Liquid crystals in random porous media: Disorder is stronger in low (density aerosils

D.E.Feldman and Robert A.Pelcovits
Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912
(Dated: April 14, 2024)

The nature of glass phases of liquid crystals in random porous media depends on the e ective disorder strength. We study how the disorder strength depends on the density of the porous media and demonstrate that it can increase as the density decreases. We also show that the interaction of the liquid crystal with random porous media can destroy long (range order inside the pores.

PACS num bers: 61.30 Pq, 61.30 G d

In many natural and technological systems liquids are conned in random porous media. Two examples are water in soil and oil in porous mecks. Liquid ow in porous media involves complicated dynamical phenomena and this motivates signicant researche orts. The physics becomes even richer when a porous matrix connes a liquid crystal. Interaction with random media a ects not only dynamic but also static properties of liquid crystals. Long range order in liquid crystalline states is unstable in the presence of even arbitrarily weak quenched disorder [1, 2] and novel glass phases emerge instead [3]. In particular, slow glassy dynamics was reported in [4]. A nother disorder elect is the suppression of the isotropic-nematic [5] and nematic-smectic [6] phase transitions. Properties of the glass states depend on the relative strength of disorder and elastic forces. When disorder is strong, liquid crystals are expected to have a nite correlation length [3], while in the weakly disordered case, quasi-long-range ordered glass phases can emerge [3, 7, 8]. One would naively expect that the elective disorder is weaker in low density random porous media such as aerosil gels [9], i.e., when the pore size is large. However, recent experiments found no signs of ordering in a low density aerosil system [11] while liquid crystalline order was detected in a high density bulk fractal silica gels [12]. The results of light scattering experiments with nematics in dilute aerosils [10] are consistent with a faster decay of correlation functions at large distances in lower-density aerosil gels.

In this paper we exam ine how the elective disorder strength at scales greater than the pore size depends on the pore size and show that this dependence has nontrivial character. In particular, the disorder strength can grow as the pore size increases. There are two reasons for this. First, the elective disorder strength is the ratio of the typical random and elastic energies. Since the latter grows slow by as a function of the pore size, the former can dominate for low aerogel densities. Second, there are long range correlations in the structure of random porous media and these correlations can enhance the elective disorder. We also consider the physics at scales smaller than the pore size. At such scales, random media cannot be viewed as uniform and the fractal structure alects the connection of the liquid crystal. We show that the interaction of the liquid crystal with the random pore surface can destroy long-range order in the bulk of the pore and calculate the order parameter correlation function for a simple model of random fractal porous media.

The organization of this article is as follows. First, we address the elective disorder strength in systems of continuous symmetry in porous media in the presence of randomeld and random anisotropy disorder, the latter being relevant for nematic liquid crystals. We also consider the \directed randomeld" universality class which can be realized in random gels based on am ino-acids [13]. Second, we investigate the problem of a liquid crystal interacting with a random Sierpinsky gasket surface. This is the simplest model of what might occur inside a pore. We not scaling behavior for the correlation functions in the pore.

M ost of our results are valid for a general system with a continuous symmetry order parameter. We focus on disordered nem atics and the random eld XY model [14, 15], the latter being the simplest model with a continuous symmetry group. These models represent two dierent types of quenched disorder: random anisotropy and random

eld. In nem atics antiparallel orientations of the director correspond to the sam e physical state and hence to the sam e disorder energy, i.e. the disorder is random an isotropy. R andom eld disorder is possible in ferroelectric liquid crystals where the energy is dierent for antiparallel dipole moments. We will show that the role of geometric correlations is stronger in the random an isotropy case.

Nem atics can be described by the Hamiltonian [3]:

where K_i are the Frank moduli, the unit vector n is the director, and the random tensor h (r) describes the interaction with the porous medium. We assume that the preferred orientation of the nematic molecules near the surface of the pores is parallel to the surface. We also assume that the nematic order parameter is relatively large in

the pores, so that it is appropriate to use the director description, rather than the more general tensor nem atic order param eter.

The random eld XY model has the following Hamiltonian

$$H_{xy} = \begin{pmatrix} Z & n & X & 0 \\ d^3 r & \frac{J}{2} & (0 & (r))^2 & h_1(r) \cos(r) & h_2(r) \sin(r) ; \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

where (r) is the polar angle of the spin, and h_1 (r) and h_2 (r) are the x and y components of the random eld respectively, each with zero average. R andom eld disorder is possible in ferroelectric liquid crystals. Since ferroelectric liquid crystals have other degrees of freedom in addition to their dipole moment, the random eld XY model does not provide a full realistic description of ferroelectric liquid crystals. However, this simple random eld model does provide useful insight. The model (2) is also relevant to discotics and smectics conned in stretched aerogels [8] but only at length scales greater than the pore size.

The models Eqs. (1) and (2) can be used for length scales both greater and smaller than the pore size. However, the probability distribution of the random eld is independent of the coordinates only in the former case. For short length scales the structure of the porous matrix must be taken into account. The elective model at length scales greater than the pore size must be derived from the short length scale model. Such a derivation is dicult and only order of magnitude estimates for the model parameters have been obtained by thing experimental data [16]. We focus on the disorder strength dependence on the aerogeldensity. The disorder strength in the long length scale model determines the type of ordering at long length scales. For weak quenched disorder, quasi-long-range order is expected for nematics and the random eld XY model. The ordering disappears for strong quenched disorder.

Let a be the microscopic cut-o scale for the short length scale model, the pore size, and of the fractal dimension of the aerogel. An Im ry{M a type estimate [1] shows that the typical elastic energy at the scale is $J() J(a) (=a)^d = (=a)^2 = J(a) (=a)^{d-2}$, for a d{dimensional system and the disorder energy h() h(a) $(=a)^{d-2}$. The excitive disorder strength at the scale is the ratio of the typical disorder and elastic energies:

$$h_r() = h()J(a)=J()$$
 $d_f=2 d+2$: (3)

This elective disorder strength h_f enters the random contribution to the H am iltonian of the long length scale model, if one keeps the same elastic constant as in the short length scale model. We see that the disorder strength in the long length scale model grows as a function of the pore size for $d_f > 2d - 4$ (destroying long range order in this case) while the aerogel density $\frac{d_f}{d} = \frac{d_f}{d} = \frac$

The above estimate neglects correlations of the random eld hat dierent points. This approximation is valid for the random eld disorder. For the nematic problem Eq. (1), geometric correlations in random media result in correlations of the random anisotropy since nematic molecules tend to be parallel to the pore surface. This a ects the elective disorder at the scale of this elective decomes obvious when the porous matrix is not random at length scales shorter than of the random anisotropy is the same at all points of the random medium inside the pore. Hence, h() d_f and d_f 1, where d_f 1, where d_f 1 is the fractal dimension of the porous medium. The elective disorder strength then grows as a function of for all d_f > 1. A similar election is possible for a random fractal. Indeed, as d_f ! 1 we expect that random and non {random fractals should exhibit similar properties for the case of random anisotropy disorder. For example, consider a random fractal whose construction is based on fractional Brownian motion [18]. The coordinates of the N th segment of the random fractal are given by the equation [18]

$$B^{H} (N) = X^{N} B (n) (N n + 1)^{H} 1=2;$$
(4)

where the Hurst exponent H is related to the fractal dimension by $d_f = 1 = H$ [18], and B (n) is a random Gaussian vector with n an integer parameter. A Hurst exponent of 1 = 2 corresponds to ordinary Brownian motion. We imagine that this fractional Brownian walk forms the backbone of a tube (like fractal object, and the nematic molecules are anchored along the surface of the tube. To obtain a tractable model, we assume that the surface area of the nth

segment of the tube is proportional to $(B^H(n))^2$, where $B^H(n) = B^H(n)$ $B^H(n)$ $B^H(n)$. The average surface area of a segment is nite and hence the structure is well-de ned for H < 1. The total strength of the random anisotropy associated with each segment h(n) $B^H(n)$ is proportional to its area. An estimate of the total disorder strength in a pore of linear dimension , enclosing a fractal consisting of h_{max} segments with the z axis chosen to lie along the average director is then given by:

$$h() = \frac{X}{(h_{xy}(n))^{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ B_{x}^{H}(n) & B_{y}^{H}(n) & B_{x}^{H}(m) & B_{y}^{H}(m) \end{bmatrix}^{1=2}$$

$$= hX = \frac{hX}{(h_{xy}(n))^{2}} = \frac{h}{(h_{xy}(n))^{2}} = \frac{h}{(h_{xy}$$

where,

and the bar denotes an average over all realizations of the random fractal. Thus, as d_f ! 1 (i.e., H < 3=4), the renorm alized disorder strength scales with in a manner di erent than Eq. (3):

$$h_{r}()$$
 1 d_f: (7)

One can see that the elective disorder decreases most rapidly as the function of the pore size for $d_f=4=3$. Even in this case h_r and hence to decrease the disorder strength by one order of magnitude one needs to increase the pore size by several orders of magnitude. At $d_f=1$, Eq. (7) predicts no dependence of the renormalized disorder strength on the pore size. In the presence of any preferred macroscopic orientation for the segments of the random surface of the pore, the elective random anisotropy enhancement is stronger than Eq. (7). We do not expect the geometric correlations to play an important role in systems with random elds which can be randomly oriented along one of the two directions parallel to the segment axis.

Geometric correlations are, however, in portant for the \directed random eld" universality class. Let a random matrix consist of random polymer chains made of identical monomers. We assume that the monomers are asymmetric with non {equivalent ends A and B. The A {end always links the B {end of the next monomer in the chain. The pore diameter equals the chain size. Consider a ferroelectric smectic C con ned in such a random medium. Its dipole moment couples linearly to the local random eld which is parallel to the network monomers [19] and is directed from their A {ends to their B {ends. Smectics C are chiral and form helical structures [20]. However, the typical period of the helical structure is large and at smaller scales the liquid crystal can be considered as a uniform ferroelectric. Then the standard Im ry {M a argument can be used.

For any fractal dimension d_f , the vector sum of all random elds associated with the polymer of length $^{1=d_f}$ is h () , where is the typical distance between branching points of the network. Hence, the disorder strength in the long length scale model with ultraviolet cut{o is scale independent: h_f () h ()= = const.

W hat happens inside a pore? We need to study the limit! 1. Let us rst consider the exactly solvable Larkin model [2] of a system with continuous symmetry:

$$H_{L} = \frac{Z}{d^{3}r} \frac{1}{2} (r)^{2} h(r) (r);$$
 (8)

where h (r) is a random eld which is nonzero on the random fractal only, and its probability distribution is characterized by $h(r_1)h(r_2) = (r_1 \quad r_2)$. We want to calculate the correlation function $G(r_1;r_2) = ((r_1) \quad (r_2))^2$. Let s_1 and s_2 denote the distances from the points r_1 and r_2 to the random fractal. We assume that $R = r_1 \quad r_2 j > m$ ax $(s_1;s_2)$. At low temperatures, the equilibrium con guration of the eld can be found by minimizing the H am iltonian (8): $(r) = d^3 r^0 h(r^0) = 4 \quad r \quad r^0 j$. Hence,

G
$$d^{d_f} r \frac{1}{\dot{y}_1 r j} \frac{1}{\dot{y}_2 r j}^2;$$
 (9)

where the integration extends over the random fractal. The result depends on the fractal dimension: for $d_f < 2$, $G = s_1^{d_f-2} + s_2^{d_f-2}$; for $d_f = 2$, $G = log R = s_1 + log R = s_2$; for $d_f > 2$, $G = R^{d_f-2}$. As R + 1 = 1, the correlation

function diverges for d_f 2. In term s of the \physical" order parameter $n = (\cos ; \sin)$, the correlation function $\lim_{R \to 1} \ln (r_1) n (r_2) i = \lim_{R \to 1$

We now consider the more realistic and complicated nonlinear model (2). The random eld XY model cannot be solved exactly and we will use a renormalization group (RG) procedure. We will develop a double -expansion in = 4 d and $_{\rm f}$ = 4 2d + $_{\rm f}$, where d is the dimensions of space and $_{\rm f}$ the random fractal dimensions. This choice of small parameters is motivated by the fact that d = 4 is the critical dimensions for the bulk random XY model [14, 15], and the Im $ry\{M \text{ a argum ent of Eq. (3) shows that the random fractal destroys long {range order}}$ 2d 4 (cf. the Larkin model, where $d_f = 2$ is the critical fractal dimensions for d = 3). In contrast to the Larkin model, the results depend on the structure of the random fractal. We will limit our discussion to a simple m odel based on the Sierpinsky gasket [21]. We divide the system of linear size L_0 into blocks of size $L_1 = bL_0$, with b < 1. We assume that disorder is present only in a fraction $p = (L_0 = L_1)^{d_f d}$ of the blocks. Then we divide each block of size L_1 into blocks of size $L_2 = bL_1$. For each block of size L_1 that contains segments of the random fractal we random by choose a fraction p of subblocks of size L2, such that only these subblocks contain segments of the random fractal. We iterate this procedure and generate a random fractal of dimension d_f . We implement the W ilson shell renorm alization group (RG) by changing the cut-o scale from I_n to L_{n-1} at each step, to generate the disorder is present on a two (dim ensional surface only, the problem was studied in Ref. [23]. We will thus only brie y discuss the RG procedure which is similar to Refs. [3, 15, 22, 23].

Due to the periodicity of the H am iltonian there is no renormalization of the order parameter. There also is no renormalization [22] of the elastic term in (2). Hence, the scaling dimension of the temperature [22] is 2 . As for disorder, we know that all random contributions of the form $h_1^{(k)} \cos k + h_2^{(k)} \sin k$ are relevant operators in the vicinity of the zero-temperature end point [14,15]. A fler replica averaging this corresponds to the following structure of the relevant terms in the H am iltonian:

$$H_{R} = {^{Z}} d^{d}r {_{a}} \frac{(r_{a}(r))^{2}}{2T} {^{X}} P(r) \frac{F(_{a}(r)_{b}(r))}{2T^{2}};$$
(10)

$$dF () = d \ln L = {}_{f}F () + F^{(0)}()^{2} = 2 \quad F^{(0)}()F^{(0)};$$
(11)

where the primes refer to derivatives of F with respect to its argument and the factor $1=8^{-2}$ has been absorbed by F. The xed point solution of the above equation is known [3,22]. Due to the symmetry of the problem we need a periodic solution with period 2. At the interval 0<<2 it is given by the equation F() = 2^{-4} f[1=36 (=2)² (1=2)² = 9. This solution corresponds to $_{\rm f}>0$. Otherwise F! 0. The temperature goes to zero at the xed point. We can now calculate the correlation function ${\rm G}=((r_1)-(r_2))^2=2$ d^dqj²(q)j(1 cosq (r r₂))=(2)^d. At each step of the RG procedure it is su-cient to use the quadratic in part of the renormalized replica Hamiltonian to calculate the contribution corresponding to $1={\rm L_n}>q>1={\rm L_{n-1}}$. If both points r_1 and r_2 are at a distance $s<jr_1-r_2j$ from the random fractal, we obtain ${\rm G}=(2_{\rm f})^2=9$ in (jr_1-r_2j-s) . The correlation function diverges for large jr_1-r_2j if f>0. Hence, the random fractal destroys long range order in the pore for $d_f>2d$ 4, in agreement with our Im ry {Maestimate above. The above result for the correlation function is valid only if the bare disorder at the microscopic cut-o-scale a is weak since we did a perturbative calculation.

We have calculated how the elective disorder in models, Eqs. (1) and (2) depends on the pore size. If the disorder is weak in the long length scale model with cuto length then we expect quasi{long range order β , 14, 15] in both models. If the disorder is strong then only short range order is possible β , 14, 15]. At strong disorder the perturbative RG analysis is no longer valid and quasi{long{range order is in possible. The condition of weak disorder means two things: (1) the elective disorder at the pore size scale is weak; (2) the renormalized disorder is weak at long length scales, i.e. = 4 d is small. The critical value of the disorder strength is non {universal and depends on microscopic details.

In conclusion, we have found the dependence of the e ective disorder in random fractal media on the pore size and the fractal dimensions. The dependence is non {trivial and the e ective disorder can grow as the density of the porous media decreases. This suggests that instead of changing the pore size a more e ective way to control the disorder strength is to use mixtures of nematics with other substances whose molecules could \shield" the nematic from the random surface. This would decrease the liquid crystal interaction with the porous media. We also showed that at certain conditions the porous media can destroy long range order inside a pore.

We thank Richard Stratt for a useful discussion. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMR $\{0131573.$

- [1] Y. Im ry and S.K. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1399 (1975).
- [2] A.I.Larkin, Sov. Phys. JETP 31, 784 (1970).
- [3] D.E.Feldman, Int.J.Mod.Phys.B 15, 2945 (2001).
- [4] X.-L.Wu, W. I. Goldburg, M. X. Liu, and J. Z. Xue, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 470 (1992); T. Bellini, N. A. Clark, and D. W. Schaefer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2740 (1995); I. D. Olenik, A. Mertelj, and M. Copic, Phys. Rev. E 57, 6732 (1998); T. Bellini, M. Buscaglia, C. Chiccoli, F. Mantegazza, P. Pasini, and C. Zannoni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 245506 (2002).
- [5] T. Bellini, N. A. Clark, C. D. Muzny, L. Wu, C. W. Garland, D. W. Schaefer, and B. J. Olivier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 788 (1992); G. S. Iannacchione, G. P. Craw ford, S. Zumer, J. W. Doane, and D. Finotello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2595 (1993); S. Kralj, A. Zidansek, G. Lahajnar, I. Musevic, S. Zumer, R. Blinc, and M. M. Pintar, Phys. Rev. E 53, 3629 (1996).
- [6] N.A. Clark, T. Bellini, R.M. Malzbender, B.N. Thomas, A.G. Rappaport, C.D. Muzny, D.W. Schaefer, and L. Hrubesh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3505 (1993); G.S. Iannacchione, S.Qian, D. Finotello, and F.M. Aliev, Phys. Rev. E 56, 554 (1997); S.H. Qian, G.S. Iannacchione, and D. Finotello, Phys. Rev. E 57, 4305 (1998).
- [7] D.E.Feldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4886 (2000).
- [8] K. Saunders, L. Radzihovsky, and J. Toner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4309-4312 (2000); B. Jacobsen, K. Saunders, L. Radzihovsky, and J. Toner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1363 (1999).
- [9] A. Hourri, T. K. Bose, and J. Thoen, Phys. Rev. E 63, 051702 (2001); T. Jin and D. Finotello Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 818 (2001); S. Park, R. L. Leheny, R. J. Birgeneau, J. L. Gallani, C. W. Garland, and G. S. Iannacchione, Phys. Rev. E 65, 050703 (2002).
- [10] T.Bellini, M.Buscaglia, C.Chiccoli, F.M antegazza, P.Pasini, and C.Zannoni, Phys.Rev.Lett.85, 1008 (2000)
- [11] A.Roshi, G.S. Iannacchione, P.S. Clegg, and R.J. Birgeneau, Phys. Rev. E 69, 031703 (2004).
- [12] N. Leon, J.P. Korb, I. Bonalde, and P. Levitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 195504 (2004); I. Bonalde, private com munication.
- [13] R.M. Stratt, private com munication.
- [14] T.G iam arch and P.Le Doussal, in Spin Glasses and Random Fields, edited by A.P.Young (World Scientic, Singapore, 1998); e-print cond-mat/9705096.
- [15] T.Natterm ann and S.Sheidl, Adv. Phys. 49, 697 (2000).
- [16] S.K raljand V. Popa-Nita, Eur. Phys. J. E 14, 115 (2004).
- [17] G.S. Jannacchione, C.W. Garland, J.T.M ang, and T.P.Rieker, Phys. Rev. E 58, 5966 (1998).
- [18] B.B.M and elbrot and J.Van Ness, STAM Rev. 10, 422 (1968).
- [19] Higher-order couplings can also be present.
- [20] P.G. de Gennes and J. Prost, The Physics of Liquid Crystals (Claredon Press, Oxford, 2003).
- [21] J. Feder, Fractals (Plenum Press, New York, 1988).
- [22] T.G iam archi and P.Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B 52, 1242 (1995).
- [23] D.E.Feldm an and V.M.Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 227204 (2002).