

Correlation Effects in Band Ferromagnetism

G. Górski and J. Mizia

*Institute of Physics, University of Rzeszów, ulica Rejtana 16A,
35-958 Rzeszów, Poland*

Abstract

We analyze the influence of different on-site and inter-site interactions on the Curie temperature of transition metal magnetic elements. The numerical calculations show the well known result that the on-site Coulomb repulsion helps ferromagnetism mainly at half-filling of the band, where the 3d elements are antiferromagnetic (Cr, Mn). The inter-site interactions, which in the new approximation decrease the width of the band, favor ferromagnetism at both ends of the band. At the same time they lower the ferromagnetic Curie temperature towards experimental values, removing the paradox which persisted for a long time.

PACS codes: 75.10.Lp; 75.50.Bb; 75.50.Cc

Keywords: ferromagnetism; inter-site interactions; Coulomb correlation; Curie temperature

1. Introduction

Recently there has been a resurgence of research [1–8] into the influence of on-site and inter-site interactions on band magnetism. The authors of this paper have shown how different inter-site interactions would affect the ferromagnetism in the presence of the on-site Coulomb repulsion. It has been shown [1,7] that the average of two electron operators on neighboring lattice site is proportional to the band's kinetic energy, it affects the kinetic energy, and it can be calculated in the simple way. The results have decreased the magnitude of interaction constants necessary to create the ferromagnetism.

We have also shown [9] that the simple rectangular and parabolic density of state (DOS) cannot represent the itinerant magnetic elements. The rectangular DOS does not have a unique relationship between the Stoner field and magnetization, and the parabolic DOS does not allow for taking into account the inter-site interactions. The simplest possible DOS, which can be used, is the semi-elliptic DOS.

In this paper we will use this new many body technique (mentioned above) in combination with the simple semi-elliptic DOS, for calculation of the Curie temperature of basic ferromagnetic elements.

2. The Model

We follow closely our previous paper [7] and we employ the following model Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} t_{ij}^\sigma \left(c_{i\sigma}^+ c_{j\sigma} + h.c. \right) - \mu_0 \sum_i \hat{n}_i - F \sum_{i\sigma} n_\sigma \hat{n}_{i\sigma} + U \sum_{i\sigma} \hat{n}_{i\sigma} \hat{n}_{i-\sigma} \\
 & + V \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma, \sigma'} c_{i\sigma}^+ c_{j\sigma'}^+ c_{i\sigma'} c_{j\sigma} + J' \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(c_{i\uparrow}^+ c_{i\downarrow}^+ c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} + h.c. \right), \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where μ_0 is the chemical potential, $c_{i\sigma}^+$ ($c_{i\sigma}$) creates (annihilates) the electron with spin σ on the i th lattice site, $\hat{n}_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^+ c_{i\sigma}$ is the particle number operator for electrons with spin σ on the i th lattice site, $\hat{n}_i = \hat{n}_{i\sigma} + \hat{n}_{i-\sigma}$ is the charge operator, U is the on-site Coulomb repulsion and F is the intra-atomic Hund's interaction. In the Hamiltonian (1) we have three explicit inter-site interactions; J -exchange interaction, J' -pair hopping interaction, V -density-density interaction. The spin dependent correlation hopping t_{ij}^σ depends on the occupation of sites i and j , and in the operator form it can be expressed as

$$t_{ij}^\sigma = t(1 - \hat{n}_{i-\sigma})(1 - \hat{n}_{j-\sigma}) + t_1 [\hat{n}_{i-\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{j-\sigma}) + \hat{n}_{j-\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{i-\sigma})] + t_2 \hat{n}_{i-\sigma} \hat{n}_{j-\sigma}, \quad (2)$$

where t is the hopping amplitude for an electron of spin σ when both sites i and j are empty.

Parameters t_1, t_2 are the hopping amplitudes for an electron of spin σ when one or both of the sites i or j are occupied by an electron with opposite spin, respectively. Including the occupationally dependent hopping given by Eq. (2) into the Hamiltonian (1) we obtain the following result

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} [t_0 - \Delta t (\hat{n}_{i-\sigma} + \hat{n}_{j-\sigma}) + 2t_{ex} \hat{n}_{i-\sigma} \hat{n}_{j-\sigma}] \left(c_{i\sigma}^+ c_{j\sigma} + h.c. \right) - \mu_0 \sum_i \hat{n}_i + \\
 & \text{+potential energy} \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta t = t - t_1, \quad t_{ex} = \frac{t + t_2}{2} - t_1. \quad (4)$$

In this form it is quite visible that the kinetic interactions: Δt and t_{ex} are also the inter-site interactions.

We assume that $t_1/t = S$ and $t_2/t_1 = S_1$. In general these parameters are different and they both fulfill the condition $S < 1$ and $S_1 < 1$ which is equivalent to $t > t_1 > t_2$ (see Ref. [10]). For simplicity we will assume now that $S \equiv S_1$. With this assumption we have the relationship

$$\Delta t = t - t_1 = t(1 - S), \quad t_{ex} = \frac{t + t_2}{2} - t_1 = \frac{t}{2}. \quad (5)$$

In the Hamiltonian (3) there are many inter-site interactions: Δt , t_{ex} , J , J' , V , for which we will use the modified Hartree-Fock (H-F) approximation. The main point of this approximation is to retain the following inter-site averages: $I_\sigma = \langle c_{i\sigma}^+ c_{j\sigma} \rangle$, in addition to the usual on site averages: $n_\sigma = \langle c_{i\sigma}^+ c_{i\sigma} \rangle$, which contribute to the Stoner field.

For the average kinetic energy of $+\sigma$ electrons (K^σ) one can write

$$\langle K^\sigma \rangle = -t_{eff}^\sigma \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \langle c_{i\sigma}^+ c_{j\sigma} \rangle = -zt_{eff}^\sigma I_\sigma = -D_\sigma I_\sigma, \quad (6)$$

where z is the number of the nearest neighbors, $D_\sigma = zt_{eff}^\sigma$ is the half band-width of the $+\sigma$ electrons, and effective hopping integral $t_{eff}^\sigma = t \cdot b^\sigma$, with b^σ defined by eq. (10) below.

The kinetic energy can be calculated straightforward as

$$\langle K^\sigma \rangle = \int_{-D}^D f(E^\sigma(\varepsilon)) E^\sigma(\varepsilon) \rho_\sigma(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon = -D_\sigma I_\sigma, \quad (7)$$

with $E^\sigma(\varepsilon)$ given by eq. (9) with omitted index k . Eq. (7) means that we can calculate our average product of two nearest neighbor operators: $I_\sigma \sim \langle K^\sigma \rangle$. In the case of zero temperature, $T = 0$ K, and constant DOS: $\rho_\sigma(\varepsilon) = const = \frac{1}{D}$ for $-D \leq \varepsilon \leq D$, we obtain from eq. (7) that

$$I_\sigma = n_\sigma (1 - n_\sigma) \quad (8)$$

This approximation will be used later on throughout this paper.

The modified H-F approximation will lead to the modified dispersion relationship (see [1,7])

$$E_k^\sigma = \varepsilon_k b^\sigma - \sigma \Delta E_\sigma, \quad (9)$$

with the parameter b^σ describing the spin dependent change of the bandwidth given by

$$b_\sigma = 1 - 2 \frac{\Delta t}{t} n_{-\sigma} + 2 \frac{t_{ex}}{t} (n_{-\sigma}^2 - I_{-\sigma}^2 - I_\sigma I_{-\sigma}) - \frac{J - V}{t} I_\sigma - \frac{J + J'}{t} I_{-\sigma}. \quad (10)$$

and the effective Stoner shift (coming from all interaction constants standing in front of the single site operator $\hat{n}_{i\sigma}$) equal to

$$\Delta E_\sigma = (F - zJ)\frac{m}{2} + 2zI_{-\sigma}(t_{ex}n_\sigma - \Delta t). \quad (11)$$

3. The Correlation Effects

As in the previous paper [8], we employ the coherent potential approximation to the strong on-site Coulomb repulsion U and in the result we obtain in Fig. 1 the following schematic transformation of the initial paramagnetic DOS (see [11]).

Fig. 1

After applying the modified H-F approximation to the inter-site interactions we obtain the DOS de-formed by the inter-site correlation as shown in Fig. 2 (see [2]).

Fig. 2

4. The Numerical Results

The magnetization in Bohr's magnetons is given by

$$m = n_\sigma - n_{-\sigma}, \quad (12)$$

with the electron occupation numbers for spin $\pm\sigma$ given by the following equation (see [8])

$$n_{\pm\sigma} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{\pm\sigma}(\varepsilon) \frac{d\varepsilon}{1 + e^{(\varepsilon - \mu \mp \Delta E_{\pm\sigma})/kT}}, \quad (13)$$

where the spin dependent DOS, $\rho_{\pm\sigma}(\varepsilon)$, is de-formed by both the on-site and the inter-site correlation, as in Figs 1 and 2.

4.1 We consider initially the case of on-site Coulomb repulsion $U = 0$

(i) There are only inter-atomic interactions V , J and J'

We assume temporarily that the kinetic interactions are zero: $\Delta t = t_{ex} = 0$. In this case the total Stoner field (from eq. (11)) is given by

$$F_{tot} = F + zJ. \quad (14)$$

To limit the number of free parameters we assume also that $J' = J$, and $V = 0$.

The results for the minimum on-site Stoner field $F = F_{tot} - zJ$ are shown in Fig. 3. They show a strong decrease of the critical on-site Stoner field under the influence of exchange inter-site interaction.

Fig. 3

Next, we computed from eqs (12) and (13) the temperature at which the value of magnetization drops to zero in the presence of only the on-site Stoner field F and the inter-site exchange interaction J . The results are collected in Table I, for the values of electron occupation and magnetization corresponding to ferromagnetic 3d elements. One can see that with the growing component of the on-site field (growing column number from 1 to 4) the Curie temperature keeps increasing well out of the range of experimental values (column no.5).

TABLE I

(ii) the case of nonzero kinetic interactions $\Delta t = t - t_1$ and $t_{ex} = \frac{t+t_2}{2} - t_1$

We will assume now that $V = J = J' = 0$. In this case the dependence of the on-site critical field F_{cr} on electron occupation has already been investigated in [7]. The results have shown a strong decrease of the on-site critical field, with the decreasing hopping 'inhibiting' factor S . This decrease will also cause the decrease of Curie temperatures, as is shown in Table II for different values of S .

TABLE II

(iii) both kinetic interactions and inter-atomic interactions are nonzero

The kinetic interactions are represented by the hopping 'inhibiting' factor S , and the inter-atomic interactions by $J = J'$ ($V = 0$). We still neglect the on-site strong Coulomb repulsion, $U = 0$. The results are shown in Fig. 4. It is evident from this figure that both S , and J strongly decrease the on-site Stoner field required to create ferromagnetism.

Fig. 4

Therefore we calculated the Curie temperature under the influence of these two factors. The results are shown in the Table III.

TABLE III

We can see from Table III that including simultaneously the kinetic interactions (S) and the inter-site exchange interactions (J) decreases the Curie temperature close to experimental values (compare columns no.1 and no.5).

4.2 The case of on-site Coulomb repulsion $U \gg D$

The results in this case without the inter-site interactions are shown in Fig. 5. As can be seen from this figure there is very little difference in F_{cr} for the realistic $U = 3D$, as

compared to the case of $U \gg D$. Therefore, in further calculations, we will use for simplicity large $U \gg D$.

Fig. 5

We will assume that both kinetic and inter-atomic interactions are nonzero. As previously these inter-site interactions will be represented by parameters S and J . The critical on-site Stoner field calculated in this case is shown in Fig. 6. The band is being split by the strong Coulomb repulsion, see Fig. 1 for the schematic depiction of the band. This is why the curves with $S \neq 1$ have a discontinuity at half filling, when the Fermi energy jumps from the lower to the upper sub-band. Comparing this figure with Fig. 4 shows that the influence of strong Coulomb on-site correlation is not really beneficial for $n \geq 1.4$, where the ferromagnetic 3d elements are located.

Fig. 6

To analyze further the influence of U on the magnetic properties we have calculated the magnetization versus temperature at $U = 0$ and $U = \infty$ for the electron occupation representing iron, see Fig. 7.

Fig. 7

This figure shows that U does not decrease the Curie temperature at concentrations corresponding to existing ferromagnetic elements. Table IV shows the results of the Curie temperature in the presence of the same interactions as in Table III, with the difference of adding to it a very strong interaction of U .

TABLE IV

Comparing Table III with the Table IV tells us that including the on-site Coulomb correlation in the CPA approximation increases slightly the Curie temperature pushing it further away from the experimental values.

5. Conclusions

First, the direct calculations within the original Stoner model (see [13,14]) have pointed out that the Curie temperature, after adjusting the Stoner shift to the experimental magnetic moment at zero temperature, is much too high (see Table I, column 6 in here).

As we have found previously [8] the inter-site interactions create the correlation effect (see Fig. 2) already in the first order modified H-F approximation. In this paper we introduced the kinetic and the inter-site interactions in the first order modified approximation that

allowed us to obtain the correct Curie temperatures and simultaneously the correct magnetic moments at zero temperature for 3d transition elements (see Table III). Considering the simplicity of the model, the results are close enough to the experimental data (see Table III). One can write symbolically that

$$\frac{kT_c}{F_{i,j}(m)} \ll \frac{kT_c}{F_{i,i}(m)},$$

where $F_{i,j}(m)$ is the inter-atomic Stoner field fitted to the magnetic moment at zero temperature, and $F_{i,i}(m)$ is the on-site Stoner field fitted to the magnetic moment at zero temperature. Intuitively speaking we can say that the inter-atomic field is much 'softer' and decreases faster with the temperature than the on-site Stoner field used in the original Stoner model (see Hubbard [15]).

Hubbard's [15] calculations 'imply that two energy scales are operative in iron, one of the order of electron volts which is characteristic of the itinerant behavior (e.g., the bandwidth and the exchange fields), and another of the order of one tenth of an electron volt characteristic of the "localized" behavior (e.g., $k_B T_c$, the $\Delta E(V)$).' In our model this larger field would be the on-site field creating local moments existing even above the Curie temperature, and the smaller field would be the inter-site field responsible for their ordering.

Adding up the on-site strong correlation U in the Section 4.2 (even in the CPA approximation equivalent to the sum of some infinite set of diagrams (see [11])), has decreased the critical field, and enhanced magnetic susceptibility (see [16]), but did not improve the values of the theoretical Curie temperature (see Table IV). This fact can be understood better after examining Fig. 6, where the critical field (initializing magnetization) has dropped at half-filling but not at the end of the band, where the 3d elements are located. As was already established (e.g. see [17,18]) the electron correlation U can help in creating antiferromagnetism (AF) at the half-filled band, where the antiferromagnetic 3d elements (Cr, Mn) are located, by reducing the critical field for AF to zero. As was mentioned above, the model is very simple, the details of the realistic DOS could be included as well as the magnetization decrease through the spin waves excitation, which would bring the theoretical results to complete agreement with the experimental data.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.C. Amadon and J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 54, (1996) 6364.
- [2] J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 6256.
- [3] J.E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 40, (1989) 2354; 40, (1989) 9061.
- [4] J.E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 43, (1991) 705.
- [5] M. Kollar, R. Strack, and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. B 53, (1996) 9225.
- [6] R. Strack, and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, (1994) 3425.
- [7] J.C. Slater, Phys. Rev. 35, 509 (1930).
- [8] G. Grski, J. Mizia and K. Kucab, Physica B 325 (2003) 106.
- [9] J.Mizia and G.Grski, unpublished.
- [10] J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1993) 3327.
- [11] B. Velick, S. Kirkpatrick and H. Ehrenreich, Phys. Rev. 175 (1968) 747.
- [12] F. Kajzer and J. Mizia, J. Phys. F. 7, (1977) 1115.
- [13] E.C. Stoner, Proc. R. Soc. A 165, (1938) 372.
- [14] E.P. Wohlfarth, Philos. Mag. 42, (1951) 374.
- [15] J. Hubbard, Phys. Rev. B 19 (1979) 2626.
- [16] J. Mizia, phys. stat. sol. (b) 74, (1976) 461.
- [17] J. Mizia, phys. stat. sol. (b) 84 (1977) 449.
- [18] G. Grski, J. Mizia and K. Kucab, Physica B 336 (2003) 308.

Figure Captions

Fig.1. Schematic DOS showing the influence of the strong on-site Coulomb correlation, U . The paramagnetic DOS for both spins, $\pm\sigma$ are solid lines. When U is strong enough, the band is split into two sub-bands, lower sub-bands have the capacity of $1 - n_{-\sigma}$ for $+\sigma$ electrons, and $1 - n_{\sigma}$ for $-\sigma$ electrons. The changes in the spin electron densities integrated over energy (to the Fermi level) are shown as the shaded areas in this figure, and they contribute with the sign to the correlation factor K_U .

Fig.2. Schematic DOS shows the influence of the inter-site interactions. The paramagnetic DOS for both spins, $\pm\sigma$ are solid lines. The inter-site interactions change the relative width of the bands with respect to each other (described by the b^{σ} factors, see eq. (9)). The Stoner field, which would displace the bands with respect to each other, is assumed to be nonzero. The shaded areas in this figure are the inter-site correlation factor K_{ij} .

Fig.3. Dependence of the on-site critical Stoner field, $F_{cr} = F_{tot}^{cr} - zJ$ (in the units of half band-width), on electron occupation, for different exchange inter-site interactions J ; $J = 0$ (Stoner model) - continuous line, $J = 0.5t$ - dashed line, $J = 0.75t$ - dotted line.

Fig.4. Dependence of the on-site critical Stoner field, F_{cr} (in the units of half band-width), on the electron occupation, for different values of S and SJ; $S = 1$ and $J = 0$ (the Stoner model) - dot-dashed line, $S = 0.6$ and $J = 0.5t$ - solid line, $S = 1$ and $J = 0.5t$ - dashed line, $S = 0.6$ and $J = 0$ - dotted line.

Fig.5. Dependence of the on-site critical Stoner field, F_{cr} (in the units of half band-width) on electron occupation, for different on-site Coulomb repulsion U ($J = 0$, $S = 1$).

Fig.6. Dependence of the on-site critical Stoner field, F_{cr} (in the units of half band-width), on electron occupation, shows the influence of inter-site interactions, $J = J'$, $V = 0$, and of the hopping interactions, Δt , t_{ex} , represented by the hopping 'inhibiting' factor S , in the presence of strong on-site correlation, $U = \infty$.

Fig.7. Magnetization (in Bohr's magnetons) dependence on temperature, $m(T)$, with and without the strong Coulomb correlation, $n = 1.4$, $D = 2.8\text{eV}$ for different values of parameters U , J and S ; $U = 0$, $J = 0$, $S = 1$ - solid line, $U = \infty$, $J = 0$, $S = 1$ - dashed line, $U = 0$, $J = 0.5t$, $S = 0.6$ - dotted line, $U = \infty$, $J = 0.5t$, $S = 0.6$ - dot-dashed line.

FIGURES




FIG. 1.




FIG. 2.




FIG. 3.




FIG. 4.




FIG. 5.




FIG. 6.




FIG. 7.

TABLES

El.	n	m	D [eV]	T_c [K]					
				No. 1 $F_{tot} = 0,$ $F = -zJ(m)$	No. 2 $F_{tot} = zJ(m)$ $F \equiv 0$	No. 3 $F_{tot} = zJ + F(m)$ $J = 0.75t$	No. 4 $F_{tot} = F(m)$ $J \equiv 0$	No. 5 T_c^{exp}	No. 6 T_c^{parab}
Fe	1.4	0.44	2.8	1750	3340	3640	4290	1043	4820
Co	1.65	0.344	2.65	1590	3000	3500	4710	1388	3380
Ni	1.87	0.122	2.35	920	1380	1620	1960	627	1020

TABLE I. **Curie Temperature for Ferromagnetic Elements, the role of inter-site exchange interaction**, $n=3d$ electron number normalized to 2, m =magnetic moment at 0K normalized to 2, $D=3d$ half band-width according to [12], columns no.1,2,3,4- T_c [K]=Curie temperature for different are for different F and J , $F(m)$ and $J(m)$ are fitted to m at 0K, T_c^{exp} experimental Curie temperature in K, T_c^{parab} =Curie temperature for the Stoner model with parabolic DOS.

El.	n	m	T_c [K]			T_c^{exp}
			$S = 0.2$	$S = 0.6$	$S = 1$	
Fe	1.4	0.44	2445	3295	4290	1043
Co	1.65	0.344	1580	3300	4710	1388
Ni	1.87	0.122	220	870	1960	627

TABLE II. Curie Temperatures for Ferromagnetic Elements, the role of kinetic interactions $T_c = T_c(S)$

El.	n	m	T_c [K]				
			No. 1 $S = 0.6$ $J = 0.5t$	No. 2 $S = 0.6$ $J = 0$	No. 3 $S = 1$ $J = 0.5t$	No. 4 $S = 1$ $J = 0$	No. 5 T_c^{exp}
Fe	1.4	0.44	2050	3295	3980	4290	1043
Co	1.65	0.344	1690	3300	3880	4710	1388
Ni	1.87	0.122	620	870	1720	1960	627

TABLE III. **Curie Temperatures for Ferromagnetic Elements, the role of kinetic and inter-site exchange interactions at $U = 0$, no.1,2,3- $T_c(S, J)$ = Curie temperature for fixed J, S and $F(m)$, $F(m)$ is fitted to m at 0K, n0. 4 - $T_c(S = 1, J = 0)$ = Curie temperature for the case of Stoner model, no inter-site interactions ($J = J' = V = \Delta t = t_{ex} \equiv 0$), $F(m)$ is fitted to m at 0K**

El.	n	m	T_c [K]				
			No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5
			$S = 0.6$ $J = 0.5t$	$S = 0.6$ $J = 0$	$S = 1$ $J = 0.5t$	$S = 1$ $J = 0$	T_c^{exp}
Fe	1.4	0.44	2420	4000	3810	4960	1043
Co	1.65	0.344	1850	3270	3990	5180	1388
Ni	1.87	0.122	630	910	1770	2020	627

TABLE IV. Curie Temperatures for Ferromagnetic Elements, the role of kinetic and inter-site exchange interactions at $U = \infty$