Conserving and gapless approximations for the composite bosons in terms of the constituent ferm ions

G.C. Strinati and P. Pieri

Dipartim ento di Fisica, UdR INFM, Universita di Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

A long-standing problem with the many-body approximations for interacting condensed bosons has been the dichotom y between the \conserving" and \gapless" approximations, which either obey the conservations laws or satisfy the Hugenholtz-P ines condition for a gapless excitation spectrum, in the order. It is here shown that such a dichotom y does not exist for a system of composite bosons, which form as bound-ferm ion pairs in the strong-coupling limit of the ferm ionic attraction. By starting from the constituent ferm ions, for which conserving approximations can be constructed for any value of the mutual attraction according to the Baym-K adano prescriptions, it is shown that these approximations also result in a gapless excitation spectrum for the boson-like propagators in the broken-symmetry phase. This holds provided the corresponding equations for the ferm ionic single- and two-particle G reen's functions are solved self-consistently.

PACS num bers: PACS num bers: 03.75.Ss, 03.75 H h, 05.30 Jp

M any-body decriptions of a system of interacting consensed bosons have long been known [1] to fall into either one of two classes of approximation schemes, which are alternatively consistent with the conservation laws (conserving approximations) or with the absence of a gap in the elementary excitations spectrum (gapless approximations). Having to choose between these two types of approximations constitutes a shortcoming of the manybody theory for condensed bosons, as one would rather like to deal with approximations which are conserving and gapless at the same time.

These approximation schemes have been conceived for interacting-boson systems like helium, for which the internal fermionic structure is immaterial, due to the large amount of energy required to excite the internal fermionic degrees of freedom compared with the energy scales of the experiments.

Recent experimental advances with ultracold trapped Ferm i atoms have made it possible to produce systems of composite bosons (dimers), whose binding energy is comparable with the energy and temperature involved in the experiments [2]. The Bose-Einstein condensation of the dimers has also been detected [3]. In these systems, the internal fermionic structure is de nitely relevant, as the binding energy of the dimers can be tuned across threshold via a Fano-Feshbach resonance [4], sweeping from bound to unbound fermions and viceversa.

For these systems, it appears appropriate to construct the dynamical propagators of the condensed composite bosons in terms of the constituent fermions, by following the progressive quenching of the fermionic degrees of freedom as the fermionic attraction is increased. For the constituent fermions, it is known that conserving approximations can be constructed for any value of the mutual attraction even in the broken-symmetry (super uid) phase, via the Baym-K adano prescriptions [5, 6] which require the self-consistent solution of the equations for the single- and two-particle G reen's functions. In this way, conservation laws can be regarded to be fulled not only in terms of the constituent fermions but also in terms of the composite bosons, when the fermionic attraction gets su ciently strong that the fermionic degrees of freedom (internal to the composite bosons) are progressively quenched.

The question is whether such conserving approxim ations (for the constituent ferm ions and therefore for the composite bosons) could also result into a gapless excitation spectrum for the propagators of the composite bosons in the broken-symmetry phase, the gapless condition being required on general grounds by the occurrence of a G oldstonem ode [7]. [The case of a hom ogeneous system will be speci cally considered when discussing the absence of a gap in the bosonic excitation spectrum [8].]

P uppose of this paper is to show that a given ferm ionic conserving approximation also results in a gapless excitation spectrum for the boson-like propagators. The long-standing dichotom y between conserving and gapless approximations can thus be apparently overcome, when the bosons them selves are treated at a more fundamental level in terms of the constituent ferm ions.

We begin by generalizing to the composite bosons the theorem of Hugenholtz-P ines for ordinary bosons, by following the treatment of Ref. 1 in terms of formally exact propagators (see also Ref. 7). Fermionic conserving approximations to select approximate propagators for the composite bosons will be considered later. To this end, we de ne a bosonic-like eld operator

$$Z_{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{d}$$
 () $_{\#}(\mathbf{r} = 2)$ $_{\#}(\mathbf{r} + = 2)$ (1)

for any value of the ferm ionic coupling, where (r) is a ferm ionic eld operator with spin . W hen the ferm ionic attraction is su ciently strong, on physical grounds the (real and norm alized) function () can be taken as the

bound solution of the associated two-body problem . At weaker coupling, a precise choice of () is not required. For instance, it could be taken as the solution of a generalized C coper problem, whereby the Ferm i energy is replaced by the coupling- (and tem perature-) dependent ferm ionic chem icalpotential . To break the gauge sym - metry, the bosonic order parameter $(r) = h_B(r)i$ is de ned as the thermal average of the operator (1) within the restricted () ensemble of Ref. 1. With the N am bu representation for the ferm ionic eld operator $(_1(r) = _{\#}(r); _2(r) = _{\#}^{Y}(r))$, this therm alaverage can then be expressed in terms of the anom alous ferm ionic single-particle G reen's function G_{12}

h
$$\frac{y}{2}$$
 (r $\frac{-}{2}$) $_{1}$ (r $\frac{-}{2}$) $\dot{i} = G_{12}$ (r $\frac{-}{2}$; $r = 0$) (2)

with imaginary time .

In what follows, it is convenient to consider a generalized ferm ionic single-particle G reen's function:

$$G(1;1^{0}) = \frac{\text{hr } [S(1)^{y}(1^{0})]_{i}}{\text{hr } [S]_{i}}$$
(3)

with the notation $1 = (r_1; _1; _1)$ in term s of the N am bu spinor component `. Here, T is the imaginary-timeordering operator, h is a thermal average taken with the system grand-canonical H am iltonian K = H N,

(1) = expfK $_{1}g$ $_{1}$ (r₁) expf K $_{1}g$, and the operator S = expf d11⁰ $_{y}$ (1)U (1;1⁰) (1⁰)g contains the source term

$$U (1;1^{0}) = \begin{array}{c} U_{n} (r_{1};r_{1}\circ; 1) & U_{s} (r_{1}\circ;r_{1}; 1) \\ U_{s} (r_{1};r_{1}\circ; 1) & U_{n} (r_{1};r_{1}\circ; 1) \end{array} (1 \begin{array}{c} + \\ 1^{0} \end{array})$$
(4)

with a norm al (U_n) and a super uid (U_s) component. [The norm al component U_n will not be needed in the following, while the super uid component U_s will be allowed to vanish at the end of the calculation.] In the static case (when U does not depend on the imaginary time), the generalized de nition (3) concides with the ordinary de nition [like Eq.(2)] within the ensemble. With the de nition (3), the bosonic order parameter is generalized as follows:

(r) = d ()
$$G_{12}$$
 (r + $\frac{1}{2}$; ; r $\frac{1}{2}$; *) : (5)

Suppose now that $U_s(r;r^0;)$ is varied by a small uniform change of phase , such that $U_s(r;r^0;) =$ $i \quad U_s(r;r^0;)$. This change can be reabsorbed by a canonical tranform ation of the ferm ionic eld operators, so that the corresponding change of the order parameter (5) is given by (r) = i (r) to the leading order in

. The change (r) can be calculated alternatively via the de nitions (5) and (3), by perform ing the functional derivative of (3) with respect to a variation of U_s . One obtains:

$$(r) = d$$
 () $dr_2 dr_2^0 d_2$

$$L (r + \frac{1}{2}; ;1;r_{2}; ;2;2;r - \frac{1}{2}; ;2;r_{2}^{0}; ;2;1) U_{s} (r_{2};r_{2}^{0}; ;2) + L (r + \frac{1}{2}; ;1;r_{2}; ;2;1;r - \frac{1}{2}; ;2;r_{2}^{0}; ;2;2) U_{s} (r_{2}^{0};r_{2}; ;2)] :$$
(6)

h

Here, L $(1;2;1^0;2^0) = G_2(1;2;1^0;2^0)$ G $(1;1^0)G(2;2^0)$ is the two-particle correlation function expressed in terms of the generalized ferm ionic two-particle G reen's function

$$G_{2}(1;2;1^{0};2^{0}) = \frac{\text{hr } [S (1) (2) y(2^{0}) y(1^{0})]_{i}}{\text{hr } [S]_{i}}; (7)$$

and is obtained via the functional derivative L $(1;2;1^0;2^0) = G(1;1^0) = U(2^0;2)$.

By a similar token, for the adjoint (r) of (r) one obtains (r) = i (r), as well as

$$Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad (r) = d \qquad () \qquad dr_2 \ dr_2^0 \qquad d_2 \qquad h \qquad L (r \qquad -2; ;2;r_2; ;2;r_1 + -2; +;1;r_2^0; ;2; ;1) \qquad U_s (r_2;r_2^0; ;2) \qquad + L (r \qquad -2; ;2;r_2; ;2;1;r_1 + -2; +;1;r_2^0; ;2; ;2) \qquad U_s (r_2^0;r_2; ;2)] \qquad (8)$$

in the place of (6). [The quantity (r) is de ned like in Eq.(5) with G_{21} replacing G_{12} , and coincides with the complex conjugate of (r) in the static case.]

The static and uniform limit of the above results can be considered at this point. A coordingly, without loss of generality we let $U_s(r;r^0;) ! U_s(jr r^0j)$ in both Eqs. (6) and (8), where U_s is a (com plex) constant and (r) the same function of Eq.(1). We also introduce the Fourier representation:

$$L(1;2;1^{0};2^{0}) = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{dp}{1} \frac{1}{x} \frac{X}{2} \frac{dp^{0}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{X}{n^{0}} (9)$$

$$\frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{dq}{1} \frac{1}{x} e^{i(p+q) \cdot q} e^{ip^{0} \cdot p} e^{ip \cdot r_{1}^{0}} e^{i(p^{0}+q) \cdot r_{2}^{0}}$$

$$e^{i(! \cdot n^{+})^{-1}} e^{i! \cdot n^{0} \cdot 2} e^{i! \cdot n^{-1}} e^{i(! \cdot n^{0} + \cdots) \cdot \frac{2}{2}} L_{v_{1}^{0} v_{2}^{0}}^{v_{1}^{0}} (p;p^{0};q) :$$

Here, p, p⁰, and q are wave vectors, $!_n = (2n + 1) =$ (n integer) is a ferm ionic M atsubara frequency (being the inverse temperature), = 2 = (integer) a bosonic M atsubara frequency, and p = (p;!_n), p⁰ = (p⁰;!_n⁰), and q = (q;) is a four-vector notation. By straightforward m anipulations of Eqs. (6) and (8), and by recalling the identities (r) = i (r) and $U_s(r;r^0;) = i U_s(r;r^0;)$ (plus their adjoints), one ends up with the m atrix equation

$$= \begin{array}{cccc} G_{22}^{11} (\mathbf{q} ! & 0) & G_{21}^{12} (\mathbf{q} ! & 0) & U_{s} \\ G_{12}^{21} (\mathbf{q} ! & 0) & G_{11}^{22} (\mathbf{q} ! & 0) & U_{s} \end{array}$$
(10)

for U and real, with the notation

$$G_{v_{1}^{0}v_{2}^{0}}^{v_{1}^{1}v_{2}^{0}}(q) = \frac{2}{(2)^{3}} \frac{dp}{dp} \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{n} e^{i!_{n}0^{+}} \frac{dp^{0}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{n^{0}} e^{i!_{n}0^{+}}$$

$$(p + q=2) (p^{0} + q=2) L_{v_{1}^{0}v_{2}^{0}}^{v_{1}^{1}v_{2}^{0}}(p;p^{0};q) : (11)$$

It can be readily veri ed that, in the lim it U_s ! 0, the de nition (11) corresponds to the four possible (norm al and anom alous) bosonic-like propagators which can be constructed with the operator (1) and its adjoint.

Before letting U_s ! 0 in Eq.(10), it is convenient to introduce the inverse of the matrix on its right-hand side and write

$$\begin{array}{cccc} G_{22}^{12}(q \ ! \ \ 0) & G_{21}^{12}(q \ ! \ \ 0) \\ G_{12}^{21}(q \ ! \ \ 0) & G_{11}^{22}(q \ ! \ \ 0) \end{array} = \frac{1}{AD \ BC} \qquad \begin{array}{c} D & B \\ C & A \end{array} ;$$
(12)

M atrix inversion of Eq.(10) then yields the conditions

$$A = 0 ; C = 0 ; (13)$$

in order to have a nite value for the order parameter

in the limit U_s ! 0. These conditions are not independent from each other, since one can prove on general ground from time-reversal invariance that A = D and B = C. The denom inator in Eq.(12) then reduces to AD $BC = (A \quad B) (A + B)$, and vanishes owing to (13). This implies that the bosonic-like propagators (11) are singular when q ! 0, irrespective of the value of the ferm ionic coupling. In the present context, the condition $A \quad B = 0$ corresponds to the H ugenholtz-P ines theorem for ordinary bosons [9]. It im plies, in particular, that the com posite bosons, which form when the ferm ionic attraction is strong enough, have a gapless spectrum.

All considerations made so far hold for the exact ferm ionic single- $\mathbb{E}q.(3)$] and two-particle $\mathbb{E}q.(7)$] G reen's functions. The crucial point to derive the result (10) was that the single- and two-particle ferm ionic G reen's functions are related to each other via a functional di erentiation in the presence of the external potential U. W hen dealing with ferm ions, it is standard practice to explore this relation further [5, 6], by exploiting the D yson's equation with the self-energy :

$$G^{1}(1;2) = \frac{@}{@_{1}}(1;2) + M(1;2) + U(1;2) + (1;2):$$
(14)

In this expression, M $(1;2) = {3 \atop 1, 2}$ $(h(r_1))$ $(r_1 r_2)$ (1 2) where 3 is a Paulimatrix and h(r) is the single-particle Ham iltonian (which, in general, includes an external static potential). The two-particle correlation function L is correspondingly obtained as:

$$L (1;2;1^{0};2^{0}) = \frac{G (1;1^{0})}{U (2^{0};2)}$$

$$= \frac{Z}{d34G (1;3) - \frac{G^{1} (3;4)}{U (2^{0};2)}} G (4;1^{0}) = G (1;2^{0})G (2;1^{0})$$

$$+ \frac{Z}{d3456G (1;3)G (6;1^{0}) - \frac{(3;6)}{G (4;5)}} (-)L (4;2;5;2^{0}) : (15)$$

3

It thus satis as the Bethe-Salpeter equation, with kernel = G related to the kernel of the Dyson's equation (14). The lim if U ! 0 can be taken in Eqs. (14) and (15) whenever appropriate.

Selection of an approxim ate ferm ionic many-body theory starts with an approximate choice of the functional form of the self-energy in terms of G (and of the two-body interaction). The equations (14) and (15) are then solved self-consistently, with the respec-= G. In addition, tive approximate kernels and Eq.(15) implies that Eq.(6) (and its adjoint (8)) holds even for the approximate theory, since L (with the approxim ate kernel = G) still represents the functional derivative of G with respect to U. The alternative re-(r) is instead obtained in the apsult (r) = i proxim ate theory by noting that, under the transform ation $U_s(r;r^0;)$! e^i $U_s(r;r^0;)$, the approximate o diagonal single-particle G reen's function G_{12} of Eq.(5) transform $sasG_{12}$! e^i G_{12} . As a consequence, the result (13) follows even within the approximate theory, im plying a gapless spectrum .

Conserving approximations for fermions are similarly based on Eqs. (14) and (15), which are solved selfconsistently for a given approximate choice of . In this case, the self-energy has to be chosen appropriately, to comply with the requirements of local number conservation and gauge invariance [10]. It is then required that the symmetry property $L(1;2;1^0;2^0) = L(2;1;2^0;1^0)$ is satisfied by the approximate L. To this end, it is suf-

cient that the approximate kernel = G of Eq. (15) satis es the same symmetry property. This property is, in turn, met by any derivable approximation for the self-energy of Eq.(14), whereby (1;2) = = G(2;1) is obtained from an approximate functional . [6] A ttention must be paid to the fact that a given choice for may not meet this requirement, unless certain diagrams for are taken together [6, 11].

It is now evident that a conserving approximation for the constituent fermions, which holds for any value of their mutual attraction, will also result in a conserving approximation for the composite bosons that form in strong coupling. The same fermionic conserving approximation will further result in a gapless spectrum for the composite bosons, as the same requirement for Eqs. (14) and (15) to be simultaneously self-consistently satis ed applies to both (conserving and gapless) procedures. This proves our claim. From the above considerations, it is also clear that the requirements for a fermionic approximation to be conserving at any given coupling are more stringent than the absence of a gap in the excitation spectrum of the composite bosons in strong coupling.

A well-known example of a ferm ionic conserving approximation, which results for any coupling in a gapless spectrum for the collective mode associated with the broken symmetry [10], is the BCS (o -diagonal) approximation for , shown in Fig.1 (a) with the associated po-



FIG. 1: Self-energy derived from the potential with the associated kernel = G, for (a) the BCS approximation and (b) the t-matrix approximation in the broken-symmetry phase. Full lines represent fermionic (self-consistent) singleparticle G reen's functions, with the arrows pointing from the second to the rst argument; broken lines represent the fermionic interaction potential.

tential and kernel = G of the Bethe-Salpeter equation (15). In this case, the self-consistent solution of the D yson's equation (14) reduces to the solution of the BCS gap equation; in addition, this equation coincides with the condition A = 0 of Eq.(13) which guarantees the absence of a gap in the bosonic excitation spectrum. In this simple case, therefore, the self-consistent solution of the BCS gap equation is su cient for the approximation to be conserving and gapless. M ore generally, separate solutions of the equations (14) and (15) are required for the approximation to be conserving and gapless. For instance, to the self-energy within the ferm ionic t-m atrix approximation in the broken-symmetry phase [12], shown in Fig.1 (b) with the associated potential , there correspond three distinct contributions to the kernel = G, also shown in Fig.1 (b). W hen considering the BCS and t-m atrix approxim ations for together, to get a gapless spectrum it is thus not enough to solve self-consistently the Dyson's equation for G with both self-energy contributions, if one solves at the same time the Bethe-Salpeter equation with only the BCS contribution to kemel = G. By doing so, one would, in fact, om it the three contributions to = G depicted in Fig.1(b), whose presence is required by conserving criteria. Additional conserving and gapless approximations can be

In conclusion, we have shown that a given conserving approximation for the constituent fermions also results into a gapless spectrum for the composite bosons. By following the formation of the bosons from the constituent fermions as the fermionic attraction is progressively increased, a long-standing (conserving vs gapless) dichotom y can thus be resolved, at least at a formal level. A lthough the self-consistent solution of the equations determining the fermionic single- and two-particle G reen's functions might, in general, involve considerable num erical labor, enforcing the fermionic conserving criteria proves per se su cient to get a gapless bosonic spectrum.

We are indebted to A. Fetter, F. Iachello, and G. Morandi for a critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Italian M IUR (contract Co n-2003 C om plex System s and M any-Body Problem s").

- [1] P.C. Hohenberg and P.C. Martin, Ann. Phys. 34, 291 (1965).
- [2] C A.Regal, C.Ticknor, JL.Bohn, and D S.Jin, Nature 424, 47 (2003); K E.Strecker, G B.Partridge, and R G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 080406 (2003).
- [3] M. Greiner, C.A. Regal, and D.S. Jin, Nature 426, 537 (2003);
 S. Jochim, M. Bartenstein, A. Altmeyer, G. Hendl, S. Riedl, C. Chin, J. Hecker Denschlag, and R. Grimm, Science 302, 2101 (2003);
 M. Zwierlein, C.A. Stan, C.H. Schunck, S.M. F. Raupach, S. Gupta, Z. Hadzibabic, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 250401 (2003).
- [4] U.Fano, Nuovo C in ento 12, 156 (1935); Phys. Rev. 124, 1866 (1961); H.Feshbach, Ann. Phys. 19, 287 (1962); S. Inouye, M R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H.-J. M iesner, D M. Stam per-Kum, and W. Ketterle, Nature 392, 151 (1998).
- [5] G.Baym and L.P.Kadano , Phys. Rev. 124, 287 (1961).
- [6] G.Baym, Phys. Rev. 127, 1391 (1962).
- [7] See, e.g., G. Ryckayzen, Green's Functions and Condensed M atter (A cadem ic, New York, 1980).
- [8] A.Gri n, Phys. Rev. B 53, 9341 (1996).
- [9] N M. Hugenholtz and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. 116, 489 (1959).
- [10] J.R. Schrie er, Theory of Superconductivity (Benjamin, New York, 1964).
- [11] G C. Strinati, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento Voll1, N 12, (1988).
- [12] R.Haussmann, Z.Phys.B 91, 291 (1993).