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#### Abstract

W e show that integrability of the BCS m odel extends beyond $R$ ichardson's m odel (where allC ooper pair scatterings have equal coupling) to that of the russian doll BCS m odel for which the couplings have a particular phase dependence that breaks tim e-reversalsym $m e-$ try. This $m$ odel is show $n$ to be integrable using the quantum inverse scattering $m$ ethod, and the exact solution is obtained by $m$ eans of the algebraic B ethe ansatz. The inverse problem of expressing local operators in term $s$ of the global operators of the m onodrom y $m$ atrix is solved. This result is used to nd a determ inant form ulation of a correlation function for uctuations in the C ooper pair occupation num bers. These results are used to undertake exact num erical analysis for $s m$ all system $s$ at half- lling.


## 1 Introduction

 $m$ ining the discrete energy spectra of alum inium nanograins $w$ ith a xed number ofelectrons. $T$ heir results sunprisingly showed rem nants of superconducting behaviour. Signi cant differences betw een the spectra of grains w ith even or odd num ber of electrons suggested that strong pairing correlations characteristic of superconductivity w ere stillpresent, and quantum uctuations signi cant. The B ardeen, C ooper and Schrie er (BCS) m odel of superconductivty []] can be applied in this situation, but the usualm ean- eld approach is not suitable for tw o reasons: rstly the num ber of electrons m ust be xed, and secondly the strong quantum uctuations destroy the validily of the assum ption that operators m ay be averaged.

Fortunately predictions from R idhardson's model, for which all scatterings betw een pairs are assum ed to have equal coupling, give an excellent $m$ atch $w$ th the experim ental results discussed above [īi]. In particular, this m odel is exactly solvable. T he solution was originally obtained and analysed in the 1960s by $R$ ichardson and Sherm an in the context of nuclear physics [ [ill the context of the quantum inverse scattering $m$ ethod, the solution was subsequently obtained by $m$ eans of the algebraic B ethe ansatz $m$ ethod $\left[\frac{\left[_{1}, 1\right]}{1}\right] . T$ he latter approach has the advantage that it is possible to derive exact expressions for form factors and correlation functions [ig, , 1 R ichardson's m odel [12, these topics can be found in [ for studying pairing correlations in system s of ultracold dihute Ferm i gases [18]].

[^0]H ere we study the russian dollBCS m odel, a one-param eter generalisation ofR idhardson's m odel. It di ers from R ichardson'sm odelby the inclusion of phases (indexed by a param eter
) in the pair scattering couplings, which break tim e-reversal sym m etry. $R$ idhardson's $m$ odel is reproduced in the lim it as the param eter is taken to zero. T he term inology hussian doll refers to the russian craft of $m$ aking nested wooden dolls, know $n$ as $m$ atryoshka. Inside each doll one nds a sm aller version of the doll. A russian doll renorm alisation group ow is one which displays a cyclic nature rather than ow ing to a xed point. The russian doll BCS m odel was proposed in [1] [1] as an exam ple of a m any-body system exhibiting a russian doll renom alisation group ow. In x 2 , the m odel is show n to be integrable via the quantum inverse scattering $m$ ethod and its exact spectrum is obtained via the algebraic Bethe ansatz $m$ ethod. O urderivation show sthat the $H$ am iltonian is em bedded in the transferm atrix as the second-order term in the expansion about an in nite spectral param eter. This construction is in contrast to the proof of integrability for R idhardson's $m$ odel given in [1] $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{11}\right]$ in that the quasi-classical lim it is not taken. In this sense there is no connection betw een the russian doll BCS m odel and G audin $m$ agnets (cf. $\left.\left[\overline{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right]\right)$. T he e ect of the param eter on the spectrum of the $m$ odel is discussed in $x 3$. In particular we will show that in uences the degeneracies of the energy bands in the strong coupling lim it. In $x 4$ we solve the inverse problem. T his result is used in $x 5$ to obtain an exact form ula for a superconducting pairing correlation function. $N$ um erical analysis of our results show that the ground state of the m odel is qualitatively independent of in term s of the uctuations in C ooper pair num ber for the single particle energy levels. Increasing does how ever lead to a quantitative suppression of the uctuations across all levels. O ur conclusions are given in $x 6$.

## 2 Integrability of the russian doll BCS m odel and its exact solution

$T$ he physicalproperties of a m etallic nanograin $w$ ith pairing interactions are described by the BCS H am iltonian [傗]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{B C S}=X_{j=1}^{X^{L}} "_{j n_{j}} \quad X_{j ; k=1}^{\mathrm{L}} g_{j k} C_{k+}^{y} c_{k}^{y} c_{j} c_{j+}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $j=1 ;::: ; L$ labels a shell of doubly degenerate single particle energy levels $w$ th energies ${ }^{j}$, and $n_{j}=c_{j+}^{y} c_{j+}+c_{j}^{y} c_{j}$ is the ferm ion num ber operator for level $j$. $T$ he operators $c_{j} ; c_{j}^{y}$ are the annihilation and creation operatons for the ferm ions at level $j$. $T$ he labels refer to pairs of tim e-reversed states.

An im portant aspect of the $H$ am iltonian ( $\left.\mathbf{I N}_{1}^{(1)}\right)$ is the blocking e ect. For any unpaired ferm ion at level $j$ the action of the pairing interaction is zero since only paired ferm ions are scattered. This $m$ eans that the $H$ ilbert space can be decoupled into a product of paired and unpaired ferm ion states in which the action of the $H$ am ittonian on the space for the unpaired ferm ions is autom atically diagonal in the natural basis. In view of the blocking e ect, it is convenient to introduce hard-core boson operators $b_{j}=c_{j} c_{j+} ; b_{j}^{y}=c_{j+}^{y} c_{j}^{y}$ which satisfy the relations

$$
\left(b_{j}^{y}\right)^{2}=0 ; \quad\left[b_{j} ; b_{k}^{y}\right]={ }_{j k}\left(1 \quad 2 b_{j}^{y} b_{j}\right) ; \quad\left[b_{j} ; b_{k}\right]=\left[b_{j}^{y} ; b_{k}^{y}\right]=0
$$

on the space excluding single particle states. W e also set $N_{j}=b_{j}^{y_{j}} b_{j}$ to be the C ooper pair num ber operator for the jth level. In this setting the hard-core boson operators realise the
su (2) algebra in the pseudo-spin representation, through the identi cation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=b ; \quad S^{+}=b^{y} ; \quad S^{z}=\frac{1}{2}(2 N \quad \text { I) }: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he pseudo-spin operators satisfy the follow ing com $m$ utation relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[S^{z} ; S\right]=S \quad ; \quad\left[S^{+} ; S \quad\right]=2 S^{z}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now well known that the H am iltonian ( $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ can be solved exactly in the case when $g_{j k}=g$ is constant for all $1 \quad j ; k \quad \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Th}$ is is referred to as R ichardson's model. The solution was obtained in [3] [3. It was subsequently show $n$ that the model is also integrable through an explicit construction for a set of conserved operators [ $\left.\overline{7}_{1}\right]$. B oth of these results can be obtained from the form ulation of the $m$ odel through the quantum inverse scattering
 m anifold of integrability. W e w ill show that the follow ing H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{R D}=2^{X^{L}} "_{j=1} N_{j} \quad g_{j<k}^{X^{L}}\left(e^{i} b_{k}^{y} b_{j}+e^{i} b_{j}^{y} b_{k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is integrable for anbitrary values of. This $m$ odel inchudes $R$ ichardson's $m$ odel in the lim it
! 0, up to the addition of a m ultiple of the $u(1)$ operator $N={ }_{j=1}^{L} N_{j}$ for the totalnum ber of C ooper pairs. It coincides w ith the russian doll B C S m odel recently studied in [1] ${ }_{1}$ ].

To establish the integrability of ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{4})$, we begin $w$ th the $R-m$ atrix $R(u)$ that satis es the Yang\{B axter equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{12}(u \quad v) R_{13}(u) R_{23}(v)=R_{23}(v) R_{13}(u) R_{12}(u \quad v) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has the form $[2]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(u)=\frac{1}{u_{0}+i}(u I \quad I+i P) \\
& =\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
B & C \\
B & 0 & b(u) & c(u) & 0 \\
C \\
B & 0 & c(u) & b(u) & 0 \\
A
\end{array} ; \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $b(u)=u=(u+i), c(u)==(u+i)$ and is taken to be an arbitrary real param eter. A bove, $P$ is the perm utation operator. It satis es

$$
P\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{y}
\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{x}
$$

for all vectors $x$ and $y$. A $n$ im portant property of the $R \mathrm{~m}$ atrix that w ill be exploited later is that it satis es the unitarity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(u) R(u)=I \quad I: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $R \mathrm{~m}$ atrix is $\mathrm{gl}(2)$-invariant in that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}(u) ; g \quad g]=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is any 22 m atrix. W e introduce the Lax operator $L(u)$ in term $s$ of the su(2) Lie algebra $w$ th generators $S^{z}$ and $S$ [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)=\frac{1}{u+i} \quad u I+i\left(S^{z}+I=2\right) \quad \text { i } S \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

sub ject to the com m utation relations ( ${ }_{(1-1}^{1}$ ). . T hen the follow ing holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{12}(u \quad v) L_{1}(u) L_{2}(v)=L_{2}(v) L_{1}(u) R_{12}(u \quad v): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen the su (2) algebra takes the spin $1=2$ representation $(\underline{\bar{Z}})$ the resulting $L$-operator is equivalent to that given by the R m atrix ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{1}\right)$.
$W$ e take $g=\exp (i)$ with $=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 1)$ and use $(\underset{(1)}{(9)})$ to construct the $m$ onodrom $y$ $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(u)=g_{0} L_{0 L}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & \left.W_{L}\right)
\end{array} \quad 02 I_{(u l}^{u} \quad "_{2}\right) L_{01}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & "_{1}
\end{array}\right) \\
&=A(u) \quad B(u) \\
& C(u) \\
& D(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

A bove, 0 labels the auxiliary space, while the tensor com ponents of the physical space are labelled 1;:::;L. Thus the entries A (u); B (u); C (u); D (u) of T (u) are elem ents of the L-fold tensor algebra of su (2). A s a consequence of ( $(\overline{1})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{12}(\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{v})=\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{R}_{12}(\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{v}): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e next de ne the transfer $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{u}) & =\mathrm{tr} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{u}) \\
& =\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{u}):
\end{aligned}
$$

H ere, $t r_{0}$ denotes the trace taken over the auxiliary space. It can be shown using [1] $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) that the transfer $m$ atrix $t(u)$ generates a one-param eter fam ily of com $m$ uting operators, viz.

$$
t \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{u}) ; \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{v})]=0 \quad 8 \mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{C}:
$$

N ext we specialise the representation of the su (2) algebra to that given by (2, (2). Follow ing the $m$ ethod of the algebraic B ethe ansatz (see for exam ple [1] $\overline{1} 1]$ ) we can deduce that the transfer $m$ atrix eigenvalues are
sub ject to the constraints of the $B$ ethe ansatz equations

It is convenient to de ne an equivalent transfer $m$ atrix

$$
\tau(u)=Y_{k=1}^{u} \frac{u \quad "_{k}+i}{u \quad "_{k}+i=2} t(u) ;
$$

which has eigenvalues
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(u)=\exp (i) Y_{k=1}^{Y} \frac{u \quad "_{k}}{u \quad{ }_{k}+i=2}  \tag{13}\\
& \left.\widetilde{a}(u)=\exp ^{(i}\right)_{k=1}^{Y^{L}} \frac{u \quad "_{k}+i}{u \quad "_{k}+i=2}: \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

A nalogously we de ne

$$
\Re(u)=Y_{k=1}^{\Psi} \frac{u \quad "_{k}+i}{u \quad "_{k}+i=2} \text { ! } A(u)
$$

and sim ilarly for $B(u)$; $C(u)$ and $D \sim(u)$.
A series expansion for $t(u)$ yields a set of $m$ utually com $m$ uting operators. Here we choose to expand about the point at in nity:

$$
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{L}}} \mathrm{e}^{(k)} u^{k}
$$

such that

$$
\left[e^{(k)} ; e^{(1)}\right]=0 \quad 8 \mathrm{k} ; 1:
$$

It is straightforw ard to deduce the leading term $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& e(u) \quad 2 \cos () \quad I+u^{1} \tan ()(\mathbb{N} \quad L=2) \quad \frac{i^{2} u^{2}}{2} \tan ()(\mathbb{N} \quad L=2) \\
& +u^{2} \tan ()^{X^{L}} "_{i=1} N_{i} \frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{L}} "_{i}^{\#} \\
& { }^{2} u^{2} \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{N} \quad L=2)^{2} \quad \frac{L}{8}+\frac{1}{2 \cos ()}_{j<k}^{X^{L}}\left(e^{i} b_{k}^{y} b_{j}+e^{i} b_{j}^{y} b_{k}\right) \quad: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding ${ }^{\sim}(u)$ in powers of $u^{1}$ we nd

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\sim \\
\sim
\end{array} \quad 2 \cos (1) 1+u^{1} \tan () \mathbb{N} \quad L=2\right) \quad \frac{i^{2} u^{2}}{2} \tan () \mathbb{N} \quad L=2\right)
$$

C om paring this expression $w$ th the expansion (1-15) we im m ediately see that the exact solution for the energies of the H am ittonian (4, $\overline{4})$ w ith $g==\sin ()$ is

$$
E=2_{j=1}^{X^{N}} V_{j}+g N \cos () ;
$$

where the $\mathrm{fv}_{j} \mathrm{~g}$ are solutions to $(\overline{1} \overline{\overline{2}})$. M aking the change of variable
and taking the lim 止 ! 0 this reproduces the known exact solution [

$$
\mathrm{X}_{j=1}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~b}_{j}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{N}:
$$

 naturally generalise those of $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[7}\end{array}\right]$, and arise in the solution of the inverse problem below . T hese operators clearly satisfy

$$
\left.\left.\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{RD}} ; t\left("_{k}\right)\right]=\mathbb{t}\left("_{1}\right) ; t\left("_{k}\right)\right]=0 ; \quad 8 \mathrm{k} ; 1=1 ;::: ; \text { L }:
$$

H ence the above construction show s that the russian doll BCS m odel is both integrable and exactly solvable.
$W$ e note that the unitary tim e-reversal transform ation of $(\overline{4})$ is equivalent to the change of variable ! $w$ th $g$ and each " ${ }_{j}$ xed. It is easily seen that the change ! and
$!\quad$ leaves $g, E$ and each of the $B$ ethe ansatz equations $\left.{ }_{1}^{(1-2}\right)$ invariant. W e m ention also that in the above the single particle energies ${ }_{j}$ are entirely anbitrary. In particular, it is not necessary to have $"_{j}<"_{k}$ for $j<k$.

## 3 Energy spectrum

To study the behaviour of the spectrum of $(\overline{4})$ as varies betw een 0 and $=2$, we solved the Bethe ansatz equations ( 1 spaced, that is $"_{j}=j \quad(L+1)=2$. W ith this convention the Ferm ilevel is zero for a system at half-lling. T he blocking e ect sim pli es the calculation of the energy of the excited states, since a state consisting of say $\mathrm{N}^{0} \mathrm{C}$ ooper pairs and tw ofree electrons blocking levels $m$ and $n$ has energy

$$
E=2_{j=1}^{X^{0}} V_{j}+g N^{0} \cos ()+"_{m}+"_{n}
$$

where the $f v g \quad f v_{1} ;::: ; v_{N} \circ g$ satisfy the follow ing $B$ ethe ansatz equations

For a xed number of particles the excitations of the model (4, í) are classi ed according to the initial distribution of the $C$ ooper pairs and blocked energy levels at $g=0$, thereby
suggesting the initial con guration of the B ethe ansatz roots. For exam ple, a solution of the B ethe ansatz equations for the ground state at half- lling is found using the initial conditions $v_{j}="_{j} ; j=1 ;:: ; \mathrm{L}=2$, whereas an excited state form ed by prom oting a $C$ ooper pair above the Ferm $i$ level is obtained from the initial conditions $v_{j}="_{j} ; j=1 ;::: ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}L & 2\end{array}\right)=2$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}=2}="_{(\mathrm{L}+2)=2}$.

W e solved the B ethe ansatz equations teratively starting w ith each possible con guration of the roots at $g=0$ and $=0 . U$ sing this $m$ ethod we calculated the excited state spectrum for a m odelw th three C ooper pairs at half- lling ( $L=6$ ), a total of 141 states if the particlehole sym $m$ etry is not taken into account. Figure 'ī show s the energy levels as a function of the coupling $g$ for three di erent values of . For sm all and large coupling $g$, the energy levels form narrow, well-separated bands in agreem ent w th the results of $R$ ichardson's model (e.g. see $\left.\left[\overline{[2 \overline{4}} \bar{m}^{\prime}\right]\right)$. A s increases the energy levels $m$ ove, the originalbands split apart, and new bands are form ed. T hroughout, the gap betw een the ground state energy and the rst group of excited states rem ains, though it decreases as increases. There is also a set of states for which the energy of each state does not depend on. These are the states that consist of one C ooper pair and all levels apart from levels land $m$ are blocked. T he single B ethe ansatz equation has two solutions:

$$
2 \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{m}_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2}+\left("_{1} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{~g}=2 \quad \mathrm{cos}(\mathrm{)}:
$$

C onsequently the energy for this state is

$$
E \quad="_{1}+"_{m} \quad g^{2}+\left("_{1} \quad "_{m}\right)^{2}+{\underset{i 2 B}{ } "_{i} ; ~}_{X}
$$

where $B$ indexes the set of blocked energy levels.
D espite the fact that the B ethe ansatz equations have to be solved num erically to obtain
 con jectures as to the behaviour of the BCS roots at very large $g$ for $R$ ichardson's model. $N$ um erical studies indicate that as $g$ increases som e of the roots form com plex con jugate pairs in a com plicated pattem which $m$ ay involve roots form ing pairs, splitting apart, and form ing new pairs, or com plex con jugate pairs separating and becom ing independent real roots again. The root behaviour of the ground state of a m odelw ith an even num ber of Cooper pairs is very simple: all roots form complex conjugate pairs and become in nite at large coupling. For an odd num ber of $C$ ooper pairs the roots behave in the sam e way apart from the root in itially closest to the Ferm ilevel, which rem ains nite. G enerally most roots tend to in nity at very large $g$ whether or not they have form ed a com plex con jugate pair. T he num ber of the rem aining nite roots can be thought of as the num ber of elem entary excitations of the excited state. There is a form ula due to $G$ audin [2] that predicts the num ber of states $w$ ith a particular num ber of nite roots at $g=1$, and an algorithm due to Sierra et al. $\overline{2} \overline{6} \overline{1}]$ that $m$ atches the in itial con guration of roots (or distribution of c ooper pairs over the available energy levels) to a nalstate.

It is clear from the splitting of the energy bands shown in $F$ igure'il that $G$ audin's form ula and the algorithm due to Sierra et al. are not valid for nonzero. In fact the russian doll BCS m odel exhibits the sam e general root behaviour as $R$ ichardson's model (as show in
 $g$ con guration of $B$ ethe ansatz roots consists of two real roots and one com plex con jugate


Figure 1: The behaviour of the energy levels as is increased. The results show $n$ are for the picket fence $m$ odel of $L=6$ single particle energy levels at half- lling. As the coupling $g$ increases, the energy levels coalesce into bands. Variations in lead to di erent degeneracies of the bands. $N$ ote that in each case the ground state is non-degenerate and there is no level crossing betw een the ground and rst excited energy level as $g$ increases.
pair of roots. For $=0: 01, F$ igure ', 2,1 a show s there are tw o nite real roots, in agreem ent w ith the algorithm which predicts tw o elem entary excitations [2] $\overline{\underline{G}}]$. At close to $=2$ (see Figure ${ }_{1-1}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ ), it appears that a single real root rem ains nite, but upon close exam ination at $g$ 100, the root is seen to be very slow ly diverging. T hus there are no longer any nite roots in this particular case.

## 4 Solution of the inverse problem

In preparation for the next section we would like to be able to express any local operator in term $s$ of the elem ents of the $m$ onodrom $y m$ atrix. T his is know $n$ as the inverse problem, and its solution w illenable the form factors of the localoperators to be determ ined. $T$ he results of this section are the generalisation of those in $\left.\bar{L}_{2} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$ to the case where the operator $g$ is inchuded


H ereafter we set $R_{j k} \quad R_{j k}\left("_{j} \quad "_{k}\right)$ for notational ease. F irst we note that

$$
\left.T\left("_{j}\right)=R_{j(j} 1\right) \quad{ }_{j 1} R_{0 j} G_{j} R_{j L} \quad{ }_{j}\left(R_{1}+1\right)
$$


$F$ igure 2: The evolution of the real and im aginary parts of the roots fvg $w$ ith increasing $g$. $T$ he three plots show $n$ are for xed values of. In each case the roots corresp ond to the excited

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0 j}=R_{j 1}{ }^{1} \quad{ }_{j(j)}^{R}{ }_{1} T^{T}\left("_{j}\right) R_{j(j+1)}^{1} \quad \quad{ }_{j L}{ }^{1 R_{j}}{ }^{1}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily deduced that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.t\left({ }_{j}\right)=R_{j(j} 1\right) \quad{ }_{j 1} S_{j} R_{j L} \quad j\left(\beta_{1}\right): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point we appeal to the unitarity condition ( $\overline{(7})$ ) which allow s us to w rite

$$
t\left("_{j}\right)=R{ }_{(j 1) j}^{1} \quad{ }_{1 j}{ }^{1} \mathrm{Pg}_{j} R_{j L} \quad j\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right):
$$

De ning

$$
j \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{R}_{1 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{jk} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}
$$

we then have

$$
t\left("_{j}\right)=\quad{ }_{(j 1) j}^{1} g_{j} R_{j L} \quad j\left(\beta_{1}+;\right.
$$

which leads to the form ula

$$
Y_{j=1}^{Y^{k}} t\left("_{j}\right)=Y_{j=1}^{Y^{k}} g_{j} \quad k L \quad k\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L & 1 \tag{18}
\end{array}\right) \quad k(k+1):
$$

Equation (19) is proved by induction, noting rst that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left("_{1}\right) & =g_{1} R_{1 \mathrm{~L}} R_{1\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{L} & 1
\end{array}\right)} \\
& =g_{1} 1 \mathrm{~L} \\
1\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{L} & 1
\end{array}\right) & 12:
\end{aligned}
$$

Em ploying equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ we nd

C om bining $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{6})$ and $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ we have

$$
\left.\left.P_{0 k}=R_{k 1}^{1} \quad k_{(k)}^{\frac{k}{k}} 1\right) T\left("_{k}\right) t^{1}\left("_{k}\right) R_{k(k} 1\right) \quad{ }_{k 1} R
$$

from which we obtain the follow ing expression

$$
T\left("_{k}\right) t^{1}\left("_{k}\right)=\quad{ }_{(k \quad 1) k}^{1} P_{0 k} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & 1) k
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus using (

Finally, since

$$
\mathrm{P}_{0 \mathrm{k}}=
$$

form ula ( $(\overline{2} \bar{q})$ leads to expressions for the localoperators $b_{k} ; b_{k}^{y} ; N_{k}$ term s of the globaloperators A (u); B (u); C (u) and D (u) of the algebraic Bethe ansatz.

## 5 Pair occupation uctuations

In this section we use the notation $\mathrm{h} i=\mathrm{hfvgj}$ fivgi=hfvgifvgi for any operator where fivgi is a B ethe state associated w th the roots fvg $\mathrm{fv}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{g}$. For the BCS m odel it is of interest to study the behaviour of the correlation function $C_{k}$ describing the uctuations in the C ooper pair occupation num bers for each level $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2} & =\mathrm{hN} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{hN}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}^{2} \\
& =\mathrm{hI} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{ihN}_{k} \mathrm{i}:
\end{aligned}
$$

For R ichardson's m odel $(=0)$, this correlation function has been studied in $\left[\overline{u n}^{1} r, 1\right.$
Solving the inverse scattering problem allow s the correlator to be expressed in term $s$ of the form factor of the global operator $D$ (u) via

$$
\text { hfvgjl } \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { 代vgi }=\text { hfvg } D\left("_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{t}^{1}\left(\mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \text { 代vgi: }
$$

 states leads to explicit determ inant representation for the form factors of the operators A (u); B (u); C (u) and D (u). W e follow [1]ind, in which the Slavnov form ula is described for e (u i =2) together w th form factors for the elem ents of the associated $m$ onodrom $y m a t r i x$ (up to a rescaling of ). We de ne it here in term $s$ of the shifted functions

$$
a(u)=a(u \quad i=2) ; \quad d(u)=\sigma(u \quad i=2)
$$

where $a(u)$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}(u)$ are given by [ $\overline{1} \overline{3}$ ) and $(1 \overline{4})$ respectively.
Below both sets of param eters $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ and $\mathrm{fv}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ are assum ed to be solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations $(\underline{1} \overline{1})$. 1 . The scalar products of the $B$ ethe states are obtained from
where $F$ is an $N \quad N$ m atrix w ith entries
unless fw $g=$ fvg, in which case the diagonal entries becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
& +d\left(v_{i}\right) \quad a^{0}\left(v_{i}\right)^{Y^{\mathrm{N}}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}+i\right)+d^{0}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad i\right) ; \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

w here the prim e denotes the derivative. $N$ ote that $\left(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ corrects typograph icalerrons appearing
 read
where

$$
(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \frac{\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{i}=2}{\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{i}=2}
$$

and
 and the expression for the form factor of the local operator $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$ betw een identical Bethe eigenstates is also very sim ple:

$$
\mathrm{hN}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}=1 \quad \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{det} \mathrm{F}}:
$$

F igure $\overline{3}$, show $s$ the behaviour of $C_{k}$ for the ground state of the $L=6$ system at half- 1 ing, for three values of the coupling strength $g$ as the param eter is increased from just above zero to just below $=2$.

$F$ igure 3: The correlation function $C_{k}$ for various choiges of and the coupling strength $g$. $T$ he results show $n$ are for the ground state of the $L=6 \mathrm{~m}$ odel at half- 1 ing . In each case the distribution of $C_{k}$ peaks about the Ferm ilevel. For xed $g$, increasing values of suppress the $m$ agnitude of $C_{k}$ across all single particle energies $"_{k}$.

## 6 C onclusion

W e have dem onstrated the integrability of the russian doll B C S m odel [1] $\overline{1} 1]$, which generalises R ichardson's m odelby the inclusion of phases in the pair scattering couplings, param eterised by a variable. W e have applied the algebraic Bethe ansatz to nd the exact solution of the $m$ odel, and have also solved the inverse problem for the com putation of form factors and correlation functions. In particular, an explicit expression for the one-point correlator characterising C ooper pair occupation uctuations was obtained. A nalysis of this result indicates that the ground state structure is qualitatively independent of , and increasing suppresses the uctuations across all single particle energy levels. On the other hand, our analysis of the energy spectrum show s that the degeneracies of the energy bands in the strong coupling lim it is dependent on . An open problem is to determ ine the extent to which a ects the therm odynam ic properties of the $m$ odel. The quantum transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod and the solution of the associated nonlinear integral equations constitute pow erfiul techniques for exactly calculating the free energy of $m$ any integrable system $s$. T hese techniques have successfully been applied to the cases of the XXZ m odel $[\underline{3} \overline{\underline{0}}]$ and an integrable spin ladder $\left[\underline{3}_{1}^{1}\right]$. A s we have show $n$ that the H am iltonian is em bedded in the transferm atrix as the second-order term in the series expansion about in nite spectral param eter, we hope that our results are a rst step in form ulating the quantum transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod for the russian doll BCS m odel.
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