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#### Abstract

W e show how to sim ulate num erically both the evolution of 1D quantum system sunder dissipation as well as in therm al equilibrium . The m ethod applies to both nite and inhom ogeneous system $s$ and it is based on tw o ideas: (a) a representation for density operators which extends that ofm atrix product states to $m$ ixed states; (b) an algorithm to approxim ate the evolution (in real or im aginary tim e) of such states which is variational (and thus optim al) in nature.


PACS num bers: PACS
$T$ he physical understanding of quantum $m$ any \{body system $s$ is hindered by the fact that the num ber of param eters describing the physical states grow s exponentially w ith the num ber of particles. Thus, even for a relatively sm all num ber of particles, $m$ ost of the problem s becom e intractable. D uring the last decade, how ever, several num ericalm ethods have been put forw ard which allow us to describe certain $m$ any \{body system $s$. O ne such $m$ ethod is the so\{called density $m$ atrix renor$m$ alization group (DMRG), which is very well suited to describe 1D system s on a lattice $w$ th short \{range inter-
 has had a very strong im pact in the eld of condensed m atter physics, allow ing us to describe such system s w ith an unprecedent degree of accuracy and to extract their physicalbehavior.

Severalyears after its discovery, DM RG was extended
 plies to translationally invariant in nite system $s$, since in that case the evaluation of the partition function can be recasted in tem $s$ of nding the $m$ axim um eigenvalue of a nite $m$ atrix, which in tum can be found using a variation of the original DMRG $m$ ethod to classical 2dim ensionalspin system $s$ [- $\left.{ }_{-1}\right]$. Them ain restriction of the $m$ ethod is that it does not w ork well for low tem peratures and that it cannot be applied in situations in which the num ber of particles is nite and/or not hom ogeneous, as it is e.g. the case of atom $s$ in optical lattices [6] $]$. Recently, tim e\{dependent versions of the DM RG m ethod


T he success of the D M RG m ethod and its extensions is based on the fact that the $m$ any \{body states that appear in som e 1D problem $s$ can be very welldescribed in term $s$ of the so \{ called $m$ atrix product states (M P S) [12 i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{m p s} i=X_{s_{1} ;:: ; ; ;_{N}=1}^{X^{d}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}^{s_{1}}::: A_{N}^{s_{N}}\right) \dot{j}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}} i: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the A's are m atrioes whose dim ension is bounded by some xed num ber $D$ and $d$ is the dim ension of the H ilbert space corresponding to the physical system s . In fact, DMRG can be viewed, both for nite and in nite
dim ensions, as an iterative $m$ ethod that for a xed $D$ determ ines the $m$ atrices whose state $j \mathrm{mpsi} \mathrm{m}$ in m izes the energy in a variational sense $\left[12,111_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. On the other hand, the $m$ ethod introduced by G.Vidal in the context of sim ulating the dynam ics of w eakly entangled quantum system s [1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$ and later developed in $[8$ particular w ay to update the $m$ atrices A as a function of tim e. Thism ethod can be extended $\left[\begin{array}{ll}101 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right]$ to determ ine the evolution ofm ixed states by considering them as vectors in the $d^{2 N}$ \{dim ensionalspace oflinear operators and thus doubling the num ber of $m$ atrices A.

In this paper, we introduce the notion ofm atrix product density operators (MPDO) which extend the MPS from pure to $m$ ixed states. We also present the opti$m$ alway in which the tim e evolution of pure and mixed states can be approxim ated within these two classes of states (MPS and MPDO). The corresponding evolution in im aginary tim e leads to a very versatile nite-T DM RG algorithm, not restricted to large tem peratures or to hom ogeneous system s . W e conclude by show ing how dissipative system $s$ govemed by $m$ aster equations can be e ciently sim ulated.
 and DMRG from a quantum inform ation perspective (see
 ing built up by a collection of virtual D-level system s paired in $m$ axim ally entangled states. The M PS is obtained by applying linearm aps which transform the $D^{2}\{$ dim ensionalH ilbert space of pairs of virtual system $s$ into the local H ibert spaces associated to the physical system s. Thus, the MPS is com pletely speci ed by these $m$ aps which in tum can be reexpressed in term $s$ of the m atrioes A of Eq. (11). A central result of this paper is that this picture can be extended to describem ixed quantum states. The idea is as follow s: instead of applying a linear $m$ ap to the $H$ ilbert space associated to pairs of virtual system s, we apply a general com pletely positive m ap to the corresponding operator space, which is the m ost general m ap allowed by quantum m echanics [1] [1]. W e hence de ne the class of M PDO as the states which can be obtained by this procedure. M ore speci cally, a MPDO of N d\{level particles $w$ ith $\mathrm{D}_{1} ; \mathrm{D}_{2} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{B}$
dim ensionalbonds is de ned as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.=\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{d}} \\
\mathrm{~s}_{1} ; \mathrm{s}_{1}^{0} ;:: ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}=1 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{1} ; \mathrm{s}_{1}^{0}
\end{array}::: \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}} ; s_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}}\right) \\
\dot{\mathcal{S}}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}} i \mathrm{ihs}_{1}^{0} ;::: ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \dot{j}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M_{k}^{s_{k} ; s_{k}^{0}}$ are $D_{k}^{2} \quad D_{k+1}^{2} m$ atrioes that can be decom posed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k}^{s ; s^{0}}=X_{a=1}^{d_{k}} A_{k}^{s ; a} \quad\left(A_{k}^{s^{0} ; a}\right): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d_{k}$ is at $m$ ost $d D_{k} D_{k+1}$, and the $m$ atrices $A_{k}^{s ; k}$ are of size $D_{k} \quad D_{k+1}$. C ondition ( $\overline{3}_{-1}^{\bar{T}}$ ) is a sem ide nite constraint and is su cient to ensure that the associated m ap is com pletely positive. R elaxing this condition gives rise to operators which are not necessarily positive sem idefin ite (i.e., the picture introduced above also allow s one to represent general operators). N ote also that for sufciently large D, Eq. (2̄) includes any density operator acting on the $H$ ilbert space. For exam ple, the $m$ axim ally $m$ ixed state corresponds to the choice $M_{k}^{s ; s^{0}}={ }_{s ; s^{0} 1 \text {, and }}$ a pure MPS is recovered when $M_{k}^{s ; s^{0}}=A_{k}^{s} \quad\left(A_{k}^{s^{0}}\right)$.

It is possible to express any M PD O in term sofa (pure) M P S by de ning the latter over a larger $H$ ilbert space, i.e. by using the concept ofpuri cation [1] ${ }^{-1}$ ]. To each physical system we associate an auxiliary system (or ancilla) w ith a H illbert space of dim ension $d_{k}$, and after choosing an orthonorm albasis $\dot{j}_{k} ; a_{k} i$ for these particle-ancilla pairs, we w rite the corresponding M P S state as


TheMPDO is obtained after tracing over the ancillas, i.e. $\quad=\operatorname{Tr}_{a}(j$ ih $j)$. It is rem arkable that for $m$ any interesting states the ancilla's dim ensions can be chosen to be $s m$ all ( $d_{k}$ ' d) and thus the puri cation ( $\underline{4}_{1}^{-1}$ ) yields a very e cient representation of the M PDO. N ote also that the ancilla $m$ atrices $A_{k}$ in ( $\left.\overline{4}\right)$ can be easily recovered from the $m$ atrices $M_{k}$ by $m$ eans of an eigenvalue decom position. O $n$ the other hand, given the $m$ atrices $M_{k}$, one can e ciently determ ine expectation values as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} \mathrm{O}_{1}::: \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 ; \mathrm{O}_{1}}::: \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$


In order to $m$ easure the error that we $m$ ake when we approxim ate a density operator 0 by some other one , one can use di erent quantities. For instance [1] $[1]$, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}(; 0)=1 \mathrm{~F}(; 0)$, where F extends the notion of delity from pure to $m$ ixed states, it is de ned as the maxin al overlap between all possible puri cations of and


FIG. 1: Evolution of the ground (pure) state of an Ising m odel after switching on a sm all transverse magnetic eld. W e plot the in delity, $E=1$ † $\quad(t) j \mathrm{mps}(t) i j$ betw een the exact solution, ( $(\mathrm{t}$, and the M P S com puted using our iterativem ethod (solid) and that ofR ef. [8] [1] (dashed), using alw ays $m$ atrix product states of dim ension $\bar{D}_{k}=4$.

0 , and it is given by $F(; 0)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(p_{0}^{p=}\right)$. A lternatively, we m ay simply take $E_{\text {HS }}(; 0)=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}(0\end{array}\right)^{2}\right]$ which is related to the H ilbert Schm idt scalar product in the space of operators.

If we want to determ ine a H am iltonian tim e evolution of a $m$ ixed state in real or im aginary tim $e$, we $m$ ay instead sim ulate the evolution of the puri cation. Starting out from the M P S puri cation $j(0) i(4) 1$, we take a sm all tim e step and com pute the next puri cation $j(t) i e x-$ actly. Unless the evolution is local, the dim ension of the $m$ atrioes A will increase, and wewill have to nd a M PS that approxim ates the exact one, as in the $m$ ethod introduced in $[\overline{[8}]$ ]. H ow ever, we do this here in an optim al way, i.e. we com pute the M PS which, for given dim ensions $\mathrm{ID}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$, m axim izes the overlap w ith the exact state. $T$ his \truncated" puri cation is taken as our next initial data, and we repeat the process. At any tim e, the puri cation can be used to reconstruct the density operator and to com pute expectation values of observables.

O urm ethod is based on an iteration which resembles the sw eeps used in standard DM RG. Let us assum e that we have $j$ i, a MPS (11) and we want to nd the closest one, $j^{O_{i}}$ in which the A's are replaced by $\mathbb{A}^{\prime}$ 's and the corresponding dim ensions are $\widetilde{D}_{k} \quad D_{k}$. Starting $w$ ith a guess of the $\mathbb{K}^{\prime}$ 's (for exam ple, the one of the previous step), on each step of the iteration we choose a site, $k$, and nd the optim al $\AA_{\mathrm{k}}$ such that the overlap between $j$ i and the MPS ism axim al. The optim alchoice is given by the solution of the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{C}^{0}{ }^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{ra}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) 0 ; 0=\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{s}} \text {; : } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensors $C$ and $D$ are de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}^{{ }^{0} ;}{ }^{0} & =\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{0} ; 0 \quad ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}+1}::: \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}_{1}::: \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} 1\right) ; \\
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{s}} ; & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{s}} \quad{ }^{0} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}+1}::: \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{G}_{1}::: \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}} 1\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where ; is a $m$ atrix $w$ th a single nonzero elem ent at


F IG . 2: (a) M agnetization vs. transverse magnetic eld of the them al state of a XY m odel w ith 60 spins, for tem peratures $T=0: 05 ; 0: 5 ; 5$; and 50 (bottom to top)._(b) E rror in the density $m$ atrix of the them al state of Eq. $\overline{9}_{1}^{9}$ ) com puted using M PDO, vs. tem perature, for a chain with $\overline{\mathrm{N}}=8$ spins, $D=8$ (solid) and $D=14 ; 20 ; 24$ (dashed).
row and column , and $E_{k}={ }^{P}{ }_{s} \mathcal{A}_{k}^{s} \quad\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}^{s}\right)$, and $G_{k}=$ ${ }_{s} A_{k}^{s} \quad\left(\bar{A}_{k}^{s}\right)$. Sweeping from $k=1$ to $N$ and back severaltim es we reach alwaysa xed point $w$ ith $m$ axim al overlap $\ddagger j \sim i j$. The $m$ ethod becom es $m$ ost e cient for open boundary conditions ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N}+1}=1$ ), because we can then alw ays im pose that on the optim ized site $k$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \quad\left(\mathbb{A}_{j}^{\sim}\right)\left(\mathbb{A}_{j}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{y}=1 ; 8 j>k \text {; and } \\
& X^{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{j}^{\sim}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{j}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)=1 ; 8 j<\mathrm{k} \text { : } \tag{7a}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\mathrm{C}{ }^{0}{ }^{0}{ }^{\circ}=\quad$; ${ }^{0}$; 0 and the new $m$ atrix $A$ is just
 in the follow ing step, one can do use a singular value decom position of $\overparen{A}_{k}^{s}$ in exactly the same way as it is done in standard DM RG.

W e have illustrated the perform ance ofourm ethod for a simple case in Fig. $1 \overline{11}$. W W chose an Ising $H$ am ilto-
 ground state of $h=0$, and sw itch to $h=0.2$ abruptly. W e havem ade sim ulations of 6 spinsw ith M P S ofdim ension $D=4$. In Fig. 眖 we plot the error of ourm ethod togetherw ith that of them ethod introduced in $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. Since the variational procedure is optim al at each tim e step, it achieves a better result. For the cases studied below the use of ourm ethod was crucial to obtain very good accuracy.

Now we apply the previous ideas to approxim ate the density operator for a system at them alequilibrium, i.e.
$/ e^{H}$ with $=1=T$. For $=0$ (in nite tem perature), the state is a maxim ally m ixed state 1 . For any other tem perature we use that $\left.[1]_{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{H}=e^{\text {th } M^{M}} 1 e^{\text {th } M^{M}} ; w^{\text {th }} t=\frac{2 M}{2 M}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that we can obtain by evolving according to the $H$ am iltonian in im aginary tim e, with tim e step
$t$. This evolution can be perform ed w th the optim al
$m$ ethod presented above, and in this case it is su cient to $x$ the dim ension of the ancilla to $d$.

U sing these techniques, we have com puted the equilibrium states of a spin $s=1=2, X Y \mathrm{~m}$ odelw ith transverse $m$ agnetic eld

$$
H_{x y}=\begin{array}{lll}
X^{N} & \begin{array}{l}
x \\
k
\end{array} \underset{k+1}{x}+\underset{k}{y} \underset{k+1}{y}+h_{k}^{z}:  \tag{9}\\
k
\end{array}
$$

In Fig. 信(a) we plot the $m$ agnetization versus the $m$ agnetic eld for four di erent tem peratures, $\mathrm{N}=60$ spins. $T$ he accuracy of the $m$ atrix product representation seem $s$ to increase $w$ ith tem perature. $U$ sing sm aller lattice sizes $(\mathbb{N}=8$ spins) and a xed magnetic eld ( $\mathrm{h}=3: 0$ ), we com pared the exact diagonalization and the MPDO results for di erent dim ensions, D. As F ig. $\overline{2}$ (b) show S, no large D 's are needed to describe the therm al states accurately.

Let us now $m$ ove on to the description of the evolution of spin system $s$ in the presence of decoherence. For itlustrative purpose, we consider a m aster equation of the form

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}=i \mathbb{H}_{z} ;\right]+{\underset{i}{X}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x  \tag{10}\\
i & x \\
i
\end{array}\right)=: L: ~}_{\text {i }}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}$ is the Ising H am iltonian m entioned before and characterizes the interaction $w$ ith the environm ent.
G iven an initial condition $(0)=0$ written in MPDO form ( $\overline{2}$ ), we want to nd the M PO which best approxim ates ( $t$ ). The procedure that we propose resem bles the one for Schrodinger equations, in that we integrate al$m$ ost exactly them aster equation fora short tim e $t$, and then nd the optim alM PDO ofsm allerdim ensionswhich is closest to ( $t+t$ ). Let us denote by $E(L ; t)=\exp (t L)$ the CPM so that $(t)=E(L ; t)(0) . W$ e will decom pose this operator as follow S

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.E(L ; t)^{\prime} \mathbb{E}\left(L_{e} ; t=2\right) E(L \circ ; t) E(L e ; t=2)\right]^{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=L_{o}+L_{e}$ is a splitting of the Liouvillian into com muting term $s$ which act on odd, $(2 k+1 ; 2 k)$, and even neighbors, $(2 k ; 2 k+1)$, respectively.
$T$ he action of the operators $E\left(L_{e} ; t=2\right)$ or $E(L \circ ; t)$ on a state of the form $\overline{(\underline{2}) \text { ) can be com puted exactly, and }}$ one obtains an operator $\circ=E\left(L_{\circ} ; t\right)$ of the same form but w th the substitution $M{ }_{2 k}^{s_{2 k} ; s_{2 k}^{0}} M_{2 k+1}^{s_{2 k+1} ; s_{2 k+1}^{0}}$ ! $M_{2 k ; 2 k+1}^{s_{2 k} ; s_{2 k+1} ; s_{2 k}^{0} ; s_{2 k+1}^{0}}$. N ow we nd the optim al operator L , which has the form of Eq. (2), and is built from m atrioes of xed dim ension. A s before, by optim alwe m ean that the distance to the original one is $m$ inim ized. $T$ his tim ewew illuse as a m easure of the distance $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H} S}(\mathrm{o}$ i ) and thus we w ill not im pose the condition ( $\underline{3}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) (the use of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}$ in this context is m ore com plicated and w ill be explored in a future work). This leads to an optim ization algorithm which is very $m$ uch like the one introduced before at the level of puri cation, but in which now oand


F IG . 3: (a) Errors in sim ulations of a cluster state w ith $\mathrm{N}_{-}=$ 6;7 and 8 spins (solid, dash and dash-dot), under Eq. (10), for $=0: 1, \mathrm{~h}=0, \mathrm{~m}$ atrices of size $\mathrm{L}=14$ and tim estep $\overline{\mathrm{t}}=$ $0: 01$. (b) D ecay of correlations, $c_{z z}=h_{i}^{z} \underset{i+1}{z} i h_{i}^{z}$ ih ${ }_{i+1}^{z} i$, for the site $i=N=2$, on GHZ states $w$ ith $N=10 ; 20$ and 30 spins (dots, crosses and solid line) for $L=10$.

L are treated as vectors on a $d^{N}$ dim ensional space, where $d=d \quad d$. This way, it is possible to use the sam e code for studying the evolution of both pure and $m$ ixed states. W e rem ark that, while this $m$ ethod cannot guarantee the positivity of the truncated operator $L$ ( $(t)$ obtained at the end of the algorithm, if the truncation error $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{HS}}(\mathrm{O}$; ) is kept sm all, it willbe possible to bound the errors $m$ ade when using L ( $t$ ) to com pute expectation values of any physical quantity. $N$ ote also that the $m$ atrices $M_{k}^{s_{k} ; s_{k}^{0}} 2 C^{L_{k}} L_{k}$ do not need to have a size $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}$ (which is the square of a num ber).

A s an ilhustration, we have sim ulated the decay of correlations of the GHZ state, $j$ ( 0 ) i $=\frac{1}{\overline{2}}\left(j 0 i^{N}+j i^{N}\right)$ and of a chuster state $[1]-1]$, under the evolution dictated
 ulations w th up to $N=8$ spins, a size for which we can com pute the solution num erically $w$ ith direct $m$ ethods (a C rank -N icholson which already has an error of the order of $10{ }^{10}$ ). There are two sources of errors in our $m$ ethod. O ne is the $T$ rotter expansion $\left(\frac{1}{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, but as it is of order $O\left(t^{2}\right)$, it can be decreased by $m$ aking shorter tim e steps. The second source of error is the truncation of the MPDO to a lower dim ension. In the problem s that we have sim ulated, truncation error only a ected the cluster state and did not grow much with the size of the system. In Fig. $\overline{3}$ (b) we plot som e sim ulations m ade w ith GHZ states of up to 50 spins, from which an exponential decay law for the correlation functions, $c_{z z}(t)=h_{i}^{z} i_{i+}^{z} \quad h_{i}^{z} h_{i+}^{z} i^{\prime} e^{4 t}$, can be extracted. Them ethod is rather e cient and can easily handle this and bigger problem $s$ in ordinary com puters.

In sum $m$ ary, we have introduced the concept of $m$ atrix product density operators (MPDO) and their puri cation in tem $s$ of $m$ atrix product states (MPS) in order to describe $m$ ixed states in quantum $m$ any $\{b o d y$ system S . W e have also developed a m ethod to determ ine theMPS (MPDO) which optim ally describes another one which is com posed of $m$ atrioes of higher dim ensions. W e have used those ideas to introduce an algorithm which
allows us to study 1D system $s$ in therm al equilibrium. In contrast to previous $m$ ethods, it applies to nite system s , w ith general short range interactions (not necessarily translationally invariant) and works over the whole range of tem peratures $\mathrm{T} 2[0 ; 1)$. In fact, the algorithm is a very natural extension of DMRG to nite tem peratures because for $T$ ! 0 one recovers the sameMPS that one would obtain with standard DMRG.W e have also shown how to simulate the evolution of a system in the presence of dissipation, i.e. when it evolves according to a $m$ aster equation which involves short range interactions. W e rem ark that in this last case an alternative possibility is to com bine the concepts introduced here with the \quantum jump" approach [19]. The idea is to perform several realizations of the evolution starting out w ith random ly chosen pure states in such a way that their m ixture reproduces the initialM PDO ( $\left.\underline{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}}\right)$. In each realization the pure state is evolved according to a stochastic Schrodinger equation consisting of a tim e evolution $w$ ith an e ective $H$ am iltonian which is interrupted by quantum jumps [1] ]. A fter each short tim e step (or quantum jump) the new pure state is determ ined using the $m$ ethod introduce here and which optim ally approxi$m$ ates the new state w th a M PS.At the end, expectation values of physical observables are determ ined by averaging $w$ th respect to the di erent realizations. $W$ hich of these two m ethods is m ore e cient w ill depend on the num ber of realizations one has to perform in practice in the latter in order to achieve a prescribed accuracy.
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