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#### Abstract

We obtain the solution of $m$ odels of selfavoiding walks with attractive interactions on H usim i lattices built with squares. Two attractive interactions are considered: between monom ers on rstneighbor sites and not consecutive along a walk and between bonds located on opposite edges of elem entary squares. For coordination num bers $q>4$, tw 0 phases, one polym erized the other nonpolym erized, are present in the phase diagram. For sm all values of the attractive interaction the transition betw een those phases is continuous, but for higher values a rst-order transition is found. B oth regim es are separated by a tricritical point. For $q=4$ a richer phase diagram is found, $w$ ith an additional (dense) polym erized phase, which is stable for for su ciently strong interactions betw een bonds. The phase diagram of the $m$ odel in the three-dim ensional param eter space displays surfaces of continuous and discontinuous phase transitions and lines of tricritical points, critical endpoints and triple points.


PACS num bers: $05.50 .+\mathrm{q}, 61.41 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{e}, 64.60 \mathrm{Ht}$

## I. INTRODUCTION AND DEFIN IT ION OF THE M ODEL

Self-avoiding walks have been found to be usefiulm odels for the study of the behavior of polym ens for quite a long tim e $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1}\right]$. The self-avoidance constraint in general $m$ akes these m odels di cult to solve on regular lattices. O n lattioes w ith hierarch ical treelike_structure, how ever, like the Bethe $[\underline{l}$ di cult to solve such $m$ odels exactly. From the point of view of critical phenom ena, these solutions lead to classical or ideal chain critical exponents, but non-universal features of the phase diagram $m$ ay be closer to the ones observed on regular lattioes than those provided by the usualm ean- eld $m$ ethods [ill
$T$ he e ect of $m$ onom er-solvent interactions on the behavior of polym ers diluted in poor solvents $m$ ay be in-

[^0]cluded in the model by allow ing attractive interactions betw een segm ents of the chains. This induces a com petition betw een repulsive, excluded volum e interactions and the attractive short range interactions. As these latter interactions becom e su ciently strong, the chains m ay change from an extended to a collapsed state $\left.\bar{F}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. W hile this collapse transition, usually identi ed w ith the -point, appears as a tricritical point in $m$ ean- eld approxim ations and non-classical approxim ations on threedim ensional lattices, in tw o dim ensions the situation does not seem to be so sim ple. Transfer-m atrix calculations [id] and exact Bethe-ansatz results [7.] for a O ( n ) m odelw ith four-spin interactions on the square lattioe lead tw o phase diagram sw here the second order transition line betw een the polym erized and non-polym erized phases ends at a $m$ ulticritical point whose precise nature is not clear from these calculations, but which is de nitely not a tricriticalpoint. In the lim it $n!0$ this $m$ odel corresponds to self-avoiding walks w ith attractive interactions betw een bonds of the walk which are located on opposite edges of elem entary squares of the lattice. The four-spin interactions in the $m$ agnetic $m$ odel are related to interactions betw een bonds on the corresponding polym erm odel. O $n$ the other hand, studies of the behavior of self-avoiding
walks on the square lattice $w$ ith attractive interactions betw een $m$ onom ers located on rst-neighbor sites but not consecutive_along the walk point to a tricritical collapse transition [ill]. The main m otivation of this work was to address the question of apparently quite sim ilarm odels of attractive walks (bonds orm onom ers interacting) leading to qualitatively distinct phase diagram s. The problem ofm odels $w$ th interactions betw een $m$ onom ers or bonds only on H usim i lattices was considered in the literature
 the phase diagram of the $m$ odelw ith $m$ onom er-m onom er interactions is qualitatively sim ilar to the one found in generalw hen $q>4$. In the param eter space de ned by the activity of a m onom er ( $x$ ) and the Boltzm ann factor of the elem entary interaction betw een bonds (!), a nonpolym erized phase is stable at low values of $x$, whereas a polym erized phase is found at higher activities. The transition betw een those phases is continuous at low values of!, but becom es discontinuous as ! is increased. $T$ hese two regim es are separated by a tricritical point. W hen the interactions are betw een bonds (Boltzm ann factor ), a third phase is stable in part of the param eter space. This phase is a dense phase (all sites are visited by the polym er) and the transition continuous transition line betw een the non-polym erized and the polym erized phases ends at a critical endpoint. The phase transition betw een the polym erized and the dense phases $m$ ay be continuous or not, a tricritical point being found on this transition line. T ransfer $m$ atrix calculations for this m odelon the square lattice suggest that this picture $m$ ay be observed there as well [12].

W e solve a m odel of interacting self-avoiding walks on H usim i lattices (core of the Husim i trees), built with squares [ of squares is equal to, and therefore the coordination num ber $w$ ill be $q=2(+1)$. Solutions of $m$ odels on such lattices $m$ ay be considered approxim ations to the solution on hipercubic lattioes of the sam e coordination num ber, so that a H usim i lattioe with $=1$ leads to a solution which approxim ates the one on a square lattioe, the solution for $=2 \mathrm{~m}$ ay be an approxim ation for the solution on the cubic lattice, and so on. T he $H$ usim i lattice solution $m$ ay be considered to be the third $m$ em ber of a sequence of approxim ations whose rst two are regular $m$ ean- eld and B ethe lattice solutions. In a $m$ ean- eld calculation no correlations are taken into account, while Bethe lattice and H usim i lattice solutions short range correlations are taken care of. It should be stressed, how ever, that all these solutions lead to classical critical exponents. In som e cases, it has been shown that Bethe and Husim i lattice solutions $m$ ay show features of the phase diagram of $m$ odels on regular lattices $w$ hich are not present in the corresponding $m$ ean- eld approxim ations $[4,112$

The model we consider is of self-avoiding walks on a H usim i tree, w ith the initial and nalm onom er of each w alk located at the surface of the tree, so that the density of endpoint $m$ onom ers in the core of the tree is alw ays


FIG.1:A H usim itree w ith $=1$ and three generations w ith tw o polym ers on it. The statistical weight of this con guration is equal to $\mathrm{x}^{11}!^{3}{ }^{2}$.
equalto zero. W e associate an activity x to each bond of a walk, and include an interaction energy $m$ foreach pair ofm onom ers on rst neighbor sites of the lattice $w$ ith no bond of the walk betw een them. A lso, an interaction energy $b$ is associated to each pair ofbonds located on opposite edges of elem entary squares. T he grand partition function of the $m$ odel on a lattice $w$ th $N$ sites $m$ ay be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(x ;!; ; N))^{X} \quad x^{N_{b}}!^{N_{i m}} \quad N_{i b} \text {; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all con gurations of the walks on the lattioe, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the number of bonds in the con guration, $N_{i m}$ is the num ber ofpairs of interacting $m$ onom ers, and $N_{i b}$ is the num ber of interacting bonds. The Boltz$m$ ann factors which correspond to the interactions are given by ! $=\exp \left(\quad m=k_{B} T\right)$ and $=\exp \left(\quad b=k_{B} T\right)$.A con guration of walks and the corresponding statistical weight $m$ ay be found in $F$ ig. $\overline{11} 1$.

## II. SOLUTION OFTHEMODELONTHE HUSIM ITREE

To solve the model on the H usim i lattice we use a recursive procedure, de ning subtrees of the H usim i tree and establishing recursion relations betw een the partial partition functions of the $m$ odelon the subtrees, for xed con gurations of the root site. Fig. $\overline{1}$, shows the three possible root con gurations of a subtree, labeled by the num ber of bonds incident at the root site from above, and a diagram illustrating how to obtain the partialpartition functions of a ( $n+1$ )-generations subtree from the partialpartition functions ofn-generations subtrees. Initially, we consider three subcases for the root con guration $w$ th no incident bond, de ned by the num ber of $m$ onom ers in the rst neighbor sites to the root site ( 0 , 1 , or 2 ). To obtain the recursion relations for $g_{0 ; 0}, g_{0 ; 1}$, $g_{0 ; 2}, g_{1}$, and $g_{2}$, we consider all the possibilities of attaching three sets of $n$-generations subtrees to the vertioes
of the elem entary square at the root of the new $(n+1)-$ generations subtree. $T$ he recursion relations are obtained so that the activity of the bonds and the Boltzm ann factors of the interactions betw een $m$ onom ers and bonds in the elem entary square at the root of the new ( $n+1$ )generations subtree are considered in the iteration. We then notioe that the partial partition functions for the con guration with no incident bond appear in only two com binations in the recursion relations, which are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}=g_{0 ; 0}+g_{0 ; 1}+g_{0 ; 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}=g_{0 ; 0}+!g_{0 ; 1}+!^{2} g_{0 ; 2}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we call $g_{i}, i=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3$ the partial partition functions of the $m$ odelde ned on an $n$-generations subtree and $g_{i}^{0}$ the same functions on a $n+1$-generations subtree, we $m$ ay w rite the recursion relations as

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{0}^{0}= & g_{0}^{3}+3 H g_{0}^{2}+(1+2!) H^{2} g_{0}+ \\
& 2 x F^{2} g_{0}+!^{2} H^{3}+2 x!\mathrm{F}^{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2} g_{3} ;  \tag{4a}\\
g_{1}^{0}= & 2 \mathrm{xF}\left(g_{0}^{2}+2!\mathrm{H} g_{0}+!^{3} \mathrm{H}^{2}+\mathrm{xg}_{0} g_{3}+\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{x}!^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{x}^{2}!g_{3}^{2}+\mathrm{x}!^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right) ;  \tag{4b}\\
g_{2}^{0}= & \mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2}\left(g_{0}+!^{2} \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{x}!g_{3}\right) ;  \tag{4c}\\
g_{3}^{0}= & g_{0}^{3}+3 H g_{0}^{2}+2!\left(\mathrm{H} g_{0}^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.!\mathrm{H}^{2} g_{0}+\mathrm{xF}^{2} g_{0}\right)+!^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2} g_{0}+!^{2} \mathrm{H}^{3}+\right. \\
& 2 \mathrm{x}!\mathrm{F}^{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2} g_{3} ; \tag{4d}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=g_{1} g_{3}^{(1)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{g}_{2} \mathrm{~g}_{3}^{(1)}+\frac{(1)}{2} g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{(2)}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to de ne the ratios

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}} ; b=\frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}} ; \text { and } c=\frac{g_{3}}{g_{0}} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obey the follow ing recursion relations

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{0}= & 2 x f\left(1+2!h+!^{3} h^{2}+x c+\right. \\
& \left.x!^{2} c h+x^{2}!c^{2}+x!^{2} f^{2}\right)=q ;  \tag{8a}\\
b^{0}= & x^{2} f^{2}\left(1+!^{2} h+2 x!c\right)=q ;  \tag{8b}\\
c^{0}= & \left(1+h+2!h+3!^{2} h^{2}+2!x f^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.!^{4} h^{3}+2 x!^{3} f^{2} h+x^{2}!^{2} c f^{2}\right)=q \tag{8c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f= & a c^{(1)} ;  \tag{9a}\\
h= & b c^{(1)}+\frac{(1)}{2} a^{2} c^{(2)} ; \text { and }  \tag{9b}\\
q= & 1+3 h+(1+2!) h^{2}+2 x f^{2}+ \\
& !^{2} h^{3}+2 x!f^{2} h+x^{2} c f^{2}: \tag{9c}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 2: (a) The possible con gurations of the root site of a subtree. (b) A 3-generations subtree is built attaching three 2 -generations subtrees to the vertices of the new root square. In this exam ple $=1$. Two 2-generation subtrees have root con guration 1,while the other one has root con guration 0,0. $T$ he resulting root con guration of the 3 -generations subtree is 2 .

T he partition function of the $m$ odelon the H usim itree $m$ ay then be obtained if we consider the operation of attaching four sets of subtrees to the centralsquare of the tree. In Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1}$ tral square are show $n$ in the order of the corresponding m onom ials appearing in the resulting expression below

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{n}(x ;!;)= & g_{0}^{4}+4 g_{0}^{3} H+2 g_{0}^{2} H^{2}+4!g_{0}^{2} H^{2}+ \\
& 4 x g_{0}^{2} F^{2}+4!^{2} g_{0} H^{3}+ \\
& 8 x!g_{0} F^{2} H+4 x^{2} g_{0} g_{3} F^{2}+!^{4} H^{4}+ \\
& 4 x!^{3} F^{2} H^{2}+2 x^{2}!^{2} F^{4}+ \\
& 4 x^{2}!^{2} g_{3} F^{2} H+4 x^{3}!g_{3}^{2} F^{2}: \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

W e expect the therm odynam ic behavior of the $m$ odel on the H usim i tree to be quite di erent from the one found on regular lattioes, since the surface sites dom inate in the them odynam ic lim it, when the num ber of iterations $\mathrm{n}!1$ [1]]. W e therefore $w i l l$ focus our attention on the behavior in the central region of the tree, which we $w$ ill refer to as the H usim i lattice $\left[{ }_{[2}^{1}\right]$. C onsidering the
contributions to the partition function in Eq. "10 $m$ ay calculate the $m$ ean num bers of bonds, $m$ onom erm onom er interactions and bond-bond interactions in the central square of the tree, which are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{b}= & 4 \mathrm{xf} \mathrm{f}^{2}(1+2!\mathrm{h}+2 \mathrm{xc}+ \\
& !^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\mathrm{x}!^{2} \mathrm{f}^{2}+2 \mathrm{x}!^{2} h \mathrm{c}+ \\
& \left.3 \mathrm{x}^{2}!\mathrm{c}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{d} ;  \tag{11a}\\
\mathrm{mm}= & 4!\left(\mathrm{h}^{2}+2!\mathrm{h}^{3}+2 x \mathrm{f}^{2} h+\right. \\
& !^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{4}+3 \mathrm{x}!^{2} \mathrm{f}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\mathrm{x}^{2}!\mathrm{f}^{4}+ \\
& \left.2 \mathrm{x}^{2}!\mathrm{f}^{2} \mathrm{hc}+\mathrm{x}^{3} \mathrm{f}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{d} ;  \tag{11b}\\
\mathrm{bb}= & 2 \mathrm{x}^{2}!\mathrm{f}^{2}\left(!^{2} \mathrm{f}^{2}+2 \mathrm{xc}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{d} ; \tag{11c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
d= & 1+4 h+2 h^{2}+4!h^{2}+4 x f^{2}+4!h^{3}+ \\
& 8 x!f^{2} h+4 x^{2} c 2^{2}+!^{4} h^{4}+4 x!^{3} f^{2} h^{2}+ \\
& 2 x^{2}!^{2} f^{4}+4 x^{2}!^{2} c f^{2} h+4 x^{3}!c^{2} f^{2} ; \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

calculated at the xed point of the recursion relations (Eqs. ${ }^{\mathbf{8}} \mathbf{i}_{1}$ ).

The them odynam ic behavior of the model is deter$m$ ined by the xed points of the recursion relations Eqs. ',$\underline{1}$, each of which correspond to a them odynam ic phase. W e investigated the stability regions for each of the xed points we found, which are three, in general: (a) $a=b=0, c=1$, the non-polym erized xed point, corresponding to $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{mm}=\mathrm{bb}=0$, (b) $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} \in 0$ and nite, which is the regular polym erized xed point, where nonzero densities are found, and (c)a! 1, and b; c 0 and nite, which we call saturated polym erized phase, since $b=m m=2 ; \mathrm{bb}=1$ in this phase. T his latter is stable in a region of the phase diagram only for the four-coordinated H usim i lattice $=1$, being absent in the phase diagram sofhigher coordinated H usim ilattices. To study the stability region of the saturated phase it is convenient to rew rite the recursion relations'd in term s of the follow ing new variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{g_{0}}{g_{1}} ;  \tag{13a}\\
& =\frac{g_{2}}{g_{1}} ;  \tag{13b}\\
\text { and } & =\frac{g_{3}}{g_{1}}: \tag{13c}
\end{align*}
$$

In these variables, the saturated xed point is located at the origin ( $===0$ ).

The stability regions of phases (a) and (c) may be found analytically, due to their sim plicity. At the stabilIty lim it of the non-polym erized phase the largest eigenvalue of the jacobian associated to the recursion relations ion calculated at the xed point $(a ; b=0$ and $c=1)$ is equal to unity. The result is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{12 \mathrm{x} \quad 2 \mathrm{x}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{x}^{3}!}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the stability region of the dense polym erized xed point is obtained in a sim ilar way using the recursion relations w ritten in the other set of variables 13. O ne obtains ( = 1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \frac{1+8+!8!+4^{2}!}{!^{3}\left(18+4^{2}\right)}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be rem arked that for $=1+{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3=4} \quad 1: 86$ the second $m$ em ber of the inequality above diverges, so that the dense phase is never stable for $1+\quad 3=4$. In particular, this is true for the $m$ odelw ith interactions betw een $m$ onom ers only ( $=1$ ), as rem arked by P retti [101]. The stability lim it of the regular polym erized phase (b) $m$ ay be found num erically.
$T$ he criticalsurfaces in the phase diagram sw illeventually end at tricritical lines. T hese lines $m$ ay be obtained requiring the corresponding solution to be a double root of the xed point equations. Som e algebra fumishes the tricritical condition for the (a)-(b) critical surface:

$$
\begin{align*}
P(!; x)= & !+7 x \quad 2!x \quad 16 x^{2}+10!x^{2} \\
& 4(!\quad 2)!x^{3}+8(1+(!\quad 1)!) x^{4}+ \\
& 2(1+2!(!\quad 1)) x^{5}=0: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

A sim ilar calculation for the tricritical condition on the critical surface where phases (b) and (c) are equal leads do:

$$
\begin{align*}
P(!;)= & 2(!\quad 1)^{2}+(1+!)^{2}\left(63+2!+!^{2}\right) \\
& 8\left(99 \quad 188!+85!^{2}+4!^{4}\right)^{2}+ \\
& 16\left(311 \quad 553!+217!^{2}+25!^{4}\right)^{3} \\
& 32\left(498 \quad 786!+213!^{2}+76!^{4}\right)^{4}+ \\
& 16\left(1483 \quad 1876!44!^{2}+454!^{4}\right)^{5} \\
& 128\left(112 \quad 120!65!^{2}+76!^{4}\right)^{6}+ \\
& 128\left(9 \quad 22!\quad 42!^{2}+50!^{4}\right)^{7} \\
& 1024!^{2}\left(1+2!^{2}\right)^{8}+ \\
& 256!^{4} 9=0: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain the phase diagram s of the m odel, in the param eter space de ned by $x$,! and , we nd which xed point is stable at each point of the param eter space. Surfaces of th is space w here the stability lim its oftw o phases are coincident are critical surfaces, and regions where $m$ ore than one xed point is stable are related to rst order transitions. To obtain the location of these transitions one $m$ ay use a M axwell construction, although it is som etim es possible to nd the free energy of the m odelon a treelike lattioe using appropriate recursion relations [ill and saturated phases, the partition function per elem entary square of the lattice $m$ ay easily be calculated, and this result simpli es considerably the determ ination of the coexistence surface of these tw o phases. Since in the non-polym erized phase only con guration 1 of gure $\overline{3}_{1}$, is present in the core of the lattice, the partition function per elem entary square $w$ ill be $y_{n p}=1$. In the saturated


F IG. 3: P ossible con gurations of the central square of the tree. E ach of them contributes with a m onom ial in the calculation of the partition function of the $m$ odel on the $H$ usim itree.
phase, con guration 11 w ill dom inate, and therefore for this phase we have $z_{s}=2 x^{2}!^{2}$. Thus, for the fourcoordinated lattioe the coexistence surface, in the region where phases (a) and (c) are stable, is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x^{2}!^{2}=1: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the phases (a) and (c) have di erent densities, the transition betw een them is alw ays of rst order, as $m$ ay be veri ed in the phase diagram s below .

## III. P H A SE D IA GRAM S

As mentioned before, for 2 only the nonpolym erized and the regular polym erized xed points of the recursion relations (Eqs. ${ }^{\prime}$,'1) are stable, and therefore no dense polym erized phase appears in the phase diagram. The non polym erized phase is stable for sm all values of the activity $x$, and as the activity is increased, eventually the regular polym erized phase becom es stable. $T$ he stability $\lim$ its ofboth phases are coincident at low values of the interaction (! and close to one), and thus the phase transition betw een them is continuous. A s the strength of the interaction is increased, how ever, the transition w ill becom e discontinuous, and thus the critical surface (w here the both phases have the sam e densities) is separated by a tricritical line from the surface where both phases coexist. T his phase diagram, where the collapse transition corresponds to a tricritical point, is expected for this problem since the theta point was recognized as a tricritical point in the pioneering work by de G ennes (["] ]), and thus we will concentrate now on the case of the four coordinated lattioe.

For $=1$ the dense phase is stable in part of the phase diagram, and therefore richer phase diagram s are obtained. W e will consider here constant! cuts of the phase diagram. Perhaps the $m$ ost interesting constant diagram is the one $w$ ith interactions betw een $m$ onom ers only $(=1)$, which $m$ ay be found in gure 2 in the com $m$ ent by P retti [1] ${ }^{[1]}$. E ssentially three di erent types of phase diagram $s$ are found in the $(x ;)$ plane for increasing values of!.


FIG. 4: Phase diagram $s$ of the model for $=1$ and ! = 1 C ontinuous lines are second order transitions and dashed lines are rst order transitions. Tricritical points are indicated by circles and critical endpoints are represented by squares.

1. For $1 \quad!\quad!_{1}$ the critical polym erization line ends at a critical endpoint located at the con uence of the coex istence lines of the dense phase w th the other two. The particular case with interactions between bonds only (! = 1) is depicted in gure ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 . T$ he dense phase and the regular polym erized phase are separated by a transition line which $m$ ay be of rst or second order, a tricritical point separating these two cases. The critical endpoint becom es a tricritical point at ! ${ }_{1}$ ' 1:15301; 1 ' $4: 46985 ; x_{1}$, 0:29007. These values $m$ ay be obtained noting that this point in the param eter space is located on the coexistence surface of the nonpolym erized and the dense phases (equation $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), and on the tricritical line, de ned by the stab证y lim it of the non-polym erized phase (equation '14' as an equality) and the tricritical condition (equation 픈).


F IG.5: Phase diagram sof the $m$ odel for $=1$ and $!=1: 18$. $T$ he triple point is indicated by a triangle.
2. For $!_{1}<!<!_{2}$ tricritical points are present on the boundaries of the polym erized phase w ith both the non-polym erized and the dense phases. Three coexistence lines $m$ eet at a triple point, as $m$ ay be seen in gure $\stackrel{1}{1}$. A s ! is increased, the tricritical point on the boundary betw een the polym erized and the dense phases m oves closer to the triple point. These two points $m$ eet, becom ing a critical endpoint, at ! $2^{\prime}$ 1:21717; $2^{\prime}$ 4:08985; $\mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ 0.28726 .
3. For ! ! $!_{2}$ the transition betw een the polym erized and dense phases is alw ays continuous, and this critical line ends at a criticalendpoint. A n example is shown in gure ${ }_{6}^{6}$. 1. The boundary betw een the non-polym erized and the polym erized phases displays a tricritical point if ! < 1:54508 and is alw ays of rst order for higher values of!.

For the determ ination of the rst order boundaries involving the regular polym erized phase, a M axw ell construction w as done using the pair of con jugated variables
and bb . O ther options are possible, this one was chosen for simplicity. The result of this calculation is not expected to depend on the choige of the variables. For a sim ilar $m$ odel the $M$ axw ell relations were tested explicitly [17ㄱㄴ] and also the free energy was obtained directly using à proper iterative procedure [ilitil.

## IV . CONCLUSION

The solution of $m$ odels for polym ers $w$ ith attractive interactions on H usim i lattioes built $w$ ith squares leads to the expected phase diagram $s$ when the ram i cation of the lattice is equal or larger to 2 . In this case, only one polym erized phase is found, separated from the


FIG. 6: Phase diagram $s$ of the $m$ odel for $=1$ and ! = 2. Since ! > 1:54508 the transition between the nonpolym erized and the polym erized phase is alw ays of rst order.
non-polym erized phase by a rst- or second order transition line. The two lines are separated by a tricritical point, which is associated to the collapse transition of the polym ers. At a four-coordinated H usim i lattice ( $=1$ ), how ever, a second polym erized phase is stable at high values of the Boltzm ann factor of the interactions between bonds. Since in this phase all sites of the lattioe are inconporated into the polym ers, we called it dense polym erized phase.

The phase diagrams obtained for the $m$ odel on the four coordinated Husim i lattice $m$ ay $o$ er an explanation for apparently con icting results in the literature related to the collapse transition ofpolym ers on the square lattice. Transfer $m$ atrix and nite size scaling calculations of a $m$ odelw ith interactions betw een $m$ onom ers on rst neighbor sites $\left[\frac{8}{-1}\right]$ lead to com pelling evidences that, as ! is increased, the critical polym erization line ends at a tricritical point, but exact B ethe ansatz argum ents for a $m$ agnetic $m$ odel which is equivalent to a polym er $m$ odelw ith attractive interactions betw een bonds do not indicate a tricritical collapse transition point [ITIT]. In the H usim i lattice solution of the $m$ odel presented here, the collapse transition point is a tricritical point for the case of interacting $m$ onom ers and a critical endpoint when the interaction is betw een bonds. T his is consistent w ith the results know $n$ for these $m$ odels on the square lattice. It is possible to obtain $m$ any features of the problem of directed polym ers w ith interacting bonds on the square lattice exactly [1] $\overline{6}]$. A though the collapse transition for this case is also a m ulticritical point, the details of the phase diagram are quite di erent from the ones found here. A rather unphysical feature of this $m$ odel is that a polym erized phase of zero density ofm onom ers occupies a nite region of the phase diagram, even in the absence of any attractive interactions.

It is of some interest to nd out how the qualitatively di erent phase diagram $s$ for interactions betw een m onom ers and bonds change into each other. Thus, we considered here a m ore generalm odel where both interactions are present. W e then found that the transition betw een phase diagram s were the collapse transition is a tricritical point (interactions betw een $m$ onom ers) and those were it is a critical endpoint (interaction betw een bonds) occurs through an interm ediate phase diagram were tw o tricritical points are present and three coexistence lines $m$ eet at a triple point. It should be stressed that the tw o tricriticalpoints found in the phase diagram are not part of the same tricritical line in the full param eter space ( $x ;!;$ ). This poses the question if those tw o distinct tricritical points actually exist for the m odel de ned on two-dim ensional lattices and, if this is true, if they share the sam e set of tricritical exponents. A lthough experim ental studies of the collapse transition of
polym ers con ned in a two-dim ensionalsurface have been done [19] and seem to con m the tricriticalnature of the transition, $m$ onodisperse polym er solutions w ere used for them, while in our calculations the chains are polydisperse.
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