

Analytical treatment of the dHvA frequency combinations due to chemical potential oscillations in an idealized two-band Fermi liquid

Jean-Yves Fortin*

*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique (UMR CNRS 7085),
Université Louis Pasteur, 3 rue de l'Université, 67084 Strasbourg, France.*

Emmanuel Perez and Alain Audouard†

*Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Pulsés (UMR CNRS-UPS-INSA 5147),
143 avenue de Rangueil, 31432 Toulouse, France.*

(Dated: May 25, 2020)

de Haas-van Alphen oscillation spectrum is studied for an idealized two-dimensional Fermi liquid with two parabolic bands in the case of canonical (fixed number of quasiparticles) and grand canonical (fixed chemical potential) ensembles. As already reported in the literature, oscillations of the chemical potential in magnetic field yield frequency combinations that are forbidden in the framework of the semiclassical theory. Exact analytical calculation of the Fourier components is derived at zero temperature and an asymptotic expansion is given for the high temperature and low magnetic field range. A good agreement is obtained between analytical formulae and numerical computations.

PACS numbers: 67.40.Vs, 67.40-w, 67.55.-s, 67.57.Jj

I. INTRODUCTION

An ongoing question regarding magnetic oscillations in two-dimensional (2D) multiband metals deals with frequency combinations that are observed in de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) and Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) spectra even though they are forbidden within the semiclassical theory of magnetic breakthrough (MB) by Falicov and Stachowiak [1]. In that respect, the organic charge transfer salts of the κ - phase constitute experimental realizations of the linear chain of coupled orbits introduced by Pippard [2] in the early sixties. The Fermi surface (FS) of these compounds is composed of one closed tube (yielding the α -orbit in magnetic field) and two quasi one-dimensional sheets. This FS originates from the hybridization of one 2D tube, yielding at high magnetic field the MB-induced β -orbit with a cross section equal to the first Brillouin zone area. Although these compounds, in particular κ -(ET)₂Cu(SCN)₂ (where ET stands for bisethylenedithia-tetrathiofulvalene), have been extensively studied, the physical origin of some of the observed combination frequencies [3, 4, 5] remains unclear. Actually, besides MB-induced closed orbits which account for some combination frequencies such as $F_{\beta+\alpha}$ or $F_{2\beta-\alpha}$, oscillation of the chemical potential [3, 6] and MB-induced field-dependent broadening of the Landau levels (LL) [7, 8] have been invoked in order to interpret the "forbidden" combination frequencies such as $F_{\beta-\alpha}$ or $F_{\beta-2\alpha}$ observed in dHvA data. When they are observed in SdH spectra of κ - phase salts [3, 4] and of other organic met-

als [9] or layered semiconductor structures [10] with similar FS, these latter combination frequencies can be accounted for by quantum interference (QI). Nevertheless, as also pointed out in the case of organic metals whose FS constitutes a network of coupled electron and hole orbits [11], MB-induced LL broadening and (or) chemical potential oscillation as well as dimensionality certainly play a role in the SdH oscillatory spectra.

The respective contribution of these two phenomena to the oscillatory spectra, that certainly depend on parameters such as the temperature, magnetic field, effective masses, scattering rates, etc..., needs to be quantitatively determined. In particular, it is of primary importance to quantify the temperature dependence of the amplitude of the various oscillations series observed in order to check if there is a temperature and magnetic field range in which the Lifshits-Kosevich (LK) formula [12] still satisfactorily applies and if addition rules such as that reported by Falicov and Stachowiak [1] for three-dimensional FS can be derived.

In the following, a two band Fermi liquid with no MB and no electron reservoir (although it can have a significant influence on both the amplitude and the wave form [13]) is considered [14]. In such a system, only the chemical potential oscillation have a significant contribution to the dHvA spectrum. LK and Falicov-Stachowiak models, which assume a constant chemical potential [grand canonical ensemble (GCE)], predict that the dHvA Fourier spectrum contains only the frequencies linked to each orbit and their harmonics. In this case, the two bands are physically independent. However, for a constant number of electrons [canonical ensemble (CE)], the system lowers the total energy at zero temperature by sharing the electrons between the lowest LL of the two spectra as the magnetic field varies. In one sense, the filling of the lowest LL implies an effective interaction between the two bands, even though MB is not required. This leads, as already demonstrated, to a mixing of the

*e-mail: fortin@lpt1.u-strasbg.fr

†e-mail: audouard@lncmp.org

fundamental frequencies [6, 14] and the problem becomes less trivial.

II. MODEL PARAMETERS

The energy dispersion of the two bands $\alpha = 0, 1$ is characterized by band bottoms Δ_α and effective masses m_α^* . In a magnetic field B , The LL of each band are written as:

$$\epsilon_\alpha(n) = \Delta_\alpha + (h^2/2\pi m_\alpha^*)(eB/h)(n + 1/2). \quad (1)$$

In zero field, the electron densities n_α of each band satisfy the conservation equation $\sum_\alpha n_\alpha = n_e$, where n_e is the total electron density. The area of the FS piece linked to the α -band, $S_\alpha = 4\pi^2/n_\alpha$, is given by $S_\alpha = 2\pi m_\alpha^*/\hbar^2(E_F - \Delta_\alpha)$ or, equivalently, $S_\alpha = eF_\alpha/\hbar$ where F_α is the Fourier frequency linked to the α orbit.

In the following, the energies are expressed in units of $2\pi\hbar^2/m_0^*$ and we use the dimensionless variables $x = n_e/b$, where $b = eB/h$ and $c_\alpha = m_0^*/m_\alpha^*$ (i. e. $c_0 = 1$ and $c_1 = m_0^*/m_1^*$). In particular we will consider the case where c_1 is the ratio of 2 irreducible integers p/q . In the new units, the energies are expressed as $\epsilon_\alpha(n) = \Delta_\alpha + c_\alpha b(n + 1/2)$. It is also convenient to define the dimensionless fundamental frequencies $f_\alpha = S_\alpha/4\pi^2 n_e$, in which case $f_0 + f_1 = 1$. Also defining $f_b = (\Delta_0 - \Delta_1)/c_1 n_e$, we can express the fundamental frequencies as a function of the effective mass ratio c_1 and f_b :

$$f_0 = \frac{c_1}{1 + c_1}(1 - f_b), \quad \text{and} \quad f_1 = \frac{1}{1 + c_1}(1 + c_1 f_b).$$

Assuming a perfect crystal, the amplitude of the Fourier components is only driven by the thermal fluctuations through the damping factor $R_{\alpha,p}(t) = p\lambda_\alpha/\sinh(p\lambda_\alpha)$, where $\lambda_\alpha = 2\pi^2 t/c_\alpha b$ and t is the temperature in unit of $2\pi\hbar^2/k_B m_0^*$. For real crystals with finite scattering relaxation time, the product $R_{\alpha,p}(T)R_{\alpha,p}^D$ should be considered instead (the Dingle damping factor is given by $R_{\alpha,p}^D = \exp(-2\pi^2 p t_D/c_\alpha b)$, where t_D is the Dingle temperature). The relations between the temperature, magnetic field and the reduced parameters are given by:

$$T(K) = t \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{k_B a_0^2 m_0^*} = 5.556 \times 10^{-15} \frac{t}{a_0^2 m_0^*},$$

$$B(T) = b \frac{2\pi\hbar}{e a_0^2} = 4.136 \times 10^{-15} \frac{b}{a_0^2},$$

where a_0 is the unit cell length. In the case of the κ -(ET)₂Cu(SCN)₂ compound ($a_0^2 = 1.11 \times 10^{-18} m^2$, $m_0^* = 3.2$, $m_1^* = 7$), the ratio t/b is equal to $2.38 \times T(K)/B(T)$.

The chemical potential is solution of an implicit equation which cannot be solved analytically, except at zero

temperature (see Section IV). Nevertheless, assuming t/b is high enough for the chemical potential oscillations are weak, an asymptotic expansion can be derived at the lowest order. The deduced expressions allow for the calculation of the Fourier components of the dHvA spectrum as reported in Section III. In the LK and FS theories their amplitude, which is governed by the thermal damping factor, exponentially decreases as the t/b ratio, the harmonics' order and the effective masses increase. Although such feature is also observed in most cases in the CE, it is demonstrated hereafter that the factor in front of the thermal damping factor is generally not the same as in the LK theory. This may lead to a non monotonous temperature dependence of the amplitudes. E. g., the Fourier amplitude of the harmonics may even vanish at a finite temperature depending on the effective masses and the harmonic order. This behavior is related to the complex oscillations of the chemical potential that imply fluctuations of the area, hence frequencies, of the electronic trajectories defining the FS. More precisely, these frequencies are proportional to the chemical potential $\mu - \Delta_\alpha$ and therefore oscillate. It is then necessary to define the problem in terms of zero field frequencies, the oscillations of the chemical potential modifying only the amplitudes.

The analytical formulae deduced in sections III and IV are compared to the Fourier spectra deduced from numerical computations of the chemical potential both at zero and finite t/b . Discrete Fourier transforms are calculated with a Blackman window in a given field range from b_{min} to b_{max} . The absolute value of the Fourier amplitude (A) at a given frequency for a mean field value $b_{mean} = 2/(1/b_{min} + 1/b_{max})$ is determined from the amplitude of the discrete Fourier transform (A_{calc}) as $A = 4A_{calc}/0.84(1/b_{min} - 1/b_{max})$. As reported hereafter, an excellent agreement between analytical expressions and numerical computations is obtained at zero temperature while the asymptotic expansion is a good approximation in the large t/b range.

III. FOURIER COMPONENTS OF THE MAGNETIZATION IN THE HIGH TEMPERATURE REGIME

To evaluate the thermodynamical quantities, we begin by considering the Fourier transform of the grand potential Ω in the GCE for the two band system. It is expressed as:

$$\Omega = \sum_\alpha \Omega_\alpha \quad (2)$$

$$\Omega_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2c_\alpha}(\mu - \Delta_\alpha)^2 + \frac{b^2 c_\alpha}{2} \left[\frac{1}{12} + \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^p}{\pi^2 p^2} R_{\alpha,p}(t) \cos \left(2\pi p \frac{\mu - \Delta_\alpha}{c_\alpha b} \right) \right],$$

The Fourier transform of the magnetization $M = -\partial\Omega/\partial B$ at fixed chemical potential μ contains cosine functions of the arguments $2\pi p F_\alpha/B = 2\pi p f_\alpha x$ with p integer, and therefore the frequencies involved in the spectrum are the individual frequencies F_α plus their harmonics. This is the LK result. In the GCE, there is therefore no mixing of the form $kf_0 + lf_1$ with (k, l) positive or negative integers. In the CE, the magnetization is expressed as $M = -\partial F/\partial B$, where $F = \Omega + n_e\mu$ is the free energy. Contrary to the GCE case, it is known that the Fourier spectrum of the magnetization contains such $kf_0 + lf_1$ combinations, where the $f_\alpha = (\mu_0 - \Delta_\alpha)/c_\alpha n_e$ are defined relatively to the zero field chemical potential $\mu_0 = \mu(B = 0)$. The chemical potential is solution of the equation:

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{\mu - \Delta_\alpha}{c_\alpha} = n_e \quad (3)$$

$$-b \sum_\alpha \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^p}{\pi p} R_{\alpha,p}(t) \sin\left(2\pi p \frac{\mu - \Delta_\alpha}{c_\alpha b}\right).$$

At zero field, the last term vanishes, and we get the simple relation $\mu(B = 0) = \mu_0 = \Delta_\alpha + c_\alpha n_\alpha$. At finite magnetic field, the chemical potential oscillates, and is solution of the self-consistent equation Eq. (3). If we replace μ in Eq. (2) by this solution, the free energy is obtained using the relation $F = \Omega + n_e\mu$. It can be noticed that the series in Eq. (3) is proportional to the small parameter b . We may therefore replace μ in the cosine functions by its zero magnetic field value μ_0 :

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{\mu - \Delta_\alpha}{c_\alpha} \approx n_e - b \sum_\alpha \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^p}{\pi p} R_{\alpha,p}(t) \sin(2\pi p f_\alpha x).$$

This relation can be expressed as:

$$\mu \approx \mu_0 - b \frac{\sum_\beta M_\beta}{\sum_\beta c_\beta^{-1}}, \quad (4)$$

with $M_\beta = \sum_{p \geq 1} (-1)^p R_{\beta,p}(t)/\pi p \times \sin(2\pi p f_\beta x)$. Replacing μ in Eq. (2) by this expression, and then computing $F = \Omega + n_e\mu$, we obtain a finite temperature approximation for the free energy. The approximation Eq. (5) shows that the dominant oscillatory behavior of the potential leads to frequency combinations. Indeed, in the oscillatory part of Eq. (2), the arguments of the cosine functions are themselves oscillating:

$$\cos\left(2\pi p \frac{\mu - \Delta_\alpha}{c_\alpha b}\right) = \Re\left\{\exp(2i\pi p f_\alpha x) \times \prod_\beta \exp(-2i\pi p w_\alpha M_\beta(x))\right\}, \quad (5)$$

where $w_\alpha = c_\alpha^{-1}/\sum_\beta c_\beta^{-1}$ are mass weights. The functions $\exp(-2i\pi p w_\alpha M_\beta(x))$ are periodic functions of x with f_β period, therefore they can be expanded in their Fourier series:

$$\exp(-2i\pi p w_\alpha M_\beta) = \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} B_{\alpha,\beta}(p, q) \exp(2i\pi q f_\beta x). \quad (6)$$

We obtain a product of several Fourier series, and expanding the product and combining the oscillating functions lead to the combinations between the f_α frequencies.

If we try to go beyond this approximation, the scheme breaks down. Indeed, $\delta\mu = \mu - \mu_0$ is a small parameter, and we would like to expand the sine functions in Eq. (3) relatively to this parameter. The first order is the case we discussed before, and at the next order we obtain

$$\sin\left(2\pi p \frac{\mu - \Delta_\alpha}{c_\alpha b}\right) \approx \sin(2\pi p f_\alpha x) + \frac{2\pi p}{c_\alpha b} \cos(2\pi p f_\alpha x) \delta\mu. \quad (7)$$

The last term in Eq. (7) is proportional to $p\delta\mu/b \simeq p$ which is not small. It is therefore difficult to expand Eq. (4) as a series of $\delta\mu$. However, the first order approximation works for high t/b values (this is checked hereafter by solving numerically the self-consistent relation Eq. (3)), since the oscillations of the chemical potential are negligible in this range. The oscillating part of the magnetization is defined in units of $\hbar e/m_0^*$ by $m_{osc} \propto (n_e/b^2)\partial F/\partial x$ or $m_{osc} = \sum_F A_{CE}(F) \sin(2\pi Fx)$. The dominant value is:

$$m_{osc} \simeq -\frac{n_e}{\sum_\alpha c_\alpha^{-1}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} M_\alpha(x) M'_\beta(x) + \sum_\alpha \frac{n_e c_\alpha}{2\pi^2} \sum_{p_\alpha \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{p_\alpha}}{p_\alpha^2} R_{\alpha,p_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Re[\exp(2i\pi p_\alpha f_\alpha x)] \times \sum_{q_0} B_{\alpha,0}(p_\alpha, q_0) \exp(2i\pi q_0 f_0 x) \times \sum_{q_1} B_{\alpha,1}(p_\alpha, q_1) \exp(2i\pi q_1 f_1 x) \Big]. \quad (8)$$

The various terms in Eq. (8) comes from the derivation of $n_e\mu + \Omega$ using Eq. (2) and Eq. (4). In the large t/b range, the coefficients $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ can be estimated by noticing that M_β is dominated by the first term of its Fourier series $M_\beta(x) \simeq -R_{\beta,1}/\pi \sin(2\pi f_\beta x)$. In this case those coefficients are simply related to Bessel functions:

$$B_{\alpha,\beta}(p, q) \simeq J_q(2p w_\alpha R_{\beta,1}). \quad (9)$$

The arguments of the Bessel functions are rather small since $R_{\beta,1} \simeq 2\lambda_\beta \exp(-\lambda_\beta) \ll 1$. The amplitudes

$A_{CE}(F)$ and the related masses can be extracted within this approximation at the lowest order, and for different frequency combinations. The m_α^{th} harmonics of f_α , result from two contributions: one coming from the product of $M_\alpha(x)M'_\alpha(x)$ in Eq. (8), and the other from the solutions ($p_\alpha + q_\alpha = \pm m_\alpha, q_{\beta \neq \alpha} = 0$) and ($p_\beta + q_\beta = 0, q_\alpha = \pm m_\alpha$) in the second term of the right hand side of Eq. (8). For $m_\alpha = 1$, we simply obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CE}(f_\alpha) &\simeq \frac{n_e c_\alpha f_\alpha}{\pi} R_{\alpha,1} \\ &\simeq 4\pi n_e f_\alpha \frac{t}{b} \exp(-2\pi^2 \frac{t}{c_\alpha b}), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which is the same amplitude as in the GCE. Indeed the main contribution comes from the terms $B_{\alpha,\alpha}(1,0)B_{\alpha,\beta}(1,0) \simeq 1$, the next corrective terms are smaller and correspond to the third order in $R_{\alpha,1}$. The amplitudes of the second harmonics $A_{CE}(2f_\alpha)$ are different in the CE:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CE}(2f_\alpha) &\simeq A_{GCE}(2f_\alpha) \\ &\times \left(1 - 4\pi^2 \frac{t}{c_\alpha b} w_\alpha\right) \\ A_{GCE}(2f_\alpha) &\simeq -4\pi n_e f_\alpha \frac{t}{b} \exp(-4\pi^2 \frac{t}{c_\alpha b}). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Within this approximation, $A_{CE}(2f_\alpha)$ vanishes and changes sign at $t/b = c_\alpha/(4\pi^2 w_\alpha)$, due to the additional factor in Eq. (11). Additional corrective terms may modify this value, but a more explicit expansion would be needed in order to get a better accuracy.

The amplitudes for the sum and difference of f_0 and f_1 are computed in the same way and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CE}(f_0 \pm f_1) &\simeq \frac{n_e}{\sum_\alpha c_\alpha^{-1}} \frac{f_1 \pm f_0}{\pi} R_{0,1} R_{1,1} \\ &\simeq \frac{16\pi^3 n_e}{\sum_\alpha c_\alpha^{-1}} \frac{f_1 \pm f_0}{c_0 c_1} \frac{t^2}{b^2} \exp(-2\pi^2 (\frac{1}{c_0} + \frac{1}{c_1}) \frac{t}{b}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The amplitudes for $f_\alpha + 2f_\beta$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, are

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CE}(f_\alpha + 2f_\beta) &\simeq \frac{n_e (f_\alpha + 2f_\beta)}{\pi \sum_\alpha c_\alpha^{-1}} R_{\alpha,1} \times \\ &\left(\frac{R_{\beta,2}}{2} + \left(\frac{w_\alpha}{2} + w_\beta\right) R_{\beta,1}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

leading to:

$$\frac{A_{CE}(f_\alpha + 2f_\beta)}{A_{CE}(f_\beta + 2f_\alpha)} \simeq \frac{f_\alpha + 2f_\beta}{f_\beta + 2f_\alpha} \frac{1 + (1 + w_\beta)\lambda_\beta}{1 + (1 + w_\alpha)\lambda_\alpha}. \quad (14)$$

The amplitudes given by Eqs. 10 to 13 are generally the largest that can be extracted from data analysis. The ratio

$$\frac{A_{CE}(f_\alpha - f_\beta)}{A_{CE}(f_\alpha + f_\beta)} \simeq \frac{f_\beta - f_\alpha}{f_\alpha + f_\beta}, \quad (15)$$

is constant at high temperature indicating that these two oscillations have the same mass $m^*(f_\alpha \pm f_\beta) = m_\alpha^* + m_\beta^*$ that can be extracted by plotting the logarithm of $(b/t)^2 A_{CE}(f_\alpha \pm f_\beta)$ versus t/b , which should be a straight line in this limit. More generally the addition rule

$$m^*(kf_\alpha + lf_\beta) = |k|m_\alpha^* + |l|m_\beta^* \quad (16)$$

can be derived. Nevertheless, it should be kept in mind that the t/b dependance of the prefactor is not simple. Therefore, each case has to be treated separately. E. g., in the high t/b range, Eq. 13 can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CE}(f_\alpha + 2f_\beta) &\simeq \frac{4n_e (f_\alpha + 2f_\beta) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta}{\pi \sum_\alpha c_\alpha^{-1}} \\ &\times [1 + 2\lambda_\beta(1 + w_\beta)] \exp[-(\lambda_\alpha + 2\lambda_\beta)]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

This leads to $m^*(f_\alpha + 2f_\beta) = m_\alpha^* + 2m_\beta^*$, as predicted by Eq. 16, although this effective mass is derived in the high temperature range by plotting $\log[A_{CE}(f_\alpha + 2f_\beta)(b/t)^3]$ vs. t/b . Oppositely, the asymptotic factor in front of the dominant exponential damping is always proportional to t/b in the GCE.

The asymptotic expressions Eq. (10) to Eq. (13) (see the solid lines in Figs. 1 and 2) are compared to the amplitudes of the relevant Fourier components deduced from the direct numerical resolution of the chemical potential given by Eq. (3) (symbols in Figs. 1 and 2). First, the non monotonous behavior of $A_{CE}(2f_\alpha)$ predicted by Eq. (11) can be observed in numerical data of Fig. 1b although at a shifted t/b value. More generally, a good agreement between numerical and analytical data is obtained for $t/b \gtrsim 0.05$ and $t/b \gtrsim 0.1$ ($t/b \gtrsim 0.15$ for $f_0 + 2f_1$ and $2f_0 + f_1$) in the GCE and the CE, respectively. This is also evidenced in Fig. 3 in which the magnetization oscillations deduced from numerical resolution of Eq. (3) at $t = 4 \times 10^{-3}$ and $t = 7 \times 10^{-3}$ are compared to the analytical approximations given above, in the field range $0.02 \leq b \leq 0.05$.

IV. ZERO TEMPERATURE FOURIER ANALYSIS

At low temperature, the amplitudes differ strongly from the LK theory, due to the importance of the chemical potential fluctuations. At zero temperature, the chemical potential equation Eq. (3) can be solved exactly and expressions for the total energy and magnetization are obtained. The total energy E is a function of x and can be expressed as




FIG. 1: Reduced temperature (t) and magnetic field (b) dependence of the dHvA oscillations amplitude for the canonical ensemble. The band parameters are $\Delta_0 - \Delta_1 = 1/10$, $c_0^{-1} = 1$, $c_1^{-1} = 2$ (leading to $f_0 = 4/15$ and $f_1 = 11/15$). Symbols are data deduced from numerical computations in the reduced temperature range from $t = 0$ to $t = 0.009$. Solid lines are deduced from the high t/b approximation given by Eqs. (10) to (13). Note that, Eq. (11) predicts that $A(2f_0)$ and $A(2f_1)$ vanish at $t/b = c_\alpha/(4\pi^2\omega_\alpha)$.

$$E(x) = E_0 + \frac{b^2}{2}G(x), \quad (18)$$

where $G(x)$ is an oscillatory function, and E_0 is the energy in absence of field. The latter is given by

$$E_0 = \frac{c_1}{2(1+c_1)}n_e^2 + \frac{n_e}{1+c_1}(c_1\Delta_0 + \Delta_1) - \frac{(\Delta_0 - \Delta_1)^2}{2(1+c_1)}. \quad (19)$$

In the following, we compute G and its Fourier transform by using a combinatorial analysis. We give here the main analytical arguments to obtain the final result. If we suppose that Δ_0 is large compared to Δ_1 (the final




FIG. 2: Same as Fig.1 for the grand canonical ensemble. Solid lines are deduced from Eqs. (10) and (11).

result does not depend on this assumption), we first begin to fill the LL corresponding to the band $\alpha = 1$ since it has a lower band bottom. If $n_1(x)$ is the number of LL we have to fill before reaching the first level of the band $\alpha = 0$, then there are $b(x - n_1(x)) = b \times y$ electrons left we have to share between the two bands. We obtain $n_1(x) = [(\Delta_0 - \Delta_1)/c_1b - 1/2]$, where $[...]$ means the integer part. We can relabel in this case the LL energies of the 1-band as $\epsilon_1(n) = \tilde{\Delta}_1 + c_1b(n + 1/2)$, $n \geq 0$, with $\tilde{\Delta}_1 = \Delta_1 + c_1b[(\Delta_0 - \Delta_1)/c_1b - 1/2]$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_1 \rightarrow \Delta_0$ when $b \rightarrow 0$. The LL corresponding to $n < 0$ of the 1-band are already filled with $bn_1(x)$ electrons and there are now $b \times y$ electrons for the remaining LL in the bands 0 and 1. If we assume that $c_1 = p/q$ is a rational with (p, q) positive integers, then we can truncate the total Landau spectrum, made of the superposition of the LL of the two bands, by noticing that this spectrum has a periodicity equal to $p + q$. We call periodicity the minimum number of LL after which the relative positions of the LL between the bands 1 and 2 repeat themselves. We can therefore decompose x as $x = n_1(x) + k_0(p + q) + m_0 + m_1 + r$, with k_0 , m_0 and m_1 integers. k_0 is the maximum number of blocks of $p + q$ LL completely filled, m_α is the number of LL in the α -band filled, and $0 \leq r < 1$ is the fraction of




FIG. 3: DHvA oscillations deduced from numerical computations for the canonical (CE) and grand canonical (GCE) ensembles, at two different temperatures ($t = 0.004$ and $t = 0.007$). The band parameters are the same as in Fig. 1. Thin lines are deduced from Eqs. (10) to (13).

the last LL occupied by the remaining $b \times r$ electrons (either in band 0 or 1 in accordance with the constraint that the total energy should be minimum). We have the relations $0 \leq m_0 \leq p$ and $0 \leq m_1 \leq q$, $0 \leq m_0 + m_1 < p + q$. k_0 is simply defined by $k_0 = [y/(p+q)]$, $r = x - [x]$ and the sum $m_0 + m_1 = [y - k_0(p+q)] \equiv M$. We finally have to find either m_0 or m_1 , since the sum is determined, and the last LL occupied by the $b \times r$ electrons. The total energy is

$$\begin{aligned}
 E = & b \sum_{n=0}^{n_1} (\Delta_1 + c_1 b(n + 1/2)) \\
 & + b \sum_{n=0}^{k_0 p + m_0 - 1} (\Delta_0 + b(n + 1/2)) \\
 & + b \sum_{n=0}^{k_0 q + m_1 - 1} (\tilde{\Delta}_1 + c_1 b(n + 1/2)) \\
 & + b \times r \min(\epsilon_0(k_0 p + m_0), \epsilon_1(k_0 q + m_1)).
 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The first term gives the bottom energy $E_b = (\tilde{\Delta}_1^2 -$

$\Delta_1^2)/2c_1$ (the energy corresponding to the LL of the 1-band filled between Δ_1 and Δ_0). We obtain m_0 or m_1 by noticing that they are independent of r . Therefore, we compute E as a function of, e. g., m_0 for $r = 0$, and minimize the energy with respect to m_0 . We obtain a quadratic function of m_0 , and the minimum is given by the integer closest to the bottom of the parabolic curve:

$$m_0(y) = \left[\frac{c_1}{1+c_1} [y] + \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_1 - \Delta_0}{b(1+c_1)} + \frac{1}{2} \right]. \quad (21)$$

The energy can be put as $E = E_b + b^2 E_c(k_0, M, r)/2$. The effective inverse field $x = n_e/b$ (or y) can be varied by either changing the magnetic field b or changing the density of electrons n_e . In the latter case, all quantity depending only on b is constant, such as E_b for example. This is especially useful if we want to extract the periodical part of E on the top LL. The periodicity of the energy is directly related to the periodicity of the LL, and this happens every time that $(p+q)b$ electrons are introduced in the system, hence at fixed magnetic field b . Following this argument, the k_0 dependence in E_c can be removed by iteration, if we express $E_c(k_0)$ as function of $E_c(k_0 - 1)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_c(k_0, M, r) = & E_c(0, M, r) \\
 & + \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} (y^2 - (M+r)^2) + 2 \frac{y-M-r}{b(1+c_1)} (c_1 \Delta_0 - \tilde{\Delta}_1).
 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We then separate the terms depending on M and r and the terms depending on y :

$$\begin{aligned}
 E = & E_b + \frac{b^2 y^2}{2} \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} + \frac{y b}{1+c_1} (c_1 \Delta_0 + \tilde{\Delta}_1) \\
 & + \frac{b^2}{2} \left\{ E_c(M, r) - \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} (M+r)^2 \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{2(M+r)}{b(1+c_1)} (c_1 \Delta_0 + \tilde{\Delta}_1) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We can check that the sum of the first 3 terms on the right hand side of the equation Eq. (23) are equal to E_0 [see Eq. (19)] with some additional terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_0 = & E_b + \frac{b^2 y^2}{2} \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} + \frac{y b}{1+c_1} (c_1 \Delta_0 + \tilde{\Delta}_1) \\
 & - \frac{1}{2(1+c_1)} (\tilde{\Delta}_1 - \Delta_0)^2.
 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We can therefore identify the G function in Eq. (18) as a periodic function of the variable y :

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(y) = & m_0(y)^2 + c_1 ([y] - m_0(y))^2 \\
 & + c_1 \delta([y] - 2m_0(y)) + (y - [y]) \left\{ m_0(y) + \frac{1}{2} + \right.
 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_1([y] - m_0(y) + \frac{1}{2}) \\
& - \left| c_1\delta + c_1([y] - m_0(y) + \frac{1}{2}) - m_0(y) - \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\
& - \frac{c_1}{1+c_1}y^2 - \frac{c_1(1-c_1)}{1+c_1}\delta y + \frac{c_1^2}{1+c_1}\delta^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = (\tilde{\Delta}_1 - \Delta_0)/c_1b$, y being constrained to be inside the interval $0 \leq y < (p+q)$. Indeed, using the fact that $m_0(y+p+q) = m_0(y) + p$, we check that Eq. (25) satisfies $G(y+p+q) = G(y)$. In the following, we expand $G(y)$ in Fourier series, and, using $y = x - n_1(x)$, express the result as a function of x , in order to obtain the relation Eq. (18). The Fourier coefficients are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_l &= \int_{-(p+q)/2}^{(p+q)/2} G(y) \exp\left(-2i\pi\frac{ly}{p+q}\right) dy \\
G(y) &= \frac{1}{p+q} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_l \exp\left(2i\pi\frac{ly}{p+q}\right). \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

Defining the frequencies $\omega = 2\pi l/(p+q)$, the integration over y can be split into small parts (assuming for example that $(p+q)/2$ is integer):

$$\tilde{G} = \sum_{l=-(p+q)/2}^{(p+q)/2} \exp(-i\omega l) \int_0^1 dr G(l+r) \exp(-i\omega r). \quad (27)$$

In Eq. (25), $m_0(l+r) = m_0(l)$, and G is a second order polynomial function in the variable r , hence the integration over r is straightforward since it uses the integrals $\int_0^1 \exp(-i\omega r)r^k dr$, with $k = 0, 1, 2$. In the limit of irrational ratio c_1 , as $p+q \rightarrow \infty$, the computation is simpler and we assume that the physical quantities should be smooth functions of c_1 . In this limit:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(y) \exp(-i\omega y) dy \\
G(y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{G}(\omega) \exp(i\omega y) d\omega. \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

In Eq. (27) the sum is now from $-\infty$ to ∞ and we have to evaluate the following distributions

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l), \quad \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l)l, \quad \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l)l^2, \\
& \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l)m_0(l), \quad \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l)m_0^2(l), \\
& \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l)m_0(l)l
\end{aligned}$$

in order to obtain the final amplitudes $\tilde{G}(\omega)$. The simplest series is

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) = 2\pi \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\omega - 2\pi l) \equiv S(\omega). \quad (29)$$

The other series $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l)l^k$ with $k = 1, 2$ are derivatives of $S(\omega)$, and include therefore derivatives of δ functions. It appears that these derivatives cancel each other in the final result. The remaining distributions can also be written in term of $S(\omega)$ function and its derivatives:

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) m_0(l) \propto \frac{c_1\delta}{1+c_1} S(\omega) \quad (30)$$

$$+ \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^l \exp(2i\pi l c_1 \delta / (1+c_1))}{2i\pi l} S\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi l c_1}{1+c_1}\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) m_0(l)^2 \propto \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{c_1^2 \delta^2}{(1+c_1)^2}\right) S(\omega) \\
& + \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^l \exp(2i\pi l c_1 \delta / (1+c_1))}{i\pi l} \times \\
& \left(-\frac{1}{2i\pi l} + \frac{c_1 \delta}{(1+c_1)}\right) S\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi l c_1}{1+c_1}\right). \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the series $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l)m_0(l)l$ depends only on the derivatives of S . The details for obtaining Eq. (30) and Eq. (31) are given in appendix A. $\tilde{G}(\omega)$ can be expressed as a sum of delta functions at frequencies corresponding to the arguments of the function S . After some tedious computations, we arrive at a Fourier spectrum containing frequency combinations $l_0 f_0 + l_1 f_1$. In order to obtain $G(x)$ as a Fourier series, we have to replace y by $x - n_0(x)$ in the cosine functions and express the result as a function of x only. Since $n_0(x)$ is integer, we always have $\cos(2\pi l y) = \cos(2\pi l x)$. It is also useful to notice that $y + \delta = x(1 - f_b)$. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x) &= \frac{1}{12} \frac{1+4c_1+c_1^2}{1+c_1} \quad (32) \\
& - \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi^2 l^2} \cos(2\pi l(f_0 + f_1)x) \\
& + \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^l (1+c_1)^3}{2\pi^4 l^4 c_1^2} \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi l c_1}{1+c_1}\right) \cos(2\pi l f_0 x) \\
& + 2 \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{l' \neq l} \frac{(-1)^{l'-l}}{4\pi^4 (l'-l)^2} \frac{(1+c_1)^3}{(l+l'c_1)^2} \\
& \times \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi (l'-l)c_1}{1+c_1}\right) \cos(2\pi (l' f_0 + l f_1)x).
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (32) is the main result for the two-band problem at zero temperature. It contains the exact amplitudes of the oscillating part of the energy. The oscillating part of the magnetization is simply defined by $m_{osc} = n_e G'(x)/2 = \sum_F A_{CE}(F) \sin(2\pi Fx)$. We notice that $G(x)$ depends only on c_1 and the fundamental frequencies f_α . In the double sum [Eq. (32)], it may happen that some terms diverge because $l + l'c_1$ vanishes in the denominator. But in this case, the quantity $(l - l')c_1/(1 + c_1) = l$ is integer and the interference term $1 - \cos(2\pi l)$ vanishes at the same time. It is easy to check that the quantity $(1 - \cos(\epsilon))/\epsilon^2$ is finite when ϵ tends to 0, and the divergences do not occur. The values of these singular terms are given by this regularization. In the general case, we can give the expression of the amplitudes $A_{CE}(kF)$ for the k^{th} harmonics of $F = l_0 f_0 + l_1 f_1$, where l_1 is a positive integer and l_0 can be negative. If f_0/f_1 is equal to an irreducible ratio of 2 integers p_1/p_0 , then we can find many combinations $l'f_0 + lf_1$ in the double sum of Eq. (32) that are equal to kF . This occurs for $l = kl_1 + mp_1$ and $l' = kl_0 - mp_0$. We obtain

$$A_{CE}(kF) = \frac{kF(1+c_1)^3}{2\pi^3} \times \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{k(l_0 - l_1) - m(p_0 + p_1) + 1}}{(k(l_1 + c_1 l_0) + m(p_1 - c_1 p_0))^2} \times \frac{1 - \cos \frac{2\pi(k(l_0 - l_1) - m(p_0 + p_1))c_1}{1 + c_1}}{(k(l_0 - l_1) - m(p_0 + p_1))^2}. \quad (33)$$

In the case where f_0/f_1 is irrational, only the term $m = 0$ has to be taken into account:

$$A_{CE}(kF) = \frac{(-1)^{k(l_0 - l_1) + 1}(1+c_1)^3 F}{2\pi^3 k^3 (l_0 - l_1)^2 (l_1 + c_1 l_0)^2} \times \left[1 - \cos \frac{2\pi k(l_0 - l_1)c_1}{1 + c_1} \right]. \quad (34)$$

The amplitudes Eq. (33) holds for any frequency except for the special case $k(f_0 + f_1)$. Indeed $A_{CE}(k(f_0 + f_1))$ is obtained with the condition $p_0 \neq p_1$:

$$A_{CE}(k(f_0 + f_1)) = \frac{c_1}{1 + c_1} \frac{1}{\pi k} + \frac{k}{2\pi^3 (p_0 + p_1)^2} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{(1+c_1)^3 (-1)^{m(p_0 + p_1) + 1}}{m^2 (k(1+c_1) + m(p_1 - c_1 p_0))^2} \times \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi m(p_0 + p_1)c_1}{1 + c_1} \right). \quad (35)$$

In the case where f_0/f_1 is irrational, only the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (35) has to be taken into account, and we obtain a simple result: the k dependence is the same as it would be in GCE case for a single band with fundamental frequency $f_0 + f_1$ (with the exception of a $(-1)^k$ term that appear in the GCE case):

$$A_{CE}(k(f_0 + f_1)) = \frac{c_1}{1 + c_1} \frac{1}{\pi k}. \quad (36)$$

Other interesting cases are harmonics of f_1 and f_0 . The amplitudes for kf_1 are obtained by considering Eq. (32) with $l = k + mp_1$ and $l' = -mp_0$:

$$A_{CE}(kf_1) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(1+c_1)^3 (-1)^{k+m(p_0+p_1)+1}}{(k+m(p_0+p_1))^2 (k+m(p_1-c_1 p_0))^2} \times \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi(k+m(p_0+p_1))c_1}{1+c_1} \right) \frac{kf_1}{2\pi^3}. \quad (37)$$

In the irrational case, only the first term $m = 0$ contributes:

$$A_{CE}(kf_1) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}(1+c_1)^3 f_1}{2\pi^3 k^3} \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi k c_1}{1+c_1} \right). \quad (38)$$

For the harmonics kf_0 , when f_0/f_1 is rational, we take $l = mp_1$ and $l' = k - mp_0$, with the condition $k \neq m(p_0 + p_1)$, and we obtain

$$A_{CE}(kf_0) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(1+c_1)^3 (-1)^{k-m(p_0+p_1)+1}}{(k-m(p_0+p_1))^2 (kc_1 + m(p_1 - c_1 p_0))^2} \times \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi(k-m(p_0+p_1))c_1}{1+c_1} \right) \frac{kf_0}{2\pi^3}. \quad (39)$$

If f_0/f_1 is not a rational, only the first term $m = 0$ contributes as before:

$$A_{CE}(kf_0) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}(1+c_1)^3 f_0}{2\pi^3 k^3 c_1^2} \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi k c_1}{1+c_1} \right). \quad (40)$$

Usually the terms $m \geq 1$ are only small corrections due to the $1/m^4$ behavior. Finally, the ‘‘forbidden’’ frequency amplitude corresponding to $f_1 - f_0$ is given by taking $l = 1 + mp_1$ $l' = -1 - mp_0$ in Eq. (32)

$$A_{CE}(f_1 - f_0) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(1+c_1)^3}{(2+m(p_0+p_1))^2} \times \frac{(-1)^{m(p_0+p_1)+1}}{(1-c_1+m(p_1-c_1 p_0))^2} \times \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi(2+m(p_0+p_1))c_1}{1+c_1} \right) \frac{f_1 - f_0}{2\pi^3}. \quad (41)$$

For the irrational case, we just have

$$A_{CE}(f_1 - f_0) = \frac{(1+c_1)^3}{(1-c_1)^2} \frac{f_0 - f_1}{(2\pi)^3} \left(1 - \cos \frac{4\pi c_1}{1+c_1} \right) \quad (42)$$

These results imply that the jumps of the magnetization at zero temperature only come from the contribution of the $f_0 + f_1$ harmonics in the case when f_0/f_1 is irrational (or p_0, p_1 infinite). Indeed, a jump in magnetization means that the absolute value of the k^{th} harmonics in the free energy or grand potential Fourier spectrum decreases for large k like $1/k^2$ (respectively $1/k$ for magnetization). Only in Eq. (32) and Eq. (36) this condition is satisfied. In other cases [Eq. (33)], the decreasing is only like $1/k^4$ ($1/k^3$), as for the frequencies corresponding to kf_0 [Eq. (40)] or kf_1 [Eq. (38)].

Fig. 4 compare the Fourier analysis of the dHvA spectrum deduced from numerical computation of the chemical potential [see Eq. (3)] to the predictions of Eqs. (34), (36) and (38) to (40) (see horizontal dashes in Fig. 4). An excellent agreement between numerical computations and analytical formulae is obtained even in the case where a given frequency results from several combinations. This is e. g. the case of the Fourier peak at $F = 8/15$ in Fig. 4a which results not only from $2f_0$ but also from e. g. $13f_0 - 4f_1$ and $-9f_0 + 4f_1$. Nevertheless, these two other Fourier components have a small amplitude when compared to $A_{CE}(2f_0)$ so that formulae such as Eq. (39) which is relevant for this example, remain a good approximation in that case.

V. CONCLUSION

The Fourier components of the de Haas-van Alphen oscillation spectrum have been calculated for an idealized two-dimensional Fermi liquid with two parabolic bands in the case of canonical and grand canonical ensembles. Exact analytical calculations of the Fourier components at zero temperature for the CE [see Eqs. (34), (36) and (38) to (40)] are in excellent agreement with numerical computations of the chemical potential [Eq. (3)], as demonstrated in Fig. 4. Regarding the high t/b range, asymptotic formulae have been derived for the CE and GCE [see Eqs. (10) to (13)]. Addition rule of the effective masses associated to the combination frequencies observed in the CE has been derived (see Eq. (16)). Nevertheless, and contrary to the GCE case, the t/b dependence of the Fourier components' pre-exponential term is non-trivial. In particular, the 2^{nd} harmonics amplitude can vanishes and changes sign as t/b varies. A good agreement is obtained between analytical formulae and numerical computations for t/b higher than 0.15 in the less favorable cases (see Figs. 1 to 3). Such a value which corresponds to e. g. $T/B \gtrsim 0.06$ K/T for κ -(ET)₂Cu(SCN)₂, is suitable for the determination of the effective masses from experimental data with a good accuracy in a large temperature and magnetic field range.




FIG. 4: Fourier analysis of dHvA spectra at zero temperature computed in the canonical ensemble case. Horizontal dashes are results deduced from Eqs. 34, 36, 38, 39 and 40. The band parameters are $\Delta_0 - \Delta_1 = 1/10$, $c_0^{-1} = 1$, $c_1^{-1} = 2$ (leading to $f_0 = 4/15$ and $f_1 = 11/15$) and $\Delta_0 - \Delta_1 = 2/47$, $c_0^{-1} = 1$, $c_1^{-1} = 2670/2867$ (leading to $f_0 = 52/113$ and $f_1 = 61/113$) in Figs. 4a and 4b, respectively.

APPENDIX A

The series $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) m_0(l)$ can be evaluated by considering the following periodic function:

$$\phi(x) = \tilde{m}_0(x) - \frac{c_1}{1 + c_1} x,$$

with

$$\tilde{m}_0(x) = \left[\frac{c_1}{1 + c_1} (x + \delta) + \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

It is indeed simpler for calculations to consider \tilde{m}_0 instead of m_0 , both functions having the same values for integers $\tilde{m}_0(l) = m_0(l)$. We have $\phi(x + p + q) = \phi(x)$, and therefore the Fourier transformation is written as

$$\phi(x) = \frac{c_1 \delta}{1 + c_1} \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$+ \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^l}{\pi l} \sin \left(2\pi l \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} (x + \delta) \right).$$

From that result, we have

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) m_0(l) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) \left(\phi(l) + \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} l \right), \quad \phi(x) = \left| (1+c_1)\tilde{m}_0(x) - c_1 \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} - c_1 \delta \right|. \quad (\text{A4})$$

the second sum on the right hand side of the previous equation only contributes to derivatives of S . The sum over $\phi(l)$ can be performed if we use Eq. (A1) and commute the double sum. We obtain Eq. (30):

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) \phi(l) = \frac{c_1 \delta}{1+c_1} S(\omega) \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$+ \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^l \exp(2i\pi l c_1 \delta / (1+c_1))}{2i\pi l} S \left(\omega - \frac{2\pi l c_1}{1+c_1} \right).$$

We use the same analysis to compute the series $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) m_0(l)^2$. Here we have to consider instead the function

$$\phi(x) = \left(\tilde{m}_0(x) - \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} x \right)^2. \quad (\text{A3})$$

This is also a periodic function of x with period $p+q$, and it can be Fourier transformed. As $\phi(l) \propto m_0(l)^2$,

we obtain after further computation Eq. (31). The absolute value in Eq. (25) is periodic with period $p+q$ and therefore the function we can consider for example is

As previously it can be Fourier transformed and the series $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) \phi(l)$ is expressed as a sum of functions $S(\omega)$. We obtain

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega l) \phi(l) = \frac{1+c_1^2}{2(1+c_1)} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$+ \sum_{l \neq 0} (1-c_1) \frac{(-1)^l \exp(2i\pi l \delta c_1 / (1+c_1))}{2i\pi l}$$

$$\times S \left(\omega - 2\pi l \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^l \exp(2i\pi l \delta c_1 / (1+c_1))}{2\pi^2 l^2}$$

$$\times \left[1 - \exp \left(-2i\pi l \frac{1}{1+c_1} \right) \right] S \left(\omega - 2\pi l \frac{c_1}{1+c_1} \right).$$

-
- [1] L. M. Falicov and H. Stachowiak, Phys. Rev. **147** 505 (1966).
- [2] A. B. Pippard, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A270** 1 (1962).
- [3] N. Harrison, J. Caulfield, J. Singleton, P. H. P. Reinders, F. Herlach, W. Hayes, M. Kurmoo and P. Day, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **8** 5415 (1996).
- [4] M.V. Kartsovnik, G. Yu. Logvenov, T. Ishiguro, W. Biberacher, H. Anzai, N.D. Kushch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** 2530 (1996).
- [5] F. A. Meyer, E. Steep, W. Biberacher, P. Christ, A. Lurf, A. G. M. Jansen, W. Joss, P. Wyder and K. Andres, Europhys. Lett. **32** 681 (1995); S. Uji, M. Chaparala, S. Hill, P. S. Sandhu, J. Qualls, L. Seger and J. S. Brooks, Synth. Met. **85** 1573 (1997); E. Steep, L. H. Nguyen, W. Biberacher, H. Müller, A. G. M. Jansen and P. Wyder, Physica B **259-261** 1079 (1999).
- [6] M. Nakano, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. **66** 19 (1997), M. A. Itkovsky, T. Maniv and I. D. Vagner, Phys. Rev. B **61** 14616 (2000), K. Kishigi and Y. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. B **65** 205405 (2002).
- [7] J. Y. Fortin and T. Ziman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** 3117 (1998).
- [8] V. M. Gvozdkov, Yu V. Pershin, E. Steep, A. G. M. Jansen and P. Wyder, Phys. Rev. B **65** 165102 (2002).
- [9] R.B. Lyubovskii, S.I. Pesotskii, M. Gener, R. Rousseau, E. Canadell, J.A.A.J. Perenboom, V.I. Nizhankovskii, E.I. Zhilyaeva, O.A. Bogdanova, and R.N. Lyubovskaya, J. Mater. Chem. **12** 483 (2002).
- [10] R. A. Deutschmann, W. Wegscheider, M. Rother, B. Bichler, G. Abstreier, C. Albrecht and J. H. Smet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** 14453 (2001).
- [11] C. Proust, A. Audouard, L. Brossard, S. I. Pesotskii, R. B. Lyubovskii and R. N. Lyubovskaia, Phys. Rev. B **65** 155106 (2002); D. Vignolles, A. Audouard, L. Brossard, S. I. Pesotskii, R. B. Lyubovskii, M. Nardone, E. Haanappel and R. N. Lyubovskaya, Eur. Phys. J. B **31** 53 (2003); A. Audouard, V. N. Laukhin, L. Brossard, T. G. Prokhorova, E. B. Yagubskii and E. Canadell, Phys. Rev. B **69** 144523 (2004).
- [12] I.M. Lifshitz and A.M. Kosevich, Sov. Phys. JETP **2** 636 (1956).
- [13] M. A. Itkovsky, Phys. Rev. B **68** 54423 (2003).
- [14] A. S. Alexandrov and A. M. Bratkovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** 1308 (1996), Phys. Lett. A **234** 53 (1997) and Phys. Rev. B **63** 033105 (2001); T. Champel, Phys. Rev. B **65** 153403 (2002); K. Kishigi and Y. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. B **65** 205405 (2002).