D iagnostics of m acroscopic quantum states of Bose-E instein condensate in double-well potential by nonstationary Josephson e ect

E.D.Vol

B.I. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Lenin av. 47 Kharkov 61103, Ukraine (Dated: April 14, 2024)

We propose a method of diagnostic of a degenerate ground state of Bose condensate in a double well potential. The method is based on the study of the one-particle coherent tunneling under switching the time-dependent weak Josephson coupling between the wells. We obtain a simple expression that allows to determ ine the phase of the condensate and the total number of the particles in the condensate from the relative number of the particles in two wells $n=n_1$ n_2 measured before the Josephson coupling is switched on and after it is switched of . The specific cs of the application of the method in the cases of the external and the internal Josephson elect are discussed.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Fi, 05.30 Jp

Beginning from its rst observation [1] the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of atoms in alkalimetal vapours remains the source for new possibilities for the study of macroscopic quantum phenomena. One of these phenom ena is the coherent tunneling of atom s between two coupled Bose condensates (BC) [2], that is analogous to the Josephson e ect in superconductors. It is known [3] that for the case when the total number of atom s in the trap $N = n_1 + n_2$ is conserved and the trap is sym m etric relative two BC the average relative number of $\overline{n_2} = h \dot{n}_1$ atom s $\overline{n_1}$ f₂ j i is equal to zero in the ground state and in any excited state. Therefore, one can expect that in such a situation the study of nonstationary coherent tunneling (which is realized when one or several param eters of the system depends on time) is m ore informative for the diagnostics of the macroscopic wave functions of the condensates. In this case the average value of the relative number of the atom s $\overline{n_1}$ m easured in the time t_0 is generally nonzero one and it depends on the history of the systems at all $t < t_0$. In this paper we show that nonstationary Josephson e ect can be used for the diagnostics of a macroscopic state of BC and the total number of the atoms in the condensate.

We consider the simple model of coherent tunneling between two BC, described in [4] (see also references in it). The model is based on the two mode approximation, that implies that each of N bosons can be in one of two states and the dynamical coupling between these state allows the bosons to jump from one state to the other. Such a model is applicable for a description of the external as well as the internal Josephson e ect in Bose systems. The external Josephson e ect [5] can be realized if the Bose gas is conned in a double-well trap and the tunneling between two wells is small. In this case two modes correspond to self-consistent ground states in each well. The internal Josephson e ect [6] can be realized in a Bose gas with two macroscopically occupied hyper ne

states (e.g. the $\mathfrak{F}=1$; $m_F=1$ i and $\mathfrak{F}=2$; $m_F=1$ i states of ^{87}Rb atom s). The dynam ical coupling between the two states is settled by a resonant laser eld applied to the system . At the beginning we specify the sim plest case of the external Josephson e ect at T=0.

The H am iltonian of the sym m etric two-m ode m odel has the form $\ \ \,$

$$H = H_0^s + H_1(t) \frac{K}{8} (\hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2)^2 \frac{E_J(t)}{2} (a_1^+ a_2 + h_2);$$
 (1)

where a_i^+ (a_i) are the creation (annihilation) operators for the well i, and $\hat{n}_i = a_i^+ a_i$ are the number operators. The parameter K is determined by the interaction between the atoms in the well. Here we consider the case of K>0 that corresponds to the repulsive interaction. The value of E_J is determined by the overlapping of the wave functions of two modes and it can be controlled by a variation of the height and (or) width of the barrier. For the external Josephson e ect without loss of generality one can set the Josephson coupling E_J (t) be real.

Let us consider the situation when the dynamical coupling between two condensates is switched on at t = $t_i = 0$ and switched o at $t = t_f$. At t < 0 and $t > t_f$ the coupling parameter $E_J(t) = 0$ and the occupation numbers operators \hat{n}_1 and \hat{n}_2 as well as the relative num ber operator \hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2 com m ute with the H am iltonian and do not depend on time. During the time when the coupling is switched on the operator $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1$ is changed. Let at t = 0 the wave function of the two mode Bose condensate is (0) and at $t = t_f$ it becom es (t_f) . The task we consider is how to nd the characteristics of the function (0) from the measurements of the mean relative number h) in 1 n̂ji. Let us specify the case of an odd total number of the particles (the case of an even N is discussed below). At N = 2M + 1 and $E_{i} = 0$ the ground state of the H am iltonian (1) is double-degenerate. The minimum of the energy equal to K =8 is reached for the orthogonal states $\dot{y}_1i = M + 1;M i and \dot{y}_2i = M;M + 1 i as well as for an$ arbitrary superposition of these states jgi = ajgi i + bjgi i $(\dot{a}\dot{f} + \dot{b}\dot{f} = 1)$. The state at t = 0 can be param eterized

as j (0) i = $\cos(=2)$ M + 1; M i + $\sin(=2)e^{i}$ M; M + 1i At 6 0; this is the entangled state. The angle is connected with the initial relative number by the relation

$$n(0)$$
 $h(0)j\hat{n}_1$ $\hat{n}_2j(0)i = \infty s$: (2)

Since this value does not depend on ' the phase cannot be extracted from the result of measurements of the initial relative number. But the phase ' is also an essential characteristics of the macroscopic state of the Bose condensate. In particular, the interference pattem em erging under an overlapping of two BC with the phases $'_1$ and $'_2$ is determined by its relative phase = $'_1$ $'_2$. We will show that the value of ' can be determined from the measurements of the nal relative number n f h (t_f)jñ₁ f_2 j (t_f)i. To do this the amplitude of the Josephson coupling should be taken such a small that the strong inequality N $E_{...}^{m}$ ax=K be satis ed. Then at $0 < t < t_f$ the system remains in the Fock regime. In this regime the dynamics of the system is realized mainly on the states for which r̂₂j (t)ij 1. Therefore to nd the evolution of the function one can use the basis $(\dot{q}_1 i, \dot{q}_2 i)$. Note that the reqime considered is the same as required for a realization of the Bose qubit [7].

It is more convenient to use the unitary transformed basis of symmetric $\dot{j}_{2}i = 0$ $\dot{j}_{2}i + \dot{j}_{2}i = 0$ and antisymmetric $\dot{j}_{3}i = 0$ $\dot{j}_{3}i = 0$ $\dot{j}_{3}i = 0$ $\dot{j}_{3}i = 0$ states. In this basis the wave function of the BC reads as $(t) = s(t)\dot{j}_{3}i + a(t)\dot{j}_{3}i U \sin the nonstationary Schrodinger equation <math>\dot{i}_{1} = 0$ $\dot{i}_{2} = 0$ $\dot{i}_{3} = 0$

$$i \sim \underline{s} = \frac{K}{8} s \quad \frac{E_j(t)(M+1)}{2} s;$$
 (3)

$$i \sim \underline{a} = \frac{K}{8} a + \frac{E_{j}(t) (M + 1)}{2} a$$
: (4)

Integrating equations (3), (4) we $\,$ nd the m ean value of the relative num ber at the tim e $t_{\rm f}$

$$n(t_f) = s(t_f)a(t_f) + cx = s(0)a(0)E^{2i} + cx = cs \cos(2) \sin \sin(2) \sin'; (5)$$

where =
$$(1=2\sim) (M+1) {R_{t_f} \over 0} E_J (t^0) dt^0$$
.

Eq. (5) determ ines the relation between the measured quantity n(t $_{\rm f}$) and the parameter'. One can see that for entangled initial states the relative number in a nal state depends on the phase' and this phase can be found from the measurement of n(t $_{\rm f}$).

Thus, if one has a system in a reproducible (but unknown) initial state j (0) i the parameters and ' that describe this state can be found from two sets of measurements of the relative number at t=0 and $t=t_{\rm f}$ (under assumption that the total number of particles N in the condensate is known). If the total number of the particles is unknown an additional set of measurements is required: the measurement of the nal relative number occupation with another value of $t_{\rm f}$. Using the results of

three sets of m easurem ents one can determ ine the initial state and nd the total number of the particles in the condensate.

It is necessary to point out an essential restriction for the maximum value of t_f t. In deriving (5) we do not take into account that the coupling between two condensates induces sm all (of order of E_J (M + 1)=K) but nonzero occupation of the excited states is i = ki j⊈i= M M + k + 1;Mk;M + k + 1i (w ith k > 0). Due to such processes the phases of s(t) and a(t) are shifted from the values given by the solution of Eqs. (3), (4). If such a shift is of order of unity the relation (5) is not valid any more. Nevertheless, one can show that $\sim K = \mathbb{E}_{T}^{m \text{ ax}} (M + 1)^2$ the phase shifts are very small and Eq. (5) is applicable. The ful llment of the m entioned restriction on the value of t_f t is required for the use of the method of the diagnostics proposed.

Let us now discuss the case of the BC with an even number of atoms. In the symmetric double-well trap the ground state of the condensate with N = 2Mis jgi = jM; Mi. This state is nondegenerate and n (0) = $n (t_f) = 0$. If in it ially the system in an excited state jei = a 1M + 1; M 1i + b 1M 1;M + 1ithen $n(0) = 2(\dot{a}\dot{j}^2)$ can be nonzero, but for t_f $\sim K = \mathbb{E}_{T}^{m \text{ ax}} (M + 1)^{2}$ the dierence n (t_f) order of M $E_{T}^{max} = K$ 1 Such behavior are in di erence with the case of odd N when the change of n can be order of unity. This feature can be used for determ ining the parity of the number of atoms in BC. We point out again that this conclusion is for the symmetric relative two BC con ning potential.

If the con ning potential is an asymmetric one the ${\tt H}$ am iltonian (1) is ${\tt m}$ odi ed to

One can see that if = K = 4, the ground state of the system with an even number of the atoms N = 2M is double-degenerate at $E_J = 0$, and its wave function can be presented in the form jgi = ajM + 1;M 1i + bjM; M i. This situation is analogous to the symmetric case with odd N. The only dierence is that the values of n (0) and n (t_f) given above are counted from n = 1. Thus, under assumption that one can control the value of with the accuracy j K = 4j M E_J^{m} ax the method of diagnostic of the ground state wave function and the total number of the atoms suggested is applicable for the BC with even N.

Hitherto we have discussed the case of the external Josephson e ect. The case of the internal Josephson effect is also described by the equation (6) (in the rotating frame of reference) [4]. In this case the expression for the chemical potential reads as

$$= + \frac{4N^{2}}{m} \sim (a_{11} \quad a_{22}); \tag{7}$$

where is the detuning of the laser eld from the resonant frequency, a_{11} and a_{22} , the s-wave scattering am plitudes

of m acroscopically occupied internal states jli and £i, correspondingly. In a situation, where the value of the detuning can be varied smoothly, one can achieve the regime of the degenerate ground state of the Hamiltonian H $_0^a$ both for the even (= $_{\rm e}$) and for the odd (= $_{\rm o}$) number of atom s N . In such a regime one can apply the method of diagnostics of the initial state of BC proposed in this paper. We note that for the case of the internal Josephson e ect the value of n (t $_{\rm f}$) is just proportional

to the expectation value of M $_{\rm Z}$ (t $_{\rm f}$) – the projection of the m agnetic m omentum of the BC on the axis chosen. Therefore, using Eq.(5) one can determ ine the phase ' and the total number of the atom s in the condensate from the m easurem ent of M $_{\rm Z}$ (t $_{\rm f}$).

I would like to acknowledge R. I. Shekhter, S. I. Shevchenko and D. V. Fil for the discussion of the results presented in this article. This work is supported by the INTAS grant No 01-2344.

- [L] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthew, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Comell, Science 269, 198 (1995); C. C. Bradley, C. A. Sackett, J. J. Tollett, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1687 (1995); K. B. Davis, M. O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kum, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969 (1995).
- [2] G. J. Milburn, J. Corney, E. M. Wright, and D. F. Walls, Phys. Rev. A 55 4318 (1997); A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, S. Giovanazzi, and S. R. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. Lett 79, 4950 (1997); S. Raghavan, A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, and S. R. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. A 59, 620 (1999).
- [3] S.R. aghavan, A. Smerzi, and V.M. Kenkre, Phys. Rev. A 60, R1787 (1999).
- [4] A L Leggett, Rev. M od. Phys. 73, 307 (2001)

- [5] J.Javanainen, Phys. Rev. Lett 57, 3164 (1986); F. Dalfovo, L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev A 54, 4213 (1996).
- [6] C. J. M yatt, E. A. Burt, R. W. Ghrist, E. A. Comell, and C. E. Wiem an, Phys. Rev. Lett 78, 586 (1997); M. R. M atthews, D. S. Hall, D. S. Jin, J. R. Ensher, C. E. Wiem an, and E. A. Comell, F. Dalfovo, C. Minniti, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett., 81, 243 (1998); D. S. Hall, M. R. Matthews, J. R. Ensher, C. E. Wiem an, and E. A. Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett., 81, 1539 (1998); D. S. Hall, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wiem an, and E. A. Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett., 81, 1543 (1998);
- [7] Z.B. Chen and Y.D. Zhang Phys. Rev. A 65, 022318 (2002)