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#### Abstract

W e consider energy absonption by driven chaotic system $s$ of the sym plectic sym m etry class. A ccording to our analyticalpertunbative calculation, at the initialstage of evolution the energy grow th w ith tim e can be faster than linear. This appears to be an analog of weak anti-localization in disordered system $s$ w ith spin-onbit interaction. O ur analytical result is also con med by num erical calculations for the sym plectic quantum kicked rotor.


PACS num bers: 05.45 M t, $73.21 \mathrm{La}, 73.23 . \mathrm{b}, 73.20 \mathrm{Fz}$

Introduction.| The problem of energy absorption in a system driven by an extemal tim e-dependent eld is fundam ental and im portant in $m$ any areas of $m$ odem physics. For a m etallic sam ple of the volum $e V$ in an extemalelectric eld $E(t)=E_{0}$ cos! $t$ the textbook solution to this problem is given by the expression for the Joule heating in the Ohm ic regim $\mathrm{e}: \mathrm{W} \mathrm{W}_{0}=\mathrm{V}{ }_{0} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{2}=2$, is the constant energy absonption rate determ ined by the D rude conductivity 0 .

This classical picture is based on the linear response theory for system $s$ w ith essentially continuous spectrum of electron states. For quantum system $s$ w th few degrees of freedom or for $m$ esoscopic system $s w$ th $m$ any degrees of freedom but still appreciable level separation the $O$ hm ic regim e $m$ ay break dow $n$ leading to a tim edependent absorption rate $W$ ( t ).

This point can be illustrated by an example of the quantum kicked rotor ( $\mathrm{Q} K \mathrm{R}$ ) w ith the Ham iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{\hat{\imath}}{2 I}+K V()_{n=1}^{X^{A}} \quad(t \quad n T) ; \quad V()=\cos ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\imath}=\quad i @=@$ is the angular $m$ om entum, $I$ is the m om ent of inertia, and K is a constant controlling the strength of perturbation. For generic su ciently large $K$ the classical dynam ics described by the $H$ am iltonian [11) is com pletely chaotic. The period-averaged energy absomption rate $\mathrm{W}_{0}=\mathrm{K}^{2}=(4 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{I})$ in this case is independent of tim e, analogously to the O hm ic absorption. Yet at su ciently long timest $t \quad K^{2} I^{2}=T$ the $O h m$ ic regim ebreaks dow $n$ because of the accum ulation ofquantum corrections and the absorption rate decreases to zero. Thise ect is known as dynam ic localization (D L) in the energy space [ $[1] 1]$ and is analogous to A nderson localization for disordered system s [


H ow ever, if $T=(4 \mathrm{I})$ takes a rational value, the separation betw een certain energy levels of the rotorbecom es an integer multiple of the frequency $2=T$, and the absorption rate is linear in tim e: $W$ ( $t$ ) / t [1] [1]. The sam e takes place for a harm onic oscillator coupled to the extemal ham onic eld via the coordinate, when the frequency
of the eld is exactly at resonance w th the oscillator frequency []-3 a system where $W$ (t) can grow w ith time [ $[\underline{G}]$. Such an anom alous (grow ing w ith tim e) super-0 hm ic behavior is typical of resonances. In contrast to the localization, it is analogous to the ballistic transport through resonant levels in a tight-binding $m$ odel of 1d crystals. O ne can trace it back to the classical integrability of the system w ith a tim e-dependent perturbation.

In this letter we consider a class of chaotic system s w ithout resonances which show the super-0 hm ic energy absorption. Nam ely, we focus on the quantum corrections $W$ ( $t$ ) to the energy absonption rate in the tim edependent random $m$ atrix theory ( $\mathrm{RM} T$ ) of the sym plectic sym $m$ etry class, described by the $H$ am iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(t)=\hat{H}_{0}+\hat{V} \quad(t) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which possesses the tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry, but not the spin-rotation sym $m$ etry. $H$ ere $\hat{H}_{0}$ and $\hat{V}$ are random $m a-$ trices $\left[\bar{\eta}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ whose sym m etry w ill be speci ed below, and
$(t)$ is a given function of time. This model describes e. $g$. the dynam ics of electrons in driven quantum dots in the presence of a spin-orbit interaction.

The corresponding problem for the orthogonaland unitary sym $m$ etry classes has been recently considered [id] and analytical expressions for $W$ ( $t$ ) have been obtained. For the harm onic perturbation $\quad(t)=c o s!t s w$ itched on at $t=0$, the absorption rate $W$ ( $t$ ) appears to be related to the frequency-dependent di usion coe cientD (!) in a quasi-1d disordered $w$ ire of the corresponding sym $m$ etry class, w ith the quantum corrections inchuded:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W(t)}{W_{0}}={ }_{1}^{Z+1} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{e^{i!t}}{(i!+0)} \frac{D(!)}{D_{0}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0}$ is the classical di usion coe cient. This relationship does not contain any speci c feature of the m odel and is also valid for the QKR in the region of param eters where it can be m apped onto the quasi-1d nonlinear m odel $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$. If Eq. $[\overline{3})$ is valid in the sym plectic case as well, the energy absorption rate $W$ ( $(t)$ should grow w ith tim ebeyond the O hm ic $\lim$ it $\mathrm{W}_{0}$, as $\mathrm{D}(!)$ is known
to have positive quantum corrections in the presence of a spin-orbit interaction [1] ${ }^{-1} 0_{1}^{1}$. O ur calculations presented below show that this is indeed the case.

Choice of the m odel. W e adopt the follow ing singleelectron H am iltonians, which tums out to be the most convenient technically for perturbative calculations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(t)=\frac{\hat{\rho}^{2}}{2 m}+U(x)+\hat{U}_{s o}(x)+V(x) \quad(t) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\rho}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}$, and $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ are independent Gaussian random elds: $h U(x) U(x) i=a_{U} \quad(x)$ fit), $\mathrm{hV}(x) V\left(x^{0}\right) i=a_{V} \quad\left(x \quad f^{f}\right)$. The spin-orbit interaction


$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{s o}(x)=\sim \hat{h}_{s o}(r) \quad \hat{\rho}^{i} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where hU $U_{\text {so }}(x) U_{\text {so }}\left(x^{0}\right) i=a_{\text {so }}(x)$, and $\sim=\left(x ; y ;{ }^{z}\right)$ are $P$ aulim atrices.

The advantage of the m odel ( $\underline{4}^{-1}$ ) is that the spin-orbit coupling and the driving perturbation are represented by random locally correlated elds. This makes it possible, after a proper re-form ulation $[12 \overline{2}, \overline{1} 1$ $K$ eldysh form alism [14.], to apply basic rules of the im purity diagram $m$ atic technique [15'] and its extension used in the theory of weak A nderson localization and $m$ esoscopic phenom ena [1] [] to consider essentially nonlinear in the driving perturbation, non-equilibrium problem $s$.
In the absence of the tim e-dependent term Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \underline{i}^{1}\right)$ is a basic $m$ odel for describing disordered $m$ etals $w$ ith a random spin-orbit interaction. The kinetic energy term determ ines the bulk density of states (per unit volume, per spin projection). Then $a_{U}=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\rho & \beta^{0}\end{array}\right]^{2} a_{\text {so }}=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & \text { so }\end{array}\right)$, where 0 is the $m$ om entum relaxation time, so 0 is the spin relaxation time, and $\left.\overline{[\rho} \quad \mathrm{P}^{8}\right]^{2}$ denotes the $m$ om entum product averaged over the Ferm i surface. It has been shown $\left.\overline{[ }_{[1} \overline{7}_{1}\right]$ that for a nite sample in the long-time, low-energy lim it
$1={ }_{\text {so }} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Th}} \quad\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Th}}\right.$ being the T houless energy) this m odel reduces to the zero-dim ensionalnonlinear m odel which, in tum, is equivalent to the RM T of the sym plectic sym $m$ etry class. This corresponds to $\hat{H}_{0}$ in Eq. (द्Z) being a random $m$ atrix from the $G$ aussian sym plectic ensemble ( $G$ SE) w th the $m$ ean energy level separation $=1=(2 \mathrm{~V})$.
The equivalence of the $m$ odel $(\overline{4})$ to the tim e-dependent RM T can be also dem onstrated, but it requires additional conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{\mathrm{V}}}{a_{\mathrm{U}}}=4 \quad 0 \quad{\frac{!}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Th}}}}^{2} 1: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have introduced a param eter $=\mathbb{w}=2 . \mathrm{We}$ w illalw aysbe interested in the lim it ! , in which case $1=$ is the tim e required to absorb one photon, as given by the Ferm iG olden Rule. T he rst condition !'(F) allow s to neglect the multi-photon absonption processes


F IG . 1: D iagram m atic representations for (a) di uson, (b) cooperon; the G reek indices label the spin projections "; \#.
which can easily violate the condition of sm all energy transfer $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Th}}$ even when the condition! $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Th}}$ is
 corresponds to $\hat{v}$ (t) of Eq. (2, $\underline{(1)}^{-1}$ ) w ith $\hat{v}$ being a random $m$ atrix from the $G$ aussian orthogonal ensem ble (GOE), $w$ hose $m$ atrix elem ent $m$ ean square is given by $=$.
$W$ e note that if instead of the tim e-dependent perturbation with random $V(x)$ one considers a m ore physical form of the perturbation w ith some xed $V$ (x) corresponding to a uniform electric eld or a m odulation of the quantum dotcon nem ent potential, one can show [ [12-1 that under the conditions $\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\mathbf{\sigma}}\right)$, where only the basic sym $m$ etries (tim e-reversal, spin-rotation) $m$ atter, it $w i l l$ be equivalent to the sam eRMT w ith a properly rede ned. H ow ever, calculations w ould be quite cum bersom e in this case because of the necessity to take into account boundary conditions. The coupling by a random eld V (x) w ith local correlations helps to avoid this technical problem.

W e also note that instead of Eq. ([4) one can consider a m odelw here spin-orbit interaction is in the tim edependent term : $\hat{H}(t)=\hat{\rho}^{2}=2 m+U(x)+\hat{U}_{\text {so }}(x) \quad(t)$. It corresponds to a di erent kind of the tim e-dependent RMT, where in Eq. ( $\left.\bar{Z}_{2}\right) \hat{H}_{0}$ is taken from GOE and $\hat{V}$ from G SE . Still, the result tums out to be the sam e as for the model $\left(\underline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, being rem arkably robust and independent of whether the spin-rotational invariance is broken in the tim e-independent or in the tim e-dependent term [see also the discussion after Eq. (12) ].

W eak dynam ic antilocalization. $\quad$ The m odel (4) allows for a perturbative treatm ent which is very sim ilar to the theory of weak A nderson localization [16]. T he building blocks of this theory are ladder diagram s: the di uson and the cooperon ( $F$ ig be represented as the $m$ axim ally crossed ( $\backslash$ fan") series of diagram s. In general they are functions of tim e and m om entum. H ow ever, in the RM T, or ergodic, lim it the m ain contribution to observables is done by the zero mo $m$ entum $m$ ode. In this lim it the di uson D ( $\left.t ; t^{0} ;\right)$ and the cooperon $C \quad\left(;^{0} ; t\right)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \quad\left(t ; t^{0} ;\right)=(1=2) D\left(t ; t^{0} ;\right)  \tag{7}\\
&+e^{\frac{4\left(t t^{0}\right)}{3 \mathrm{so}} \sim \sim} \sim
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \quad\left(;{ }^{0} ; t\right)=C\left(;^{0} ; t\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
h \hat{\mathcal{P}_{0} j} i+e^{\frac{21}{3} \mathrm{~s}_{0}} h \hat{\hat{P}_{1} j} \text { i; }
$$

where ${\hat{P_{0 ; 1}}}$ are the projectors on the subspaces $w$ ith the total spin $S=0 ; 1$, respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{h} \hat{\mathcal{P}_{0} j} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{y} \text { y }=2 ; \\
\mathrm{h} \hat{\mathcal{P}_{1} j} \mathrm{i}= & +\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z}=2:(10)
\end{array}
$$

D ( $\left.\mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { t }} \mathrm{t}^{0} ;\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\boldsymbol{;}^{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right)$ are de ned as follow s :

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(t ; t^{0} ;\right)=\text { (t } \quad \psi \exp \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(t^{0} ;\right) d t^{\infty} \text {; }
$$

$$
C\left(;^{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\left(\quad{ }^{0}\right) \exp \quad \frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{t}^{0}} \mathrm{Z}
$$

$\left.(t)^{\infty}\right) d{ }^{\infty}$
where ( $t$; ) is determ ined by the extemal eld:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t ;) \quad[(t+=2) \quad(t \quad=2)] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that Eqs. (7, $\overline{1}, \overline{1}, \overline{1})$ retain crossover triplet term s . At tim es larger than so they decay exponentially, and the results reduce to those for the RM T m odel $\overline{\overline{2}})$ w ith $\hat{H}_{0}$ from GSE, which corresponds to so ! 0 , so that in this $m$ odel the triplet term would be absent from the very beginning. TherM T model $(\overline{2})$ w ith $\hat{H}_{0}$ from GOE and $\hat{V}$ from GSE would correspond to a nite so.
$T$ he diagram $m$ atic technique in the $K$ eldysh representation allow s to calculate the tim e-and energy-dependent electron distribution function $f(; t)$, from which one deduces the energy absorption rate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t)=\frac{@}{@ t}^{Z} f() d: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion in the num ber of the di uson or cooperon loops corresponds to the expansion in the powers of the $m$ ean levelspacing, which is assum ed to be the sm allest
 bution is given by diagram s containing no di uson or cooperon loops; it corresponds to the O hm ic absorption w ith the rate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{0}=\frac{2}{} \overline{\left(@_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the overline denotes the average over the period. $T$ he next order correction to the O hm ic absonption rate is obtained by taking into account one-loop diagram s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(t)=W_{0}+Z_{t} 3 e^{\frac{4}{3 s o}} 1 \\
& \qquad Q(t) \Theta_{t}(t \quad) C(; \quad ; t \quad=2) d:(16) \\
& \text { For }(t)=\cos !t \text { the long-tim e behavior (t } \quad 1=) \text { of }
\end{aligned}
$$ the above expression takes the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{W} 0}=1+\quad \mathrm{P}_{(1=2)}^{\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{t} ; \quad \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{so} ; \mathrm{t} ; \quad \mathrm{t} ; \quad \text { so } \quad \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{t} ; \quad \mathrm{t}=\frac{3}{2^{2}}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

These tw o lim iting cases di er by the presence or absence of the triplet contribution and correspond to the orthogonal or sym plectic sym $m$ etry classes. T hus the weak localization correction to the classical absonption rate in the sym plectic case is positive and its $m$ agnitude is half that for the orthogonal case. Exactly the sam e holds for the weak localization correction to the conductivity of a quasi-1d disordered w ire $\left.[1]_{1}^{-} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, so the relation $\left[\hat{3}^{-} \mid\right)$is extended also to the sym plectic case.

The perturbative result $\left(1 \bar{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is valid only for tim es $t \quad t$. Att $t$ the relation (3in) suggests to use the know $n$ results for a quasi-1d w ire [1] $]$, where, in spite of the positive sign of the rst weak localization correction, all states are localized, w ith the localization length four tim es larger than in the orthogonal case. Localization implies D (! ) ! 0 at! ! 0, for which Eq. (ה⿹\zh4灬 ) gives W ( t ) ! 0 att! 1 .

Q uantum kicked rotor $w$ ith spin. $\mid$ The exact tim e dependence of the correction ( $1-\bar{T}_{1}$ ) is derived rigorously for the disordered model $\left(\frac{\overline{4}}{\overline{4}}\right)$. H ow ever, one can expect that the increase of the energy absorption rate in time is a general result valid for an ergodic dynam icalsystem possessing sym plectic sym $m$ etry. In order to support this statem ent we introduce a spin degree of freedom into the standard kicked rotorm odel [1] ]. C orrespondingly, V ( ) in the H am iltonian (III) acquires a 2 m atrix structure:

$$
\begin{align*}
V()= & \cos \cos \cos + \\
& +\frac{x}{2} \cos \sin \sin 2+{ }^{z} \sin \sin ; \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the param eters and allow to sw itch betw een di erent symm etry classes. N ote that the presence of both ${ }^{x}$ and ${ }^{z}$ term Sw ith di erent dependencies on is essential, as otherw ise the spin sector of the $H$ am iltonian could be diagonalized by a globalspin rotation.

In order to observe the dynam ical anti-localization we x the param eters $=0: 187$, $=1: 284$ which corresponds to the sym plectic sym $m$ etry class [18] and study the evolution of the wave-packets in the $m$ om entump space. $^{\text {s }}$ he other param eters are $T=1$, $\mathrm{I}=$ $10(\overline{5} 1)=(2), K=10 I$, and the $H$ ibert space size $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $=16384$. This m odel is very convenient for num ericalstudy since the application of the F loquet operator to a state can be perform ed by using the Fast Fourier T ransform algorithm as for the standard Q KR model. Fig. (Z) show s that the energy absonption rate indeed initially increases in tim e until the strong localization changes this behavior to the opposite one. For com parison w eplot the energy absorption rate for the standard QKR calculated for the sam e values of T; I and K as well. Here, in contrast, the quantum correction is negative from the very beginning.

C onclusions.| Them ain results of the paper are represented by Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{-1})$ and Fig. $\overline{1}$. The form er show $s$ that the analogy betw een the energy absonption by an ac driven chaotic quantum dot and the propagation in


FIG. 2: The energy absorption rate as a function oftim e for the standard and sym plectic kicked rotor. The energy $E$ ( $t$ ) is averaged over 100 tra jectories w ith di erent in itial conditions.
a quasi-1d disordered $w$ ire is valid also in the presence of the spin-orbit interaction, i. e. for system $s$ of the sym plectic sym $m$ etry class, at least at the level of the
rst weak anti-localization correction. $N$ um erical results for the quantum kicked rotor exhibit qualitatively the sam ebehavior: w eak dynam ic anti-localization at shorter tim es, and strong dynam ic localization at longer tim es. At the weak anti-localization stage the energy for both chaotic system s exhibits a peculiar super-0 hm ic grow th in tim e, in spite of the fact that no resonances are present in the considered system s .
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