Magnetic uctuations from stripes in cuprates

G. Seibold¹ and J. Lorenzana²

¹Institut fur Physik, BTU Cottbus, PBox 101344, 03013 Cottbus, Germany ²Center for Statistical Mechanics and Complexity, INFM, Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita di Roma La Sapienza, P. Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy (Dated: November 5, 2021)

Within the time-dependent Gutzwiller approximation for the Hubbard model we compute the magnetic uctuations of vertical metallic stripes with parameters appropriate for La_{1:875}Ba_{0:125}CuO₄ (LBCO). For bond- and site-centered stripes the excitation spectra are similar, consisting of a low-energy incommensurate acoustic branch which merges into a \resonance peak" at the antiferrom agnetic wave vector and several high-energy optical branches. The acoustic branch is similar to the result of theories assuming localized spins whereas the optical branches are signicantly dierent. Results are in good agreement with a recent inelastic neutron study of LBCO.

PACS num bers: 74 25 H a,71 28 + d,74.72 -h,71.45.lr

Since the discovery of cuprate superconductors the elucidation of their magnetic properties has been a subject of intense research in the high- T_c community due to their possible relevance for the superconducting mechanism [1]. However, no consensus has been reached yet wether the magnetic excitation spectra in the various cuprate materials can be traced back to some universal phenomenology which could be expected in the face of the robust nature of superconductivity. The insulating parent compounds show long-range antiferrom agnetic (AF) order in the CuO₂ planes below the Neel temperature [2]. This static AF order is lost above a concentration of added holes per planar copper x 0.02 but complex dynamical spin correlations persist up to the overdoped regime [1, 3].

Regarding the lanthanum cuprates (LCO), neutron scattering (NS) experiments have revealed low-energy incom m ensurate m agnetic excitations at wave-vectors (hereafter in units of 2 = a[4]) (1=2) ;1=2) and) [5] in the doping regim e where the material (1=2;1=2)is superconducting (x > 0.05). The incommensurabildepends linearly on doping = x up to xand stays constant beyond [3]. This behavior can be successfully explained [6, 7, 8] by m etallic, self-organized quasi-one-dim ensional structures called stripes[9] which are oriented parallel to the Cu-O bond (hereafter verticalstripes) and act as antiphase domain walls for the antiferrom agnetic order. The strongest experim ental support for the stripe scenario stems from neutron [10, 11] and xray scattering [12] experim ents on LCO where co-dopants induce a pinning of the stripes so that the associated charge order can be detected.

In YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+y} (YBCO) incomm ensurate magnetic uctuations have been detected by inelastic NS (INS) [13, 14, 15, 16] with a similar doping dependence of the lowenergy incommensurability to that of LCO [15]. Upon increasing energy the incommensurate branches continuously disperse towards the so-called resonance mode at wave-vector $Q_{\rm AF}=(1=2;1=2)$, a collective magnetic mode that grows up below $T_{\rm c}[17,18,19]$. The energy

 $\rm E_{\,r}$ of the spin resonance seems to scale linearly with $\rm T_{c}$ which has led to speculations that it could be related to the superconducting pairing and phase coherence (cf. Ref. [20, 21] and references therein), thus suggesting a magnetic origin of the high transition temperatures of cuprates. Finally above $\rm E_{\,r}$ the magnetic uctuations in YBCO acquire again an incommensurate structure [14, 16, 22, 23, 24] and are already observed above $\rm T_{c}$ [16].

Very recent experiments were dedicated to explore the problem of universality in the magnetic excitations between dierent cuprate families[23, 25, 26, 27]. In particular Tranquada and collaborators[27] reported INS m easurem ents of the magnetic excitations in La_{1:875}Ba_{0:125}CuO₄ which shows static charge and spin order. Most interestingly the dispersion of spin excitations shows features which resemble closely those of YBCO although the measurement has been performed above T_c. The sim ilarity between both compounds has been further demonstrated by a high energy study of YBCO [16] and a high resolution experiment on optimally doped LCO [26]. These works[16, 23, 25, 26, 27] are certainly an important step towards a unied understanding of magnetic uctuation in cuprates. On the other hand they open new questions. The spin excitations in YBCO are commonly explained in terms of an itinerant picture as arising from a dispersing twoparticle bound state induced by AF correlations in a d-wave superconducting system [25, 28]. On the other hand magnetic excitations on top of stripes are usually described in a localized moment picture within spinwave theory (LSW T)[29, 30]. If the origin of magnetic excitations in cuprates is universal, what picture is more appropriate? The spectra of LSW T are dicult to reconcile with several features of YBCO [16, 23, 25] and LCO [26, 27] challenging the stripe interpretation itself[23, 26]. Here we show that the magnetic excitations in La_{1:875}Ba_{0:125}CuO₄ (and possibly in the other cuprates) can be understood in terms of the spin uctuations of m etallic stripes which are in an interm ediate regim e, i.e. neither the localized nor the itinerant picture applies. C ontrary to the LSW T com putations [29] our results are in agreem ent with experim ent [27] over the whole range of energies and m om enta.

Our investigations are based on the one-band H ubbard model with hopping restricted to nearest (t^0) neighbors

Here $c_{i;}^{(y)}$ destroys (creates) an electron with spin—at site i, and $n_{i;} = c_{i;}^{y}$, $c_{i;}$. U is the on-site Hubbard repulsion. Static charge and spin textures are obtained within an unrestricted G utzwiller approximation (GA). Dynamical properties are computed on top of the inhomogeneous solutions within the time-dependent GA[31] (TDGA) which previously has been shown to provide an accurate description of the optical conductivity within the

which previously has been shown to provide an accurate description of the optical conductivity within the more realistic three-band model[32]. Here, because we restrict to low-energy magnetic excitations, we do not expect that interband e ects will play an important role and therefore a one-band description should be su cient.

Parameters were xed by requiring that a) the linear concentration of added holes is 1=(2a) according to experim ent [3, 10, 27] and b) a TDGA computation of the undoped AF insulator reproduces the experim ental dispersion relation [33]. Condition a) was shown to be very sensitive to $t^0=t$ [8] whereas condition b) is sensitive to U=t and t, the former parameter determining the observed energy splitting between magnons at wavevectors (1=2;0) and (1=4;1=4)[33]. Indeed, the splitting vanishes within spin-wave theory applied to the Heisenberg model which corresponds to U=t! 1. We nd that both conditions are met by $t^0=t=0.2$, U=t=8and t = 354m eV. In this way all parameters are xed and the subsequent computation of the magnetic uctuation of the stripes can be considered without free param eters. Results shown below are obtained in a 40 sites lattice and for doping x = 1=8 corresponding to a period of charge modulation d = 4a. The charge and spin structure of the static GA solution is similar to the one reported in Ref. [8] where a slightly dierent param eter set has been used. The local magnetization of BC stripes is reduced to 0.20 (0.38) with respect to 1/2 inside (outside) the core of the charge dom ains. The band structure is quasi-one dim ensional with a Ferm im om en-1=8. Bond-centered (BC) and site-centered tum k_F (SC) stripes are almost degenerate in energy which is also found in other one-band calculations [6, 34] and within the three-band model at higher doping [7, 32]. We anticipate that for both structures the magnetic excitation spectra are rather similar [see Fig. 1 (c)] with dierences regarding the intensity distribution and gaps between magnetic bands. For de niteness we mainly re-




FIG. 1: 10 contour levels of! $S^?$ (!;q) at integer values showing the dispersion of magnetic excitations along (a) and perpendicular (b) to an array of BC stripes. The factor of! serves to cancel an infrared intensity divergence. Panel (c) shows S(!;q) integrated on the magnetic Brillouin zone around (1/2,1/2) [37] for BC and SC stripes together with the experimental data [27]. For the meaning of \error" bars see Ref. [27].

strict ourself to the BC case because of m arginally better agreem ent with experim ent (see below). Additionally these textures constitute the m ore stable con guration at x=1=8 in the m ore accurate three-band m odel[7] and in rst principle com putations[35].

We compute the transverse dynam ical structure factor, $S^?$ (!;q) = $\frac{(g_B)^2 Z}{N h}$ $\frac{P}{10} f_q^+ j i_J^2$ (! !) which is probed by IN S. Here g=2 and as in LSW T we included the renormalization factor Z [2]. In the insulating phase our spectral weights are close to LSW T and therefore we adopt $Z=0.51 \, [33]$. takes into account polarization factors in the NS cross section and will be discussed below . Energy is sampled at intervals of $3.5 m \, \text{eV}$.

A contour level plot of ! S? (!;q) Fig. 1(a), (b)] shows the dispersion of magnetic excitations for stripes oriented along the y-axis. The lower (acoustic) branch perpendicular to the stripes (b) is similar to the lowest branch found within LSW T [29]. Indeed, since the acoustic branch involves long-wavelength excitations it should not depend on the short-range details of the model. It shows the correct Goldstone-like behavior going to zero frequency at the ordering wave-vector $Q_s = (1=2)$ = 1=8. Starting from Qs one observes two branches of spin-waves where the one dispersing towards smaller q rapidly loses intensity. The other one remains very intense up to Q_{AF} where it can be associated with the resonance peak. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 1 (a,b) the dispersion develops a local maximum at Q_{AF} explaining the strong intensity in the momentum integrated structure factor [Fig. 1(c)] at the resonance frequency. In the direction of the stripe the excitations display a \roton-like" m in im um . The energy of the resonance at Q_{AF} is $E_r = 65m \text{ eV}$ which is reasonably close to the experim entalone for this system $\rm E_r=55m\,eV$ [27] taking into account the sim plicity of the model and the fact that we have no free parameters.

In order to compare with experiments one should average over the two possible orientations of the stripes. In Fig. 1 this amounts to adding to each of the panels (a) and (b) the data of the other panel rejected with respect to the central axes. One obtains an X-shaped dispersion providing a natural explanation for the X-like feature seen in both YBCO [14, 24, 25] and LCO [27].

The acoustic branch and its continuation in Fig. 1(a) are quite sim ilar to the dispersion obtained in a weakly coupled two-leg ladder system [38]. In these approaches parameters are adjusted to drive the system into the quantum critical point separating the quantum param agnet from the magnetically ordered state [39]. Hence the similarity with our spectra is reasonable since regarding the magnetism the ordered state corresponds to the present ground state and one can expect continuity of the excitations at the transition. The spin-leg ladder theories[38], however, rely heavily on the ne tuning of the coupling param eter between the legs and an even charge periodicity of the stripes (like for = 1=8). In contrast we obtain qualitatively similar spectra for = 1=8 = 1=10 (x = 0:1, d = 5a)[7, 8], in accord with experim ent [26]. Note that this does not exclude the interesting possibility that a small spin-gap opens by the ladder m echanism for x 1=8 and = 1=8 β 5, 38, 39].

Above the acoustic branch in Fig. 1 (b) there are three optical branches. The lowest two almost touch at $q_k = 1$ =4. G ap positions are in agreement with LSW T but the dispersion is not. Indeed the computations in Ref. [29] yield a shift of the two lower optical branches by 1/8 along q_k with respect to our computation. Formally this dierence can be traced back to the non local nature of magnetic excitations in our system which shows magnetic moments considerably smaller than in the insulator, and more importantly is metallic. In LSW T only processes of the kind $S_i^+ S_j^-$ are allowed in the elective interaction kernel with i and j being close neighbors [29]). We not that not only processes with i far from j are important but also processes of the kind $C_{i,m}^{\gamma} C_{i,m}^{\gamma} C_{i,m}^{\gamma} C_{j,m}^{\gamma} C_{j,m}^{\gamma}$ with all four sites diegent

The absolute spectral weights are determined by the parameter. If one assumes a three-dimensional isotropic distribution of magnetic domains = 2=3. If instead the magnetization is parallel to the CuO plane will decrease with energy and take values closer to 1/2. We adopt for 80% of the isotropic value which gives good account of the lower part of the spectra. (At higher energies a further decrease is expected [37]). For a slightly more doped sample [36] we nd 2=3.

Som e details of the relative spectral weight are better reproduced by BC stripes. i) The resonance energy E $_{\rm r}$ is closer to the experim ental value in the BC case. ii) For BC stripes the gap between the acoustic branch and

the rst optical branch produces a dip in the integrated intensity at 80m eV followed by a peak at 100m eV which is in agreement with experiment contrary to the SC case. A Ithough these features favor BC stripes more theoretical and experimental work is needed to con rm this nding.

Fig. 2 reports constant frequency scans of S? (!;q). At the lowest energy for y-axis oriented stripes [panel (a) left] one intersects the Goldstone mode close to the incom m ensurate w ave-vectorQs. At higher energy [(b),(c)] one intersects the spin-wave cone. The intensity is very anisotropic along the intersection and only the region closest to Q_{AF} is visible. This e ect is also apparent from Fig. 1. Although our study focusses on an underdoped system the large intensity dierence ts nicely with the fact that only the branch closest to QAF is seen in a recent high resolution study on optimally doped LCO [26]. Immediately below the resonance [Fig. 2 (d)] the spin excitations have shifted close to Q $_{\mbox{\scriptsize A}\mbox{\tiny F}}$ and one observes the appearance of intensity in the stripe direction due to the \roton-like" behavior. At 81m eV one intersects the rst gap of Fig. 1 (b) so that the main features are due to excitations along the stripes. At higher energies the contributions from the modes perpendicular to the stripe becom e gradually more important. Moreover, the orientation averaged scans are in remarkably good agreem ent with the corresponding panels of Ref. [27].

A nother very interesting $\,$ nding are the horizontal cigar like features seen at 35m eV and higher energies. Similar features have been obtained in weak coupling in the longitudinal channel[40]. These structures appear close to $q_{V}=1=4=2k_{F}$ and correspond to spinip backscattering processes of the quasi-one dimensional metallic subsystem. At this q_{V} the continuum extends to about 100m eV . As expected there is also a sharp collective mode corresponding to forward scattering processes but with too weak intensity to be observable in Fig. 2. Discover scattering revealed a similar phenomenon in La5=3N $i_{1=3}$ O $_{4}$ where stripes are insulating but have a spin-1/2 degree of freedom at the core[41].

Concluding, we have calculated the magnetic excitations of cuprates in the stripe phase within the TDGA applied to an extended Hubbard model. From a methodological point of view the present work together with our previous study Ref. [32] shows that TDGA is accurate and simple enough in order to obtain realistic dynam ical properties of textured strongly correlated system. Stripes in our computation are metallic charge and spin density-waves in a regime intermediate between localized m om ent and itinerant pictures. Our results are in good agreem ent with experim ents in La1:875Ba0:125CuO4 providing a straightforward explanation of the energy and momentum dependent evolution of S? (q;!) in terms of stripes and hence con m ing stripes as a robust feature of this system with a m theoretical basis. The fact that the magnetic excitation spectra are similar in dierent




FIG. 2: Constant frequency scans of $S^{?}$ (!;q) for BC stripes convolved with a Gaussian (FW HM = 0.06 r.lu.). The title indicates the energy or the energy window (e-i) over which intensities are averaged. For each gure (a)-(i) the left panel shows the intensity for stripes oriented along the y-direction (divided by 2). In order to compare with the experimental data of Ref. 27 the right panel shows the average of both horizontal and vertical stripes with the coordinate system rotated by 45° with wave-vector units of 2 = (2a). Parameters are as in Fig. 1 and as explained in the text.

cuprate families and for dierent doping levels suggests that stripes or the proximity to stripe instabilities are a universal property of cuprates and hence that they may be relevant for the superconducting mechanism.

We acknowledge invaluable insight from J.M. Tranquada, A.T.Boothroyd and R.Coldea.G.S.acknowledges nancial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

- [1] M .A .K astner et al., Rev. M od. Phys. 70, 897 (1998).
- [2] E.M anousakis, Rev. M od. Phys. 63, 1 (1991).
- [3] K .Yam ada et al., Phys. Rev. B 57, 6165 (1998).
- [4] a 3:8A is the lattice spacing in tetragonal coordinates.
- [5] S-W . Cheong et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1791 (1991).
- [6] M. Fleck and A. I. Lichtenstein and A. M. Oles, Phys. Rev. B 64, 134528 (2001).
- [7] J.Lorenzana and G.Seibold, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 136401 (2002)
- [8] G. Seibold and J. Lorenzana, Phys. Rev. B 69, 134513 (2004).
- [9] J. Zaanen and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7391 (1989). K. Machida, Physica C 158, 192 (1989). H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1445 (1990). D. Poilblanc and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B 39, 9749 (1989).
- [10] J.M. Tranquada et al., Nature 375, 56 (1995).
- [11] M . Fu jita et al., cond-m at/0403396.
- [12] T.N iem oller et al., Eur.Phys.J.B 12,509 (1999).
- [13] H.A.Mook et al., Nature 395, 580 (1998).
- [14] M . A raiet al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 608 (1999).
- [15] Pengcheng Daietal, Phys. Rev. B 63, 054525 (2001).
- [16] S.M. Hayden et al., Nature 429, 531 (2004).
- [17] J.Rossat-Mignod et al., Physica C 185-189, 86 (1991).

- [18] H.F. Fong et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 316 (1995).
- [19] P.Bourges et al., Phys. Rev. B 53, 876 (1996).
- [20] Pengcheng Daiet al., Science 284, 1344 (1999).
- [21] Pengcheng Daiet al., Nature 406, 965 (2000).
- [22] M . A raiet al., Int. J. M od. Phys. B 14, 3312 (2000).
- [23] D. Reznik et al., cond-m at/0307591.
- [24] H.A.Mook et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 097004 (2002).
- [25] S. Pailhes et al., cond-m at/0403609.
- [26] N.B. Christensen et al., cond-m at/0403439.
- [27] J.M. Tranquada et al., Nature 429, 534 (2004).
- [28] J.Brinckm ann and P.A. Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett.82, 2915 (1999). Ying-Jer Kao, Qimiao Si, and K. Levin, Phys. Rev.B 61, R11898 (2000).M.R.Norman, Phys.Rev.B 61, 14751 (2000).F.O nufrieva and P.P feuty, Phys.Rev. B 65, 054515 (2002).
- [29] C.D. Batista, G.Ortiz, and A.V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. B 64, 172508 (2001). F. Kruger and S. Scheidl, Phys. Rev. B 67, 134512 (2003). E.W. Carlson, D. X. Yao, and D. K. Campbell, cond-mat/0402231.
- [30] A.T.Boothroyd et al, Phys.Rev.B 67, 100407R (2003).
- [31] G. Seibold and J. Lorenzana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2605 (2001); G. Seibold et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 155113 (2004).
- [32] J.Lorenzana and G.Seibold, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 066404 (2003).
- [33] R. Coldea et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5377 (2001).
- [34] S.R.W hite and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1272 (1998).
- [35] V. I. Anisim ov et al., cond-m at/0402162.
- [36] S.M. Hayden et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1344 (1996).
- [37] J.M. Tranquada, private com munication.
- [38] M. Vojta and T. Ulbricht, cond-mat/0402377. G. S. Uhrig, K. P. Schmidt, and M. Gruninger, cond-mat/0402659.
- [39] S. Sachdev, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 913 (2003).
- [40] E.Kaneshita, M. Ichioka, and K.Machida J.Phys.Soc.

Jpn, 70, 866 (2001).
[41] A.T. Boothroyd et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 257201

(2003).