, where the case of negative V_s has been treated [10]. The vibration of the dimer beneath the tip on Si(001) surface is excited to the higher vibrational number n by the STM current through the incoherent ladder climbing of the vibrational states at low temperatures. The effective temperature of the vibration on the dimer beneath the tip strongly deviates from the substrate temperature T and reaches a few hundred Kelvin for the typical values of STM current at low temperatures lower than 20K on the boron doped (B-doped) Si(001) substrate. The high effective temperatures at low T cause the rapid flip-flop motion of the dimer on Si(001) substrate. At these low temperatures lower than 20K, the excitation rate of dimer vibration with the higher vibrational number n depends on the I_{STM} in highly nonlinear manner on B-doped Si(001) substrate [10]. When T increases around 20K, the deexcitation of the vibration by the transition from the vibrational number n to $n - 1$ through the inner-band excitation of the electron-hole pair creation in the π -band becomes prominent and the rate of the deexcitation increase steeply in T [10]. The high increase of the deexcitation rate causes the steep decrease of the probability of the incoherent ladder climbing of the vibrational states and that of the effective temperature of the dimer beneath the tip on Si(001) substrate. At temperatures higher than about 35 K, the incoherent

ladder climbing excited by STM current is not dominant on the excitation to the higher vibrational number n [10]. We have shown that the temperature where the inner-band excitation becomes prominent is determined by the absolute value of the energy of the π -band top measured from the Fermi level of the electron system [10]. On the B-doped Si(001) substrate, the absolute value of the energy is about 22.5meV.

On Sb-doped Ge(001) substrate used by the experiment [8, 9], the absolute value of the energy of the π^* -band bottom measured from the Fermi level of the electron system is about 4.8meV. From this small value of the energy, the rate of the deexcitation of the vibration from n to $n - 1$ through the inner-band excitation in the π^* -band on the Sb-doped Ge(001) substrate is expected to become prominent at temperature much lower than that on the B-doped Si(001) substrate. The rate is estimated to be effective at temperature of the order of $(4.8/22.5) \times 20\text{K} \sim 4\text{K}$. From this estimation of temperature, we know that the incoherent ladder climbing of the vibrational states excited by the STM current on Sb-doped Ge(001) substrate is suppressed at higher temperatures, and becomes negligible already at 8 K. Therefore, the transformation of the local structure observed by the experiment at 80K and 10K on the Sb-doped Ge(001) substrate [8, 9] can not be ascribed the flip-flop motion of the dimer through the incoherent ladder climbing of the vibrational states excited by the STM current.

We introduce the Hamiltonian $H = H_e + H_{ev}$ representing the coupling between the electronic system and the localized vibrational system,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_e &= \sum_k \varepsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k + \sum_p \varepsilon_p c_p^\dagger c_p \\
 &\quad + (\Gamma(\sum_k \gamma_k c_k^\dagger)(\sum_p \gamma_p c_p) + \text{H.c.}) \\
 &= \sum_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha c_\alpha^\dagger c_\alpha + \varepsilon_a a_0^\dagger a_0 + (\sum_\alpha \Gamma_\alpha a_0^\dagger c_\alpha + \text{H.c.}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_p \varepsilon_p c_p^\dagger c_p + (\sum_p \Gamma_p a_0^\dagger c_p + \text{H.c.}), \\
 H_{ev} &= \hbar\omega(b_0^\dagger b_0 + \frac{1}{2}) + \delta\varepsilon(b_0^\dagger + b_0)a_0^\dagger a_0 \\
 &\quad + \sum_r \hbar\omega(b_r^\dagger b_r + \frac{1}{2}) + \sum_r \delta\varepsilon(b_r^\dagger + b_r)a_r^\dagger a_r, \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

with $\varepsilon_a = \sum_k |\gamma_k|^2 \varepsilon_k$ and $\Gamma_p = \Gamma\gamma_p$, where c_p is the annihilation operators for the electronic states in the conduction band of the STM tip, and c_k is the annihilation operator for the electronic states in the π -band for $V_s < 0$ or in the π^* -band for $V_s > 0$. The operators of $a_0 = \sum_k \gamma^* c_k$ and $a_r = \sum_k \exp(-ik \cdot r) \gamma^* c_k$ are the annihilation operators for the electronic states $|a_0\rangle$ spatially localized on the dimer beneath the tip and the electronic states $|a_r\rangle$ spatially localized on the dimer at the position r ($r \neq 0$) measured from the dimer beneath

the tip, respectively. The operators of b_0 and b_r represent the annihilation operators for the vibrational state in the rocking mode of the dimer beneath the tip and of the dimer at the position r , respectively. We assume that the vibrational states on the dimers are described as the harmonic oscillator. The energy of the vibrational state $\hbar\omega$ is roughly estimated as 13meV [7]. The diagonalized term of $\sum_k \varepsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k$ is rewritten by a_0 and c_α as the first three terms in the third line of eq. (1), where c_α is the annihilation operators for the electronic states orthogonalized to $|a_0\rangle$. The term of $\delta\varepsilon$ represents the electron-vibration coupling. The vibration is excited or deexcited through the electron-vibration coupling by the STM current. The essentially same Hamiltonian as eq. (1) has been used in the previous study for Si(001) surface [10] and other surfaces [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18].

In the present study, we discuss the transition rates of the vibration mainly in the case of $V_s > 0$. The inter-band transition of the electrons from the conduction band of the tip to the π^* -band can excite coherently the vibrational state of the dimer through the electron-vibration coupling. In the coherent transition, the vibrational state is excited quantumly to the excited state of the higher quantum number through the t-matrix. The rate of the coherent vibrational excitation is proportional to the electronic current I_{STM} [14, 19]. The coherent excitation rate $\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}$ in the dimer beneath the tip from the ground state of the vibrational number 0 to the excited state of n coupled with the inelastic electrons transition is obtained in the lowest order in $|\delta\varepsilon|$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n} &= 2 \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{\alpha', p'} (1 - f(\varepsilon_{\alpha'} - \varepsilon_F)) f(\varepsilon_{p'} - eV_s - \varepsilon_F) \\
 &\quad \times |\langle \alpha', n, 0 | H_{ev} (1 + G_{e-v}(\varepsilon'_p) H_{ev}) | p', 0, 0 \rangle|^2 \\
 &\quad \times \delta(\varepsilon_{\alpha'} + n\hbar\omega - \varepsilon_{p'}) \\
 &= n! \frac{4|\delta\varepsilon|^{2n}}{\pi\hbar} \int_{E_{\pi^*}}^{eV_s - n\hbar\omega} d\varepsilon \Delta_t(\varepsilon + n\hbar\omega) \Delta_s(\varepsilon) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{j=0}^n |G_{00}(\varepsilon + j\hbar\omega)|^2 \\
 &= n! \frac{4|\delta\varepsilon|^{2n}}{\pi\hbar} \Delta_t \Delta_s (eV_s - n\hbar\omega - \lambda_n) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{j=0}^n |\tilde{G}_{00}(eV_s - \lambda_n - j\hbar\omega)|^2 \\
 &\quad \times (eV_s - n\hbar\omega - E_{\pi^*}), \\
 G_{e-v}(\varepsilon) &= (\varepsilon + i0^+ - (H_e + H_{ev}))^{-1}, \\
 G_{00}(\varepsilon) &= \langle a_0 | (\varepsilon + i0^+ - H_e)^{-1} | a_0 \rangle \\
 &= (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_a - \Lambda(\varepsilon) + i\Delta(\varepsilon))^{-1}, \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $f(\varepsilon) = (\exp(\beta\varepsilon) + 1)^{-1}$, $\tilde{G}_{00}(\varepsilon) = (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_a + i\Delta_s(\varepsilon))^{-1}$ and ε_F is the Fermi level of the electronic system in the surface. G_{00} and G_{e-v} are the Green func-

tions for the electronic system and for the whole system, respectively. $\Lambda(\varepsilon)$ is $\pi^{-1}P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varepsilon' \Delta(\varepsilon')/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon')$ where P denotes the Cauchy principal value. $\Delta(\varepsilon) = \Delta_s(\varepsilon) + \Delta_t(\varepsilon)$ is the width of projected density of states for $|a_0\rangle$. $\Delta_s(\varepsilon) = \pi \sum_{\alpha} |\Gamma_{\alpha}|^2 \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\alpha})$ and $\Delta_t(\varepsilon) = \pi \sum_p |\Gamma_p|^2 \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_p)$ are the components of $\Delta(\varepsilon)$ in the surface and in the tip, respectively. E_{π^*} is the energy level of the bottom of the π^* -band, and D_{π^*} is the width of the π^* -band. Within the π^* -band, $\Delta(\varepsilon)$ is approximated as $\Delta(\varepsilon) \approx \Delta_s(\varepsilon)$, because $|\Gamma_{\alpha}|$ is to be much larger than $|\Gamma_p|$ in the STM experiments. $|\alpha'\rangle$ and $|p'\rangle$ are the stationary states of H_e connected to $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|p\rangle$, respectively. Namely, the stationary states are given as $|\alpha'\rangle = |\alpha\rangle + (\varepsilon_{\alpha} + i0^+ - H_e)^{-1} \Gamma_{\alpha} |a_0\rangle$ and $|p'\rangle = |p\rangle + (\varepsilon_p + i0^+ - H_e)^{-1} \Gamma_p |a_0\rangle$. The broadness of the Fermi distribution around ε_F in the π^* band and around $\varepsilon_F + V_s$ in the tip is assumed to be negligible in the evaluation of the integration in eq. (2). The evaluation point for the integration in eq. (2) are defined at $\varepsilon = eV_s - n\hbar\omega - \lambda_n$. $\Delta_t(\varepsilon)$ is assumed to take constant value of Δ_t in the evaluations in eq. (2). Because the height of the energy barrier for the flip-flop motion of the dimer in the c(4×2) ordered structure and the height for the type-P defect are estimated from the model potential of Ge(001) [7] as about $4.2 \times 10^2 \text{meV}$ and $3.1 \times 10^2 \text{meV}$, respectively, n is estimated to be of the order of $20 \sim 30$ for the transformations.

The surface localized states of the π -band and the π^* -band are formed mainly through the hybridization of the dangling bonds on the dimers. The first-principles calculations (FPC) [20] and scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) [8, 9] show that the π -band and the π^* -band have the two-dimensional character and the quasi-one-dimensional character, respectively, and the band widths of both bands are obtained to be about 1 eV. In quasi-one-dimensional bands, the density of states has large values near the band edges, and becomes small around the central region of the band. In the present study, we assume ε_a of π^* -band to be the center of the band in energy: $\varepsilon_a = E_{\pi^*} + D_{\pi^*}/2$. Corresponding to the above mentioned character of the quasi-one-dimensional band, the energy dependence of $\Delta_s(\varepsilon)$ is simply modeled as

$$\Delta_s(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \Delta_s, & (|\varepsilon - \varepsilon_a| < \phi) \\ \Delta_L, & (\phi \leq |\varepsilon - \varepsilon_a| < \frac{1}{2}D_{\pi^*}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We know by the STS results [8, 9] that Δ_L/Δ_s and ϕ are of the order of 2 and 50meV, respectively. Because the value of Δ_L is roughly estimated about 500meV from the width of the π^* -band, ϕ is expected to be much smaller than Δ_s .

In this model, $\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}$ are obtained from eq. (2) for $E_{\pi^*} < eV_s - n\hbar\omega$ as

$$\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n} = n! \frac{4|\delta\varepsilon|^{2n}}{\pi\hbar} \Delta_t \Delta_L \prod_{j=0}^{\ell_1-1} L_j(\Delta_L)$$

$$\times \prod_{j=\max(0,\ell_1)}^{\ell_2-1} L_j(\Delta_s) \prod_{j=\max(0,\ell_2)}^n L_j(\Delta_L) \times (eV_s - n\hbar\omega - E_{\pi^*}), \quad (4)$$

where $L_j(x) = ((ev_s - j\hbar\omega)^2 + x^2)^{-1}$. The integers ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are defined by $(ev_s - \ell_1\hbar\omega) < \phi < (ev_s - (\ell_1 - 1)\hbar\omega)$ and $(ev_s - \ell_2\hbar\omega < -\phi < (ev_s - (\ell_2 - 1)\hbar\omega)$, respectively, \prod_k^{ℓ} is assigned to 1 for $\ell < 0$, and $ev_s = eV_s - \lambda_n - \varepsilon_a$. We take the scope to the case of $ev_s \sim 0$, hereafter. As mentioned above, we assume from STS results [8, 9] that $\phi \ll \Delta_s < \Delta_L$. In the condition of $ev_s \sim 0$, the voltage dependent terms of $ev_s - j\hbar\omega$ appearing in the denominators of the products in eq. (4) are approximately neglected. The inelastic rate of $\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}$ are classified by the relative values of ev_s to $-\phi$ or ϕ as

$$\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n} \approx \frac{4n! \Delta_t}{\pi\hbar \Delta_L} \left(\frac{|\delta\varepsilon|}{\Delta_L} \right)^{2n} (eV_s - n\hbar\omega - E_{\pi^*}) F(ev_s),$$

$$F(ev_s) = \begin{cases} 1 & (ev_s \leq -\phi) \\ (\Delta_L/\Delta_s)^{2(ev_s + \phi)/\hbar\omega} & (-\phi \leq ev_s < \phi) \\ (\Delta_L/\Delta_s)^{4\phi/\hbar\omega} & (\phi \leq ev_s) \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Eq. (5) shows that $\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}$ increases gradually with the powers of the bias voltage for $ev_s < -\phi$, and that it starts to increase exponentially with the bias voltage around $ev_s \approx -\phi$. At the bias voltages of $ev_s > \phi$, $\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}$ increases again with the powers of the bias voltage. The enhancement for $\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}$ caused by the exponential increase in the range of $-\phi \leq ev_s < \phi$ is up to $(\Delta_L/\Delta_s)^{4\phi/\hbar\omega}$. The enhancement factor $(\Delta_L/\Delta_s)^{4\phi/\hbar\omega}$ is expected to reaches $2^{15} \approx 3.3 \times 10^4$ from the estimated values. Though the estimations for Δ_L/Δ_s and ϕ do not have a high accuracy, we know that the rate of the local transformation increases steeply around $eV_s = \varepsilon_a + \lambda_n$, and the enhancement reaches to the order of 10^4 . λ_n for the flip-flop motion and ε_a are estimated to be about 0.1eV and 0.6eV, respectively, from the height of the energy barrier for the flip-flop motion and the width of the π^* -band and the STS results [8, 9]. Using the estimated values of λ_n and ε_a , we get $V_s \approx 0.7\text{V}$ at which the condition $ev_s = 0$ is satisfied. This value of 0.7V for the bias voltage shows good agreement with the experimental results [8, 9] where the transformation to the p(2×2) structure for $V_s \leq 0.7\text{V}$ is so slow as to be hardly observed, and that for $0.8\text{V} \leq V_s$ is fast enough to be well observed.

The excitation rate of the vibration of the dimer at the position r away from the dimer beneath the tip, $\sigma_{r,0 \rightarrow n}$ is obtained in the lowest order in $|\delta\varepsilon|$ in the essentially same way as $\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}$:

$$\sigma_{r,0 \rightarrow n} = 2 \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{\alpha', p'} (1 - f(\varepsilon_{\alpha'} - \varepsilon_F)) f(\varepsilon_{p'} - eV_s - \varepsilon_F) \times |\langle \alpha', n, r | H_{ev} (1 + G_{e-v}(\varepsilon'_p) H_{ev}) | p', 0, 0 \rangle|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \delta(\varepsilon_{\alpha'} + n\hbar\omega - \varepsilon_{p'}) \\
& = n! \frac{4|\delta\varepsilon|^{2n}}{\pi\hbar} \int_{E_{\pi^*}}^{eV_s - n\hbar\omega} d\varepsilon \Delta_t(\varepsilon + n\hbar\omega) \Delta_s(\varepsilon) \\
& \quad \times |G_{r0}(\varepsilon + n\hbar\omega)|^2 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} |G_{rr}(\varepsilon + j\hbar\omega)|^2, \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $G_{rr}(\varepsilon) = \langle a_r | (\varepsilon + i0^+ - H_e)^{-1} | a_r \rangle = G_{00}(\varepsilon)$ and $G_{r0}(\varepsilon) = \langle a_r | (\varepsilon + i0^+ - H_e)^{-1} | a_0 \rangle$. In order to obtain $G_{r0}(\varepsilon)$, we rewrite the Hamiltonian H_e by the bases of $|a_0\rangle$, $|a_r\rangle$ and their orthogonalized states $|q\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_e = & \sum_q \varepsilon_q c_q^\dagger c_q + \varepsilon_a a_0^\dagger a_0 + \varepsilon_a a_r^\dagger a_r \\
& + \left(\sum_q \Gamma_{0q} a_0^\dagger c_q + \text{H.c.} \right) + \left(\sum_q \Gamma_{rq} a_r^\dagger c_q + \text{H.c.} \right) \\
& + (W_{r0} a_r^\dagger a_0 + \text{H.c.}), \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where the terms concerning with the tip are omitted. The transfer integrals of Γ_{rq} and Γ_{0q} in eq. (7) have the magnitudes of same order as Γ_α in eq. (1) for large r . Using the rewritten form of H_e in eq. (7), we obtain easily $G_{r0}(\varepsilon)$ as $G_{r0}(\varepsilon) = (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_a + i0^+ - C_{00})^{-1} (C_{r0} + W_{r0}) G_{00}$, where $C_{00} = \sum_q |\Gamma_{0q}|^2 (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_q + i0^+)^{-1}$ and $C_{r0} = \sum_q \Gamma_{rq} \Gamma_{0q}^* (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_q + i0^+)^{-1}$. The integration in eq. (6) is approximately evaluated at $eV_s - n\hbar\omega - \lambda_n$ same way as for $\sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}$. The excitation rate $\sigma_{r,0 \rightarrow n}$ is approximately obtained as

$$\sigma_{r,0 \rightarrow n} \approx |(-i\Delta_{r0} + W_{r0})|^2 \Delta_{00}^{-2} \sigma_{0,0 \rightarrow n}, \quad (8)$$

where $\Delta_{00} = \pi \sum_q |\Gamma_{0q}|^2 \delta(eV_s + \varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_q)$ and $\Delta_{r0} = \pi \sum_q \Gamma_{rq} \Gamma_{0q}^* \delta(eV_s + \varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_q)$. The absolute value of W_{r0} almost vanishes out within the distance of a few dimers. For the one-dimensional band, both the absolute values of Δ_{r0} and W_{r0} vanish on the dimer rows other than the row including the dimer beneath the tip. On the dimer row including the dimer beneath the tip, the absolute value of Δ_{r0} does not decay with the absolute value of r for the one-dimensional band, because only a few discrete points of q contribute to the summation of q for Δ_{r0} for the one-dimensional band. We know that because of the quasi-one-dimensional character of the π^* -band, the transformation to the $p(2 \times 2)$ structure for $V_s > 0$ is restricted almost into the dimer row including the dimer beneath the tip and the rate of the transformation decays much slowly with the distance from the dimer beneath the tip. The transformed region into the $p(2 \times 2)$ structure along the dimer row is expected to extend to be of the order of the mean free path of the electron in the π^* -band.

For the two-dimensional band, the excitation rate $\sigma_{r,0 \rightarrow n}$ is also obtained in the same form as in eq. (8). In spite of the fast decay of the excitation rate on r , W_{r0} does not vanish on both the direction of parallel and perpendicular to the dimer row in the two dimensional

band. The absolute value of W_{r0} is effective only within the distance of a few dimers. For the two-dimensional band, Δ_{r0} shows the quasi-isotropic power-law decay on r , because in the two-dimensional band, the continuous points of q on a line in the q -space contribute to the summation of q for Δ_{r0} , and the summation of q is replaced by integral on the line in the q -space. These results for the position dependence of the rate for the two dimensional band reproduce well the experiment results [8, 9] of the local transformation to the $c(4 \times 2)$ for $V_s < 0$, where the transformed region is restricted within the range of a few nm away from the dimer beneath the tip and the transformed region has the quasi-isotropic shape.

In conclusion, we have investigated theoretically the coherent excitation rate of the dimer vibration, using the Hamiltonian consisting of the terms of the electron system and the electron-vibration coupling terms. We show that the transformations of the local structures by STM [8, 9] is driven by the dimer vibration excited coherently by the STM current. The sample bias voltage above which the transformation to the $p(2 \times 2)$ structure is able to be observed, is semiquantitatively reproduced by the quasi-one-dimensional character of the π^* -band. For the one-dimensional band, the excitation rate is shown to have a term not decaying on the distance from the STM tip. The contrastive difference of the shapes of the transformed region depending on the sign of the bias voltage observed by the experiments [8, 9] is explained by the dimensionality of the π -band and the π^* -band.

-
- [1] S. Ferrer, X. Torrelles, V. H. Etgens, H. A. van der Vegt and P. Fajardo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1771 (1995).
 - [2] C. A. Lucas, C. S. Dower, D. F. McMorro, G. C. L. Wong, F. J. Lamelas and P. H. Fuoss, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 10375 (1993).
 - [3] T. Sato, M. Iwatsuki and H. Tochiyara, J. Electron Microsc. **48**, 1 (1999).
 - [4] Y. Yoshimoto, Y. Nakamura, H. Kawai, M. Tsukada and M. Nakayama, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 1965 (2000).
 - [5] Y. Yoshimoto, Y. Nakamura, H. Kawai, M. Tsukada and M. Nakayama, Surf. Rev. Lett. **6**, 1045 (1999).
 - [6] Y. Nakamura, H. Kawai, Y. Yoshimoto and M. Tsukada, Surf. Sci. **493**, 361 (2001).
 - [7] H. Kawai, Y. Yoshimoto, H. Shima, Y. Nakamura and M. Tsukada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71**, 2192 (2002).
 - [8] Y. Takagi, Y. Yoshimoto, K. Nakatsuji and F. Komori, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72**, 2425 (2003).
 - [9] Y. Takagi, Y. Yoshimoto, K. Nakatsuji and F. Komori, Surf. Sci. **559**, 1 (2004).
 - [10] H. Kawai and O. Narikiyo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73**, 417 (2004) (Errata: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** No. 6 (2004)).
 - [11] B. N. J. Persson and M. Persson, Solid State Comm. **36**, 175 (1980).
 - [12] B. N. J. Persson and A. Baratoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 339 (1987).
 - [13] S. Gao, M. Persson, and B. I. Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. B

- 55**, 4825 (1997).
- [14] N. Mingo and K. Makoshi, Surf. Sci. **438**, 261 (1999).
 - [15] N. Mingo and K. Makoshi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** 3694 (2000).
 - [16] K. Makoshi, N. Mingo, T. Mii, H. Ueba, and S. Tikhodeev, Surf. Sci. **493**, 71 (2001).
 - [17] N. Mingo, K. Makoshi, T. Mii, and H. Ueba, Surf. Sci. **482-485**, 96 (2001).
 - [18] B. N. J. Persson, H. Ueba, Surf. Sci. **502-503**, 18 (2002).
 - [19] G. P. Salam, M. Persson and R. E. Palmer, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 10655 (1994).
 - [20] M. Rohlfing, P. Krüger and J. Pollmann, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 13759 (1996).