

On the correspondence between a screw dislocation in gradient elasticity and a regularized vortex

Markus Lazar

Laboratoire de Modélisation en Mécanique,
Université Pierre et Marie Curie,
4 Place Jussieu, Case 162,
F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

April 14, 2024

Abstract

We show the correspondence between a screw dislocation in gradient elasticity and a regularized vortex. The effective Burgers vector, nonsingular distortion and stress fields of a screw dislocation and the effective circulation, smoothed velocity and momentum of a vortex are given and discussed.

Keywords: Dislocation; Vortex; Gradient theory

1 Introduction

The close relationship between vortices in fluid mechanics and dislocations in elasticity theory has been known for many years (see, e.g., [1, 2]). Both the dislocations and the vortices have singularities at the dislocation line and vortex line, respectively. Nowadays it is very popular to regularize the stress and/or strain fields of a dislocation by means of nonlocal elasticity [3, 4] and gradient elasticity [5–10]. In such a theory an additional parameter called gradient coefficient is introduced. On the other hand, in fluid mechanics a so-called “ α -model” is used to smooth out the velocity of a vortex and an arbitrary parameter appears [11–13].

The main purpose of the present letter will be to give a one to one correspondence between the field quantities of a nonsingular screw dislocation in gradient elasticity and a smoothed vortex in fluid mechanics. Some similarities between gradient elasticity and the so-called “ α -model” will be discussed. For instance, we will see that the parameter α is a kind of a gradient coefficient in such a model.

2 Screw dislocation in gradient elasticity

Dislocations are fundamental line-like defects in solids. We consider a single screw dislocation contained within an infinitely extended solid body. Its dislocation line and Burgers vector

E-mail: lazar@lmm.jussieu.fr

are directed along the z-direction. The Burgers vector is the measure of strength (topological charge) of a dislocation and may be expressed in elasticity as follows

$$b_i = \int_{\Gamma} \epsilon_{ij} dx_j; \quad (1)$$

where ϵ_{ij} is the elastic distortion tensor in elasticity. In cylindrical coordinates, the elastic distortion of a single screw dislocation is given by [14]

$$\epsilon_{z'} = \frac{b}{2r}; \quad (2)$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$. Therefore, we obtain $b_z = b$. In gradient elasticity, one may define a smoothed distortion tensor ϵ_{ij} which has to satisfy the following inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation

$$\nabla^2 \epsilon_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ij}; \quad (3)$$

where the right hand side is given in terms of ϵ_{ij} . It is obvious that Eq. (3) may be rewritten in the form of an integral relation as a convolution integral

$$\epsilon_{ij}(r) = \int_V G(r-r^0) \epsilon_{ij}(r^0) dV(r^0); \quad (4)$$

where the two-dimensional Green function is given by

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{2} K_0(r); \quad (5)$$

Here K_n denotes the modified Bessel function of the second kind and of order n . In Eq. (3) a parameter with the dimension of an inverse length is introduced. Eventually, one may define a characteristic length scale $\ell = \ell^{-1}$.

Substituting (2) into Eq. (3), we find for the distortion in gradient elasticity [5,8,9]

$$\epsilon_{z'} = \frac{b}{2} \frac{1}{r} [1 - \ell^2 r K_1(\ell r)]; \quad (6)$$

This distortion is smoothed within the dislocation core and decays like r^{-1} for large r . It is zero at $r = 0$ and has a maximum of $\epsilon_{z'}^{\max} = 0.399 b \ell^2$ at $r = 1.14 \ell^{-1}$. The distortion (6) satisfies the condition, $\epsilon_{ij} = 0$.

Using this smoothed distortion, the effective Burgers vector is calculated as [8,9]

$$b_z(r) = \int_{\Gamma} \epsilon_{z'} r d' = b [1 - \ell^2 r K_1(\ell r)]; \quad (7)$$

It differs from the constant value b in the region from $r = 0$ up to $r = 6\ell^{-1}$. In fact, we find $b_z(0) = 0$ and $b_z(1) = b$.

The dislocation density tensor is defined by

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{jkl} \epsilon_{k il} \quad (8)$$

and fulfills the Bianchi identity for the torsion

$$\epsilon_{j i j} = 0; \quad (9)$$

which means that dislocations cannot end inside the solid body. With (6) and (8) the dislocation density of a single screw dislocation is obtained as [8,9]

$$\epsilon_{zz} = \frac{b^2}{2} K_0(r); \quad (10)$$

In the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (10) converts to the classical dislocation density $\epsilon_{zz} = b \delta(x) \delta(y)$. By means of the smoothed distortion and the Hooke law

$$\epsilon_{ij} = 2 \lambda^{-1} \sigma_{ij} + \lambda^{-1} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij}; \quad (11)$$

where λ and μ are the Lamé constants, the smoothed stress tensor of the single screw dislocation has the following form [3,4,6,8,10]

$$\sigma_{zr} = \frac{b}{2} \frac{1}{r} \ln \frac{r}{r_0} K_1(r); \quad (12)$$

which does not possess a singularity.

3 Regularized vortex

Vortices are fundamental line-like excitations of a fluid. We consider a vortex in an infinitely extended fluid for which the conditions of static current and of incompressibility are fulfilled

$$\partial_i v_i = (\partial_j v_j) v_i = 0; \quad \text{with} \quad \partial_i v_i = 0; \quad (13)$$

Here v_i denotes the fluid velocity and $\omega_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j v_k$ is the vorticity vector. The vortex line lies in the z -direction. The fluid velocity of such a single vortex is in fluid mechanics given by [15]

$$v_r = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi r}; \quad (14)$$

which is infinite at $r = 0$. Here, Γ denotes the circulation which is a measure of strength of the singular vortex and $\Gamma = \oint v_i dx_i$. Therefore, the corresponding vortex density reads

$$\omega_z = \delta(x) \delta(y); \quad (15)$$

On the other hand, one may define a locally smoothed velocity u_i by the following inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation (see, e.g., [12,13])

$$-\Delta u_i = v_i; \quad (16)$$

and the corresponding integral relation

$$u_i(x) = \int G(x - x^0) v_i(x^0) dV(x^0); \quad (17)$$

which is introduced in the "alpha-model". It is interesting to note that Eqs. (16) and (17) are similar in form as Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively. For two-dimensional problems G is given by

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(r); \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad (18)$$

and the three-dimensional Green function reads

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi r} \exp(-r); \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}; \quad (19)$$




Figure 1: Smoothed velocity u' of a vortex (solid curve) is given in units of $\frac{1}{2} \frac{K_0}{r_0}$. The dashed curve represents the classical solution.

In our case the problem has cylindrical symmetry around the vortex line and, thus, it is two-dimensional. Using (16) with (14), the smoothed velocity for a single vortex is found as

$$u' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r} \right) \quad (20)$$

This smoothed velocity is displayed graphically in Fig. 1. It has no singularity. In fact, it is zero at $r = 0$ and the maximum value of $u'^{\max} = 0.399 \frac{1}{2} \frac{K_0}{r_0}$ occurs at $r' = 1.114$. Thus, the position and the value of the maximum velocity depend on the parameter. On the other hand, these quantities should be measured in an experiment or in a related simulation. Therefore, in this way one can determine the parameter for such a single vortex.

Using Eq. (20), the effective circulation may be calculated

$$\Gamma(r) = \int_0^r u' r' dr' = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r} \right) \quad (21)$$

The effective circulation is plotted in Fig. 2. One can see that it differs from the constant value in the region from $r = 0$ up to $r' = 6$. It has $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and $\Gamma(1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, one might take $r_c = 6$ as the core radius of the vortex. Again, the parameter can be determined by the measurement of the profile for the effective circulation.

In analogy to the dislocation tensor (10), one may define a smoothed vorticity or vortex density as follows

$$t_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j u_k \quad (22)$$

and it fulfills the "Bianchi identity in fluid mechanics"

$$\partial_i t_i = 0 \quad (23)$$

Thus, vortices cannot end inside the fluid. With (20) the vortex density is given by

$$t_z = \frac{1}{2} \frac{K_0}{r} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r} \right) \quad (24)$$

which is smeared out around the vortex line. It is interesting to note that in the limit as $r_0 \rightarrow 0$, t_z approaches $\frac{1}{2} K_0$ which is given by Eq. (15).




Figure 2: Effective circulation $\Gamma(r)$ (solid curve).

Eventually, the smoothed momentum vector is defined as

$$\mathbf{p}_i = \rho \mathbf{u}_i; \quad (25)$$

where ρ denotes the density. For the single vortex we find the smoothed momentum

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{1}{r} \mathbf{e}_\theta \quad r = K_1 r_0 : \quad (26)$$

It does not possess any singularity.

4 Summary

We have shown a one to one relationship between a screw dislocation in gradient elasticity and a smoothed vortex. A review of the correspondence between a regularized vortex in fluid mechanics and a screw dislocation in gradient elasticity is given in Table 1. One mathematical

Table 1: The correspondence between a vortex and a screw dislocation

vortex		screw dislocation	
smoothed velocity	\mathbf{u}	smoothed elastic distortion	\mathbf{z}'
effective circulation	$\Gamma(r)$	effective Burgers vector	$\mathbf{b}_z(r)$
smoothed circulation	Γ_z	dislocation density	ρ_z
density	ρ	shear modulus	
smoothed momentum	\mathbf{p}	smoothed stress field	\mathbf{z}'
characteristic length scale		characteristic length scale	$\ell = 1/\mu$

distinction, however, is that the characteristic fluid quantities are vectors or scalars and their counterparts in solids are second rank tensors or vectors. We have discussed the similarities

between gradient elasticity and the basic equations in the α -model". It turns out that the α -model may be considered as a gradient or nonlocal theory for the vortex in fluid mechanics. The α -parameter is the gradient parameter in the model. In addition, we have given some hints to determine the α -parameter for a vortex in an experiment or simulation.

References

- [1] F.R.N. Nabarro, *Theory of Crystal Dislocations*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1967).
- [2] M.J. Marcinkowski, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)* 152 (1989) 9.
- [3] A.C. Eringen, *J. Appl. Phys.* 54 (1983) 4703.
- [4] A.C. Eringen, *Nonlocal Continuum Field Theories*, Springer, New York (2002).
- [5] M.Yu. Gutkin and E.C. Aifantis, *Scripta Materialia* 35 (1996) 1353.
- [6] M.Yu. Gutkin and E.C. Aifantis, *Scripta Materialia* 40 (1999) 559.
- [7] M.Yu. Gutkin and E.C. Aifantis, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)* 214 (1999) 245.
- [8] M. Lazar, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 35 (2002) 1983.
- [9] M. Lazar, *Computational Materials Science* 28 (2003) 419.
- [10] M. Lazar and G.A. Maugin, *Nonsingular stress and strain fields of dislocations and disclinations*. (2003) submitted to: *Int. J. Engng. Sci.*
- [11] D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden and T.S. Ratiu, *Adv. Math.* 137 (1998) 1.
- [12] D.C. Montgomery and A. Pouquet, *Phys. Fluids* 14 (2003) 3365.
- [13] C. Foias, D.D. Holm and E.S. Titi, *Physica D* 152–153 (2001) 505.
- [14] R. deWit, *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards* 77A (1973) 607.
- [15] A. Sommerfeld, *Lectures on Theoretical Physics, Vol. II, Mechanics of deformable bodies*, Academic Press, New York (1967).