

An Optically Plugged Quadrupole Trap for Bose-Einstein Condensates

D. S. Naik and C. Raman*

School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332

(Dated: March 22, 2022)

We created sodium Bose-Einstein condensates in an optically plugged quadrupole magnetic trap (OPT). A focused, 532nm laser beam repelled atoms from the coil center where Majorana loss is significant. We produced condensates of up to 3×10^7 atoms, a factor of 60 improvement over previous work [1], a number comparable to the best all-magnetic traps, and transferred up to 9×10^6 atoms into a purely optical trap. Due to the tight axial confinement and azimuthal symmetry of the quadrupole coils, the OPT shows promise for creating Bose-Einstein condensates in a ring geometry.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Mn, 03.75.Nt, 32.80.Lg, 32.80.Pj

Developing new experimental methods has been key to the exploration of quantum gases. In recent years, successes at loading atoms directly onto fabricated structures [2, 3], evaporation in purely optical traps [4, 5, 6], and transport of atoms from one vacuum chamber to another [7, 8, 9] have created Bose-Einstein condensates (and in some cases degenerate gases of new species) in environments where enhanced optical access and proximity to surfaces allows for the discovery of new phenomena.

Large volume magnetic traps [10] are a workhorse of the field due to their significant capture range compared with optical traps. However, they possess an intrinsic trade-off between tight confinement and optical access, the former requiring a number of opaque coils to be placed in close proximity to the atoms. In this work we created Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) using an “optically plugged” quadrupole magnetic trap (OPT) that significantly alleviates this trade-off. An earlier version of the OPT was used by Ketterle’s group to demonstrate the first BEC in sodium [1]. The central result of this paper is a 60-fold improvement in atom number, achieving the 3rd largest alkali BEC reported [11, 12]. Moreover, although the OPT does not have a simple harmonic potential energy surface (see below), we have transferred up to 9 million atoms into a purely optical trap created by a single, focused infrared laser beam. This demonstrates that the OPT is an excellent starting point for BEC experiments.

Our OPT should considerably simplify the process of attaining a BEC, as it requires, apart from the “plug” laser, just one pair of electromagnets driven by a single power supply (this also makes the magneto-optical trap, or MOT, ensuring exact overlap between their respective centers). We can achieve the high loading efficiency of a magnetic trap without the complexity and restricted optical access of a multi-coil arrangement typical of Ioffe-Pritchard (IP) traps such as the cloverleaf design [13]. Moreover, the focusing of an additional, intense laser beam adds only a minor complexity to the apparatus, comparable to that required for optical confinement of BECs [14]. Our design uses a stable, solid state “plug” laser at 532 nm requiring little or no adjust-

ment for several weeks of operation. Finally, we show here that it may be possible to scale down the required laser power to less than 1 watt.

A simple configuration of coils which will trap low magnetic field seeking particles is the quadrupole trap, formed by a pair of coils running current in the opposite direction in the so-called “anti-Helmholtz” configuration




FIG. 1: The Optically Plugged Trap (OPT). a) A pair of coils with opposite currents constitutes a quadrupole trap, which has a hole in the center where atoms are lost by Majorana spin-flips. b) An optically plugged trap (OPT) is realized by focusing an intense, blue-detuned laser beam to the center that repels atoms from the hole, resulting in a Bose-Einstein condensate free to move along a ring. c) Using an elliptically shaped focus, the symmetry of the ring is broken, and two distinct minima form along the minor axis of the ellipse. About each minimum the atoms experience 3-dimensional, harmonic confinement with 3 distinct frequencies. (d-f) Potential energy versus position in the x-y plane corresponding to the trapping geometries of (a-c).

(see Fig. 1a). Unfortunately, by itself this trap is not so useful for evaporative cooling—within a region of $1 - 2\mu\text{m}$ radius near the magnetic field zero at the trap center, the atoms can spontaneously undergo spin flips and are lost from the trap [15]. This Majorana loss can be eliminated if one removes the field zero from the cloud, for example, using a fast, rotating bias field [15, 16], or alternately, using a Ioffe-Pritchard design which has a finite bias field at the trap minimum [13]. Our approach is based on an idea of Ketterle to use the optical dipole force of a blue-detuned laser beam to repel atoms from the region containing the hole [1]. The resulting potential energy surface depends on both laser and magnetic fields, and the minimum is displaced from the coil center so that the atoms experience a non-zero magnetic field.

Our experimental sequence starts with a Zeeman slowed ^{23}Na atomic beam based on a “spin-flip” design whose flux is about 10^{11} atoms/s. About 10^{10} atoms are loaded in 3 seconds into a dark MOT in the $F=1$ hyperfine level [17]. Roughly $1/3$ of the atoms (the weak-field seekers) are transferred into the OPT (the magnet and laser beam are turned on simultaneously), whose axis of symmetry is oriented vertically. Each coil has 24 windings of $1/8$ ” square cross-section copper tubing. The average diameter of each coil is 4 inches and their spacing is 2.25 inches. A current of 350 A flows through the tube walls, while cooling water flows through the tube itself, and the total voltage drop including a high current switch is 20 Volts. The predicted field gradient is 320 Gauss/cm at this current. Following the loading of the trap, rf evaporative cooling for 42 seconds resulted in an almost pure Bose-Einstein condensate of $10 - 30 \times 10^6$ atoms. In order to achieve such high atom numbers, we reduced the trap current by a factor of 14 toward the end of the evaporation stage, thus lowering inelastic losses associated with high atomic density. To ensure the magnetic field zero did not move with respect to the stationary plug beam, it was imperative to carefully cancel stray magnetic fields. By observing the motion of the cloud center and adjusting 3 pairs of Helmholtz coils, we reduced stray fields to $\lesssim 20$ milliGauss, resulting in $\lesssim 10\mu\text{m}$ motion of the field zero, well below the plug beam diameter.

The plug beam is derived from a 5 Watt, intra-cavity-doubled Nd:YVO₄ laser [Coherent Verdi-5W] at 532 nm. The laser output passes through a 40 MHz acousto-optic modulator (AOM) [Intra-Action Corp.] used for rapid switch-off of the laser beam. After expanding the beam size by a factor of 3, we focused it into the vacuum chamber to a beam waist of $\simeq 40\mu\text{m}$ using a 500 mm lens. The green light propagates along the axis of the quadrupole field (in our notation, the z -axis) through the vacuum chamber and its focus is subsequently imaged onto a CCD camera with a magnification of 2.5. We use the same imaging path to record the atomic absorption using a resonant probe laser at 589 nm. To suppress the powerful green laser beam during the absorption measurement,

we placed a dichroic mirror, a 589 nm interference filter and up to 2 bandpass edge filters in the beam path, for a total suppression of up to 13 orders of magnitude. The focus of the plug beam was aligned to the center of the atom cloud in the trap by steering the beam output before the final focusing lens using a mirror mount with a micrometer actuator. After coarsely positioning the focus at the center of the circular absorption image, we performed a fine-tuning by searching for an enhancement of evaporative cooling, as detailed below.

Ring Trap. To understand the potential energy surface of the combined optical and magnetic fields, we consider an ideal case where the laser beam has a Gaussian profile with perfect azimuthal symmetry. Since the quadrupole potential also possesses this symmetry (see Fig.1b), this results in a ring-shaped Bose-Einstein condensate. Neglecting the variation of the laser beam along z due to the long Rayleigh range of a few millimeters, the minimum of the combined laser and magnetic potential is a circle of radius r_0 in the $x - y$ plane, which is located mid-way between the coils (see Fig. 1e). r_0 satisfies $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} U_0 e^{-2r^2/W^2}|_{r=r_0} = \mu B'/2$, with U_0 the peak AC stark shift from the plug beam, W the beam waist, B' the axial quadrupole magnetic field gradient, and $\mu = 1/2 \times$ the Bohr magneton is the magnetic moment for atoms in the $|F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle$ hyperfine state. Typically, $r_0 > W$. Near $r = r_0$ and $z = 0$, the potential U varies harmonically in the r and z directions, with radial curvature $\partial^2 U / \partial r^2 = \frac{\mu B'}{2r_0} (4r_0^2/W^2 - 1)$. Along the z -direction $\partial^2 U / \partial z^2 = 2\mu B' / r_0$.

Harmonic Trap. A real laser focus does not have a perfect Gaussian intensity profile. The deviation is most significant in the wings, and for blue-detuned beams this is where the atoms primarily reside. Small imperfections in a round focus would result in one or more minima at random locations. To exert control over the potential, we artificially broke the symmetry by creating an elliptical focus whose aspect ratio was a . We inserted a slit into the beam path before the final focusing lens to create a spatial profile with roughly the inverted aspect ratio $1/a$. The resulting beam focus was elliptical, with its minor axis orthogonal to the slit direction. The ring symmetry was broken, resulting in two distinct minima on the minor axis y of the ellipse at $y = \pm y_0$ (see Fig. 1c,1f). Typically, $W_y = 42\mu\text{m}$ and $W_x = aW_y$ with $a = 2.5$, $B' = 23$ Gauss/cm in our decompressed trap, and $U_0/k_B = 65\mu\text{K}$ for 2.7 Watt of laser power delivered to the atoms.

Near each minimum the potential is harmonic, with 3 distinct frequencies. If one neglects gravity and takes $a \rightarrow \infty$, one has $\omega_x^2 = \mu B' / (2My_0)$, $\omega_y^2 = \omega_x^2 (4y_0^2/W^2 - 1)$, and $\omega_z^2 = 4\omega_x^2$. For our parameters, gravity and the finite value of a introduce corrections of 10 % to $\omega_{x,z}$, yielding predicted frequencies of $\omega_{y,x,z} = 2\pi \times 215, 60$ and 125 Hz, respectively. In practice, there can also be an asymmetry between the two minima which is discussed

later.




FIG. 2: Transition to BEC in the OPT. Absorption images taken at final rf frequencies of a) 0.55, b) 0.45, c) 0.30 MHz show the formation of a Bose condensate. The field of view in each image is 2.7×2.7 mm. Below each image is a horizontal slice through a 2-dimensional bimodal fit to the absorption data. (d) Views along the z and x directions (40 ms and 20 ms time-of-flight, respectively) show the 3-dimensional anisotropic expansion of the condensate. (e) A view in the trap along the z direction shows two separated clouds near the two minima of the potential. Each view in (d) is 1.6 mm (y) \times 0.8 mm (x,z), while (e) is 0.8 mm \times 0.8 mm.

The presence of an elliptical focus dramatically changed the time-of-flight distribution of the trapped gas. For final rf frequencies above 500 kHz we observed a symmetric distribution in the $x-y$ plane when imaged along the z -direction, indicating a thermal cloud (Fig. 2a). Below 500 kHz we observed a bimodal distribution with an anisotropically expanding condensate in the shape of a cigar (Figs. 2b,2c). The long axis of the cigar was parallel to the minor axis of the ellipse. While we expect that this axis should rotate if the ellipse is made to rotate, we could not easily observe this simply by rotating the slits due to a residual ellipticity in the laser beam profile. At a frequency of 150 kHz we saw a nearly pure condensate. Time-of-flight images from the side (x -direction) showed that the condensate expanded anisotropically in 3 dimensions (Fig. 2d).

The measured temperature just above the transition was $1.9 \mu\text{K}$. The theoretical prediction for a harmonically trapped ideal gas is $T_c = \frac{\hbar\bar{\omega}}{k_B}(N/1.202)^{1/3}$, where $\bar{\omega} = (\omega_x\omega_y\omega_z)^{1/3}$ [18]. For the estimated frequencies above, with $N = 31 \times 10^6$ atoms in 1 (2) wells, we get a temperature of $1.7\mu\text{K}$ ($1.3\mu\text{K}$). The true prediction lies somewhere in between, since there are two minima whose relative population depends on the precise laser alignment and profile, which we can control only with limited

precision. A systematic underestimate of the atom numbers may be responsible for the higher temperature measured. The agreement between theory and prediction is reasonable given the uncertainties in the exact shape of the potential due to beam alignment as well as the effects of anharmonicity.

Below the transition, we studied the anisotropic expansion of the condensate. Assuming harmonic confinement, in the Thomas-Fermi limit the chemical potential μ is related to the expanded cloud sizes through the relation $\mu = \frac{M}{4t^2}(W_x^2 + W_y^2 + W_z^2)$ where W_i is the Thomas-Fermi radius along the i -th direction obtained from a parabolic fit to the time-of-flight image. For our geometry, roughly 65 %, 25 % and 10 % of the energy is released in the y,z and x -directions, respectively. We estimate $\mu/k_B = 600$ nK from the measured cloud sizes. The Thomas-Fermi prediction is $\mu = \frac{15^{2/5}}{2} \left(\frac{Na_s}{\bar{a}}\right)^{2/5} \hbar\bar{\omega}$ where N is the number of condensed atoms, $a_s = 2.75\text{nm}$ is the scattering length, and $\bar{a} = \sqrt{\hbar/M\bar{\omega}}$ is the harmonic oscillator length [19]. Estimating the atom number to be $\simeq 20 \times 10^6$ atoms in 1 (2) wells, we compute $\mu/k_B = 530$ nK (400 nK). Both release energy and transition temperature are slightly higher than the predictions, as would be expected for an underestimate of the atom number.




FIG. 3: Plugging the hole. Catastrophic loss results if the “plug” is not carefully aligned. In (b) it is aligned precisely with the magnetic field zero (indicated by a dashed line), resulting in a large number of atoms near the end of the rf evaporation stage, while in (a) and (c) it is misaligned along the y -direction by $+90\mu\text{m}$ and $-65\mu\text{m}$, respectively, resulting in very few atoms. The plug laser power also dramatically affects the number of condensate atoms achieved, as shown in (d), although large condensates were obtained even when the plug is not completely capable of repelling atoms loaded from the MOT, whose initial temperature was $T_i = 190\mu\text{K}$. The field of view in each image is $1\text{mm} \times 1\text{mm}$.

We explored the crucial role played by the plug during evaporation. Figure 3 demonstrates how strong Majorana losses are—when the plug beam was misaligned from

the magnetic field center by more than $50\mu\text{m}$ along any direction (Figs. 3a,3c), tremendous losses ensued and very few atoms remained near the end of the evaporation ramp. However, when it was correctly aligned, as in Fig. 3b, we obtained a huge increase in probe absorption, an unmistakable signature that the hole had been successfully plugged and that we could produce a BEC.

While the plug beam is clearly necessary, a natural question is how high the potential barrier must be to prevent Majorana loss. One estimate is that it should exceed the average energy of atoms passing nearby. This implies that $U_0 \geq 3/2k_B T_i$, where T_i is the initial temperature of atoms loaded into the quadrupole trap. The MIT group used a Stark shift of $350\mu\text{K}$ for atoms at $200\mu\text{K}$ in the first plug trap. However, the dependence on plug power was not determined in that work. In Fig. 3d we plot the final atom number in the condensate versus plug laser power. At our maximum laser power we achieved condensates of up to 30×10^6 atoms, close to the state-of-the-art achieved in IP traps. Surprisingly, the peak Stark shift was only $60\mu\text{K}$, about 30 % of $k_B T_i$, which we measured to be $190\mu\text{K}$. Thus the estimate above appears rather conservative. Indeed, on occasion we have observed condensates of more than 10^6 atoms using a total power output from the laser of only 1 Watt, corresponding to $U_0/k_B T_i \simeq 0.1$. We interpret this to mean that Majorana loss becomes critical only when the cloud size becomes small. Our observations suggest that by focusing more tightly to a beam waist of $20\mu\text{m}$ or less, one may only need a 0.5-1 watt plug laser.

Finally, we address a drawback of the hybrid magnetic and optical trap—the exact potential energy surface depends on the precise laser beam alignment *and* its profile in the wings, parameters which are difficult to control. We demonstrate that the OPT can be used as a “cooling stage” to achieve quantum degeneracy, followed by transfer of atoms (in a procedure similar to [14]) into a purely optical trap formed by a single, focused infrared laser beam. Here the atoms are localized near the intensity *maximum*, where the potential energy surface is well-defined. Our trap was formed by the output of a 1064 nm fiber laser which passed through an AOM and a single mode optical fiber before being focused onto the atoms with a beam waist of roughly $25\mu\text{m}$. In spite of a significant mode mismatch between the OPT and the purely optical trap, we achieved a transfer of up to 9 million atoms, or 50 % of the condensate.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a state of the art trap for Bose-Einstein condensation. We are currently working on spatial filtering of the laser beam to create a ring trap, where new superfluid phenomena can be expected, including persistent currents [20, 21]. A unique feature of our condensate is the non-uniform magnetization due to the inhomogeneous quadrupole field, which may allow for the creation of novel spin textures [22, 23].

We thank W. Ketterle for useful discussions, A.

Traverso, G. Belenchia, P. Gabolde and B. Kaiser for experimental assistance, and M. S. Chapman for a critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the DoE and GT startup funds.

* Electronic address: craman@gatech.edu

- [1] K. B. Davis, M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995).
- [2] W. Hansel, P. Hommelhoff, T. W. Hansch, and J. Reichel, Nature **413**, 498 (2001).
- [3] H. Ott, J. Fortagh, G. Schlotterbeck, A. Grossmann, and C. Zimmermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 230401 (2001).
- [4] M. D. Barrett, J. A. Sauer, and M. S. Chapman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 010404 (2001).
- [5] S. R. Granade, M. E. Gehm, K. M. O'Hara, and J. E. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 120405 (2002).
- [6] T. Weber, J. Herbig, M. Mark, H. C. Nagerl, and R. Grimm, Science **299**, 232 (2003).
- [7] M. Greiner, I. Bloch, T. W. Hansch, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 031401 (2001).
- [8] T. L. Gustavson, A. P. Chikkatur, A. E. Leanhardt, A. Görlitz, S. Gupta, D. E. Pritchard, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 020401 (2002).
- [9] H. J. Lewandowski, D. M. Harber, D. L. Whitaker, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 070403 (2002).
- [10] See the articles by E. A. Cornell, et. al., and W. Ketterle et. al., in *Bose-Einstein condensation in Atomic Gases, Proceedings of the International School of Physics Enrico Fermi, Course CXL*, edited by M. Inguscio, S. Stringari, and C. E. Wieman (Amsterdam, 1999).
- [11] J. R. Abo-Shaeer, C. Raman, J. M. Vogels, and W. Ketterle, Science **292**, 476 (2001).
- [12] A. Görlitz, T. L. Gustavson, A. E. Leanhardt, R. Löw, A. P. Chikkatur, S. Gupta, S. Inouye, D. E. Pritchard, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 090401 (2003).
- [13] M. O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. M. Kurn, D. S. Durfee, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 416 (1996).
- [14] D. M. Stamper-Kurn, M. R. Andrews, A. P. Chikkatur, S. Inouye, H.-J. Miesner, J. Stenger, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2027 (1998).
- [15] W. Petrich, M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3352 (1995).
- [16] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Science **269**, 198 (1995).
- [17] W. Ketterle, K. B. Davis, M. A. Joffe, A. Martin, and D. E. Pritchard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2253 (1993).
- [18] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999).
- [19] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).
- [20] E. J. Mueller, P. M. Goldbart, and Y. Lyanda-Geller, Phys. Rev. A **57**, R1505 (1998).
- [21] D. Rokhsar, e-print cond-mat/9709212 (1997).
- [22] M. Nakahara, T. Isoshima, K. Machida, S.-i. Ogawa, and T. Ohmi, e-print cond-mat/9905374 (1999).
- [23] H. Pu, S. Raghavan, and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 063603 (2001).