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#### Abstract

B ased on a discrete velocity model, tw o m ultispeed nite-di erence lattice Boltzm ann m ethods for binary $m$ iscible uids are form ulated. O ne is for sim ulating isotherm alsystem $s$ at the $N$ avier-Stokes level. The other is for sim ulating therm al and com pressible system s at the Euler level. Since the latter $m$ ethod satis es higher rank of isotropy, it can also be used to sim ulate the form er system $s$. $T$ he form ulated $m$ odels are based on a two- uid kinetic theory. Forbinary mixtures the used nitedi erence schem e overcom es defects, in existing m ethod, resulted from the splitting schem e where an evolution step is separated as a propagation and a collision ones. The validity of the proposed $m$ ethods is veri ed by investigating (i) the Couette ow and (ii) the uniform relaxation process of the di erences of ow velocity and tem perature of the two com ponents. PACS num bens: 47.11.+ j, 51.10.+ y, 0520 D d


## I. INTRODUCTION

$K$ inetic theory studies change rates and corresponding $m$ echanism $s$ of $m$ aterial properties. $G$ as kinetic theory plays a fundam ental role in understanding $m$ any com plex processes. To $m$ ake solutions possible, $m$ any of the kinetic $m$ odels for gases are based on the linearized Boltzm ann ration, especially based on the BGK approxim atio Since only in very lim ited cases analytic solutions of Boltzm ann equation are available, designing discrete kinetic $m$ ethods to sim ulate com plex system $s$ from $m$ icroscopic and/or $m$ esoscopic level(s) is becom ing a prom ising and viable approach. Basically speaking, there are tw o options to sim ulate Boltzm ann equation system s. First, one can design procedures based on the fundam ental properties of rare ed gas alone, like free ow, the $m$ ean free path, and collision frequency. Such schem es do not need an a priori relationship to B oltzm ann equation, but the schem es them selves w ill re-
ect $m$ any ideas and/or concepts used in the derivation of B oltzm ann equation. In the best cases, such sim ulations w ill produce results that are consistent $w$ ith and converge to solutions of the Boltzm ann equation. T he second option is to start from the Boltzm ann equaina and design sim ulation schem es as accurate as possib] To discretize the Boltzm ann equation, the rst scheme that one can intuitively want to use $m$ ay be the general nite-di erence schem e . T his schem e is referred to as the nite-di erence lattice Boltzm ann m ethod (FD LBM). A big question here is $\backslash \mathrm{H}$ ow to treat $w$ ith in nite velocities ?". The second schem e irne so-called special form of the nite-di erence schen \{ the splitting scheme where one evolution step is treated as a propagation and a collision ones. This idea is exten ly used in the lattioe gas cellular autom ata (LGCA and the standard lattice Boltzm ann $m$ ethod (SLBM). H istorically, the latter was developed from the form er by overcom ing som e well-known defects. A big question here is $\backslash W$ hether or not are the sim ulation results practical or physical ?"

Since the E uler and N avier-Stokes equations also have their basis in Boltzm ann equation \{ the form er can be derived from the latter under the hydrodynam ic lim it
by using the Chapm ann Enskog analys: an appropriately designed LBM (SLBM or FDLBIV) can be regarded as a usefiul tool to sim ulate hydrodynam ic equations from the $m$ icroscopic or $m$ esoscopic level, which is di erent from the conventionalm ethods which start directly from the hydrodynam ic equations. Various merits can be expected from appropriately designed LBM s: (i) sim ple schem es, (ii) linear advectiv m s , (iii) high resolution for shock w ave com putatio: (iv) inter ticle interactions can be easily inco "... neede etc. In system s involving interface the interfaces separating di erent com ponents/aom ans are di cult for the conventionalN avier-Stokes solver to track due to the com plex geom etry and possible phase change. A dditionally, for som e system s such as those involving pollutant dispersion, chem ical processing, com bustor mixing, it is di cult to $\mathrm{m}^{-n u c t ~ c o n t i n u u m ~ t o a s e d ~} \mathrm{~m}$ odels from the rst princip In such cases, LBM is expected to be a convenient tool. D ue to the histon reason, the SLBM has been studied $m$ ore extensivel than the FD LBM.

In this study our interest is focused on binary $m$ ixtures. A thhough various LBM s have been proposed and developed, we study again because of the fact: (i) The FDLBM and SLBM are expected to be com plem entary in the LBM studies. C om parison between them will tell that in which kind of cases the SLBM or
 LBM
long to the SLBMI ; (I) MV OSt existing LBIV S form uitloom ponent uids ar ew hat heuristic and based on the single- uid theo while a two- uid theory is generally expected to givem ore exact description of the hydrodynam ics for binary uids. At the sam e tim e, for some $m$ ulticom ponent system $s$, for exam ple, granular m ixture system $s$, the above cited LBM $\quad$ not expected to be successfully used; (iii) In Ref. a SLBM based on a two- uid kinetic theory was proposed and developed. It is a pity that $w$ th in this $m$ odelm ass conservation does not hold for each individual species at the N avier-Stokes level. The basic reason is that in the SLBM the \propagation" step (particle or distribution functions hopping between neighboring cells) produces an arti cial di usion term between the two components. (For single-
com ponent uid this term becom es zero.) In sum mary, developing LBM swhich are based on two- uid kinetic theory is still an open project. W e expect that the formulated FDLBM s can, at least partly, $\quad l l$ this gap and overcom e the defects of SLBM for binary $m$ ixtures.

The im plem entation of the FDLBM depends on designing of appropriate discrete velocity models (DVM). The continuous Boltzm ann equation has in nite velocities. So the rotational sym $m$ etry is autom atically satis ed. Recovering rotational sym $m$ etry from nite discrete velocities im pose constraints on the DVM used. TheFD LBM was proposed to im prove th -....n ericalstability and to apply to nonuniform grid Recently, nite-di erence ] in $m$ etnoas have been paid $m$ ore attentio. For single-com ponent uids FD LBM s have been designed to sim ulat- therm al uids at the Euler and the N anin Stokes leve For tw o-com po uids FD LBM based on the oneuid theos have been investrgated.
In this paper, we extend the FDLBM to study twodim ensionalbinary $m$ iscible gas $m$ ixtures. The study is based on a two- uid kinetic theory and a discrete octagonal velocity m odel which has up to seventh rank of isotropy. The form ulated DVM $s$, combined $w$ ith an appropriate nite-di erence schem e, are designed to sim ulate them al and com pressible Euler equations and isotherm alN avier-Stokes equations. In Section II we discuss the BGK kinetic theory for binary $m$ ixtures. Two FD LBM s are form ulated and num erically veri ed in Section III. Section IV concludes and rem arks the present paper.

## II. BGK K INETIC THEORY

In a multicom ponent gas system, there are a num ber of com peting equilibration processes occurring sim ultaneously. R oughly speaking, the approach to equilibrium can be divided into two processes. The rst one is that each species equilibrates within itself so that its local distribution function approaches the local $M$ axw ellian distribution. The second one is that the entire system equilibrate so that the di erences am ong di erent species eventually vanish. C orrespondingly, the interparticle collisions can be divided into collisions w th in the sam e species (self-collisior pllisions am ong di erent species (cross-collision

## A. Form ulation of the theoreticalm odel

 use the BGK m odelior Dinary m ixtures. We use superscripts, and \&, to denote the two kinds of com ponents. $T$ he $D$-dim ensional BGK kinetic equation for species
reads,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{v} \frac{\varrho}{@ r} f+a \frac{@}{@ v} f=J+J^{\&} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& J=1^{h} f \quad f^{(0)^{i}}, J^{\&}=\frac{1}{\&}^{h} f \quad f^{\&(0)^{i}} \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{f}^{(0)}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{(2 \quad)^{\mathrm{D}=2}} \exp \frac{(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u})^{2^{\#}}}{2},  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{f}^{\AA(0)}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{(2)^{\mathrm{D}=2}} \exp \frac{\left(\mathrm{v} \mathrm{u}^{\AA}\right)^{2}}{2{ }^{\star}},  \tag{4}\\
& =\frac{k_{B} T}{m}, \quad \&=\frac{k_{B} T^{\&}}{m} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{f}^{(0)}$ and $\mathrm{f}^{\&(0)}$ are corresponding M axw ellian distribution functions. $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{T}$ are the local density, hydrodynam ic velocity and localtem perature of the species . $u^{\&}, T$ \& are the hydrodynam ic velocity and local tem perature of the $m$ ixture after equilibration process.

For species , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{dv} \mathrm{f} \text {, }  \tag{6}\\
& \text { Z } \\
& n u=d v v f \text {, }  \tag{7}\\
& \frac{D}{2} n k_{B} T=\quad d v \frac{1}{2} m \quad(v \quad u)^{2} f,  \tag{8}\\
& =n \mathrm{~m} \text {, }  \tag{9}\\
& \mathrm{e}_{\text {int }}=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{2} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $e_{\text {int }}$ is the local intemal energy of species. For species \& , we have sim ilar relations. For the $m$ ixture, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}^{\star},=+{ }^{\star},  \tag{11}\\
& u^{\AA}=\underline{u+{ }^{\&} u^{\star}},  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{D}{2} n k_{B} T^{\&}=\quad d v \frac{1}{2}\left(v \quad u^{\AA}\right)^{2}\left(m \quad f+m^{\AA} f^{\AA}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to nd the follow ing relations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\&}=u^{\&}, T^{\&}=T^{\&}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\&} \quad u=-\frac{\left.u^{\&} \quad u\right),}{}  \tag{15}\\
T^{\&} \quad T=\frac{n^{\star}}{n}\left(T^{\&} \quad T\right)+\frac{\&}{D n k_{B}}\left(u \quad u^{\AA}\right)^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

There are three sets of hydrodynam ic quantities ( m ass, velocity and tem perature) involved. But only two sets of them are independent. So this is a two- uid theory. We assum $e$ that the local equilibrium distribution function $\mathrm{f}^{\&(0)}$ can be calculated through expanding around $\mathrm{f}{ }^{(0)}$ to the rst order. Then, the cross-collision term becom es
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{\&}{\&}, T=\frac{n n^{\star}}{{ }^{\star} n} k_{B}, M \quad \&=n \frac{\&}{2^{\&} n} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If use the relation $n \quad \&=n^{\AA}$ \& $\quad$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D}{\delta}=\frac{T}{\frac{\alpha}{\delta}}=\frac{n}{n^{\S}}, \frac{M^{\&}}{M^{\&}}={\frac{n}{n^{\S}}}^{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we can rew rite the BGK m ode] as

$$
\begin{gathered}
@_{t} f+v \frac{@}{\varrho r} f+a \frac{@}{@ v} f=Q+Q^{\&} \\
Q=\frac{1}{Q}+\frac{1}{\&} f \quad f^{(0)^{i}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
Q^{\&}={\frac{f}{}{ }^{(0)}}_{f_{D}}(v \quad u) \quad\left(u \quad u^{\&}\right)
$$

$$
+{ }_{T} \frac{D}{2} \frac{(v \quad u)^{2}}{D} \quad \begin{array}{lll}
1 & (T & \left.T^{\AA}\right) \\
! & &
\end{array}
$$

$$
M \& \frac{(v \quad u)^{2}}{D} \quad 1 \quad\left(u \quad u^{\AA}\right)^{2} g(22)
$$

B. Relaxation theory

To indicate the equilibration behavior of the $m$ ixture, we consider the relaxation theory of $f$ and $f^{\AA}$. For sim plicity we disregard the term s resulted from the extemal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{\&}=\frac{1}{\&}^{h} f \quad f^{(0)^{i}} \\
& \frac{f^{(0)}}{f_{D}}(v \quad u) \quad\left(u \quad u^{\text {® }}\right) \\
& +{ }_{T} \frac{D}{2} \frac{(v \quad u)^{2}}{D} \quad \begin{array}{lll}
1 & (T & \left.T^{\alpha}\right) \\
\vdots & &
\end{array} \\
& M \& \frac{(v \quad u)^{2}}{D} \quad 1 \quad\left(u \quad u^{\kappa}\right)^{2} g(17)
\end{aligned}
$$

forces and consider only an uniform relaxation theory ( $\mathrm{f}=@ \mathrm{r}=0$ ).

The $m$ ass conservation and uniform ity of the system encum that $n, n^{\infty}$ are constants. The velocity integrals for the two species give

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{u}^{\alpha}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \exp \quad \frac{\&}{\alpha}+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\alpha} \quad \frac{\mathrm{t}}{} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a vector havinc tho sam e dim ension as the velocity. E nergy integrals of for the tw o species give

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{@}{@ t}(T
\end{array} T^{\AA}\right)=\frac{1}{k_{B}} \quad \frac{T}{n}+\frac{T_{T}^{\AA}}{n^{\AA}} \quad(T) \quad T^{\AA}\right)
$$

So the equilibration oftem perature di erence follow s the follow ing relation,

T $\quad T^{\&}=C_{2} \exp \quad \frac{n^{\&}}{\varepsilon}+\frac{n}{\&} \frac{t}{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp 2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}+\frac{-}{\varepsilon} \quad \frac{t}{-} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is an anbitrary time. The second term of Eq . decreasesm ore quickly w ith tim e than rst one. The velocity and tem perature di erences of the two species vanish exponentially in time. They approach the $m$ ean gas properties. The relaxation tim es depend on both \& and ${ }^{\&}$.

## III. TW O-D IM ENSIONAL

FIN ITE-D IFFERENCELATTICE BOLTZMANN METHODS

The nth rank tensor for a velocity group ofm com ponents is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}^{(n)}{ }_{n}^{n}=X_{i=1}^{X_{i}} V_{i_{1}} V_{i 2} \quad i_{n} V \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{1}, 2$, $n$ indicate either $x$ or $y$ com ponent. $T$ he tensor is isotropic if it is invariant for the coordinate rotation and the re ection. As for being isotropic, the odd rank tensors should vanish and the even rank tensors should be the sum of all possible products of $K$ ronecker
delta. In this study, w e use the follow ing discrete velocity m odel,

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{k i} & =v_{k} \cos \frac{i}{4}, \sin \frac{i}{4} \quad, i=1,2, \\
v_{0} & =0, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

which is isotropic up to the seventh ran
A. DVM for therm al and com pressible Euler equations

U nder the condition ofw thout extemal force, the generaldescription of the multi-speed nite-di erence lattice Boltzm ann equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f_{k i}}{@ t}+v_{k i} \frac{@ f_{k i}}{@ r}=Q_{k i}+Q_{k i}^{\&} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{k i}=1^{h} f_{k i} f_{k i}^{(0)}{ }^{i} \\
& \underline{1}=\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\&} \\
& Q_{k i}^{\&}=\frac{f_{k i}^{(0)}}{{ }^{(0)}}{ }_{D}\left(v_{k i} u\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u^{\AA}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +{ }_{T} \frac{\left(v_{k i} u\right)^{2}}{2} \quad 1 \quad\left(T \quad T^{\delta}\right) \\
& M \& \frac{\left(v_{k i} \mathrm{u}\right)^{2}}{2} \quad 1 \quad\left(\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{u}^{\AA}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~g}(30) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}{ }^{(0)}=\mathrm{n} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}} \quad \exp \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\left.\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u}\right)^{2}}{ }^{2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T}, \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here subscript $k$ indicates the $k$ th set of particle velocities and i indicates the direction of the particle speed. For species ,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{Xi}_{\mathrm{ki}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}  \tag{32}\\
\mathrm{n} \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{ki}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}  \tag{33}\\
\mathrm{n} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ki}} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m} \quad\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \quad \mathrm{u}\right)^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}} \cdot \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

The discrete equilibrium distribution function has to be satisfy the follow ing requirem ents:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}{ }^{(0)}=\mathrm{n}  \tag{35}\\
& \text { ki } \\
& \text { X } \quad V_{k i} f_{k i}^{(0)}=n u  \tag{36}\\
& \text { X } \frac{1}{2} m \quad\left(v_{k i}\right)^{2} f_{k i}{ }^{(0)}=n k_{B} T+\frac{1}{2} \quad(u)^{2}  \tag{37}\\
& \text { X } \quad \mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}{ }^{(0)}=\mathrm{P} \quad+\quad \mathrm{uu}  \tag{38}\\
& \text { ki } \\
& \text { X } \\
& m \quad V_{k i} \quad V_{k i} V_{k i} f_{k i}^{(0)} \\
& =P u+u+u+u u u  \tag{39}\\
& \mathrm{X} \quad \frac{1}{2} m \quad\left(v_{k}\right)^{2} v_{k i} f_{k i}{ }^{(0)}=2 n \quad k_{B} T \quad u+\frac{1}{2} \quad(u)^{2} u  \tag{40}\\
& \text { X } \quad \frac{1}{2} m \quad\left(v_{k}\right)^{2} v_{k i} \quad V_{k i} f_{k i}{ }^{(0)} \\
& =2 \mathrm{P} \quad+\frac{\mathrm{P}}{2}(\mathrm{u})^{2}  \tag{41}\\
& +3 P u u+\frac{1}{2} \quad(u)^{2} u u
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ is the local pressure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{n} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the C hapm an Enskog analys: we can get the Euler equations described by the above discrete kinetic m odel system,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@}{@ t}+\frac{@}{@ r}(u)=0,  \tag{43}\\
& \frac{\varrho}{@ t}(u)+\frac{@}{@ r} \quad \text { u u }+\frac{@ P}{@ r} \\
& +\frac{\&}{\varepsilon}\left(u \quad u^{\delta}\right)=0 \text {, }  \tag{44}\\
& \frac{@ e}{@ t}+\frac{@}{@ r}[(e+P) u] \\
& +\frac{\& h}{\&}(u)^{2} \quad u \quad{ }^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& +\frac{n n^{\S}}{{ }^{\AA} n} k_{B}\left(T \quad T^{\AA}\right) \\
& n \frac{\&}{2{ }^{\&} n}\left(u \quad u^{\kappa}\right)^{2} \\
& =0 \text {, } \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where e is the local total energy of species

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=e_{\text {int }}+\frac{1}{2} \quad(u)^{2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $s$ in $\quad$ correspond to the cross-collision effects. The Euler equations equivalently as,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@}{@ t}=u \frac{@}{@ r} \frac{@ u}{@ r},  \tag{47}\\
& \frac{@ u}{@ t}=\frac{@}{@ r}-\frac{@}{@ r} \quad \text { u } \frac{@ u}{@ r} \\
& \frac{\&}{\&}\left(u \quad u^{\&}\right) \text {, }  \tag{48}\\
& \frac{@}{@ t}=u \frac{@}{@ r}+\frac{@ u}{@ r} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

The requirem ent equation contains up to fourth orderofthe ow velocity u. SO II is reasonable to expand the local equilibrium distribution function $f_{k i}{ }^{(0)}$ as the polynom ial form of the ow velocity up to the fourth order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}^{(0)}= & \mathrm{n} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 \frac{(\mathrm{u})^{2}}{2}+\frac{(\mathrm{u})^{4}}{8()^{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{\#} 1 \frac{(\mathrm{u})^{2}}{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \\
& +\frac{1}{2()^{2}} 1 \frac{(\mathrm{u})^{2}}{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u \\
& +\frac{1}{6()^{3}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u \mathrm{u} \\
& +\frac{1}{24(\quad)^{4}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u \mathrm{u} u
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{2} \exp \frac{\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}}{2} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a function of tem perature $T$ and particle velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$. The localequilibrium distribution function $f_{k i}{ }^{(0)}$ contains the frorth rank tensor and them om entum di usion equation contains the third rank tensor. Thus, an appropriate alscrete velocity m odol chould have an isotropy up to seventh rank. So DVM

To num erically calculate the local equilibrium distribution function $f_{k i}{ }^{(0)}$, one needs rst to calculate the
param eter $F_{k}$. It should be noted that $F$ can not be calculated directly from its de nition We rst require that it takes values in such a way that tho disThotized equilibrium distribution function satis e
Then the isotropic properties of the discrete velocIy m odelw jll ho 1 sed to $m$ ake the choioesm ore speci ed. To satisfy we require

$$
{\underset{k i}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}=1, ~(, ~}_{\text {, }}
$$

X

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} u=3()^{2}(\mathrm{u})^{4}
$$

ki
To satisfy we require

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ki}}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u},
$$

$$
\text { X } \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u}=3()^{2}(\mathrm{u})^{2} \mathrm{u}
$$ ki

To satisfy we require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ki}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}= \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{X} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u}=2()^{2}(\mathrm{u})^{2}
$$

${ }_{\mathrm{ki}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u \mathrm{u} u=9()^{3}(\mathrm{u})^{4}$.
(50 1 o satisfy we require

$$
{\underset{k i}{X} F_{k i} V_{k i} V_{k i}=, ~, ~, ~}_{\text {, }}
$$

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ki}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u=()^{2}(\mathrm{u})^{2}+2 \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{i}}
$$

X

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
3()^{3}(\mathrm{u})^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{u})^{2}+4 u^{i} . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { To satisfy } \quad \text { we require } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \\
& \text { ki } \\
& =()^{2} u+u+u  \tag{63}\\
& \text { X } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} \\
& =3()^{3}(u)^{2} u+u+u  \tag{64}\\
& +6(\quad)^{3} u \text { u } u \text {. } \\
& \text { To satisfy we require } \\
& \text { X } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}}{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u}=2(\quad)^{2} \mathrm{u},  \tag{65}\\
& \text { X } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}}{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u \mathrm{u}=9()^{3}(\mathrm{u})^{2} \mathrm{u} . \\
& \text { To satisfy we require }  \tag{66}\\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}}=2(\quad)^{2}  \tag{67}\\
& \text { ki } \\
& \text { X } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{u} \\
& =3()^{3^{h}}(u)^{2}+2 u u^{i} \text {, }  \tag{68}\\
& \mathrm{X} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}}{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u \mathrm{u} u{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \\
& =12()^{4}(u)^{4}+4(u)^{2} u u^{1} . \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

If further consider the isotropic properties of the discrete velocity $m$ odel, the above 18 requirem ents reduce to the follow ing wo ones.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ones. } \\
& \text { gives } \\
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}=1 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}=\frac{}{4} \\
& \\
& \text { give } \\
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{4}=()^{2}
\end{align*}
$$




To satisfy the above ve requirem ents, ve particle velocities are necessary. W e choose a zero speed, $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0$, and other four nonzero ones, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k}=1,2,3,4)$. From it is easy to nd the follow ing solution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
k= & 192()^{4} 24()^{3} V_{j=1} V_{k+j}^{2} \\
& +4()^{2} X_{j=1}^{3} V_{k+j} V_{k+j+1}^{2} \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=4\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2} \quad{ }_{j=1}^{3}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{j}^{2}}^{2^{i},} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$ $1,2,3,4$, and $v_{4+j}=v_{j},(j=1,2,3)$. From and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=1 \quad 8_{k=1}^{X^{4}} F_{k} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nly if the sim ulation can be stably conducted, the speci $c$ values of $y(k=1,2,3,4)$ do not a ect the accuracy itself. This exibility can be used to sim ulate a tem perature range as wide as possible. For the convenience of description later, this FD LBM will be referred to as m ethod A.

## B. DVM for isotherm alN avier-Stokes equations

Regarding a system as isotherm al is a kind of ideal treatm ent. W e expect that such a treatm ent can grasp the $m$ ain basic behaviors of the system when it approaches such a lim iting case. Energy transport phenom ena w illbe neglected, although, during the di usion process, energy $m$ ay be exchar $v$ ith the environm ent to keep the system isothem $\bar{c}$. For the isothem al case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k i}^{\&}=\frac{f_{k i}^{(0)}}{D}\left(v_{k i} \quad u\right) \quad\left(u \quad u^{\&}\right) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get the E uler and $N$ avier-Stokes equations, the follow ing requirem ents on the discrete equilibrium distribution function are necessary,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}{ }^{(0)}=\mathrm{n}  \tag{80}\\
& \mathrm{X} \\
& \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki} i} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}{ }^{(0)}=\mathrm{n} \mathrm{u}
\end{align*}
$$

X

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}^{(0)}=\mathrm{P} \quad+\mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

X

$$
\begin{align*}
m \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}^{(0)}= & \mathrm{P} u \\
& +\mathrm{u} u \mathrm{u} \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he $C$ hapm an Enskog analysis gives the $m$ ass equation at any level,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}+\frac{@}{@ r}(u)=0, \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $m$ om ent equation at the Euler level,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{@ t}(\mathrm{u})+\frac{@}{@ r} \text { u u }+\frac{@ P}{@ r} \\
& +\frac{\&}{\&}\left(u \quad u^{\S}\right) \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=0,
$$

and the m om ent equation at the N avier-Stokes level,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \frac{\varrho}{\varrho t}(u)+\frac{@}{@ r} \text { u u }+\frac{@ P}{@ r} \\
& \quad \frac{@}{@ r} \quad \frac{@ u}{@ r}+\frac{@ u}{@ r} \frac{@ u}{@ r} \\
& +\frac{\&}{\&}\left(u \quad u^{£}\right)  \tag{86}\\
& =
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=P \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that also contains the cross-collision e ects.

The energy di usion equation contains up to third order of the ow velocity u. So III IS reasonable to expand the local equilibrium distribution function $f_{k i}{ }^{(0)}$ as the polynom ialform of the ow velocity up to the third order,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ki}}{ }^{(0)}= & \mathrm{n} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 \frac{(\mathrm{u})^{2}}{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{} 1 \frac{(\mathrm{u})^{2}}{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} u+\frac{1}{2()^{2}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} u \mathrm{u} \\
& +\frac{1}{6(\quad)^{3}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

D ue to the sam e reason, up to sixth rank tensor should be isotmnic to recover the correct uid equations. So model ic acain, an appropriate choice.
To satisiy we require

$$
{ }_{k i}^{X} F_{k}=1 \text {, }
$$

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u=(\mathrm{u})^{2},
$$

To satisfy
ki we require

$$
{\underset{k i}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u}, ~}_{\text {u}}
$$

$$
{ }_{k i}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} u=3()^{2}(\mathrm{u})^{2} \mathrm{u} .
$$

To satisfy we require

If further consider the isotropic properties of the discrete velocity m odel, the above 8 requirem ents reduce to the follow ing farrones.
$R$ equirem ent

```
                                    gives
```

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}=1 \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

ki
Requirem ents gi̇ve

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}=\frac{}{4}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}}= \\
& \text { X } \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} u \\
&=()^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{2}+2 \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{i}}
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { To satisfy } \quad \text { we require } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \\
& =()^{2} \mathrm{u}+\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{u}  \tag{95}\\
& \text { X } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ki}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} u \\
& =3()^{3}(\mathrm{u})^{2} \mathrm{u}+\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{u}  \tag{96}\\
& +6()^{3} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{u}
\end{align*}
$$


X

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{4}=(\quad)^{2} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Requirem ent } \quad{ }_{k} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{6}=6()^{3} . \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

To satisfy the above four requirem ents, four particle velocities are necessary. $W$ e choose a zero speed, $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0$, and other three nonzero ones, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k}=1,2,3)$. From it is easy to nd the follow ing solution,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{k}=\frac{k}{k}  \tag{101}\\
& k=\quad n v_{k+1} v_{k+2}{ }^{2} \quad 4{ }^{k} v_{k+1}^{2}+v_{k+2} 2^{i} \\
& +24()^{2^{\circ}}  \tag{102}\\
& k=4\left(v_{k}\right)^{2}{ }^{n} v_{k+1} V_{k+2}{ }^{2}\left(v_{k}\right)^{2}{ }^{h} v_{k+1}{ }^{2}+v_{k+2} 2^{i} \\
& +\left(v_{k}\right)^{4} \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k=1 ; 2 ; 3$ and $v_{4}=v_{1}, v_{5}=v_{2}$. From $\quad$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=1 \quad 8_{k=1}^{X^{3}} F_{k} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we w ill refer to this FD LBM as m ethod B.

> C. F in ite-di erence schem e

Now, let us go to the nite-di erence im plem entation of the rete kinetic $m$ odel. There are $m$ ore than one choige at this sten. O ne possibility is to solve the evolution equation by using the E uler and the second upw ind di erence scnem es. In this case, the distribution function $f_{k i}^{;(n+1)}$ is calculated in the follow ing way,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{k i}^{;(n+1)}= & f_{k i}^{;(n)} \quad V_{k i x} \frac{@ f_{k i}^{;(n)}}{@ x}+v_{k i y} \frac{@ f_{k i}^{;(n)}}{@ y} t \\
& +Q_{k i}^{;(n)}+Q_{k i}^{\ell ;(n)} \quad t_{r} \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second superscripts $n, n+1$ indicate the consecutive tw o iteration steps, $t$ the tim e step; the spatial derivatives are calculated as
where $=x ; y$, the third subscripts $I \quad 2, I \quad 1, I, I+1$, $I+2$ indicate consecutive $m$ esh nodes in the direction.
D. N um erical veri cations of the two FDLBM s

In the procedure of form ulating $m$ ethod $A$ the equilibrium distribution function is expanded to higher order in the ow velocity. Correspondingly, m ethod A possesses higher rank of isotropy than $m$ ethod B. So it can also be used to sim ulate isotherm albinary system $s$ at the N avier-Stokes level.

A fter the form ulation process one generally expects several num erical veri cationswhich will tell (i) whether or not the discretem ethods A and B can describe the correct physical processes and (ii) how accurate they are. In this section we rst perform severalprelim inary num erical veri cations on the two discrete $m$ ethods by investigating shear ow betw een two parallel plates \{ C ouette
ow. The distance betw een the two walls is D. The upper and the low er walls possess tem peratures $\mathrm{T}_{\text {up }}, \mathrm{T}_{\text {low }}$ and at time $t=0$ start to $m$ ove at velocities $U, U$, respectively. Then we study the uniform relaxation process. W ew ork at the unit where the B oltzm ann constant $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=1$. Since only the hydrodynam ical quantities are involved, the com ponent species, 1 or/and 2, will be labelled by the subscripts in this section.

In real physical system $S$, the values of $11,22,12,21$ $m$ ay change $w$ th the localdensity of the tw o species. T he $m$ ain purpose of our num erical veri cations is to show that the tw o FD LBM s can recover exactly what the continuous kinetic BGK equations describe, instead of discussing how to choose values for $11,22,12,21$. So we do not take into account the variations of $11,22,12,21 \mathrm{~W}$ th the local densities and use xed values in the num erical veri cations.
$W$ e rst check the sim ulation results of the $m$ ethods A and $B$ for the sim plest case where the system is isotherm al and two com ponents have the sam e properties. We study the horizontal velocity pro les along a vertical line for the tw o species, 1 and 2, by using $m$ ethods A and B. T he sim ulation results exactly agree w th the follow ing analytical value,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=y \int_{j}^{x}(1)^{j^{+1}} \frac{D}{j} \exp \left(\frac{4 j^{2}{ }^{2}}{D^{2}} t\right) \sin \left(\frac{2 j}{D} y\right) \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=2 U=D$ is the im posed the shear rate, $j$ is an integer, the tw $O$ walls locate at $y=D=2$. For the exam ple show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 , the $t i m e t=2: 9$. The param eters used in sim ulation are $\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{2}=1, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{up}}=$ $\mathrm{T}_{\text {low }}=\mathrm{T}=1, \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{1}=1,11=22=12=21=0: 1$,
$=0: 001$, the space steps $x=y=0: 1$ and the tim e step $t=0: 0001$; the param eters used in Eq. are $=0: 05$ and $=1$.
Secondly, we still check the isotherm al case but the particle $m$ asses of the two com ponents are di erent. The ow velocity of the heavier species has a phase delay w ith respect to that of the lighter one and the di erence of the ow velocities eventually vanishes. W hen 12 (21) is much larger than $11(22)$, the cross-collisions $m$ ake only slight e ects. The velocity pro les of the two com -


FIG. 1: H orizontal velocity pro les along a vertical line for the two species, 1 and 2, from simulations and thoery for a given $t$ im $e t=2: 9$. The sym bols denote results from $m$ ethods $A$ and $B . T$ he used param eters are $m_{1}=m_{2}=1, T_{1}=T_{2}=$ $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{up}}=\mathrm{T}_{\text {low }}=\mathrm{T}=1 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n}_{2}=1,=0: 001$. T he solid line corresponds to Eq. $\quad$ w ith $=0: 05,=1$.
ponents can be approxim ately described by Eq. w ith corresponding kinem atic viscosities
( = 1, 2). The equilibration process of the velocity di erence is very long. H ere ${ }_{1}=n_{1} k_{B} T{ }_{1},{ }_{1}=n_{1} m_{1}$, $1=1112=(11+12)$ and $2=n_{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T} 2,2=\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~m}_{2}$, $2=222_{21}=(22+21)$. In the case shown in F ig 2 (a), $11=22=0: 1,12=21=100, \mathrm{~m}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~m}_{2}=2$, $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~T}=1, \mathrm{t}=12 . \mathrm{W}$ hen 12 (21) and 11 ( 22 ) are com parable, the di erence between the velocIty pro les of the two com ponents decreases quickly w ith tim e. The sim ulated velocity pro les for tw oonm nonents asym ptotically approach to the line of Eq w ith $=\left(n_{1} 1+n_{2}\right) k_{B} T,=n_{1} m_{1}+n_{2} m_{2}$. For num erical exam ple show n in F ig 2 (b), $11=22=12=21=0: 1$, $\mathrm{m}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~m}_{2}=2, \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{1}=1 \mathrm{~T}=1, \mathrm{t}=12$. The solid line in Fig .2 (b) is from Eq. w ith $=0: 1,=3$, $t=12$. $T$ he space and tim e steps are the sam e as those used in Fig. 1 .

Thirdly, we check the them al case where only the $m$ ethod A works. We will show that when the two com ponents are sam $e, m$ ethod A presents results which are the same a ose given by the FD LBM for singlecom ponent $u$. The latter has been shown to be strictly accurat $\quad F$ igure 3 show $s$ a com parison of sim ulation results rrom $m$ ethod A and from FDLBM for single-com ponent uid. Param eters for $m$ ethod $A$ are $\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{2}=1, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {up }}=2, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {low }}=1,11=22=12=$ $21=0: 2,=0: 001$. The initial state is uniform w th $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{2}=1, \mathrm{u}_{1}=\mathrm{u}_{2}=0$. Three param eters used in the FD LBM for single-com ponent uid are $\mathrm{m}=1, \mathrm{n}=1$, and $=0: 1$, where m is the particle m ass, $n$ is local num ber density, and is the relaxation tim e in the BGK kinetic equation. T he other param eters are sam e as those for $m$ ethod A. The pro les of horizontal velocity, local density, local tem perature and local pres-


FIG. 2: H orizontal velocity pro les along a vertical line for the tw o species, 1 and 2, from sim ulations and theory for an isothem al case at tim e $t=12$. The sym bols denote results from $m$ ethods A and B. The com $m$ on param eters for (a) and (b) are $m_{1}=1, m_{2}=2, T=1, n_{1}=n_{2}=1$, $=0: 001$, $11=22=0: 1 . \mathrm{T}$ he di erent param eters are $12=21=100$ in (a) and $12=21=0: 1$ in (b).


FIG. 3: Pro les of horizontal velocity, num ber desnity, tem perature, and pressure along a vertical line for a therm al and com pressible case at tim e $t=13$. $T$ he sym boles denote sim ulation results for the two species, 1 and 2 , from $m$ ethod A. The solid lines denote the . ulation results from FD LBM for single- component ui The param eters for $m$ ethod A are $\mathrm{m}_{1}=1=\mathrm{m}_{2}=1, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{up}}=2, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {low }}=1,=0: 001$, $11=22=0: 2$. The param eters for single-com ponent uid are $m=1, n=1, \quad 0: 1$, and other param eters are the sam e as those for $m$ ethod A.


F IG . 4: Uniform relaxtion processes. (a) Pure relaxation of tem perature di erence; (b) R elaxation of velocity di erence; (c) R elaxation of tem perature di erence. The com $m$ on param eters for (a)-(c) are $\mathrm{n}_{1}=2, \mathrm{n}_{2}=1, \mathrm{~m}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~m}_{2}=2$, ${ }_{11}={ }_{22}=1,12=1,21=2$. In (a) $u_{1}^{(0)}=u_{2}^{(0)}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}^{(0)}=1: 1, \mathrm{~T}_{1}^{(0)}=0: 9$; In (b) and (c) $\mathrm{u}_{2}^{(0)}=\mathrm{u}_{1}^{(0)}=0: 3$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}{ }^{(0)}=1: 3, \mathrm{~T}_{1}{ }^{(0)}=0: 7$. In (a) and $(\mathrm{b})$ the solid lines t the sim ulation results exactly. In (c) the slope of the sim ulation results approaches asym ptotically to $2=3$.
sure at tim $e t=13$ are show $n$, respectively, in (a)-(d). It should be noted that this is not a veri cation for Euler equations system $s$ for binary m ixtures, but an exam ple to show that the discrete $m$ ethod $A$ can recover what the continuous kinetic equations describe in the lim iting case.

The fourth veri cation is the uniform relaxation process. W hen the two com ponents have the sam e ow wolocities, the second term in lefthand-cide of Eq. becom es zero. The rst term of Eq shows the exact relaxation tim e of the tem perature al erence. (See Fig. 4 (a).) For a general case where the initial ow velocities and initial tem peratures of the two com ponents
are di erent, Eq show s the exact relaxation tim e of the velocity di erence. (See Fig. 4 (b).) T he relaxation process of tem perature di erence is m ainly determ ined by and will asym ptotically approach to the rst term of Eq. (See Fig. 4 (c).) $T$ he com $m$ on param eters for F'gg. 4 (a) -4 (c) are $\mathrm{n}_{1}=2, \mathrm{n}_{2}=1, \mathrm{~m}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~m}_{2}=2$, $11=22=1,12=1,21=2$. Speci c param eters are in (a) $\mathrm{u}_{1}=\mathrm{u}_{2}=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}^{(0)}=1: 1, \mathrm{~T}_{1}^{(0)}=0: 9$; in (b) and (c) $u_{2}^{(0)}=u_{1}^{(0)}=0: 3$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}^{(0)}=1: 3, \mathrm{~T}_{1}^{(0)}=0: 7$. T he superscript $\backslash(0)$ " denotes the corresponding initialvahe. T he slope of the solid lines in (a)-(c) are $2=3,3=4$ and
$2=3$, respectively. In F ig. 4 (c), the slope of the sim ulation results changes from $0: 630$ to $0: 666 \mathrm{w}$ ith the increase of tim e.

N ow we come to a summary: (i) The two FDLBM s recover, w ith hioh- dolity, what the continuous B G K kinetic equations describe under corresponding conditions; (ii) I he choosing of values for the relaxation times , \&\&, \& and \& depends on the system considered; (iii) In the sim ulation process one can im prove the num erical accuracy and stability by adjusting the space and tim e steps under the C ourant Friedrichs-Lew y condition .

## IV. CONCLUSIONSAND REMARKS

In the hydrodynam ic lim it the E uler and $N$ avier-Stokes equations can be derived from the Boltzm ann equation by using the Chapm ann C nskog analysis. W hen the K nudsen num ber is not sm all, the derivation of the hydrodynam ic equations breaks dow $n$, while the B oltzm ann equation is still valid. T he Boltzm ann equation is more than Euler and N avier-Stokes equations. C orrespondingly, LBM (SLBM and FDLBM) is m ore than an Euler or N avier-Stokes solver. It sim ulates system $s$ from the $m$ icroscopic orm esoscopic level. Its extensive studies are $m$ eaningful even if one has various conventional Euler or $N$ avier-Stokes solvers. SLBM and FDLBM stands for two di erent ways to discretize the B oltzm ann equation. Investigations on SLBM and FD LBM are expected to be com plem entary.
$B$ ased on a two- uid kinetic theory and a discrete octagonal velocity model, two multispeed nite-di erence lattice B oltzm ann $m$ ethods for binary gas $m$ ixtures are form ulated. O ne is for sim ulating them aland com pressble system s at the Euler level. T he other is for sim ulating isothem al system $s$ at the N avier-Stokes level. T he form er FDLBM satis es an isotropy up to seventh rank, while the latter one satis es an isotropy only up to sixth rank. The form er one can also be used to sim ulate the isothem al $N$ avier-Stokes system $s$. For binary m ixtures the used nite-di erence schem e overcom es defects, in existing SLBM, resulted from the splltting schem e where an evolution step is treated as a propagation and a collision ones. B oth the self-collision and cross-collision contribute to the viscosity, which is clearly shown in the present study.

The perform ation of this study is under the fact that developing LBM swhich are based on two- uid kinetic theories is still opening, even for the case of isotherm al system s . The two formulated FDLBMs work for system swhich can be described by the BGK kinetic theory and where the di erence of particle $m$ asses of the two com ponents are not very large. For binary mixtures $w$ th disparate-m ass com ponents, say $m \quad m^{\text { }}$, the barycentric velocity $u^{\AA} \quad u^{\AA}$ and the $m$ ean tem perature of the binary $m$ ixture $T{ }^{\AA} \quad T^{\AA}$. So it is not exact enough to expand the local M axw ellian distribution for the $m$ ixtures, $f^{\&(0)}$, around that for species , $f{ }^{(0)}$, to the rst order in $u$ and $T$. In such a case, the tw o proposed FD LBM s can still present qualitatively reasonable results, but are less accurat mhe sam e defect also exists in the pre-existing SLBN where $m$ ass conservation for each species does not hold at the $N$ avierStokes level. There are several directions along which the research is going on: (i) the stability analysis of the two FDLBM s, (ii) to construct a FDLBM for the com plete $N$ avier-Stokes equations, including the energy equation, (iii) to extend the studies to binary $m$ ixtures $w$ ith
disparate-m ass com ponents. A s for the based kinetic theory, no interaction betw een com ponent particles (except for collisions) are considered, which $m$ eans that the two com ponents are com pletely $m$ iscible ideal gases and surface tension is not present when the system is not hom ogeneous. So extending the studies to nonideal uids is $m$ eaningful and the FDLBM for non-ideal uids are expected to sim ulate $m$ ore realistic system $s$, for exam ple multiphase owswith droplets and particles, etc.
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