Vortices in Spatially Inhom ogeneous Super uids

Daniel E. Sheehy and Leo Radzihovsky

Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309

(D ated: June 8, 2004)

W e study vortices in a radially inhom ogeneous super uid, as realized by a trapped degenerate B ose gas in a uniaxially symmetric potential. We show that, in contrast to a hom ogeneous super uid, an o -axis vortex corresponds to an anisotropic super ow whose prolestrongly depends on the distance to the trap axis. One consequence of this super ow anisotropy is vortex precession about the trap axis in the absence of an imposed rotation. In the complementary regime of a nite prescribed rotation, we compute the minimum -energy vortex density, showing that in the rapid-rotation limit it is extremely uniform, despite a strongly inhom ogeneous (nearly). Thom as-Ferm i condensate density $_{\rm s}$ (r). The weak radially-dependent contribution (/ r 2 ln $_{\rm s}$ (r)) to the vortex distribution, that vanishes with the num ber of vortices N $_{\rm v}$ as $\frac{1}{N_{\rm v}}$, arises from the interplay between vortex quantum discretness (namely their inability to faithfully support the imposed rigid-body rotation) and the inhom ogeneous super uid density. This leads to an enhancement of the vortex density at the center of a typical concave trap, a prediction that is in quantitative agreement with recent experiments. One striking consequence of the inhom ogeneous vortex distribution is an azim uthally-directed, radially-shearing super ow .

I. IN TRODUCTION

An essential de ning property of a super uid is the way it responds to an imposed rotation. Because a uniform super uid state can only support irrotational ow, one might expect a vanishing response to an applied rotation in a simply-connected domain. However, dating back to sem in alworks of Onsager and Feynm an^{1,2} it has been understood that a super uid can indeed rotate, but does so by nucleating localized topological defects (vortices) that carry discrete units of vorticity, thereby revealing the super uid's macroscopic quantum nature. In a nite system and for a su ciently low applied rotac1, the energetic cost of exciting even a tion rate < single vortex is too high and the super uid rem ains stationary. For higher rates, vortices are nucleated into a regular hexagonal lattice that, on average, approxim ates a uniform response to the applied rigid-body rotation.

In recent years, rapid progress in the eld of con ned degenerate B ose gases has led to the experimental realization of vortex lattices containing large numbers of vortices^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9}. Perhaps them ost striking feature of these lattices is their apparent uniformity despite the strong spatial variation of the local super uid density $_{\rm s}$ (r) in posed by the trap. Despite some recent attempts¹⁰, until very recently¹¹ no simple physical explanation for this uniformity (which has also been observed in simulations¹²) has appeared in the literature.

To emphasize the apparent puzzle that such vortex uniform ity presents, one need not look further than the vortex state of a type-II superconductor. There, vortices are well-known to be strongly pinned by (i.e. attracted to) regions of suppressed super uid density created by m aterial im perfections¹³. Based on this analogy, one m ight expect that vortices in a con ned Bose gas would be repelled from the center of the trap (where super uid density and therefore vortex kinetic-energy cost is largest), and would congregate near the condensate edges, resulting in a highly nonuniform and concave vortex distribution.

In this P aper we present a theory of vortices in a conned spatially-inhom ogeneous rotating super uid, providing a simple physical explanation for and computing corrections to a uniform vortex array. We explicitly calculate the vortex spatial distribution n_v (r) in a trapped degenerate B ose gas characterized by $_s$ (r), showing that it is given by

$$n_v(r) ' \frac{m}{h} + \overline{c} r^2 \ln_s(r);$$
 (1)

with \overline{c} (8) ¹ ln (e ¹=²!), m the boson mass, the vortex core size and ! m =h the scaled rotation velocity. Since typically the spatial variation of the Thom as-Ferm i (TF) condensate density (or _s(r), see Ref. 14) takes place on the scale of the condensate radius R (), the r-dependent correction in $n_v(r)$ (second term) to the uniform rigid-body result n_{v0} m = h is subdom inant in the therm odynam ic and large rotation lim its, vanishing as $1=N_v$ with increasing number of vortices. M ore explicitly, for an isotropic harm onic trap, in the Thom as-Ferm i approximation we nd

$$n_v(\mathbf{r}) \,' \, n_{v0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{R^2}{(R^2 - \mathbf{r}^2)^2} \ln \frac{e^{-1}}{2!};$$
 (2)

where the condensate radius R () = R $_0$ = $p \frac{p}{1 (= t)^2}$ is swelled by the centrifugal force beyond the Thom as-Ferm itrap radius R $_0$, diverging as the rotational velocity approaches the trap frequency t.

Hence we show that, indeed, consistent with experiments^{4,5,6,7,8,9}, the vortex density is highly uniform and is well-approximated by the rigid-body result. This uniform ity can be most transparently understood by ignoring vortex discreteness (valid at high rotation rates^{15,16}) and thinking in terms of the energetically optimum super uid velocity v_s (r). In a nut-

shell, for an arbitrary sm oothly-varying super uid density s(r), the super uid velocity of the rigid-body form v_s^0 ź r, which corresponds to the uniform vortex density $n_{v0} = \frac{m}{h}r$ v_s^0 , always m in in izes the boson's London free-energy. In term s of vortices, this nearly uniform vortex distribution n_v n_{v0} is a consequence of a balance between the spatial variation of the kinetic energy per vortex and the vortex chem ical potential, both of which scale with $_{s}$ (r). While it is energetically costlier to position vortices in a region where $_{s}$ (r) is high (i.e. the center of the trap), the vortex chem ical potential (controlled by $_{\rm s}$ (r)) is also high there, compensating and leading to an approxim ately uniform vortex density. The breakdown of the analogy with vortices in type-II superconductors is therefore due to the di erence in the spatial dependence of the vortex chem ical potential in the two cases. W hile in superconductors this role is played by a uniform external magnetic eld H, in trapped super uids the vortex chem ical potential is proportional to $_{\rm s}$ (r) and thus spatially nonuniform .

As we show here, a spatially-dependent correction to $n_v(r)$ in Eq. (1) arises from vortex discreteness and the related inability of the vortex state to locally reproduce the uniform vorticity vs corresponding to rigid-body rotation. As shown rigorously long ago by Tkachenko¹⁷, in the case of a uniform super uid the resulting energetic frustration cannot be reduced and the energetically optimum vortex distribution is a regular vortex lattice with density n_{v0}. In contrast, in an inhomogeneous condensate the associated kinetic energy-density cost is radiallydependent and can be lowered by a nonuniform vortex distribution. As illustrated in Fig. 1, our analytical prediction for n_v (r), Eq. (2), is indeed experimentally observable and shows remarkable agreement with recent JILA experiments'. Furthermore, as we show below, our prediction for the relation between n_v (r) and s (r), Eq. (1), can be m ore directly experim entally tested by introducing a known inhom openeity to $_{s}$ (r) (by modifying the trap potential) and studying the induced changes in n_v (r). Despite the deviation of the vortex density from the rigid-body value, within the London regime, the feedback e ect on the condensate density $_{s}$ (r) is negligible, which remains well-approximated by the Thomas-Fermi expression^{18,19}.

O ne immediate consequence of our prediction of the deviation of $n_v(r)$ from the rigid-body value n_{v0} is a nite super uid ow in the reference frame of the lattice (rotating with frequency relative to the lab frame). Even more interestingly, the inhom ogeneous vortex distribution $n_v(r)$ im plies that the resulting azim uthal super ow in fact exhibits a radial shear, as schem atically illustrated in Fig.2.

A prerequisite to the study of the therm odynam ic vortex state under a nite in posed rotation (which was our prim ary initial goal) is the understanding of the single vortex problem. A lthough a number of interesting results for the few -vortex problem have appeared in the literature^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26}, to our know ledge no explicit,



0.6

FIG.1: (Coloronline) P lot of vortex density for the case of a harm onic trap as a function of radius, Eq. (2) (normalized to n_{v0} , dashed line), for the following and R values (labelled by the form er): = 86 t and R = 49 m; = 57 t and R = 31 m; = 40 t and R = 25 m. Points denote data adapted from I.C oddington et al⁹.

0.4

0.2



FIG. 2: Schem atic plot of the mean super uid velocity (arrows) along with vortex positions (circles) in the frame rotating counter-clockwise at (in which the lattice is static). Thus, because $v_s \in 2$ r (and has a magnitude less than it), the super uid ow spast the lattice in the clockwise direction.

full solution for the velocity $v_s(r) = (h=m)r$ (r) around a vortex in an inhom ogeneous super uid has been published. Studying this problem in the London approxim ation (valid deep in the super uid state), we $nd^{20,25,27}$, that the phase gradient at position r near a vortex located o -axis at position r_0 is given by

$$r (r) = \frac{\hat{z} (r r)}{(r r)^2} + r_a(r);$$
 (3a)

$$r_{a}(r) ' \frac{2 r_{s}(r_{0})}{2_{s}(r_{0})} \ln \frac{jr_{0}j}{R};$$
 (3b)

with r $_{a}$ (r) giving the anisotropic correction to the standard uniaxially-symmetric (hom ogeneous super uid) result (rst term in Eq. (3a)). This additional curl-free contribution to the super ow has interesting consequences for the dynamics of individual vortices in an inhom ogeneous super uid. Recall rst that, in the absence of external forces, a vortex moves with the local super-

R

0.8

uid velocity. For the case of a vortex in a spatially inhom ogeneous super uid, r $_{a}$ (r) makes an additional contribution to this super ow, leading to the remarkable result (also discussed for speci c trap potentials in Refs. 21,22,23,24) that a single vortex at radius r in an inhom ogeneous super uid will precess about the trap's axis of symmetry with frequency

$$!_{p} \quad \frac{h}{m r} \frac{j \varrho_{r s} j}{2_{s}} ln \frac{R}{r}: \qquad (4)$$

Such precession has been seen experimentally in Ref. 28 with a quality factor of order 10. We also demonstrate that a pair of vortices near the center of the trap exhibit center-of-charge rotation about the trap while also rotating about each other. The precession rate reassuringly vanishes for uniform $_{\rm S}$ in the therm odynamic limit, in which even an o -axis vortex is a stationary state.

In the opposite limit, for r far away from the o -axis vortex at r_0 , the additional contribution to the super ow induced by condensate inhom ogeneity has the form of a dipole eld due to a opposite-charge vortex at r_0 and a vortex at the center of the trap. Hence this analytical dipole contribution in the far eld e ectively shifts the vortex to the center of the trap, leading to a super ow that, far from the vortex, is axially symmetric, circulating around the trap center and described by the phase-gradient $r = \frac{z}{r^2}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we use a mean-eld model (appropriate for our interests here) for a rotating trapped Bose condensate to recall some standard results and to derive the London equations for the super uid velocity in the vortex state. In Sec. III we solve these equations to nd the super ow around a single o -axis vortex in an inhom ogeneous condensate for a num ber of experim entally-motivated condensate pro-

les. We then use these results in Sec. IV to derive precessional dynam ics in one- and two-vortex problem s. In Sec. V we study the many-vortex state and derive our prim ary result quoted in the Introduction, namely the relation between the vortex and super uid densities. In Sec. V I, we derive a vortex lattice elastic energy in an inhom ogeneous condensate and use it to calculate the T kachenko m ode equations (in the incom pressible lim it) for a spatially-varying condensate pro le. We conclude in Sec. V II with a sum mary of our results and an outlook to future research.

II. MODEL OF A TRAPPED (INHOMOGENEOUS) ROTATING SUPERFLUID

The starting point of our analysis is the ground-state energy density of an interacting rotating trapped Bose gas. To elim inate any explicit time dependence, it is convenient to work in the frame of reference in which the boundary conditions and the therm all cloud (the \norm al" uid, playing the role of the proverbial bucket) are stationary². For simplicity, we focus on a trap with a high degree of uniaxial anisotropy which reduces the problem to two-dimensions perpendicular to the (z-) axis of rotation, although our work can be straightforwardly generalized to three dimensions. The corresponding boson energy density, written in a reference frame rotating at frequency , is given by 29

$$\mathbf{"} = \frac{h^2}{2m} j_r j^2 + (V(r)) j_r^2 + \frac{g}{2} j_r^4 L_z; \quad (5)$$

where the rotation frequency plays the role of the $\$ chem ical potential" for angular momentum L_z with

$$L_{z} = {}^{y} [$$
 ihż (r r)]: (6)

Here, V (r) is the trapping potential, is the boson number chemical potential, and g is the swave scattering potential. Expressing the energy density in terms of the condensate density $_{\rm s}(r)^{14}$ and phase (r), dened through the condensate eld (r) = $p - \frac{1}{\rm s} e^{i}$, (which m icroscopically is the m acroscopically-occupied single-particle wavefunction) we nd

$$\mathbf{"} = \frac{h^2}{2m} [(r \ p_{-s})^2 + s(r \)^2] + (V \ (r) \)_s + \frac{g}{2} \frac{2}{s} + ih \ (2 \ r) \ p_{\overline{[s]}r} \frac{p_{-s} + isr}{s}]:$$
(7)

D eep within a dense Bose-condensed state, where $_{\rm s}$ (r) is large, it is convenient to eliminate the super uid density from Eq. (7) by solving the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation

$$0 = \frac{h^{2}}{2m} r^{2} \frac{p}{s} \frac{p}{s} \frac{p}{s} (r)^{2} + (V(r)) \frac{p}{s} + g^{3=2}_{s} h^{p} \frac{p}{s} (\hat{z} r) r :$$
(8)

N eglecting derivatives in $_{\rm s}$ (r) and replacing r by its approximate rigid-body value (see Sec. IIA below) r '!2 r, we have the usual Thomas-Ferm i (TF) result³⁰

_s (r) (V (r)
$$+\frac{1}{2}$$
m ²r²)=g; (9)

for the condensate density pro le. For the experim entally most relevant case of a harmonic trap V (r) = $\frac{1}{2}m \frac{2}{t}r^2$ with the trap frequency, Eq. (9) is uniaxially isotropic:

(r)
$$(\frac{1}{2}m(\frac{2}{t}-2)r^2)=g;$$
 (10)

with the TF cloud radius R () (de ned by the radius where $_{\rm s}\,(r)$ vanishes) given by

s

$$R() = \frac{R_0}{1 (z_{t-1})^2}; \qquad (11)$$

and $R_0 = \frac{p}{2 - m} \frac{2}{t}$ the cloud radius at zero rotation. Using this solution of Eq. (8) inside Eq. (7) and dropping unimportant constant term swe nd the London energy-functional for a rotating super uid

$$E = \frac{h^2}{2m} d^2 r_{s}(r) [(r_{s})^2 2! \ r) r_{s}(r) ; (12)$$

with! m =h.

Under an imposed rotation, a super uid turns by nucleating vortices. In the London limit these are point singularities at a set of positions r_i where the phase (r) is nonanalytic and satis

$$r r_{y}(r) = 2 p_{r}(r)2;$$
 (13a)

$$n_v(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{(2)} (\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r}_i);$$
 (13b)

with n_v (r) the vortex density. Eqs. (13a), (13b), together with the phase Euler-Lagrange equation ($\frac{E}{E} = 0$, with E from Eq. (12))

$$0 = r ((r)r) !r_{s}(r) 2(r); (14a)$$

= r ((r)r); (14b)

determ ine the super uid phase (r) and the corresponding super uid velocity $v_{\rm s}\left(r\right)=\frac{h}{m}r$. Here, Eq. (4b) applies for the case of a static trap (! = 0) or, for a rotating trap in the experimentally relevant case of uniaxialtrap symmetry (i.e. $_{\rm s}\left(r\right)=_{\rm s}\left(r\right)$). It is important to note that the vortex positions $r_{\rm i}\left(t\right)$ (and therefore $n_{\rm v}\left(r\right)$) are static in the frame rotating with the vortex lattice; thus, our time-independent expressions containing $n_{\rm v}\left(r\right)$ are de ned in that frame. We shall assume that vortices are static in the frame rotating with the normal component, i.e., at frequency



FIG.3: (Color online) M agnitude of the phase gradient along a line intersecting vortices in a hexagonal rigid-body lattice (solid curve). For comparison, the dashed curve shows the rigid-body result.

A. Rigid-body result

As noted in the Introduction, the vortex discreteness embodied in Eq. (13b) makes the minimization of E,



FIG.4: Schem atic depiction of the number of vortices N $_{\rm v}$ as a function of the applied rotation rate of a nite super uid. The solid line is the expected actual number of vortices starting at the lower critical angular frequency $_{\rm cl}$ whereas the dashed line is the rigid-body result (see main text).

sum m arized by Eqs. (12),(13a) and (14b), a nontrivial problem. For fast rotation (large , such that the coherence length is comparable to the vortex spacing a), the vortex state is dense and we can neglect vortex discreteness, approximating $v_s(r)$ and $n_v(r)$ by arbitrary sm ooth functions. Expressing E in Eq. (12) in terms of $v_s(r)$ (and dropping a constant), we have

$$E' \frac{m}{2} d^2 r_s(r) (v_s (\hat{z} r))^2;$$
 (15)

which is clearly m in im ized by the rigid-body solution

$$v_{s} = 2 r;$$
 (16)

corresponding to a uniform vortex density $n_{\nu 0}$ = != = m = h.

C learly, this rigid-body result for v_s cannot be correct for an arbitrary rotation rate for the simple reason that it corresponds to a uniform curl of r (r), in contrast to Eq. (13a). This discrepancy can also be seen by comparing a num erically computed magnitude of the exact super uid velocity for a uniform lattice of vortices to that for the rigid-body result, Eq. (16). In Fig. 3 we plot jr (r) jsatisfying Eq. (13a) for a hexagonal array of vortices along a cut through several vortices, and com pare it to the rigid-body phase gradient plotted as a dashed line.

B. Low er critical velocity of a rotating super uid

Before embarking on a proper calculation of the vortex density, n_v (r), that correctly incorporates vortex discreteness, we recall an elementary manifestation of the discrete nature of vortices in the opposite limit of low , namely the existence of a lower-critical (in posed) rotation rate $_{c1}$ below which the super uid \refuses" to rotate³¹. To compute $_{c1}$, we simply compare the energy Eq. (12) of a single vortex at the origin to the system 's energy in the absence of vortices. The frequency where they cross is $_{c1}$. For > 0, the solution of Eqs. (13a),(14b) for a vortex at the origin leads to a counter-clockwise circulation:

$$r = -\frac{1}{r}$$
 (17)

For simplicity, we take for $_{\rm s}$ (r) a simple form that is given by a constant $_0$ inside a radius R and zero outside this radius. Thus, inserting Eq. (17) into Eq. (12) and evaluating the integral we have

$$E = \frac{h^2}{2m} [2 \quad \ln \frac{R}{2} \quad 2 \quad ! R^2]; \qquad (18)$$

where is the size of the vortex core derivable from Eq. (8) in the presence of a vortex and providing a shortdistance cuto for London theory. Since clearly for the case of no vortex E = 0, we nd a well-known result for a super uid con ned to radius R (see, e.g., Ref. 32)

$$_{c1} = \frac{h}{m R^2} \ln \frac{R}{r};$$
 (19)

which, unlike the analogous problem of type-II superconductors (because of the absence of screening, i.e., an in – nite London penetration length), vanishes in the therm odynam ic limit. Since vortices do not appear for < $_{\rm cl}$, Eq. (19) gives the rst hint of the violation of the rigidbody result. This suggests that even for > $_{\rm cl}$ the actual number of vortices N $_{\rm v}$ () is expected to be less than the rigidbody result to which it must asymptotically approach in the large limit. Therefore, based on these general arguments we expect N $_{\rm v}$ () to follow the solid curve in Fig. 4. 33,34

Thus far, we have provided elementary calculations exhibiting the limiting behavior of a rotating conned Bose gas in the extreme large¹⁵ and small regimes. Before turning to the generalmany-vortex problem, in the next section, we rst study the (prerequisite) single vortex problem in a spatially inhom ogeneous super uid.

III. SINGLE VORTEX IN A SPATIALLY IN HOMOGENEOUS SUPERFLUID

In spite of its importance to understanding vortex states in inhom ogeneous rotating condensates, the single vortex problem in an trapped rotating BEC has received relatively little attention, with most treatments using the standard hom ogeneous-condensate vortex solution as a starting point and focusing on dynam ics^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26}. Two notable exceptions are works by Rubinstein and P ism en²⁰ and by Svidzinsky and Fetter²⁵. How ever, because of the focus in Ref. 25 on the single-vortex dynam ics,

only asymptotics of the super uid velocity near an o – axis vortex was worked out. On the other hand, although an exact solution for a single vortex was found in R ef. 20, it corresponds to a very special (i.e. not motivated by any experimental realization) $_{\rm s}$ (r).

In contrast, our interest in computing the vortex density distribution (Sec. V) requires a full analysis of the super ow corresponding to a single o -axis vortex in an inhom ogeneous condensate and this is the subject of this section. To determ ine the correct super uid velocity, we study the Euler-Lagrange equation for Eq. (14b) (which expresses the conservation of the boson number current) along with the vorticity constraint for a vortex at r_0 :

$$r = 2$$
 (2) ($r = r_0$): (20)

For the most general problem, these equations must be supplemented by a boundary condition fing (r)r) $\dot{g} = 0$ enforcing the vanishing of boson current through the boundary of the system. Consequently, the energy and dynamics of a vortex are strongly a ected by both the condensate inhom ogeneity and the nontrivial boundary conditions³⁵. However, for the case of a Bose gase trapped by a sm ooth potential (relevant to experiments), the condensate density $_{\rm s}$ (r) vanishes at the cloud's boundary, autom atically satisfying the above vanishing current condition.

Before analyzing Eqs. (14b) and (20) in detail, we note that (inspired by the vortex solution $r = \frac{2}{(r - r_0)^2}$ for a uniform super uid), an exact solution to Eq. (14b) is given by

$$\mathbf{r} \quad (\mathbf{r}) = \frac{s(\mathbf{r}_0)}{s(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\mathbf{\hat{z}} \quad (\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{\hat{r}})}{(\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r}_0)^2} : \tag{21}$$

A lthough this solution fails to satisfy Eq. (20) (satisfying it only to leading order in spatial gradients of $_{\rm S}$ (r)) and therefore is not a proper vortex solution, it does exhibit the qualitatively correct behavior that we shall verify in this section: r (and therefore the super uid velocity) is generally larger where $_{\rm S}$ (r) is small and smaller where $_{\rm S}$ (r) is large, relative to the solution for a uniform $_{\rm S}$.

For a full and system atic analysis, it is convenient to represent

$$(r) = v(r) + a(r);$$
 (22)

in terms of the known singular part

$$v_{v}(r) = \tan^{-1} \frac{Y - Y_{0}}{x - x_{0}}$$
; (23a)

corresponding to a vortex for a uniform super uid density, with

$$\mathbf{r}_{v}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{r}_{v})}{(\mathbf{r}_{v})^{2}}; \qquad (24)$$

that ensures the vortex carries the correct topological charge in Eq. (20). The analytic part $_{\rm a}$ (r) (r

 $r_{a}(r) = 0$) is chosen so that (r) satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation Eq. (14b), which reduces to

$$\mathbf{r}_{s} \mathbf{r}_{a} + \mathbf{s} \mathbf{r}^{2} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{r}_{s} \mathbf{r}_{v} : \qquad (25)$$

(Henceforth in this section we are restricting attention to the uniaxial symmetry case $_{\rm s}({\bf r}) = _{\rm s}({\bf r})$.) A virtue of this approach is that it reduces the problem to the analytical solution of Eq. (25) subject to a known \source" eld r $_{\rm s}$ r $_{\rm v}({\bf r})$, Eq. (24). In the case of a uniform $_{\rm s}$, Eq. (25) is obviously solved by r $_{\rm a}({\bf r}) = 0$, with r = r $_{\rm v}({\bf r})$ reducing to the well-known result Eq. (24). In the presence of a nonzero gradient of $_{\rm s}({\bf r})$, r $_{\rm a}({\bf r})$ generally provides a nontrivial (but curl-free) correction to the super uid velocity in Eq. (24). In addition, since for a sm oothly-varying $_{\rm s}({\bf r})$ we expect that r is approximately given by the uniform result Eq. (24), this form ulation is naturally set up for a system atic expansion in the sm all parameter r ln $_{\rm s}$.

Finally we note that for a vortex at the center of the trap $(r_0 = 0)$, r_s / \hat{r} leads to a vanishing of the source term $r_s r_v(r) = 0$. Consequently for an on-axis vortex $r_a(r) = 0$ and the solution reduces to a simple axially-symmetric result $r = r_v = \frac{2}{r^2} = r$.

Thus, below we naturally focus on the nontrivial case of an o -trap-axis ($r_0 \in 0$) vortex. A lthough for a generic _s (r) no closed form solution to Eq. (25) is available, a system atic asymptotic analysis in all relevant regimes determined by three length scales (the condensate size R, the vortex position r_0 and the displacement from the vortex r r_0) is possible and is presented in Secs. IIIA and IIIB (near a vortex jr r_0 j r_0 R) and in Sec. IIIC (away from the vortex r_0 jr r_0).



FIG.5: (Coloronline) P hase-gradient m agnitude (solid curve) through a vortex away from the center of the trap, for the case of the G aussian condensate pro le Eq. (26). The vortex is located at spatial position $r_0 = (5;0)$ with R = 5 characterizing the scale of the condensate. For comparison, the dashed curve depicts jr v jonly, neglecting the analytic eld.

A. Gaussian condensate pro le

Before studying the general case of an arbitrary condensate pro le $_{s}$ (r), here we rst analyze Eq. (25) for



FIG.6: (C olor on line) P hase-gradient m agnitude (solid curve) through a vortex near the center of the trap, for the case of the G aussian condensate pro le Eq. (26). The vortex is located at spatial position $r_0 = (1;0)$ with R = 5 characterizing the scale of the condensate. For comparison, the dashed curve depicts $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\rm r}$ jonly, neglecting the analytic eld.

the simpler special case of a Gaussian condensate pro le

$$_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = _{0} \exp(\mathbf{r}^{2} = 2R^{2});$$
 (26)

with R characterizing the size of the condensate. For this choice, Eq. (25) reduces to

$$r r_a + R^2 r^2 a = r r_v$$
: (27)

Focusing on the most interesting regime, we solve Eq. (27) in the vicinity of the vortex. Close to the vortex at r_0 , we can neglect the rst term on the left side of Eq. (27) in comparison to the second, $r r_a + R^2 r^2_a r^2 r^2_a$, reducing the equation for $_a$ to

$$r^{2}_{a} = \frac{1}{R^{2}}r_{v}$$
 r: (28)

A solution to Eq. (28) is then easily found to be

$$a(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2R^2} (\mathbf{r} - r_0) \quad (\mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \ln \frac{j\mathbf{r} - r_0 j}{R};$$
 (29)

where the denom inator of the argument of the logarithm amounts to a choice of integration constant, xed by matching to an \outer" solution. Our approximate choice above may be motivated physically by noting that we expect a to exhibit spatial variation on a scale given by R, as will be con med by more general calculations to follow. Although r_0 (r 2) = 0, we have written Eq. (29) in this way to emphasize that close to the vortex, the r dependence in a arises through the r r_0 combination only.

To emphasize key qualitative features of the solution (r), we reexpress the vortex solution in terms of the azim uthal angle between r r_0 and r_0 . In terms of the singular part of the solution is (recall Eq. (23b)) simply v = -From Eq. (29) the analytic contribution

 $_{\rm a}$ (r) can be seen to be given by $_{\rm a}$ () = c sin , leading to

$$() = + c \sin ;$$
 (30)

with $c = \frac{1}{2R^2} r_0 jr$ $r_0 j \ln \frac{R}{jr r_0 j}$.

An important (required) feature of this solution is that $_{a}()$ is indeed analytic, carrying vanishing winding $_{0}^{R_{2}}$ d () = 0, and therefore preserving the quantization in units of 2 of the winding of the full vortex phase (). The consequence of the nontrivial analytic contribution is that () obeys this quantization condition by winding more slow ly near the center of the trap (i.e. where) than away from it (i.e. where 0) in agreem ent with our intuitive approxim ate vortex solution Eq. (21).

A swe illustrate in Fig.5, the analytical contribution $_a$ has a sm all but qualitatively in portant e ect on the super uid velocity in the vicinity of a vortex. We compare the vortex super uid velocity magnitude \dot{r} j for a vortex in an inhom ogeneous condensate to its counterpart \dot{r} v j in the hom ogeneous condensate along \hat{x} through the vortex located at $r_0 = x_0 \hat{x}$. While both velocity pro-

les exhibit the characteristic 1=r divergence, consistent with our general arguments the super uid velocity \dot{r} j is clearly sm aller than \dot{r} v jnear the center of the trap and larger than it away from the center of the trap, with this e ect vanishing (see Fig. 6) for a vortex near the center of the trap.

B. Generic spatially-varying condensate pro le: \inner" solution

We now turn to the full problem of nding the super uid velocity near a vortex in an inhom ogeneous super uid de ned by a general (uniaxially symmetric and smooth, with R) $_{\rm s}$ (r).

O ur m ethod is a simple generalization of the calculation for the Gaussian case in Sec. IIIA and applies in the regime jr r_0 j r_0 . Working to low est order in the gradient of the condensate prole, near a particular vortex we approximate the smoothly varying $_s(r)$ and its gradient r $_s(r)$ by their values at the vortex position r_0 . This considerably simplies the Euler-Lagrange equation (while retaining the essential physics) to

$$r (r_0) r_a(r) + \frac{1}{2}r^2_a(r) = r (r_0) r_v;$$
 (31)

where we de ned

r (r)
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{s}(r)}{r_{s}(r)}$$
: (32)

The solution to Eq. (31) can now be easily obtained by rst nding a corresponding G reen function that satis es

r (r₀) r
$$+\frac{1}{2}$$
r² G (r r⁰) = (2) (r r⁰): (33)

An explicit expression for G (r) (veri able via direct substitution) m ay be expressed in term s of the Bessel function K $_0$ (x):

$$G(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{-e} e^{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}} (\mathbf{g}) K_{0}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{r}_{0}) \mathbf{j}: \quad (34)$$

This then leads to the solution to Eq. (31) given by

$$a(\mathbf{r}) = d^{2} r^{0} G(\mathbf{r} + r^{0}) r + (r_{0}) \frac{2}{(r^{0} + r_{0})^{2}}; (35a)$$

$$= r + (r_{0}) \frac{Z}{d^{2} r^{0} G(\mathbf{r})} \frac{2}{(r^{0} + r + r_{0})^{2}}; (35b)$$

where in going to Eq. (35b) we have shifted the integration variable r^0 ! $r^0 + r$, with the resulting integral on the right side clearly a function of r_{10} only (a consequence of our approximation above). Moreover, since the second factor is sharply peaked near r^0 ' r_0 r, it is valid to this order of approximation to replace G (r^0) by its value at this point. The remaining integral may be easily evaluated, nally yielding

where in Eq. (36b) we have taken the $r ! r_0 \lim it of Eq. (36a)$. Since (r) varies on the scale of the condensate size R, the analytical correction a scales like $1=R^2$, and, as expected, vanishes in the therm odynam ic lim it.

Using r $(r_0) = \hat{r}_0 \hat{g}_r (r_0) \hat{j}$ we not that at xed distance near the vortex, the azim uthal dependence of () is given by

()
$$+ c \sin e^{0} \cos i$$
 (37)

with $c = j e_r (r_0) j r r_0 K_0 (jr r_0 j e_r (r_0) j)$ and $c^0 = jr r_0 j e_r (r_0) j positive -independent functions that increase with increasing jr r_0 j (in the stated small jr r_0 j regime). Because of the smallness of <math>c^0$ near r_0 , for a Gaussian condensate pro le this general result reduces to that found in Sec IIIA. A gain, as required by analyticity of a(r), it is easy to show that $0 d e_a(r) = 0$, thereby preserving quantization of circulation of superover encoded in $0 d e_a(r) = 2$.

A lthough the phase (and corresponding super ow) distortion given by () is quite small (especially near the vortex) we note that there are a number of experimental techniques that have been used successfully to measure the condensate phase grow th around a vortex^{3,36}, giving hope that the prediction in Eq. (37) may be experimentally testable.

U sing Eq. (36b), the super uid velocity near a vortex (de ned by jr r_0 jjr (r_0) j 1) is easily computed, giving

$$v_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{h}{m} \frac{h^{2} (\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r})}{(\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r})^{2}} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{r}) \ln (\hat{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}) \hat{\mathbf{j}} ; (38)$$

in agreement with the result found in Ref. 20. Hence quite generally, near a vortex the correction to the super uid velocity arising from the inhom ogeneity of the condensate is approximately spatially uniform and is orthogonal to the displacement r_0 from the trap center. W e see that, as anticipated based on general arguments above, the super ow is slower at = and faster at

= 0 (see Fig. 7). Moreover, for $r_0 ! 0, r (r_0) ! 0$, so that the analytic eld is negligible for a vortex near the center of the trap.



FIG. 7: Schem atic picture of the e ect of the analytic eld on the super uid velocity of an o -axis vortex (Eq. (38)); the vortex location is denoted by a lled circle. The solid arrows s denote r as a ected by the nearly uniform analytic eld. On the innerm ost ring the nearly uniform r a is depicted as a sm all dotted arrow and r v is depicted as a larger dashed arrow; their vector sum leads to the distorted r that is sm aller in m agnitude near the center of the trap and larger near the edge.

C. Generic spatially-varying condensate pro le: \outer" solution

We now calculate the asymptotics of the super uid velocity far away from the vortex. Interestingly, after some examination of the form of Eq. (25) it is clear that the outer solution can be easily found. To see this rst note that for a that satis es $r^2_a = 0 \text{ Eq. (24)}$ reduces to

$$\mathbf{r}_{s} \mathbf{r}_{a} = \mathbf{r}_{s} \mathbf{r}_{v}$$
: (39)

Naively this would be satis ed by the antivortex (at \mathbf{r}_0) solution

$$\mathbf{r}_{a} = \mathbf{r}_{v}; \qquad (40a)$$

$$= \frac{2(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2}; \quad (40b)$$

(which satis es the assumed condition $r^2_a = 0$) were it not for the analyticity requirement dr $r_a(r) = 0$. However, this is easily xed by adding to the solution in Eq. (40b) another contribution $\frac{2}{r^2}$ due to a positive vortex located at the origin, which clearly satis es the hom ogeneous part of Eq. (25). We thus obtain an exact outer solution³⁷ (veri able by direct substitution) to Eq. (25):

$$r_{a}(r) = \frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{r}{(r_{b})^{2}} \frac{2}{(r_{b})^{2}}$$
 (41)

Because the solution Eq. (41) corresponds to a vortex dipole, with a positive vortex at the trap center and a negative vortex at the location of the true vortex r_0 it is easy to see that, as required, it satisfies the vanishing circulation (r r_a = 0) condition for jr r_0 j r_0 . Hence far from an o -axis vortex at r_0 , the super und velocity is given by

$$v_{s}(r) ' \frac{h}{m} \frac{2}{r^{2}} r;$$
 (42)

i.e., the super ow adjusts to be axially symmetric about the trap center, such that a vortex at $r = r_0$ appears to be sitting at r = 0.



FIG. 8: P lot of the phase around a vortex in an inhom ogeneous super uid for the Bessel pro le Eq. (43) as a function of the angle between r n and r_0 , for Eq. (44) integrated num erically. The parameters R = 10 and $r_0 = 20$ and the curves represent jr nj = 1 (solid), 10 (dashed) and 100 (dot-dashed).

${\tt D}$. Bessel condensate pro le: Exact solution due to ${\tt R}$ ubinstein and P ism en

A lthough we have been unable to nd a closed form vortex solution for an arbitrary condensate pro le $_{\rm s}$ (r), for a specially contrived but qualitatively realistic pro le

$$_{\rm s}$$
 (r) = $\frac{0}{I_0 (r=R)^2}$; (43)

a closed form solution was found by Rubinstein and P ismen in the context of optical vortices in an inhomogeneous laser beam 20 . In Eq. (43), $I_0(x)$ is the modi ed



FIG.9: Same as Fig.8 but with R = 20, $r_0 = 5$ and jr $n_j = 1$ (solid line) and jr $n_j = 1000$ (dashed line) exhibiting the sm allness of the analytic eld for vortices close to the center of the trap.



FIG.10: (Color online) Contour plot of constant phase gradient curves for a vortex at $r_0 = (1;0)$ with R = 10. Their near-circular sym metry indicates the unim portance of the analytic eld in this regime.

Bessel function, with the asymptotic behavior $I_0(x)$! $1 + x^2=4$ and exp(x) = 2 x for x ! 0 and x ! 1, respectively. This asymptotics show s that this special s(r)behaves as $1 r^2=2R^2$ for r R (i.e. it is parabolic) and decays exponentially for r R. Thus, despite its netuned very speci c form, it is qualitatively similar to the experimentally relevant TF pro le.

A lthough most of the properties of a vortex are contained in the asymptotic solutions found in previous sections, it is useful to check the predictions found there for



FIG.11: (C olor online) C ontour plot of constant phase gradient curves for a vortex at $r_0 = (10;0)$ with R = 10. The e ect of the analytic eld is clearly seen in the distorted shapes of these curves; the phase gradient has been decreased to the left of the vortex and increased to the right.



FIG.12: (Color online) Phase-gradient magnitude (Eq. (44)) through a vortex far from the center of the trap, located at spatial position $r_0 = (10;0)$ with R = 10. For comparison, the dashed curve depicts \dot{r}_v jonly, neglecting the analytic eld (solid curve).

a general $_{\rm s}$ (r) against the speci c but exact solution for $_{\rm s}$ (r) in Eq. (43). This is the subject of present subsection.

Follow ing Ref. 20, the Euler-Lagrange equation (14b) can be solved exactly by introducing an auxilary function

related to by $_{s}(r)r = 2$ r .W ith this transformation and the very special choice of $_{s}(r)$ in Eq. (43) the vortex quantization condition Eq. (20) reduces to a simple Helm holtz-like partial di erential equation for

 $_{\rm s}^{1=2}$ (r), which in turn leads to the solution²⁰

$$r (r) = e^{(r_0)} (r) \hat{z} K_0 (\dot{r} r_0 \dot{j} R) r (r) + r K_0 (\dot{r} r_0 \dot{j} R); \qquad (44)$$

where $(r) = \ln \frac{1}{2} (r=R)$ and $K_0(x)$ is the Bessel function. In the regime $r; r_0 = R$ of primary interest to us we nd (using $K_0(x) = \ln x$, for $x \neq 0$)

r (r)
$$\frac{2}{R} \frac{\hat{r}}{I_0} \frac{I_1 (r=R)}{I_0 (r_0=R)} \ln \frac{R}{jr r_0 j} + \frac{2}{(r r_0)^2} \frac{(r r_0)}{I_0 (r_0=R)} \frac{I_0 (r=R)}{I_0 (r_0=R)}$$
; (45)

Forr! r_0 , the second term dominates inside r, leaving the rst term as a small correction. In this regime, the rst curl-free term is the r_a analytic contribution introduced in Eq. (22). Thus, this exact result agrees with the general one found in Eq. (38) and discussed in the Introduction, Eq. (3a).

In the opposite regime, far away from the vortex (r r₀), but still away from the condensate boundary (r R), the rst term continues to be subdom inant to the \bare" vortex contribution (second term). However, its r dependence can no longer be ignored and leads to a super ow that is perpendicular to the position vector r, rather than the vortex position vector r_0 .

Even further in the far eld, de ned by r R but r_0 R (so that the vortex is still near the center of the trap)³⁸, the exact solution Eq. (44) reduces simply to

$$r$$
 (r) $\frac{2}{r^2}r$; (46)

with small power-law corrections in R=r, in agreement with our \outer" solution Eq. (41).

The vortex distortion due to the condensate inhom ogeneity can also be seen in the angular coordinate depedence of () (0) (obtained by num erically integrating Eq. (44) along a contour between 0 and) that we plot in Figs. 8 and 9 for di erent radii jr r₀ j. A swe found in Sec. IIIA, the distinction between the exact vortex () and v_v () = is largest for vortices far from the center of the trap (see Fig. 8), and grows with jr nj. For a vortex near the center of the trap (Fig. 9), the distinction is barely noticeable. From examining these plots it is clear that an o-axis vortex exhibits an azim uthal dependence of the phase that (as found in Sec. IIIB) is + csin with capositive constant that given by () grows with distance away from the vortex, jr நர் and with distance of the vortex from the trap axis, r_0 .

To further depict the increasing in portance of the analytic eld for vortices located away from the center of the trap, in Figs. 10 and 11 we plot (for R = 10) contours of constant jr j for a vortex at $r_0 = (1;0)$ and $r_0 = (10;0)$, respectively. As expected from earlier discussion, Eq. (38), the super ow of a vortex near the center of the trap (Fig. 10) characterized by nearly circular contours contrasts strongly with that of a far-o -axis vortex (Fig. 11) described by highly distorted contours of contant super uid velocity. This latter distortion is a manifestation of our earlier noding of a larger correction to the super uid velocity for vortices away from the center of the trap and sm aller near it. In Fig. 12 we depict the phase-gradient m agnitude (solid curve) for the sam e param eters as Fig. 11, contrasting it with that of a vortex in a hom ogeneous condensate (dashed curve) given by j_{r} v j.

IV. PRECESSIONAL DYNAM ICS OF IN DIVIDUAL VORTICES IN SPATIALLY IN HOM OGENEOUS SUPERFLUIDS

Having found in Sec. III the vortex super ow pro le in a spatially inhom ogeneous super uid, here we study im plications of these results for few -vortex dynam ics. In the absence of rotation (= 0 <_{c1}), vortex excitations are m etastable, and therefore should eventually escape the condensate, allowing the super uid to relax to the ground state. However, because of the (massless) quiding-center dynam ics of the vortex, which conserves angular m om entum, we expect the vortex lifetim e to be quite long (lim ited by the trap's azim uthal asymmetry and decoherence). As we show in the next subsection, on shorter timescales a vortex in a con ned Bose gas, located away from the trap axis, necessarily precesses around the center of the trap^{22,23,24}. This can be understood from general considerations by noting that energy eigenstates in an axially-symmetric (nite) trap are also angular momentum eigenstates. Consequently, an o axis point vortex (that clearly is not an eigenstate of angular m om entum) is not an energy eigenstate and m ust therefore evolve at constant energy. A swe dem onstrate in Appendix A such vortex precession is a property of any con ned super uid, even with a uniform condensate density.

A. Precession of a single vortex

We shall now demonstrate (via two di erent routes) that an o -axis vortex in a spatially inhom ogeneous super uid precesses around the trap center^{22,23,24}. To begin, we recall that the dynam ics of a vortex is governed by the M agnus $force^{39,40}$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M}} = 2 \ \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{\hat{z}} \quad \mathbf{\underline{t}}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{;} \qquad (47)$$

where $\underline{r_i}$ is the velocity of the ith vortex and v_s is the background super uid velocity (not including the sym – metric divergent part r $_v$ due to the vortex itself) at the location of the vortex, r_i . In the absence of other forces and in equilibrium $F_M = 0$, giving that a vortex moves at the local super uid velocity, $\underline{r_i} = v_s$. In an inhom ogeneous super uid, it is appropriate to identify v_s with the curl-free contribution hr $_a$ =m that, as discussed in Sec.III, arises from condensate inhom ogeneity.

Thus, using the second (analytic) term of Eq. (38) with jr $r_j j$, we nd

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\underline{i}} \, \prime \, \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{m}} \, \hat{\mathbf{z}} \, \mathbf{r} \, (\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\underline{i}}) \, \mathbf{ln} \, \, \mathbf{jr} \, (\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\underline{i}}) \, \mathbf{jr} \tag{48}$$

where we remind the reader that r (r) is given by Eq. (32).

The result in Eq. (48) m ay be also obtained by computing the energy E (r_i) of a vortex (corresponding to the kinetic energy of the super uid) at position r_i in an inhom ogeneous super uid. Balancing the associated force against the M agnus \forceⁿ²⁴ gives the equation of motion of the vortex:

$$2 h_s \hat{z} \underline{r}_i \quad r \in (\underline{r}_i) = 0; \quad (49)$$

where the curl-free part of the vortex ow r $_{a}$ is now regarded as part of the vortex and therefore the external super ow v_s in Eq. (49) is taken to be zero. Starting with the kinetic energy, Eq. (12) (at ! = 0), expressing it in term s of v and a, and using the equation of m otion for a, Eq. (25), we nd

$$E(\mathbf{r}_{i}) = \frac{h^{2}}{2m} \int_{-\infty}^{Z} d^{2}r[s(\mathbf{r}_{v})^{2} - s(\mathbf{r}_{a})^{2}]; \quad (50)$$

with a the solution to Eq. (25) and r v given by Eq. (24). Because the curl-free super uid velocity correction $\frac{h}{m}r$ a arises from the condensate inhom ogeneity, its contribution to the vortex kinetic energy is subdom - inant, vanishing as $1=R^2$. In the dom inant (rst) term the super uid velocity $\frac{h}{m}r$ v diverges at r_i , allowing us to ignore the r dependence of the sm oothly varying density s(r) and approximate it by its value at the vortex, s(r) ' s(r_i). This leads to

$$E(r_i) = \frac{h^2}{2m} s(r_i) 2 \ln \frac{R}{r};$$
 (51)

which is connect to leading order in the small parameter =R.W hen inserted into Eq. (49), we have

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{i}' \quad \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{m}} \dot{\mathbf{z}} \quad \mathbf{r} \quad (\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \ln \frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{m}}; \tag{52}$$

in agreement (up to weak logarithm ic corrections) with Eq. (48) found above.

For an axially symmetric trap, with $r = \hat{r}e_r$ (r), the equation of motion Eq. (52) can be easily solved and (as advertised) gives vortex precession about the center of the trap:

$$r_{i}(t) = r_{i}(\hat{x}\cos!_{p}t + \hat{y}\sin!_{p}t);$$
 (53)

with the direction of rotation in the same sense as vortex circulation (i.e., counterclockwise here) and with the angular frequency $!_p$ given by:

$$!_{p} = \frac{h}{m r_{i}} \theta_{r} (r_{i}) \ln \frac{1}{R}; \qquad (54a)$$

$$= \frac{h}{m r_i} \frac{\mathfrak{g}_{r s}(\mathbf{r}_i)}{2 s(\mathbf{r}_i)} \ln \frac{1}{R} : \qquad (54b)$$

For simplicity, in Eq. (53) we have chosen a particular initial condition $r_i(0) = \Re r_i$. For the experimentally relevant case of a TF condensate prole $_s(r) = _0(1 \quad r^2 = R^2)$, the precession frequency Eq. (54b) reduces to

$$!_{p} = \frac{h}{m} \frac{1}{R^{2} - \frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}}} \ln \frac{R}{r_{1}}; \qquad (55)$$

which agrees qualitatively with JILA experiments that observe such precession at angular frequency $h=m R^2$ that is roughly independent of r_i^{28} .

B. Precession of multiple vortices

The above analysis can be easily extended to the dynam ics of m any vortices, where each vortex m oves with the local super uid velocity that is due to the sum of its own curl-free super ow $\frac{h}{m}r_{a}$ (generated by the condensate inhom ogeneity) and the super ow of all other vortices. For the simplest case of two vortices the equations of m otion are given by

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{1} = \frac{h}{m} \mathbf{r}_{a;1}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) + \frac{h}{m} \mathbf{r}_{2}(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}); \quad (56a)$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{2} = \frac{h}{m} \mathbf{r}_{a;2}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) + \frac{h}{m} \mathbf{r}_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{2};\mathbf{r}_{1}); \quad (56b)$$

where r $_{a;i}(r_i)$ is the analytic phase gradient induced by vortex i at position r_i and r $_i(r_j;r_i)$ is the total phase gradient induced at position r_j due to vortex i which is bcated at position r_i . Equations (56a) and (56b) are quite general. We now restrict attention to the case of a TF condensate pro le and focus on the lim it r_i R so that the rotation frequency Eq. (55) is approximately independent of radius. As we showed in Sec. III, r $_a$ is almost always much smaller than r $_v$ (especially when r_i R) so that the second terms in Eq. (56a) and Eq. (56b) can be well-approximated by neglecting the associated analytic elds. Under these conditions we have

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{1} = \frac{h}{m} \frac{1}{R^{2}} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{1} \ln \frac{R}{m} + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}}}{(\mathbf{r}_{1} \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{2})^{2}} ; (57a)$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{2} = \frac{h}{m} \frac{1}{R^{2}} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{2} \ln \frac{R}{m} + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}}}{(\mathbf{r}_{2} \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{1})^{2}} : (57b)$$

The two equations decouple when we change variables to relative ($r_1 r_2$) and center-of-charge (R_c ($r_1 + r_2$)=2) coordinates:

$$= \frac{h}{m} \frac{\ln R}{R^2} 2 + 2 \frac{2}{2} ;$$
 (58a)

$$R_{c} = \frac{h}{m R^{2}} \ln \frac{R}{2} R_{c}; \qquad (58b)$$

so that the vortex pair center-of-charge rotates around the origin at the low er-critical frequency $_{c1}$

$$!_{c} = \frac{h}{m R^{2}} \ln \frac{R}{m};$$
 (59)

and the two vortices orbit each other at frequency

$$! = \frac{h}{m} \frac{1}{R^2} \ln \frac{R}{R} + \frac{2}{2} ; \qquad (60)$$

which increases rapidly with decreasing vortex separation , with the divergence at ! 0 (as usual) cut o by the coherence length .

V. VORTEX ARRAY IN A SPATIALLY INHOMOGENEOUS SUPERFLUID

We now turn to the many-vortex problem, with the goal of computing the vortex spatial distribution in an inhom ogeneous rotating super uid. The super uid velocity $v_s = \frac{h}{m}r$, measured in the laboratory frame, due to an array of N vortices is a solution of Eqs (13a) and (13b) from Sec. II. By linearity of these equations it is given as the sum of the contributions from each vortex:

$$\mathbf{r} \quad (\mathbf{r}) = \frac{X^{N}}{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{1})^{2}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{1})}{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{1})^{2}}; \quad (61)$$

with vortex positions r_i static in the frame of the normal component (rotating with frequency relative to the lab frame). In the above, we have justiably neglected the curl-freer $_a$ contribution to the super ow of a vortex, studied in Sec. III, that, as can be seen from Eq. (38), scales as $r_{s=s}$ 1=R and therefore gives a contribution that is subdom inant (in our expansion in $r_{s=s}$) in the large condensate limit. Moreover, since r_{a} is curl-free it cannot contribute to the rotation of the super uid and thus is expected to be irrelevant for the rapid-rotation limit. The corresponding vortex density is given by Eq. (13b)

$$n_v(r) = (2)^{-1}r$$
 $r = \frac{X^N}{2}(r r_i)$: (62)

As discussed in Sec. IIA, for high rotation rates the vortex state is dense, and to a high accuracy we can neglect the discrete nature of vortices [embodied by Eqs. (61),(62)] and approximate the super uid velocity v_s (r) and vortex density n_v (r) by arbitrary smooth functions that minimize the total energy, Eq. (12). As we showed in Sec. IIA, within this continuum approximation, the super uid velocity is simply given by the rigidbody result $v_s = 2$ r and $n_{v0} = m = h$, thereby providing a simple explanation for the high vortex lattice uniform ity observed in experiments^{4,5,6,7,8,9}. D expite this agreement, it is of interest to understand the degree of accuracy and limitations of this uniform vortex distribution (rigid-body) prediction.

A way from this classical rapid-rotation $\lim it^{15}$, vortex discreteness begins to matter and the rigid-body uniform vortex distribution solution clearly breaks down, as v_s (r)

diverges as 1=jr r_j near each vortex at r_j. As illustrated in Fig. 3, v_s (r) thus strongly deviates from rigidbody ow. In this regime, where a super uid exhibits its locally irrotational quantum nature, the summation in Eq. (61) can no longer be replaced by an integration, and the minimization of E must be done directly over vortex positions, r_i, rather than over a eld v_s (r). In fact, as we saw in Sec. IIB, this vortex discreteness manifests itself even in a uniform but nite-size condensate through the lower-critical rotational velocity c1 (n=m R²) ln $\frac{R}{2}$ below which no rotation is supported by the condensate.

For a uniform in nite condensate the problem was solved long ago by T kachenko¹⁷, who rigorously dem onstrated that the solution is a hexagonal lattice characterized by the vortex density n_{v0} . C arrying out T kachenko's exact analysis for a spatially-varying $_{\rm s}$ (r) is a form idable task. We shall instead develop an approximate continuum theory that nevertheless incorporates the essential vortex discreteness and which is valid for a sm ooth condensate pro le $_{\rm s}$ (r), with accuracy controlled by r ln $_{\rm s}$.

A. Vortex lattice in a generic inhom ogeneous super uid density pro le

We have shown that to compute the vortex distribution in an inhom ogeneous condensate, it is essential to faithfully incorporate vortex discreteness in treating the sum in Eq. (61). For r near a vortex located at r_j , the super ow is clearly dom inated (see Fig. 3) by a diverging contribution from the jth vortex, with other vortices making a subleading and sm oothly varying correction to r (r). To form alize this, we write $r = r_j + r$ and split the sum in Eq. (61) into a contribution from the jth vortex plus a contribution due to all remaining vortices:

$$\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{r}_{j} + \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}^{2}} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \left(\begin{array}{c} X \\ \mathbf{r}_{j} + \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}_{j} + \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \end{array} \right)^{2} : \quad (63)$$

In the sm ooth correction to the super ow due to all other vortices the sum over vortex positions can be safely approxim ated by an integral over a continuously distributed vortex density:

$$\frac{h}{m}r (r_{j} + r) ' \frac{h}{m}\frac{2}{z}\frac{r}{r^{2}} + v_{s}(r_{j} + r); \quad (64a)$$

$$v_{s}(r) \frac{h}{m} d^{2}r^{0}n_{v}(r^{0})\frac{2}{(r-r^{0})^{2}}; \quad (64b)$$

where n_v (r) and v_s (r) are the vortex density and superuid velocity at position r, coarse-grained on the scale of the lattice spacing. Equation (64b) m ay be simplied by expressing the vortex coordinate r_i = $r_i^0 + u \; (r_i^0)$ with the r_i^0 form ing a uniform hexagonal lattice at average density n_{v0} and u (r) being a static vortex displacement eld. In term s of u (r),

$$n_v(r) ' n_{v0} (1 r u(r));$$
 (65)

so that the integral in Eq. (64b) gives

$$v_{s}(r) = [2 \ r \ 2 \ u_{L}(r)]:$$
 (66)

Thus, the coarse-grained part of the super ow is only sensitive to the bogitudinal vortex displacement, related to u through

$$u_{L}(r) = \frac{r r}{z^{r^{2}}} u;$$
 (67a)

 $= d^2 r^0 r G (r r) r u (r; (67b))$

with G (r r^{0}) the G reen function for the Laplacian. Since (as we will see below) for the case of main interest of an axially-symmetric trap the optimum vortex lattice distortion is purely longitudinal, we may take $u_{L} = u$. Equation (64a) is a remarkable result that illustrates how vortices, each with a singular ^=r super uid velocity, add up to approximate rigid-body ow ⁴¹ with the (second) u-term characterizing deviations from it.

To compute the vortex distribution $n_v(r)$, we express the energy Eq. (12) in terms of the vortex lattice displacement eld u (r) and minimize it over u (r). To this end, we express the energy E of an array of vortices as a sum over contributions due to individual unit cells, with each cell associated with a particular vortex^{42,43}:

$$E = \frac{h^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{X} d^2 r_s(r) [r(r)] ! (r) ! (r)]; (68)$$

where the subscript i on the integral indicates that it is to be perform ed over a unit cell centered at r_i . In obtaining Eq. (68), we have completed the square in Eq. (12) and discarded a constant term. Using Eq. (64a) for the phase gradient within the ith cell, shifting the integration in each cellviar ! r+ r_i and using the fact that $_s(r)$ does not vary appreciably over a cell (i.e. $_s(r + r_i) ' _s(r_i)$ inside a particular cell), we nd

$$E ' \frac{h^{2}}{2m} X_{i} s(r_{i}) \frac{\lambda}{i} d^{2}r \frac{2}{r^{2}} 2!2 u_{L} (r+r_{j})^{2}:$$
(69)

The dom inant contribution to the energy per cell comes from the diverging super uid velocity at the center of a cell; thus the way in which we treat the cells' boundary is unimportant. Taking each cell to be a circle of radius a, set by the average vortex density $n_v(r) = 1 = a^2$ and approximating the smoothly-varying eld $u_L(r)$ by its value at the vortex position $u_L(r + r_i) = u_L(r_i)$, the integrals over the cell areas can be easily done, giving

$$E' \frac{h^{2}}{2m} X_{i} (r_{i})^{n} \ln \frac{1}{2n_{v}} + \frac{4!^{2}u_{L}(r_{i})^{2}}{n_{v}(r_{i})} ; \quad (70)$$

with the short-scale logarithm is divergence of the vortex kinetic energy as usual sut o by the vortex core size $\ .$

The vortex discreteness e ects that we have em phasized, arising from the singular nature of the phase gradient near the core of a vortex, are contained within the rst term of the energy functional Eq. (70) and clearly vanish at high vortex density as 2n_v ! 1.¹⁵ The remaining sum over vortex cells can be faithfully approximated by an integral

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & Z \\ & \vdots & z \end{array}$$
 (71a)

$$d^2 rn_v$$
 (r) :::; (71b)

which, after using Eq. (65), nally gives the energy functional of a vortex solid in an inhom ogeneous rotating condensate:

$$E [u (r)]' \frac{h^2}{2m} \frac{Z}{d^2 r_s (r)} \frac{h}{4!^2 u_L (r)^2} + ! (1 r u (r)) \frac{1}{m_2! (1 r u)} \frac{1}{(1 r u)!} (72)$$

A m ed with E [u], the vortex distribution can be easily computed by minim izing E [u] over u (r), namely by solving $\frac{E}{u} = 0$. Since Eq. (72) depends only on the bongitudinal component⁴⁴ u_L (recall r u (r) = r _L (r)), we can equivalently vary with respect to u_L. Thus, we obtain the nontrivial ground-state distortion of a vortex lattice from the naive uniform (rigid-body) state in an inhom ogeneous condensate characterized by the uniaxially symmetric super uid density _s (r) = _s (r):

$$u(r) = \frac{1}{8! s(r)} r^{h} s(r) \ln \frac{c}{2! (1 r u)}$$
(73)

where c 1=e. For the case of s(r) largest at the center of the trap, the vortex distortion u(r) is clearly in the outward radial direction and purely longitudinal for the case of an axially symmetric s(r).

The nontrivial distortion u (r) arises as a result of the competition between the vortex kinetic energy (second term in Eq. (72)), associated with vortex discreteness and the M agnus \energy" (rst term in Eq. (72)). For a nonuniform $_{\rm s}$ (r) the form er is lowered by shifting vortices out to the condensate edge (along r $_{\rm s}$), where $_{\rm s}$ (r) and the associated kinetic energy cost is smaller. This is balanced by the M agnus force (which seeks to m inim ize the distortion u (r)) that is proportional to the dical super uid velocity $v_{\rm s}$ (r) = $[2 \ r \ 2 \ u_{\rm L}$ (r)]. Since for a weak distortion the form er energy is linear and the latter is quadratic in u (r), a nontrivial vortex lattice distortion, given in Eq. (73), is always induced.

U sing ourm ain result for u (r), Eq. (73), the super uid velocity can be easily com puted using Eq. (66), giving

$$v_{s}(r)'$$
 2 $r + \frac{1}{4!} \ln \frac{c}{2!} r \ln_{s} i$; (74)

where for simplicity we made an approximation r = 0 inside the logarithm of Eq. (73). Since typically s (r) is concave, largest at the center of trap and decreasing

m onotonically with radius (although by tailoring a trap potential it can be made interestingly nonmonotonic; see Sec. V B 3 below), the super uid velocity Eq. (74) is in fact lower than the rigid-body result by an amount that generically increases with radius. Thus, in the rotating (vortex lattice) frame the super uid velocity is in the direction opposite to that of the imposed rotation (see Fig. 2).

Furtherm one we note that, as illustrated in Fig. 2, $v_s(r)$ in Eq. (74) exhibits radial shearing, namely the super uid notates with an r-dependent angular velocity and not simply as a rigid body with $v_s(r) = {}^{0}2$ r and 0 < (except in the case of G aussian ${}_{s}(r)$, see below).

(except in the case of G aussian $_{s}$ (r), see below). A conventional uid exhibiting such a radial shear past a conventional (e.g., colloidal) crystal would necessarily exert a viscous shear stress on the crystal, thereby inducing a helical-like shear strain $Q_r u$ (u an azim uthal lattice distortion) in the crystal. By symmetry arguments one would expect a similar helical (azimuthal radial-shear) distortion of the vortex lattice due to the shearing super uid, in the direction opposite to the uid ow. However, within our over-simplied ideal T = 0super uid analysis (no norm al, quasi-particle uid), the forces on the vortex are purely radial (perpendicular to the super ow), and therefore only induce a radial (longitudinal) distortion, Eq. (73). W hether this intriguing, sym m etry-suggested helical distortion of the vortex lattice will emerge from a more realistic (e.g., two-uid m odel that includes therm ally excited quasiparticles) calculation remains an interesting open question.

U sing Eq. (73) together with Eq. (65) (i.e., taking the divergence of u (r)), or equivalently using Eq. (66) (i.e., taking the curlofv_s (r)) we can compute the corresponding coarse-grained vortex density by solving the di erential equation that n_v (r) satis es:

The physical picture embodied by Eq. (75) is straightforward to understand. The rst term is the usual rigid body density discussed in the Introduction, corresponding to the vortex density in the lim it of an in nite and hom ogeneous super uid. Indeed, for uniform $_{s}$ (r), Eq. (75) is exactly solved by the rigid-body vortex density (i.e. $n_{v0} = !=$), in agreement with Tkachenko's results¹⁷. The correction to n_{v0} (second term above) also vanishes in the dense vortex (or high-rotation rate, n_v ² 1) $\lim it^5$, as expected from the discussion in Sec.IIA . Hence, consistent with experim ents 4,5,6,7,8,9 , an approximately uniform rigid-body rotation corresponding to a constant vortex density n_{v0} is predicted even in the case of a spatially-varying super uid density, s(r). C onsistent with earlier observations, since the condensate density variation in a trap is expected to satisfy $\frac{0}{2} \frac{(x)}{x}$ 0, Eq. (75) predicts that the coarse-grained vortex density is expected to be lower than the rigid body result n_{v0} by an amount that vanishes for a uniform super uid.

For smooth variations in $\ _{s}\left(r\right) ,$ we can replace $n_{v}\left(r\right)$ in the logarithm by its approximate value != , yielding our main result

$$n_{v}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{!}{+} + \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{r} - \frac{1}{s(\mathbf{r})}\mathbf{r} - s(\mathbf{r})\ln\frac{c}{2!} ; (76a)$$
$$\frac{!}{+} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{r}^{2}\ln s(\mathbf{r}); (76b)$$

that relates vortex density to super uid density, with

$$\overline{c} \quad \frac{1}{8} \ln \frac{c}{2!} : \tag{77}$$

Because, as discussed in Sec. II, in the TF limit $_{\rm s}$ (r) is simply determined by the trap prolewith

s (r) (V (r)
$$+\frac{1}{2}$$
m ²r²)=g; (78)

Eq. (76a) gives an explicit prediction for the vortex density distribution in a rotating, inhom ogeneous super uid.

It is remarkable that, in the complementary lowest Landau level (LLL) limit, an identical relation (with the exception of the coe cient \bar{c} , which in the LLL regime is a pure number, independent of) emerges and was used by Ho⁴⁵ to argue (unfortunately incorrectly⁹, based on the observed approximate uniformity of the vortex lattice) for the Gaussian form of the condensate prole, s (r) in the LLL limit. In the recent work by W atanabe et al.¹⁸, a TF prole was found to be the optimal one in the LLL limit (in agreement with experiments⁹) leading these authors to assert (consistent with our earlier prediction¹¹) that the vortex lattice is nonuniform in this regime. These latter is nonuniform in the LLL regime^{19,46}.

B. Application to speci c super uid density pro les

We now apply our result for n_v (r), Eq. (75), to a variety of condensate proles $_s$ (r), realizable by tailoring the shape of the trap potential.

1. Gaussian _s (r)

We rst note that for the case of a Gaussian condensate pro le Eq. (26), the di erential equation for n_v (r), Eq. (75), is solved by a uniform density $n_{v;G}$ given by

$$n_{v;G} = \frac{!}{4 R^2} \ln \frac{c}{2n_{v;G}};$$
 (79a)

$$\frac{!}{4 R^2} \ln \frac{c}{2!}$$
: (79b)

This solution represents a perfect hexagonal lattice, static in the rotating frame, with a lattice constant slightly larger than the rigid-body result. The corresponding super uid velocity is given by the rigid-body form

$$v_{s}(r) = {}^{0}\hat{z} r;$$
 (80)

with

$${}^{0} = 1 \quad \frac{1}{4! \, \mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \frac{c}{2!} ; \qquad (81)$$

slightly smaller than the imposed rotation frequency . This result, (derived within London approximation) is consistent with the prediction by Ho^{45} (discussed above) of a Gaussian condensate pro le for a uniform vortex lattice, derived in the com plem entary LLL regime. Because of the close similarity of this vortex con guration and the associated super ow to those of the rigid-body result, we expect di culties for a direct experimental veri cation of our prediction in Eq. (79a) for the Gaussian condensate pro le.

2. Inverted parabola s (r) (harm onic trap)

For the most experimentally relevant case of a harmonic trap, (which, within the TF approximation, has the condensate pro le $_{\rm s}$ (r) / (R² ${\rm r}^2$) [c.f. Eq. (10)]), the vortex density pro le Eq. (76a) reduces to the result advertised in Sec. I³⁰:

$$n_v(r)' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{R^2}{(R^2 r^2)^2} \ln \frac{c}{r^2!}$$
 (82)

In Fig. 1 we plot Eq. (82) for experimentally realistic parameters = $36 \, _{t}$, R = 49 m (top solid curve), = $57 \, _{t}$, R = 31 m (middle solid curve), = $40 \, _{t}$, R = 25 m (bottom solid curve) along with the rigidbody result (dashed curve) for the case of $87 \, \text{Rb}$. Here, is taken to be the TF value^{12,32}

$$=\frac{h}{m_{t}R};$$
 (83)

and $t = 52s^{-1}$. As illustrated in Fig.13 our prediction for $n_v(r)$ compares well with the recent JILA data⁹. To obtain this t, we used values for and R reported in Ref.9, adjusting them (from = t = 39 and R = 50 m) within the reported error bars (3 and 1 m, respectively) to nd the best t.

Equivalently, the above result predicts a hexagonal lattice constant (a (r) = $(2 - 3n_v (r))^{1-2}$) that increases with r, as illustrated in Figs. 13 and 14, where in the form er we again compare our theory with the JILA experiments (with the same data as in Fig. 1).

3. Nonmonotonic condensate pro les

It is clear that if the only variation of the condensate density is on the scale of the trap, Eq. (73) predicts a generically sm all (though observable⁹) distortion



FIG.13: (Coloronline) Plot of a=R (lattice spacing norm alized to TF radius) as a function of radius using parameters = t = .86 and R = 49 m. Points are data adapted from Ref. 9. The dashed line is the rigid-body value of a=R.



FIG.14: Plot of a=R (lattice spacing normalized to TF radius) as a function of radius using parameters = t = :57 and R = 31 m. The dashed line is the rigid-body value of a=R.

of a uniform hexagonal vortex lattice that vanishes as $r^2 \ln_s (r) = R^2$ (for r = R). To the extent that observed lattices (see, e.g., Refs. 4,5,6,7,8,9) are remarkably uniform, this is a required success of our theory. However, to more stringently test our predictions, in the present section we calculate vortex lattice distortions for nonmonotonic (but stilluniaxial) condensate density proles $_s(r)$ that also vary on a scale smaller than the condensate's overall size R. We expect that such $_s(r)$ can be experimentally realized by con ning a condensate inside a nonmonotonic trap potential V (r) tailored by adjusting a combination of magnetic and optical elds.

For sim plicity, ignoring the weak variation of the vortex density inside the argument of the logarithm, i.e., replacing 1 r u by unity, the distortion away from a hexagonal rigid-body array (which is a hexagonal lattice with lattice parameter $(2 = \frac{1}{3}n_{v0})^{1=2}$) is given by

u (r) '
$$\frac{r_{s}(r)}{8!_{s}(r)} \ln \frac{c}{2!}$$
: (84)

We rst consider perhaps the simplest nonmonotonic condensate pro lew ith a dip in its center of spatial extent



FIG. 15: Main: The solid line depicts the vortex density n_v (r)= n_{v0} as a function of radius for the condensate pro le Eq. (85). The dashed line plots $_s$ (r)= $_0$.



FIG.16: (Color online) D istorted vortex lattice (points) arising from the condensate pro le Eq. (85) containing a depletion near the origin with lines to guide the eye.

', that we model by

$$_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = _{0} _{1} \exp(\mathbf{r}^{2} = 2^{v^{2}});$$
 (85)

with $_1 < _0$, where the nite asymptotic density $_0$ is strictly speaking not a constant but varies on a much larger scale R and is determ ined by an overall large-scale trap with R '. A qualitatively similar condensate prole can be generated by a \M exican hat"-like trap potential, as experimentally demonstrated by the research groups of D alibard⁸ and K etterle⁴⁷. Using such s (r) inside Eq. (73) and Eq. (75) we nd

$$u(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{8! \sqrt{2}} \ln \frac{c}{2!} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\frac{0}{1} \exp(\frac{r^{2}}{2\sqrt{2}})} \mathbf{1}; \quad (86a)$$

$$n_{v}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8 \sqrt{2}} \ln \frac{c}{2!} \frac{h}{\frac{0}{1} \exp^{r^{2} - 2\sqrt{2}}} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\frac{r^{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\frac{0}{1} e^{r^{2} - 2\sqrt{2}}}{\left(\frac{0}{1} e^{r^{2} - 2\sqrt{2}} - 1\right)^{2}} \mathbf{1}; \quad (86b)$$

We plot the corresponding vortex density Eq. (86b) in Fig. 15 for a large slow ly-rotating condensate of ^{87}Rb atom s with parameters (R = 500 m, = 0.2s ¹, $_1$ = $_0$ = 0.67, and `= 0.3R) that are slightly di erent than typical values of present-day experiments to enhance visualization of the distortion. Consistent with our earlier qualitative discussion, n_v (r) is largest where $_s$ (r) is smallest. Near r = :5R, n_v (r) actually drops below its rigid-body value. The corresponding distortion u (r) of the vortex lattice is illustrated in Fig. 16, showing vortices displacing against the local gradient in $_s$ (r).



FIG.17: Plot of condensate proble $_{\rm s}$ (r)= $_0$ (dashed line) and vortex density $n_{\rm v}$ (r)= $n_{\rm v0}$ (solid line) for the oscillatory proble Eq. (87) with parameters R = 49 m and `= 6 m.

Since u (r) is constrained to vanish at the trap center where r $_{\rm s} = 0$ (see Eq. (84)), to maxim ize the distortions associated with a spatially-varying $_{\rm s}$ (r) it makes sense to consider a condensate prole that exhibits its most rapid variation away from this symmetry point, i.e., at nonzero radii. M otivated by this we consider a trap potential and therefore a condensate density that oscillates with r. For concreteness we model such $_{\rm s}$ (r) with a Bessel function

$$_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = _{0} + _{1}J_{0}(\mathbf{r}=');$$
 (87)

with 'characterizing the length-scale of the oscillations and $_0$ (as in the previous example) weakly r dependent on a much larger overall trap scale R, with R '. To keep the overall condensate density positive we choose $_1 < 2.48_0$. For this condensate pro le (displayed in Fig. 17, dashed curve) we compute the resulting vortex density $n_v(r)$ and illustrate it in Fig. 17 (solid curve)



FIG.18: (Color online) Vortex positions (m easured relative to R) for the pro le Eq. (87) with lines to guide the eye. The parameters R = 49 m and $\prime = 6 \text{ m}$. For the upper right quadrant, we have shown a density plot of $_{s}(r)$ with the light areas denoting regions of high $_{s}$.



FIG.20: (Color online) Vortex positions (measured relative to R) for the pro le Eq. (87) with lines to guide the eye. The parameters R = 49 m and $\prime = 4 \text{ m}$. For the upper right quadrant, we have shown a density plot of $_{s}(r)$ with the light areas denoting regions of high $_{s}$.



FIG.19: P bt of condensate pro le $_{\rm s}$ (r)= $_{\rm 0}$ (dashed line) and vortex density $n_{\rm v}$ (r)= $n_{\rm v0}$ (solid line) for the oscillatory pro le Eq. (87) with parameters R = 49 m and `= 4 m.

for parameters (TF radius R = 49 m, trap frequency $_{\rm t}$ = 52s¹, '= 6 m, $_{\rm 1}$ = $_0$ = 1:6, = $_{\rm t}$ = 36 and with given by Eq. 63)) that are consistent with recent Rb experiments at JILA^{7,9}. At the location of the dip in the condensate density (r 0:5R), n_v (r) exhibits a corresponding maximum. The e ect on the locations of the vortices is quite striking, as shown in Fig. 18: the vortex rings near the dip are compressed together. In the upper right quadrant we have included a density plot of $_{\rm s}$ (r) to show how regions of low $_{\rm s}$ (r) (which are darker) induce higher local vortex density. Thus, this pro le nicely illustrates the principle that vortices migrate to regions

where $_{\rm s}$ is small to lower the kinetic energy.

The case of a sm aller value of ' ('= 4 m), such that $_{s}$ (r) has two minima before r = R is reached, is illustrated in Figs. 19 and 20, again demonstrating how (as expected) vortices congregate near radialminim a of $_{s}$ (r), with a local density and super uid velocity that actually exceed their rigid-body values.

C. E ect of inhom ogeneous vortex density on condensate pro le

Thus far, to calculate the average vortex density $n_v(r)$ we have used the TF expression for the condensate density s(r), Eq. (9), that was derived in Sec. II within an approximation of a rigid-body super ow prole. How-ever, as we have shown in the previous section, the super ow prole is itself modiled inside an inhomogeneous condensate. Hence in principle we need to determ ine $n_v(r)$ and s(r) by self-consistently solving the coupled equations Eq. (8) and Eq. (75), together with $n_v(r) = \frac{m}{2h}r$ v_s . However, as we show below, for a smooth trap potential, to a good approximation, the elect of the inhomogeneity of the vortex distribution on the equilibrium s(r) is negligible, with corrections vanishing as a higher power in $r_{s=s} = 1=R$.

To show this, we repeat the steps of Sec.VA, but now using the full bosonic energy density Eq. (7) instead of keeping only the -dependent terms (i.e. as in Eq. (68)).

18

This gives:

$$E \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2m} \begin{bmatrix} Z & h \\ d^{2}r_{s}(r) & n_{v} \ln \frac{1}{2n_{v}} + 4!^{2}u_{L}(r)^{2} \end{bmatrix}^{i} + d^{2}r(V + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}r^{2}) + (r)^{2}r^{i};(88)$$

where we rem ind the reader that n_v is related to u_L via Eq. (65), and, in the spirit of the TF approximation, we have still neglected the subdom inant (away from the condensate edge, r R) r s contributions to the energy. The corresponding, coupled Euler-Lagrange equations ($E = u_L = 0$, E = s = 0) that determ ine n_v (r) (through u_L) and s (r) are given by:

$$u_{L}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{8!} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{s}(\mathbf{r})}{s(\mathbf{r})} \ln \frac{c}{2!}; \qquad (89a)$$

$$s(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \qquad bh \qquad (V(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2}m^{-2}r^{2})$$

$$\frac{1}{q} 2m^{2}u_{L}^{2} hr u \frac{1}{n^{2}!}; \quad (89b)$$

where, as before, in Eq. (89a) we have replaced 1 r u ' 1 in the argument of the logarithm of Eq. (73), and dened a parameter $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2!}$. While the equation for u_L remains unchanged, the equation for s(r) is modiled by the vortex kinetic energy, that, as expected, suppresses

it. A lthough these coupled equations are in general quite nontrivial, for a smooth trap the distortion u (r) is small, vanishing with r $_{s}=_{s}$ 1=R. Hence, they can be straightforwardly solved by iteration in this smallparam – eter. To lowest order we can simply neglect the vortex lattice distortion u (r) inside the $_{s}$ (r) equation, obtain-

ing our previous symmetric TF result

$$_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{g}$$
 bh $\frac{1}{2}$ m $(\frac{2}{t}) r^{2}$; (90)

corrected only by bh , that is small compared to . Higher order corrections to $_{\rm s}$ (r) are easily obtained by an iterative proceedure, thereby generating a perturbative expansion in 1=R . Hence, in the high rotation lim it, ! t (corresponding to a divergent R (), Eq. (11)) the TF condensate density pro le Eq. (9) is an accurate description, in agreement with recent results obtained within the lowest Landau level approxim ation^{18,19}.

VI. ELASTIC THEORY AND TKACHENKO M ODES

Having determ ined the equilibrium vortex distribution in a spatially inhom ogeneous rotating condensate, we now study long-wavelength vortex uctuations about this slightly inhom ogeneous equilibrium vortex state. To this end we derive a vortex lattice elastic energy by expanding the total energy Eq. (72) to harm onic order in sm all distortions (r) about the ground-state con guration u_0 (r) [satisfying Eq. (73)], de ned by $u(r) = u_0(r) + (r)$. We nd

$$E_{el}[(\mathbf{r})] = \frac{h^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{r}_{s}(\mathbf{r})^{4}!^{2}}{d^2 \mathbf{r}_{s}(\mathbf{r})^{4}!^{2}} \frac{!}{L} \frac{(\mathbf{r} - 2)^{1}}{2(1 - \mathbf{r} - 0^{1})}; (91a)$$

$$\frac{h^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{r}_{s}(\mathbf{r})^{4}!^{2}}{d^2 \mathbf{r}_{s}(\mathbf{r})^{4}!^{2}} \frac{!}{L} \frac{!}{2}(\mathbf{r} - 2^{1}); (91b)$$

where in Eq. (91b) we have approximated $u_0 \prime 0$.

This compressional energy must be augmented by the elastic energy of the vortex lattice shear deform ation, that is clearly missed at this level of a coarsegrained (density-functional) approximation. We follow Baym and Chandler⁴² and x the shear modulus using T kachenko's^{17,48} exact result for the uniform condensate, that we expect (given the high uniform ity of the vortex lattice observed in experiments and demonstrated here analytically) to be a good approximation even for our case of a spatially-varying s (r). This yields

$$E_{el}' \frac{h^{2}}{2m} d^{2}r_{s}(r) \frac{4!^{2}}{4!^{2}} \frac{!}{L} (r^{2}) + \frac{!}{4!} \frac{!}{4!} \frac{!}{2!} \frac{!}{L} (r^{2}) + \frac{!}{4!} \frac{$$

The dynam ics of long-wavelength elastic vortex uctuations is governed by the balance of the M agnus \force" against the \elastic" force associated with the distortion (r;t) of the vortex lattice

$$\frac{E_{el}}{(r;t)} + 2 h_{s} \hat{z} (r;t) = 0; \qquad (93)$$

where $\frac{d}{dt}$ and the inverse of the average vortex density factor $1=n_{v0} = =!$ arises from the Jacobian relating the variation with respect to r_i to the functional variation with respect to (r_i) . Carrying out the functional derivative yields the following equation for (r;t):

$$_{s}2 = \frac{2h!}{m}r^{2}d^{2}r^{0}{}_{s}(r^{0}){}_{L}(r^{0};t) rG^{0}(rr) \frac{h}{8m}[r_{s}r] + 2[(r_{s}r)]^{2} + {}_{s}r^{2} 2r[_{s}r]^{3}(94)$$

A full solution of Eq. (94) is beyond the scope of this paper and we have it for future research. In spite of its complexity it is reassuring that for a uniform condensate (r-independent $_{\rm s}$) the divergence and curlof Eq. (94) are equivalent to Eqs. (71) and (72) of R ef. 42, respectively. In the following, we proceed by making a simple analytic approximation to Eq. (94) to bring out the leading order e ect of the condensate inhom ogeneity on the T kachenko waves.

For long-wavelength Tkachenko modes, with $\frac{1}{a}$ k $> \frac{1}{R}$, it is clear that the rst term on the right side of Eq. (94) (which is purely longitudinal) dom inates over the second since ! / 1=a². Taking the divergence of both sides of Eq. (94) and neglecting the subdom inant second term, we nd

to leading order in a double expansion in spatial derivatives of and_s . The transverse part of Eq. (94) is obtained by taking its curl, which leads to

$$r [_{s}2] = \frac{h}{8m}^{n} r (_{s}r^{2})$$

+ r [r_{s}r] + 2[(r_{s}r)] :(96)

Henceforth, for simplicity, we restrict our attention to the case of a Gaussian $_{s}(r)$, Eq. (26), which satis es $r_{s}(r) = r_{s}(r)=R^{2}$. To leading order in spatial gradients, the quantity in parentheses in the second line of Eq. (96) reduces to

$$[r_{s} r] + 2[(r_{s} r)]^{2} - \frac{s}{R^{2}}:$$
 (97)

Inserting Eq. (97) into Eq. (96) and expanding the result to leading order in derivatives of $_{\rm s}$, we have

$$r _2' = \frac{h}{8m R^2} r = \frac{h}{8m} r^2 (r);$$
 (98)

where we have used $r r^2 = r^2 (r)$. Combining this result with Eq. (95), we nally obtain an equation for the transverse vortex uctuations r:

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(r) = \frac{h}{4m} - \frac{1}{R^2} + r^2 (r)$$
(99)

The spatial and tem poral Fourier transform of this linear wave equation then im m ediately gives the T kachenko m ode dispersion $_{T}(k)$:

$$_{T}(k) = \frac{p}{h} \frac{p}{-4m} \frac{p}{k^{2}} \frac{r}{-R^{2}};$$
 (100)

that, in the uniform limit R ! 1, recovers the standard linear dispersion result $_{\rm T}(k) = k$ h =4m (see Refs. 42,48). Given the small gradient in $_{\rm s}(r)$ expansion that led to this result, the wavevector is obviously limited to the physically sensible range 1=a > k > 1=R. No doubt a more detailed analysis of Eq. (94) is needed to obtain a more accurate prediction for T kachenko eigenmodes and their dispersion in an inhom ogeneous condensate characterized by a generic condensate pro le $_{\rm s}(r)$. We leave such analysis, as well as an extension of Eq. (94) that includes condensate density uctuations, as discussed in the recent work by Baym⁴⁹ (see also Ref. 50), to future research.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To sum m arize, we have presented a London theory of a vortex state in an inhom ogeneous rotating Bose-E instein condensate. Our most important result (Eq. (75)) is the relation between the vortex density n_v (r) and the super uid density $_{s}(r)$. When applied to a harmonic trap, this result provides a simple explanation for the observed highly regular vortex arrays in such strongly inhom ogeneous condensates, and the observed T hom as Ferm i parabolic condensate pro le. This relation also predicts a slight inhom ogeneity in the vortex spatial distribution, that has recently (since our prediction) been observed in experiments and simulations from JILA⁹. As we discussed in the main text, this relation between n_v (r) and s (r) can be more stringently tested by studying vortex lattice distortions induced by nonmonotonic condensate pro les, tailored with various trapping potentials.

As an important digression, we also studied the super ow in the vicinity of an isolated vortex, calculating the super uid velocity distortion induced by a spatiallyvarying condensate. The associated additional contribution to the super ow is directed orthogonal to the displacement of the vortex away from the trap center, thereby providing a simple explanation for precession of such vortices about the trap center with radiallyindependent frequency.

W ith respect to our vortex lattice result, it is in portant to stress that our prediction of the deviation of the vortex density from the spatially independent (rigidbody) result is more than just a suppression of the total vortex number (a som ewhat trivial and experim entally di cult ⁵¹ e ect to detect, that follows directly from the existence of $_{c1}$, as can be seen from arguments in Sec. IIB and Fig. 4). In particular, it is not a simple surface e ect, such as, e.g., a m issing vortex ring at the edge of the condensate, as for example observed in sim ulations of Feder and C $lark^{12}$ and predicted theoretically for uniform super uids sometime ago⁵².

O ur prediction of a radially increasing vortex lattice spacing across the bulk of a condensate (that does not require a precise measure of 51), show s quantitative agreement with recent JILA data⁹, exhibiting the same 2% lattice distortion.

W e conclude by noting that a num ber of im portant extensions of our work rem ain. Based on sym metry considerations we have suggested that an azim uthally directed vortex lattice radial-shear distortion Qru will be induced by the radially-shearing super uid. C learly, it is in portant to determ ine theoretically whether such interesting chiral vortex lattice distortion is indeed present. This should be possible to assess within either a two-uid hydrodynam icm odelor by incorporating therm ally-excited Bogoliubov quasi-particles into our theory. A more careful treatm ent of vortex discreteness (that goes beyond our simple density-functional-like approximation), from which a nite vortex lattice shearm odulus must em erge is also highly desirable. This would allow a rst-principles treatm ent of T kachenko m odes, that is m ore precise than that presented here. Finally, the extension of our work to three dimensions, where a nontrivial z-dependence of the vortex-line density pro le is expected, is another in portant future direction.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e gratefully acknow ledge useful discussions with A. Andreev, I.C oddington, E.C omell, P.Engels, A.Fetter and V.Schweikhard as well as support from NSF DMR-0321848 and the Packard Foundation.

APPENDIX A:VORTEX PRECESSION IN A UNIFORM SUPERFLUID

Our derivation in Sec. IV of vortex precession in an inhom ogeneous trapped super uid can be complemented

by an exact calculation of vortex precession in a hom ogeneous condensate con ned to a nite \bucket" of radius R (see Ref. 24). To model this system we take $_{\rm s}$ be a constant $_0$ for r < R and zero for r > R. For this case, the single-vortex problem is solved by the method of im – ages to enforce the boundary condition of no outward current (i.e. r must be orthogonal to the boundary)³⁵.

It is straightforward to verify that a single vortex at r_{0} in the bucket geom etry has the solution

$$r$$
 $(r) = \frac{\hat{z} (r r)}{(r r)^2} - \frac{\hat{z} (r r)}{(r r)^2};$ (A1)

where $r_i = r_0 R^2 = r_0^2$ is the \image charge". Thus, although the condensate is hom ogeneous in the bulk, the nontrivial boundary condition necessarily introduces a curl-free (in the bulk) correction r_a to the super ow (second term in Eq. (A1)), that has a similar physical e ect as that due to the bulk condensate inhom ogeneity studied in Sec. IV.

As for an inhom ogeneous condensate, the background super ow at the vortex and thus the vortex dynam ics are entirely determ ined by the image charge term . Evaluating the associated contribution at r_0 and using Eq. (47) we nd

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{0} = \frac{h}{m} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}}}{R^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{0}}{\mathbf{r}_{0}^{2}}; \qquad (A2)$$

showing that a vortex located o -center in a nite \bucket" will precess about the origin in a sense sim ilar to that embodied in Eq. (48) and Eq. (52), with the frequency near the center of order $h=m R^2$, corresponding to a unit of angular momentum for a boson at the boundary of the condensate, as found for an inhom ogeneous condensate.

- ¹ L.Onsager, Nuovo C im . Suppl. 2 6, 279 (1949).
- ² R P. Feynm an, in Progress in Low Temperature Physics, C J. Gorter, ed. (1955).
- ³ M R.Matthews, B P.Anderson, P.C.Haljan, D.S.Hall, C.E.W iem an and E A.Cornell, Phys.Rev.Lett.83, 2498 (1999).
- ⁴ K W .M adison, F.Chevy V.Bretin and J.D alibard, Phys. Rev.Lett. 86, 4443 (2001).
- ⁵ J.R.Abo-Shaeer, C.Raman, J.M. Vogels and W.Ketterle, Science 292, 476 (2001).
- ⁶ P.C. Haljan, I. Coddington, P. Engels and E.A. Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 210403 (2001).
- ⁷ P. Engels, I.Coddington, P.C. Haljan, V.Schweikhard and E A.Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 170405 (2003).

- ⁸ Vincent Bretin, Sabine Stock, Yannick Seurin and Jean Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett 92, 050403 (2004).
- ⁹ I.Coddington, P.C. Haljan, P.Engels, V.Schweikhard, S. Tung and E.A.Comell, cond-m at/0405240.
- $^{\rm 10}$ J.R.Anglin and M.C rescim anno, cond-m at/0210063.
- ¹¹ Daniel E. Sheehy and Leo Radzihovsky, cond-mat/0402637.
- ¹² D avid L. Feder and Charles W . C lark, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87, 190401 (2001).
- ¹³ In superconductors, vortex pinning by _s(r) inhom ogeneities is due to the low ering of the loss of condensation energy (i.e. an increase in condensation energy) when the \norm al" vortex core spatially coincides with the material inhom ogeneity. Because vortex motion generates electric

elds (Josephson relation), vortex pinning is in fact crucial for type-II superconductivity.

- ¹⁴ For our purposes here, it is su cient to con ne our analysis to a zero-tem perature mean-eld approximation. At this level, for weak interactions appropriate for a dilute gas the condensate depletion is vanishingly small, allowing us to ignore the distinction between the super uid density $_{s}$ (r) and the condensate density j (r) j².
- $^{\rm 15}\,$ In this article we do not consider the extrem ely high rotation rate regim e, where Landau level quantization is in portant, boson and vortex densities are com parable and therefore vortices must again be treated as discrete and furtherm ore as quantum m echanical objects. Even for a large 11ing fraction $N_{b}=N_{v}$ so that the rotated super uid remains a well-ordered vortex lattice, for su ciently weak interactions, low density and large rotation rate, the coherence length grows to become comparable to inter-vortex spacing, invalidating our London approximation. The manybody ground state is then still well-described by a product of single-particle vortex-lattice wavefunctions (all bosons occupying this same vortex state), but (unlike in London approximation) in this so-called lowest Landau level (LLL) regime, constructed as a superposition of orbitals constrained to the LLL.As argued theoretically16 and dem onstrated convincingly experim entally9, within this high rotation LLL regime, the ! 2 / (=a) 2 saturates, approaching a constant.
- ¹⁶ Gordon Baym and C.J. Pethick cond-mat/0308325.
- ¹⁷ V K.Tkachenko, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.49, 1875 (1965) [Sov. Phys.JETP 22, 1282 (1966)].
- ¹⁸ Gentaro W atanabe, Gordon Baym and C.J. Pethick, cond-m at/0403407.
- ¹⁹ N.R. Cooper, S. Komineas and N. Read, cond-m at/0404112.
- ²⁰ B.Y. Rubinstein and L.M. Pismen, Physica D 78,1 (1994); see also L.M. Pismen Vortices in Nonlinear elds, Oxford (1999).
- ²¹ P.O. Fedichev and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. A 60, 1779 (1999).
- ²² M arion Linn and A lexander L. Fetter, Phys. Rev. A 61, 063603 (2000).
- 23 Em ilLundh and P.Ao,Phys.Rev.A 61,063612 (2000).
- ²⁴ SA.M cG ee and M J.Holland, Phys.Rev.A, 63, 043608 (2001).
- ²⁵ A natoly A .Svidzinsky and A lexander L .Fetter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5919 (2000); Phys. Rev. A 62, 063617 (2000).
- ²⁶ JR.Anglin, Phys. Rev. A 65, 063611 (2002).
- ²⁷ The result for the super uid velocity near an o -axis vortex, that we nd here, was rst published in Ref. (20) and generalized to the three-dimensional case in Ref. (25). However, to the best of our knowledge, the extension of the solution for v_s (r) to the region outside the immediate vicinity of the vortex has not appeared in the literature until our work¹¹.
- ²⁸ B P. Anderson, P.C. Haljan, C E.W iem an and E A.Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2857 (2000).
- ²⁹ The energy density for the Bose condensate can be straightforwardly obtained from a standard interacting boson H am iltonian, with boson operators approximated by a classical condensate eld, appropriate for the BEC state. Equivalently, the same result can be obtained from a meaneld approximation to the coherent state path integral for the partition function for the interacting boson problem.
- ³⁰ This is what is known as the Thom as Ferm i approxim a-

tion, which ignores the kinetic energy (i.e. gradients in the condensate wavefunction) in comparison to the trap potential and boson interactions and which is valid away from the condensate edges. Not surprisingly, this neglect of kinetic energy leads to unphysical anomalies near the condensate edges such as divergent corrections to the vortex density $n_{\rm v}$ (r) as r ! R.A lthough in a more careful approximation these divergences do not appear, we expect that our small gradient (in $\ln_{\rm s}$ (r)) analysis breaks down near the edge of the condensate, where the corrections to the uniform vortex density and rigid-body super uid velocity are large.

- ³¹ See, e.g., A J. Leggett, Rev. M od. Phys. 71, S318 (1999).
- ³² G ordon Baym and C J. Pethick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 6 (1996).
- ³³ W e m ust remark that, since N is a discrete variable, in actuality one expects steps in N as a function of (see, e.g., F ig 2 of R ef. 34) which become negligible at large.
- ³⁴ D A.Butts and D S.Rokhsar, Nature (London) 397, 327 (1999).
- ³⁵ In fact, for a vortex in a nite but hom ogeneous super uid (e.g., helium in a \bucket", for which the super uid density is nite at the boundary, vanishing only over an atom ic length scale), the vanishing-current boundary condition is crucial for obtaining the correct single-vortex energy and dynam ics (e.g., the precession of an o -axis vortex). These are strongly a ected by the interaction of the vortex with its in age vortex introduced to enforce the above boundary condition.
- ³⁶ S. Inouye, S. Gupta, T. Rosenband, A P. Chikkatur, A. Gorlitz, T L. Gustavson, A E. Leanhardt, D E. Pritchard and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 080402 (2001).
- ³⁷ This is up to a curl-free vector eld V (r;)[^], with V (r;) chosen to m atch onto the inner solution.
- ³⁸ For most experimental systems, this regimer R is not of physical interest, since the condensate density is vanishingly small there (e.g., for the TF $_{\rm s}$ (r) pro le). However, this regime may be accessible for condensate pro les with spatial variation on multiple length scales, such as $_{\rm s}$ (r) with a Gaussian \bump" on top of a uniform pro le varying on long length scales, as considered in Sec. V B 3 in the case of many vortices in an inhom ogeneous condensate.
- ³⁹ V inay Ambegaokar, B.I. Halperin, David R. Nelson and Eric D. Siggia, Phys. Rev. B 21, 1806 (1980).
- ⁴⁰ See, e.g., Ping A o and D avid J. Thouless, Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, 2158 (1993).
- ⁴¹ For the case of a uniform lattice (u = 0), T kachenko¹⁷ has shown that Eq. (64a) along with Eq. (66) emerges form ally from a small-z expansion of his exact expression for the phase gradient of a uniform vortex array written in terms of the W eierstrass zeta function (z).
- ⁴² G ordon Baym and Elaine Chandler, J. Low Temp. Phys. 50, 57 (1983).
- ⁴³ Uwe R.Fischer and Gordon Baym, Phys.Rev.Lett. 90, 140402 (2003).
- 44 W ithin our continuum (density-functional) approximation the energy functionalE [u] only depends on the vortex density $n_{\rm v}$ (r) and is therefore independent of the transverse component of the phonon eld $u_{\rm T}$.
- ⁴⁵ Tin-Lun Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 060403 (2001).
- ⁴⁶ C B.Hanna, A J.Sup, J.C.D iaz-Velez, J.Sinova and A H. M acD onald, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. P 28.002 (2004).
- 47 Y . Shin, M . Saba, T A . Pasquini, W . Ketterle, D E .

 $P\,\mbox{ritchard}$ and A.E. Leanhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 050405 (2004).

- ⁴⁸ V K. Tkachenko, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 50, 1573 (1966) [Sov. Phys. JETP 23, 1049 (1966)]; Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 56, 1763 (1969) [Sov. Phys. JETP 29, 945 (1969)].
- ⁴⁹ G ordon Baym, Phys. Rev. Lett., 91, 110402 (2003).
- ⁵⁰ E.B. Sonin, cond-m at/0405474.

- 51 Q uantitative m easurem ent of the rotation rate is in fact quite di cult, as it is typically experim entally determ ined by the cloud aspect ratio with error bars that grow with decreasing 6,7 .
- ⁵² D ietrich Stau er and A lexander L.Fetter, Phys. Rev. 168, 156 (1968).