

Emptiness formation probability at finite temperature for the isotropic Heisenberg chain

Frank Goehmann, Andreas Klümper and Alexander Seel

Bergische Universität Wuppertal, 42097 Wuppertal, Germany

Abstract

We present an integral formula for a special correlation function of the isotropic spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain. The correlation function describes the probability for the occurrence of a string of consecutive up-spins as a function of temperature, magnetic field and length of the string.

Key words:

quantum spin chain, correlation function, finite temperature

In a recent work [3] we derived (multiple) integral representations for a generating function of the z - z correlation functions of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ chain,

$$H = J \sum_{j=1}^L \left(x_{j-1} x_j + \frac{y}{x_{j-1} x_j} + \left(\frac{z}{x_{j-1} x_j} - 1 \right) \right); \quad (1)$$

at finite temperatures T and finite values of an external, longitudinal magnetic field h . Our formulae generalize the zero-temperature results of [7].

In order to relate our work to experiments the integrals should be calculated. Although this task may appear rather challenging at first sight, the recent progress made in the calculation of related integrals (see e.g. [1,2,13]) and their asymptotic behaviour [6,12] at zero temperature lets us hope that we eventually will be able to extract numbers from our formulae.

The integrals [4,5,11] calculated in [1,2,13] do not describe local correlation functions but density matrix elements (termed 'elementary blocks' in the mathematical literature). One of these non-local correlation functions, called the emptiness formation probability [11], is contained as a special case in our formula obtained in [3]. In [3] (see eqs. (125), (126)) we represented it as a multiple integral with symmetric integrand of

similar form as in [7]. Below we obtain another integral representation with asymmetric integrand which, when further specialized to the isotropic antiferromagnet ($J > 0$ and $\Delta = 1$ in (1)), is the finite temperature generalization of the formula obtained in [11] that served as a starting point for the explicit calculation of the emptiness formation probability in [1]. We therefore believe that it may also be the appropriate starting point for proceeding further to finite temperatures.

1. Thermodynamics

There are several alternative possibilities of representing the free energy of the Heisenberg chain (1) as an integral over auxiliary functions. The representation based on the quantum transfer matrix [14,15] and derived in [9,10] turns out to be most appropriate in the present context, since the auxiliary functions involved also appear quite naturally in the derivation of multiple integral representations of correlation functions [3]. Using the formulation of [3] and specializing to $J > 0$ and $\Delta = 1$ we can write the free energy per lattice site in the thermodynamic limit as

$$f(h; T) = \frac{h}{2} - T \sum_c^Z \frac{d!}{2} \frac{\ln(1 + a(!))}{!(! + i)}; \quad (2)$$

Phone: ++49-202-439-2862, Fax: ++49-202-439-3860,
E-Mail: goehmann@physik.uni-wuppertal.de




Fig. 1. The canonical contour C.

The contour C encloses the real axis at a distance $j < \frac{1}{2}$. The auxiliary function $a(\cdot)$ is the solution of the non-linear integral equation

$$\ln a(\cdot) = \frac{h}{T} + \frac{2J}{(\cdot + i)T} \sum_c \frac{d!}{1 + (\cdot!)^2} \ln(1 + a(\cdot!)) : (3)$$

2. Emptiness formation probability

The emptiness formation probability [11] is defined as the thermal average

$$P(m) = \frac{Q_{j=1}^m}{Q_{j=1}^0} : (4)$$

Within the formalism developed in [3] it is obtained as the homogeneous limit

$$P(m) = \lim_{j_1, \dots, j_m \rightarrow 0} P(m | j_1 g_{j_1=1}^m) : (5)$$

of a more abstract correlation function $P(m | j_1 g_{j_1=1}^m)$. Here we present a new integral representation for this function,

$$P(m | j_1 g_{j_1=1}^m) = \frac{h^m}{2^m} \sum_c \frac{d!_j}{2(1 + a(\cdot!))} \frac{i}{Q} \frac{\det G(\cdot!_j; k)}{1_{j < k} m (k - j)} \frac{Q_{j=1}^m Q_{k=1}^{j-1} (k - j + i)}{Q_{j=1}^m Q_{k=j+1}^m (k - j)} : (6)$$

As in our general formula in [3] the function $G(\cdot; \cdot)$ appearing in the determinant on the right hand side has to be calculated from a linear integral equation which in the isotropic case ($\gamma = 1$) reads

$$G(\cdot; \cdot) = \frac{1}{(\cdot!)^2 (\cdot!)} + \sum_c \frac{d!}{1 + (\cdot!)^2} \frac{1}{1 + a(\cdot!)} G(\cdot!_j; \cdot) : (7)$$

The formula (6) follows from equation (111) of [3] by (i) taking the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 1$, (ii) using appendix C of [7], and (iii) taking the isotropic limit.

Taking the homogeneous limit of (6) is not difficult [8]. We obtain the expression

$$P(m) = \frac{h^m}{2^m} \sum_c \frac{d!_j}{2(1 + a(\cdot!))} \frac{i}{Q} \frac{\det G(\cdot!_j; k)}{(k-1)!} \frac{Q_{j=1}^m G(\cdot!_j; i)}{Q_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (\cdot!_j - k + i)} : (8)$$

This is the direct generalization to finite temperatures of the formula obtained in [4, 8, 11].

3. Reduction of the integrals

We believe that either (6) or (8) or the symmetrized form of (6) is a good starting point for studying the possibility to reduce the multiple integrals to simpler ones. That this may be possible in principle can be inferred from the example $m = 2$, where, for $h = 0$ and in the isotropic limit, the emptiness formation probability $P(2)$ can be expressed in terms of the internal energy ϵ ,

$$P(2) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{12J} : (9)$$

Inserting (2) into the thermodynamic expression for the internal energy $\epsilon = \partial_{\ln T} (f = T)$ we obtain

$$P(2) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_c \frac{d!}{(\cdot!)^2} \frac{1}{1 + a(\cdot!)} \frac{G(\cdot!_2; 0)}{1 + a(\cdot!)} : (10)$$

Note that there is only a single integral on the right hand side. Specializing (8) for $m = 2$, on the other hand, yields

$$P(2) = \sum_c \frac{1}{4^2} \sum_c \frac{d!_{12}}{1 + a(\cdot!_1)} \sum_c \frac{d!_{21}}{1 + a(\cdot!_2)} \frac{1!_1 (\cdot!_2 - i)}{1!_1 - 2 + i} \frac{G(\cdot!_1; 0) G(\cdot!_2; \cdot)}{G(\cdot!_2; 0) G(\cdot!_1; \cdot)} = 0 : (11)$$

which involves two integrations.

4. Discussion

The integral representations (6), (8) are likely candidates for extracting for the first time explicit numbers for finite temperature next-to-nearest neighbour correlation functions of the isotropic Heisenberg chain and may serve as a model case to initiate a systematic study of the more general integrals derived in [3].

Acknowledgement. The authors are indebted to H.E. Boos and I. Peschel for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] H. E. Boos and V. E. Korepin, Evaluation of integrals representing correlations in the XXX Heisenberg spin chain, in M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, eds., *MathPhys Odyssey 2001 { Integrable Models and Beyond, In Honor of Barry M. McCoy*, 65{108 (Birkhauser, Boston, 2002). *Progress in Mathematical Physics*, Volume 23.
- [2] H. E. Boos, V. E. Korepin, Y. Nishiyama and M. Shiroishi, *J. Phys. A* 35 (2002) 4443.
- [3] F. Gohmann, A. Klumper and A. Seel, Integral representations for correlation functions of the XXZ chain at finite temperature, preprint (2004), hep-th/0405089.
- [4] M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa and A. Nakayashiki, *Phys. Lett. A* 168 (1992) 256.
- [5] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *J. Phys. A* 29 (1996) 2923.
- [6] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov and V. Terras, *J. Phys. A* 35 (2002) L753.
- [7] |, *Nucl. Phys. B* 641 (2002) 487.
- [8] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet and V. Terras, *Nucl. Phys. B* 567 (2000) 554.
- [9] A. Klumper, *Ann. Physik* 1 (1992) 540.
- [10] |, *Z. Phys. B* 91 (1993) 507.
- [11] V. E. Korepin, A. G. Izergin, F. H. L. Essler and D. B. Uglov, *Phys. Lett. A* 190 (1994) 182.
- [12] V. E. Korepin, S. Lukyanov, Y. Nishiyama and M. Shiroishi, *Phys. Lett. A* 312 (2003) 21.
- [13] K. Sakai, M. Shiroishi, Y. Nishiyama and M. Takahashi, *Phys. Rev. E* 67 (2003) 065101.
- [14] M. Suzuki, *Phys. Rev. B* 31 (1985) 2957.
- [15] M. Suzuki and M. Inoue, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 78 (1987) 787.