

EFFECT OF STIMULATED RADIATION PROCESSES ON FORMATION OF TRACKS AND NANOCAVITIES IN SOLIDS

Fedor V Prigara

Institute of Microelectronics and Informatics, Russian Academy of Sciences,

21 Universitetskaya, Yaroslavl 150007, Russia

(Dated: November 5, 2021)

Abstract

It is shown that the average diameter of tracks produced by swift heavy ions in metals is determined by the size of elementary resonator. The last is introduced in the theory of thermal radiation accounting for the stimulated radiation processes. Due to the inverse density gradient, radiation produced by electronic excitations is locked in the region of track which is formed. Similar effects are applied to the process of nanocavity formation in semiconductors during ion irradiation.

PACS numbers: 61.80.-x, 61.82.-d

Up to now, no convincing mechanism for the formation of damage tracks produced in metals by super-high energy ions is proposed. The mechanism of Coulomb burst is not relevant in the case of metals because of high rate of neutralization [1]. The mechanisms based on the interaction of electrons with phonons and other collective modes which can exist in the periodic crystals (e.g., plasmons) seem to be non-adequate due to the violation of space periodicity in the region of track formation.

Super-high energy ions lose their energy in solids by producing the dense electronic excitations [1, 2]. Here we show that thermal radiation produced by electrons plays a very important role in the process of track formation in solids and determines the average diameter of tracks produced by super-high energy ions in metals.

The local melting of crystal lattice in the region of track formation makes it possible to apply the theory of thermal radiation proposed for gaseous media [3] to the radiation produced by electronic excitations.

It was shown recently [3] that thermal emission has a stimulated character. According to this conception thermal emission from non-uniform gas is produced by an ensemble of individual emitters. Each of these emitters is an elementary resonator the size of which has an order of magnitude of mean free path l of photons

$$l = \frac{1}{n} \quad (1)$$

where n is the number density of particles and σ is the absorption cross-section.

The emission of each elementary resonator is coherent, with the wavelength

$$\lambda = l; \quad (2)$$

and thermal emission of gaseous layer is incoherent sum of radiation produced by individual emitters.

An elementary resonator emits in the direction opposite to the direction of the density gradient. The wall of the resonator corresponding to the lower density is half-transparent due to the decrease of absorption with the decreasing gas density.

The condition (2) implies that the radiation with the wavelength λ is produced by the gaseous layer with the definite number density of particles n .

The condition (2) is consistent with the experimental results by Looney and Brown on the

excitation of plasma waves by electron beam (see [4, 5]). The wavelength of standing wave with the Langmuir frequency of oscillations depends on the density as predicted by equation (1). The discrete spectrum of oscillations is produced by the non-uniformity of plasma and the readjustment of the wavelength to the length of resonator. From the results of experiment by Looney and Brown the absorption cross-section for plasma can be evaluated.

The product of the wavelength by density is weakly increasing with the increase of density. This may imply the weak dependence of the size of elementary resonator in terms of the wavelength upon the density or, equivalently, wavelength.

Because of the high opacity of metals and the inverse radial density gradient, thermal radiation produced by electron excitations is locked in the region of track formation. The characteristic diameter of channel, in which the radiation is locked, is the size of an elementary resonator. The results of experiment by Looney and Brown suggest that the size of an elementary resonator, d , differs by the numerical factor of 4 from the mean free path of photons:

$$d = 4l = 4\pi n : \quad (3)$$

Substituting in the last equation $n = 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $\lambda = 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$, we find that $d = 4\text{ nm}$. Such is the average diameter of tracks measured in alloys irradiated by super-high energy ions [1].

Thermal radiation locked in the region of track formation is heating electrons supporting the dense electronic excitations. Due to the violation of space periodicity in the track region, it is more relevant to suggest that the energy and momentum of electrons transfer to the lattice atoms in the process of individual electron-ion or electron-atom collisions, rather than by the interaction of electrons with collective modes existing in a crystal lattice. The region of track formation has a fluid-like structure and radial distribution function [1].

The proposed mechanism can also contribute to the process of nanocavity formation in semiconductors during keV ion irradiation [6], since the inverse radial density gradient locking the thermal radiation here is also present. The average diameter of nanocavity has an order of magnitude of the size of an elementary resonator. The results of Ref.6 suggest that the radiation pressure play an important role in the nanocavity formation process. In accordance with equation (2), the characteristic wavelength, λ , of radiation has an order of magnitude of the size of an elementary resonator. In the case of nanocavities created in Ge by

5 keV Xe ion irradiation, $\lambda = 10\text{nm}$ [6], hence $h\nu = 0.1\text{keV}$, where h is the Planck constant, and ν is the frequency of radiation. If the radiation temperature is $T_r = h\nu = 0.1\text{keV}$, then the radiation pressure is $P_r = T_r^4 = 0.2\text{GPa}$. Thus the radiation pressure in nanocavity is comparable with the estimated equilibrium pressure [6].

To summarize, the role of thermal radiation in the processes of track and nanocavity formation in solids irradiated by high energy ions cannot be ignored.

Electronic address: fprigara@im.ras.yar.ru

- [1] F.F. Komarov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 173, 1287 (2003), Physics-Uspokhi 46, 1253 (2003).
- [2] M. Toulemonde, C. Trautmann, E. Balanzat, K. Hjort, and A. Weidinger, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. B 217, 7 (2004).
- [3] F.V. Prigara, Astron. Nachr. 324S1, 425 (2003).
- [4] F.F. Chen, Introduction to Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, Vol. 1: Plasma Physics (Plenum Press, New York, 1984).
- [5] B.V. Alexeev, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 173, 145 (2003), Physics-Uspokhi 46, 139 (2003).
- [6] J.C. Kim, D.G. Cahill, and R.S. Averback, Phys. Rev. B 68, 094109 (2003).