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#### Abstract

W e study the energy level structure of tw o-dim ensional charged particles in inhom ogeneous magnetic elds. In particular, for $m$ agnetic anti-dots the $m$ agnetic eld is zero inside the dot and constant outside. Such a device can be fabricated w ith present-day technology. W e present detailed sem iclassical studies of such $m$ agnetic anti-dot system $s$ and provide a com parison $w$ ith exact quantum calculations. In the sem iclassical approach we apply the B erry-Tabor form ula for the density of states and the Born-Som m erfeld quantization rules. In both cases we found good agreem ent $w$ ith the exact spectrum in the weak $m$ agnetic eld lim it. The energy spectrum for a given $m$ issing ux quantum is classi ed in six possible classes of orbits and sum $m$ arized in a so-called phase diagram. W e also investigate the current ow pattems of di erent quantum states and show the clear corresp ondence w ith classical tra jectories.


PACS num bers: 73.21.Њ, 03.65.Sq, 85.75.-d

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In the past decade, the study of system $s$ of $t w-$ dim ensional electron gas ( 2 DEG ) in sem iconductors ${ }^{n^{1}}$ has been extended by the application of spatially inhom ogeneous m agnetic elds. The inhom ogeneity of the $m$ agnetic eld can be realized experim entally, either by varying the topography of , the electron gastin ${ }^{\text {n }}$, by using ferrom agnetic $m$ aterials ${ }^{4}{ }^{4}$ conductor on top of the 2DEG10 11 . Num erous theoretical works also show an increasing interest in the study ofelectron $m$ otion in inhom ogeneousm agnetic eld (see, e-g $R$ ess. 2


In the experim ental w orks $m$ entioned above, for $G$ aAs heterostructures, on the one hand, the electron dynam ics is con ned to two-dim ensions. On the other hand, the coherence length and the $m$ ean free path of the electron can be m uch larger than the size of the system, while the Ferm iw avelength is com parable to the size of the 2D EG . M oreover, the electron system can be described to a good approxim ation as a free electron gas with an e ective m ass ${ }^{11}$. Therefore, the quantum m echanical treatm ent of these system s is of som e physical interest.

In this paper, as an exam ple, we consider the energy levels of a two-dim ensional non-interacting electron gas in a magnetic eld that is zero inside a circular region and constant outside. This system (shown in Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$ (19) will be called a m agnetiç anti-dot; it was rst studied by Soli$m$ any and $K$ ram en ${ }^{321}$. Solving the Schrodinger equation it w as show n that there are bound states. Introducing an e ective angular $m$ om entum, the Schrodinger equation of the particle in sym $m$ etric gauge can be $m$ apped to the Landau m odel. This e ective angular m om entum is a sum of the angular $m$ om entum in a uniform $m$ agnetic eld and the ux (in units of the ux quantum $\frac{\downarrow}{} \mathrm{m}$ issing from the uniform eld. Recently, $\operatorname{Sim}$ et all ${ }^{221}$ have renewed the study of this system and pointed out the
crucial role of the $m$ agnetic edge states in the $m$ agnetoconductance. The classical counterparts of these states correspond to trajectories of the charged particle that consist of straight segm ents inside the non-m agnetic region and arcs outside.


F IG. 1: T he two-dim ensional electron gas in an inhom ogeneous magnetic eld. The magnetic eld is zero inside the circle of radius $R$ and constant outside the circle.

A though it is di cult to $m$ easure directly the density of states of a quantum system, it a ects $m$ any observable quantities such as the $m$ agnetoconductance, the $m$ agnetization or the susceptibilily. In the interpretation of the experim ental results the sem iclassical approxim ation proveplto pe, a, usefirl tool. Several sem iclassical approaches 3 an excellent overview of the subjeact can be found in the textbook by B rack and B hadur $\mathbf{t}^{00}$. D i erent sem iclassical theories for $m$ agnetic syster si-haue successfiully been applied, for exam ple, in w,orks $s^{4} 11^{1} 2^{1}, 44^{1}$. For integrable system s B erry and Tabor ${ }^{351}$. have show $n$ that the oscillating part of the density of states can alw ays be expressed in term sofclassical periodic orbits. This form ula is com $m$ only called the Berry-T abor trace form ula.

O ne of our aims in this paper is to apply, for the rst tim $e$, the Berry-Tabor trace form ula for a m agnetic anti-dot. To illustrate the power of the $m$ ethod, we also calculate the exact eigenvalues of the single parti-
cle Schrodinger equation and nd a very good agreem ent betw een the two results. W, e should $m$ ention here that the statem ent by $\operatorname{Sim}$ et $a l^{22}$ on the relation betw een the quantum states and the corresponding periodic onbits is som ew hat $m$ isleading. Their condition for a given periodic onbit is not necessarily satis ed at the value of the corresponding exact energy level as they claim ed H ow ever, including $m$ ore and $m$ ore periodic orbits $w$ ith the proper weights in the trace form ula the sum converges to the correct quantum density of states. In practice only a few of the shortest onbits are enough to get a rough estim ate of the positions of the exact energy levels.

The power of the sem iclassical approach can also be dem onstrated by applying the B ohr-Som $m$ erfeld approxim ation. W e shall show that the energy levels obtained from the Bohr-Som $m$ erfeld quantization rules also agree very well w th the num erically exact levels even for the low est eigenstates. $N$ ote that in this sem iclassical treat$m$ ent the quantization should be applied to the classicalm otion on a two-dim ensional torus param etrized by the action variables and their canonically con jugate angle variables (for details see, eg, Ref. íld).

T he classicalorbits can be classi ed by their cyclotron radius\% and their guiding center c (distance of the center of the onbits from the origin). In the quantum $m$ echanical treatm ent one can calculate the average of operators de ning the cyclotron radius and,the guiding center. For circular m agnetic billiards, Lent ${ }^{451}$ has derived approxi$m$ ate expressions for these averages for a given quantum state. Follow ing R ef. 4 'hb, one $m$ ay derive the corresponding relations for $m$ agnētic anti-dot system $s$. Thus, these relations are the basis for classifying the di erent quantum states in term sof classicalonbits for our system. W e w illshow that the quantum states can be described by six di erent types of classical orbits. In addition, this classi cation enables us to draw a so-called phase diagram ' which show s a clear one-to-one correspondence betw een classical orbits and quantum states.

To com plete our sem iclassicalstudy, we nally present results for the probability current density calculated from quantum calculations. W e shall argue that the current ow pattems can be understood qualitatively from the corresponding classicaltra jectories. Recently, H alperin ${ }^{(61}$ has been show $n$ that the total current (the integral of the current density along the radialdirection) can be related to the dispersion of the energy levels (their angular mo$m$ entum dependence). As it $w$ ill be show $n$ this general relation works in ourm agnetic anti-dot system, too.
$R$ egarding the num erical calculations, we should $m$ ention that the sem iclassical approach presented in this paper proves to be a very e ective $m$ ethod. M oreover, it provides a better understanding of the nature of the quantum system. O ur sem iclassical m ethod applied to m agnetic anti-dot system $\mathrm{s} m$ ay be an im portant tool to understand the role of the $m$ agnetic edge states in the density of states or the $m$ agnetization (both are experi$m$ entally accessible physicalqauntities). W e believe that our sem iclassical analysis can be extended to other types
of inhom ogeneous m agnetic elds such as studied, eg, in Refs. '

The rest of the text is organized as follow s. In Sec. iII the exact quantization condition (secular equation) is derived from the $m$ atching conditions of the $w$ ave functions at the boundary of the $m$ agnetic and non-m agnetic regions. In Sec. III the sem iclassical approxim ation is presented including the description of the classical motion of the particle in Subsec. 'ITA' , the characterization of the possible periodic onbits in Subsec. 'IIIB', som e num erical results. in Subsec. in Subsec. 'IIID.'. The current ow pattems of the system are discussed in Sec. $\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{~V}} \overline{\mathrm{~V}} .1$. Finally, the conclusions are given in Sec.

## II. QUANTUM CALCULATION

In this section, we present the quantum $m$ echanical treatm ent of the $m$ agnetic anti-dot. The $m$ agnetic eld $w$ ith a constant $B$ outside a circle of radius $R$ is assum ed to be perpendicular to the plane of the 2 DEG . $T$ he $H$ am iltonian of the electron of $m$ ass $M$ and charge e is given by

$$
H=\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\frac{p^{2}}{2 M} ; \quad \text { if } r<R\right. \text {; } \\
& : \frac{(p e A)^{2}}{2 M} ; \text { if } r>R ; \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p$ is the canonically conjugatem om entum, and the vector potential in polar coordinates ( $\left(r^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ and sym $m$ etric gauge is given by ${ }^{321}$

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =A,\left(r ;^{\prime}\right) \text { e, ; where }  \tag{2}\\
A,\left(r ;^{\prime}\right) & =B \frac{r^{2} R^{2}}{2 r}(r \quad R) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and $\theta$, is the unit vector in the ' direction. Here ( $x$ ) is the $H$ eaviside step function.

The energy levels of the system are the eigenvalues E of the Schrodinger equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H} \quad\left(r ;^{\prime}\right)=E \quad\left(r ;^{\prime}\right): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rotational sym $m$ etry of the system implies a separation ansatz for the wave function as a product of radial and angular parts. W e choose for the angular part the appropriate angular $m$ om entum eigenfunctions $e^{\text {im }}$ ' $w$ ith quantum number $m$ (here $m$ is an integer). $T$ hus the $w$ ave function for a given $m$ is separated as $\left(r ;^{\prime}\right)=f_{m}(r) e^{i m}$, where the radial $w$ ave functions $f_{m}(r)$ satisfy a one-dim ensionalSchrodinger equation in the nom al region:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{h}_{\mathrm{m}}() f_{\mathrm{f}}()=" f_{n}() ; \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the radial $H$ am iltonian takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}_{\mathrm{m}}()=\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{@}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{l}: \tag{4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we introduce the dim ensionless variable $=r=1$, where $l=\overline{h=j B} j$ is the $m$ agnetic length, $!_{c}=j \dot{j} \mathrm{j} M$ is the cyclotron frequency, " $=2 \mathrm{E}=\left(\mathrm{h}!_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is the dim ensionless energy, and the radialpotential is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{m}()= & \begin{array}{l}
8 \\
\gtrless \frac{m^{2}}{2} ;
\end{array} \quad \text { if } r<R ; \\
m_{e}= & s+m \operatorname{sgn}(e B) ; \tag{4c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s=R^{2}=\left(21^{2}\right)==0$ is the magnetic ux $=B R^{2}$ (in units of the $m$ agnetic ux quantum $0=h=j\rangle \mathrm{m}$ issing inside the circle of radius $R$. The function sgnf $g$ stands for the sign function. In the num erical results presented in this paper, we alw ays assum e that the particle is an electron $m$ oving in a $m$ agnetic eld along the positive $z$-axis, i.e., sgn $(e B)=1$. H ow ever, our theoretical results are not restricted in such a way.

Introducing the new variable $={ }^{2}=2$ and transform ing the $w$ ave functions in the $m$ agnetic region ( $r>R$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{)}=\dot{\mathrm{jn}} \mathrm{eff}_{\mathrm{ff}} \mathrm{j} 2 \mathrm{e}^{=2} \mathrm{~m}() ;\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} m}{d^{2}}+\left(1+j m_{e} j\right. & \frac{d m}{d} \\
\frac{1+j m_{e} j m_{e}}{2} & { }^{\prime \prime}=0: \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus the ansatz for the radialw ave function in the $m$ agnetic region can nally be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{jn}_{\mathrm{eff} \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~F} 2 \mathrm{e}^{=2} \\
& U \frac{1+j m_{e} j m_{e} \quad " ;}{2} ; 1+j m_{e} \quad ; \quad ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $U$ is the con uent hypergeom etric function ${ }^{-147}{ }^{-1}$.. $N$ ote that the function $U$ tends to zero as $r$ ! 1 .

It is easy to show that the radialw ave function inside the circle of radius $R$ (w here the $m$ agnetic eld is zero) satis es the Bessel di erential equation ${ }^{471}$. T hus the radial w ave function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{m}(\quad)=\tau_{n} p^{p-}\right) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $J_{m}(x)$ is the B essel function of order $m$.
$M$ atching the radialw ave functions inside and outside the circle gives a secular equation whose solutions are the eigenvalues of the system. Them atching conditions at $r=R$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d} \ln g_{m}()\right|_{=R=1}=\left.\frac{d}{d} \ln f_{m}()\right|_{=R=1}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given $m$ this secular equation depends only on the dim ensionless missing ux $s$.
III. SEM ICLASSICALAPPROXIMATION:THE BERRY-TABOR APPROACH

W e now tum to the sem iclassical treatm ent of the system. G enerally, in d dim ensions, a system is integrable if there are d independent constants of the m otion. U sually this is the result of the separability of the $H$ am iltonian: In a suitably chosen coordinate system the H am iltonian depends only on separate functions $i\left(q_{i} ; p_{i}\right)$ of the 0 ordinates and the con jugate $m$ om enta. $T$ his $m$ eans that the dynam ics can be viewed as a collection of independent one dim ensional dynam ical system $s$. The function
( $q_{i} ; p_{i}$ ) plays the role of the H am iltonian in each subsystem. T he one dim ensional sem iclassical quantization procedure can be carried out in each subsystem separately

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}=\frac{1}{2}^{I} p_{i}{d q_{i}}^{\prime}=h n_{i}+\frac{i}{4} ; n_{i}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $I_{i}$ is the action variable and $i$ is the $M$ aslov index (for details see, eg, Ref. '10'1'). The $M$ aslov index is the sum of the $M$ aslov indices of the tuming points of the classical motion. Sm ooth or \soft" classical tuming points (zeros of $p_{i}\left(q_{i}\right)$ ) contribute +1 to the $M$ aslov index, while \hard" classicaltuming points (in nite potential walls) contribute +2 . Equation (10), the B ohrSom $m$ erfeld quantization condition, is widely used to approxim ate the energy levels of classically integrable system s .

A lematively, from Eq. (1-1 $\underline{O}_{1}^{(1)}$ a sem iclassical trace for$m$ ula know $n$ as the B erry-T abor form ula ${ }^{39}$ can be derived for the oscillating part of the density of states. For tw odim ensional system $s$, this form ula can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(E)=d_{0}(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

(for the detailed derivation of this expression see Appendix ('A. ', In . H ere $d_{0}(E)$ is the average density of states. The p-sum $m$ ation runs over the prim itive periodic onbits of the system, the $j$-sum $m$ ation runs over their repetitions; $S_{p} ; T_{p}$ and $p$ denote the classical action, the time and the $M$ aslov-index of orbit $p$, respectively; $n_{2 ; p}$ is the num ber of cycles in the $m$ otion pro jected to the action variable $I_{2}$ under one cycle of the orbit; and $I_{1}=g\left(I_{2} ; E\right)$ denotes the action variable $I_{1}$ as a function of the energy and $I_{2}$.

## A. C lassicaldynam ics of the system

It is easy to show that the classical Ham iltonian in polar coordinates ( $r ;^{\prime}$ ), inside and outside the non$m$ agnetic region is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r}) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{r}$ and $p$, are the canonically con jugate $m$ om enta, and the radialpotential $V(r)=\frac{h!c}{2} V_{m}(\quad)$ is the sam e as in (4-g) $w$ th the follow ing replacem ents:

$$
\begin{align*}
m & =\frac{p^{\prime}}{h}  \tag{13a}\\
m_{e} & =s+\frac{p^{\prime}}{h} \operatorname{sgn}(e B): \tag{13b}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that here $m$ and $m_{e}$ are continuous classical variables. A s we shall see below in the sem iclassicalapproxi$m$ ation, the canonicalm om entum $p$ is quantized according to the Bohr-Som $m$ erfeld quantization rules ( ${ }_{1}^{1} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ).

Since the $H$ am iltonian does not depend explicitly on , (the system is rotationally invariant), the conjugate m om entum p , is a constant ofm otion. T hus the angular action variable becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}^{I} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}^{\prime}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he conjugate $m$ om entum inside the anti-dot is in fact the angular $m$ om entum. O utside the anti-dot there is an additional term due to the non-zero vector potential. From '_= @H =@p, one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& <\mathrm{Mr}^{2 \prime} \text {; } \quad \text { if } r<R \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{p}^{\prime}=  \tag{15}\\
& : M r^{2} I^{\prime}+e B \frac{r^{2} R^{2}}{2} \text {; if } r>R \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e now choose $I_{1} \quad I$ and $I_{2} \quad I$ in Eq. (1 $\left.\underline{G}^{\prime}\right)$. To calculate the radial action variable $I_{r} \overline{\bar{p}} \frac{g\left(I_{r} ; E\right)}{E \quad V(r)}$ be-
needs to perform the integral of $p_{r}=$ tw een the classicaltuming points of the radialpotential. For a given E these tuming points can be obtained from $V(r)=E . U$ sing the sam e dim ensionless variables as in Sec.init, we have one tuming point for the potential inside the non-m agnetic circle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{\text {in }}=\frac{m}{P} ; \tag{16a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the potential valid outside the circle there are tw o tuming points:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{1 ; 2}{\text { out }}=\frac{q}{2\left("+m_{e}\right) \quad 2_{2}^{p} \overline{"\left("+2 m_{e}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the upper/ lower sign of distinguishes the rst and second tuming points. N ote that $1_{1}^{\text {out }}<{ }_{2}^{\text {out }}$, and the tuming points are real if either $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}>0$ or "
$2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}$ forme $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}<0$.
For a given energy E and m om entum p , one can calculate the cyclotron radius \% and the guiding center c:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \%=1^{p} \bar{"}  \tag{17a}\\
& c=1^{p} \overline{"+2 m_{e}} \tag{17b}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivation in the fram e of classicalm echanics is outlined in A ppendix ' tw een these quantities and the corresponding quantum states of the system can be derived from quantum me chanics. It tums out that the sam e relations hold for the cyclotron radius and the guiding center provided $p$, is quantized as $p,=h m$, where $m$ now is an integer. Then, $m e$ is the same as that de ned by Eq. (4dil). The same results were found by Sim et all ${ }^{22}$. U sing Eq. (171) we shalldiscuss in detail the correspondence betw een classicalorbits and quantum states in Secs. 'IID' and 'IV_'.

The tuming points given in Eq. (16bi') can be expressed in term s of the cyclotron radius and the guiding center:

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{1}^{\text {out }}=\begin{array}{l}
c \quad \% ; \text { if } m_{e}>0 ; \\
\% \quad c ; \text { if } m_{e}<0 ;
\end{array}  \tag{18a}\\
& l_{2}^{\text {out }}=c+\frac{\%}{o}: \tag{18b}
\end{align*}
$$

W e can now classify the classical onbits according to the relation between the values of the tuming points given by $\left(\overline{1} \underline{1}^{-1}\right)$ and the corresponding radius of the circular non-m agnetic region (in units of l)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{l}}=\mathrm{P} \overline{2 \mathrm{~s}} \text { : } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two di erent cases listed in Table 㒴. For onbits of type A the particle outside the non-m agnetic region moves along a cyclotron orbit and then passes through the $m$ agnetic eld free region as a free particle. In the case of orbits of type B the particle does not penetrate the non-m agnetic region. In this case one can further distinguish two additional types of cyclotron orbits depending on the sign of $m_{e}$. The condition out $>R_{R}$ listed in Table it and Eq. (18a) im ply that $c$ \% $>R$ for $m_{e}>0$, and $\%_{0}^{2} \quad c>R$ forme < 0 . From a simple geo$m$ etricalconsideration it follow $s$ that in the rst case the cyclotron orbits (denoted by $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ ) lie outside the circle of radius R , while in the latter case the onbits (denoted by $B_{2}$ ) com pletely encircle the non-m agnetic region. These conditions can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
m \operatorname{sgn}(e B) & >  \tag{20a}\\
m \overline{2 s "}>0 ; & \text { for } B_{1} ;  \tag{20b}\\
m \operatorname{sgn}(e B) & >
\end{align*}
$$

For both types $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}, "<m^{2}=2 s$ is valid. In the case of orbits of type A we have " $>\mathrm{m}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~s}$.

W e now tum to the calculation of the radial action variable $I_{r}$. U sing the radialpotentialgiven by (4̄̊) inside the non-m agnetic circle we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { in ("; ) } \frac{1^{Z}}{\mathrm{~h}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{dr}=\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~m}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m} \arccos \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{\mathbf{N}}}} ; \tag{21a}
\end{align*}
$$

and sim ilarly outside, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left("+m_{e}\right) \arcsin \frac{"+m_{e}{ }^{2}=2}{"\left("+2 m_{e}\right)} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \text { mine jarcsin } \frac{2\left("+m_{e}\right) 2 m_{e}^{2}}{2^{\prime \prime\left("+2 m_{e}\right)}} \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

T he radial action variables for the orbits of types A and $B$ can be expressed in term $s$ of the functions in and out, and are listed in Table $\frac{1}{2}$.

TABLE I: C lassi cation of the orbits and the corresponding radial action variables. See also the text.

| C ase | conditions |  | $\mathrm{h}^{\text {I }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $0_{0}^{\text {in }} \quad \mathrm{R}$ and | out ("; ${ }_{2}^{\text {out }}$ ) | out ("; R ) |
|  | $2^{\text {out }}>\mathrm{R}$ | $+\mathrm{in}\left(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ ) | in ("; ${ }^{\text {in }}$ ) |
| B | ${ }_{1}^{\text {out }}>\mathrm{R}$ | out ("; ${ }_{2}^{\text {out }}$ ) | out ("; ${ }_{1}^{\text {out }}$ ) |

$N$ ote that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { out }(\text { "; out })=\overline{4}\left("+m_{e} \quad \text { min }_{e} 7\right. \text {; }  \tag{22a}\\
& \text { out ("; out } 2^{\text {out }}(\text { "; out }) \text { : } \tag{22b}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus, for orbits of type $B$, the radial action variable $I_{r}$ can be sim pli ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}=\frac{h}{2} \quad\left("+m_{e} \quad \text { in } \quad J:\right. \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear from (14) and Table it that for xed $s$, the radial action variable $I_{r}$ is a function of the rescaled energy " and the angular action variable $I$, through $m$ and $m_{e}$. Then, for orbits of type $A$, the partial derivative in the denom inator of Eq. (111) has a rather sim ple form

H ow ever, the am plitude for orbits oftype B in Eq. (111 ${ }^{1}$ ) cannot be calculated using the second partial derivative of $I_{r}$, therefore the contribution from these orbits to the sem iclassical level density is calculated separately in A ppendix C.

K now ing the explicit " and $I$ r dependence of the radial action variable $I_{r}$, the Bohr-Som $m$ erfeld quantization conditions given by Eq. $\left(\overline{1}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for orbits oftype A can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& I^{\prime}=h m ;  \tag{25a}\\
& I_{r}=h \mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2} ; \tag{25b}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 2: Two exam ples for the basic onbit segm ents (an arc in the $m$ agnetic eld followed by a straight line inside the anti-dot) of orbit type A, and the related angles ; and . A particle traveling along the segm ents $m$ oves anti-clockw ise w ith respect to the center of the anti-dot in the case draw $n$ on the left, while in the other exam ple it m oves clockw ise on the straight line inside the anti-dot. Thus in this case the angle is negative.
$\mathrm{where} \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; \quad$ and m is an integer, and the energydependent radialaction variable $I_{r}$ is given in $T$ able ${ }_{1}^{1}$ (the $M$ aslov indices are , $=0$ and $r=2$, for details see, eg, Ref. $\left.4 \bar{U}_{1}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)$. U sing ( $\left(23_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ for orbits oftype $B$, the sem iclassical quantization conditions can be sim pli ed and the energy levels are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{h}!_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{n}+\frac{\dot{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}+1}{2} ; \tag{25c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m_{e}=s+m$ sgn (eB), and $m$ and $n$ are integers. $T$ hese levels coincide $w$ ith the fam iliar Landau levels in a hom ogeneous $m$ agnetic eld but the quantum num ber $m$ is replaced by $m e$. Below in Sec. TIIC' we shall com pare the exact energy levels $w$ th those obtained from the B ohr-Som m erfeld quantization conditions for orbits oftypes A and B.

## B. Periodic orbits

To apply the Berry-Tabor form ula ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, one needs to describe the possible periodic orbits of the $m$ agnetic antidot system. The periodic orbits oftype A can be characterized by their $w$ inding num ber $w$ (the num ber of tums around the center under one cycle) and the num ber of identical onbit segm ents $n_{s}$ the onbit can be split up to. $T$ hese segm ents consist of circular path outside the antidot followed by a straight line inside. W e introduce the angles ; and to characterize these basic orbit seg$m$ ents as show $n$ in $F$ ig. $\overline{2}$. These angles alw ays ful 11

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}(+) & =\mathrm{w} ;  \tag{26a}\\
\frac{\sin ()}{\sin ()} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}}{} ; \tag{26.b}
\end{align*}
$$

( and are always positive and the sign of follows the sign of $w$ ). The relations betw een the indices $w ; n_{s}$ and the angles characterizing the basic orbit segm ent are sum $m$ arized in $T$ able'III for the four possible sub-classes. $W$ hen either w is negative (orbits of type $A_{1}$ ), or the cyclotron radius is sm aller than the radius of the anti-dot (orbits oftype $A_{2}$ ), the angles ; and are fiully deter$m$ ined by $w$ and $n_{s}$, since in these cases is de nitely sm aller than $=2$. On the other hand, when $w>0$ and
$>R$, one $m$ ust also specify whether is sm aller (orbits oftype $A_{3}$ ) or larger (onbits oftype $A_{4}$ ) than $=2$ to fiully determ ine the periodic orbit.

TABLE II: The di erent sub-classes of onbits of type A and the corresponding relations betw een the angles de ning the basic orbit segm ent and the indices w and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Every orbit $w$ ith a negative $w$ inding number $w$ falls into sub-class $A_{1}$, regardless of whether is sm aller or larger than $R$. T he subclass $A_{2}$ consists of those orbits which have a positive w inding number and $<\mathrm{R}$. In case of $w>0,>\mathrm{R}$ and $<=2$, the orbit is of type $A_{3}$, otherw ise it is of type $A_{4}$. T he angle
can be obtained from w and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ directly. Then can be calculated from ; and R, and nally from and 8 .

| $\mathrm{A}_{1}: \mathrm{w}<0$ | A $\mathrm{A}_{2}: \begin{gathered}\text { W } \\ \\ \\ \\ <\end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $=\quad+\frac{\mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}$ | $=\frac{\mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}$ |  |  |
|  | $0 \quad \arcsin \left(\frac{1}{R}\right.$ | in ) | $=$ |
| = | $=$ |  |  |

The action of periodic onbit p can generally be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}=h k L_{p}+e B A_{p} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $k=P \overline{2 M E}=h$ is the $w$ ave num ber, $L_{p}$ is the length of the orbit and $A_{p}$ is the area inside the $m$ agnetic eld. In our case

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{p}= & 2 n_{s}[  \tag{28}\\
A_{p}= & R \sin (j)] ; \\
n_{s} & +\operatorname{sgn}(2 \quad) \frac{\sin 2}{2}  \tag{29}\\
& R^{2}+\operatorname{sgn}(2 \quad) \frac{\sin 2}{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the action in our units can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{p}=h= & n_{s}^{n} 2 \prime+2^{p} \overline{2 s^{\prime \prime}} \sin (j j) \\
& +\operatorname{sgn}(e B) "+\operatorname{sgn}(2 \quad) \frac{\sin 2}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s+\operatorname{sgn}(2 \quad) \frac{\sin 2}{2} \quad: \tag{30a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally, to use (]$\left._{1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(22_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, we also need the tim e period $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and I , associated to the orbit, which can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}{!_{\mathrm{c}}} 1+\mathrm{p} \overline{2 \mathrm{~s}="} \sin (j) ;  \tag{30b}\\
\mathrm{I}_{; \mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{h} & =\mathrm{p} \overline{2 \mathrm{~s} " \cos () ;} \tag{30c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v$ denotes the velocity and in the latter expression the upper sign is for the orbits $w$ ith $w>0$ and the low er sign is for the orbits $w$ ith $w<0$. This expression for $I$, can be obtained from $\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ : the angular action variable is equal to $p_{r}$, and, as already $m$ entioned, inside the anti$\operatorname{dot} p$, is equivalent to the angular $m$ om entum.

## C. R esults

In this section we com pare the num erically exact energy levels w th those calculated from the BohrSom $m$ erfeld quantization conditions. Sim ilarly, we present results for the density of states obtained from the Berry-T abor form ula (11)

The num erically exact energy levels of the magnetic anti-dot system are calculated from the secular equation (9) for xed m. Solving Eq. (25) for "we obtain the energy levels in the B ohr-Som $m$ erfeld approxim ation. T he results for a given $m$ agnetic eld are show $n$ in $F$ ig. $\overline{3} 1$. T The


FIG.3: Exact (crosses) and sem iclassical (+ signs) energy levels (in units of $h!c=2$ ) of the circular $m$ agnetic anti-dot obtained from Eqs. $(9)$ and $(25)$ as functions of $m$ for $s=5$. W e take $\operatorname{sgn}(\mathrm{eB})=1$ as in Ref. 22 2.
agreem ent betw een the exact and the sem iclassically calculated energy levels is excellent. O ur results also agree w ith those presented in Ref. $2 \overline{2} 2$. . For large in juthe energy levels tend to the Landau levels, while in the opposite case a substantial deviation can be seen. In the latter case, the energy levels result from the quaptization of orbits of type A. In the work by Sim et all2' these states w ere called $m$ agnetic edge states. O ne can see that even
the low-lying energy levels of these $m$ agnetic states can be accurately calculated in the B ohr-Som $m$ erfeld approxim ation. H ow ever, a signi cant deviation of the eigenvalues of these states from the bulk Landau levels can be seen in the gure. The low est energy level of the m agnetic anti-dot system is the state $m=0$ and $n=0 . N$ ote that the spectrum can be calculated $m$ uch $m$ ore easily in the sem iclassical approxim ation than from the exact secular equation involving the con uent hypergeom etric function $U$.

Increasing them agnetic eld, we experienced slight deviations. These discrepancies $m$ ay be explained qualitatively in the follow ing way. As the magnetic eld tends to in nity, the charged particle spends less and less tim e outside the circular region, and in the lim iting case its $m$ otion is described by an elastic re ection from the boundary of the $m$ agnetic and non-m agnetic regions. $T$ he radialpotentialbecom es a hard wallat $r=R$. Thus, one of the classicaltuming points for orbits oftype A becom es a hard one and the corresponding contributian to the $M$ aslov index tends to 2 . B laschke and $B$ rack ${ }^{411}$, observed a sim ilar situation in circular $m$ agnetic billiards. $T$ heir num erical investigations have con m ed the argu$m$ ent presented above. H ere we do not discuss this issue further.

W e now present results for the densty of states calculated from the Berry-T abor form ula (11) for tw $o m$ agnetic elds given by the $m$ issing ux quanta $s=5$ and $s=10$. To evaluate the sem iclassicaldensity of states in practice, we have regularized the periodic onbit sum in (111) w ith a $G$ aussian sm oothing by $m$ ultiplying the am plitude of the orboriss w ith e ${ }^{L_{p}}$, (where is in nitesim al), as discussed in $4^{4} a^{4} 1$. This factor suppresses the contribution from the long orbits and broadens the delta functions at sem iclas-
 regularized version of (111), we obtain the sem iclassical density shown in $F$ ig. ically obtained quantum energy levels. The agreem ent betw een the two results is good for the $m$ ajority of the levels, how ever, in the case ofm issing ux quanta $s=10$, apparent discrepancies can be observed for eaxam ple at energies close to " 3.W e think that a better agreem ent can be obtained for stronger $m$ agnetic elds by taking into account the $m$ agnetic eld dependent $M$ aslov index. The work along this line is in progress.

## D . Phase diagram, the classical-quantum corresp ondence

In this section we classify the exact energy levels in term s of the classicalorbits. In Sec.'IIIA' orbits of types $A$ and $B$ have been introduced according to the positions of the tuming points com pared to the $m$ agnetic anti-dot. O noits oftype A can further be classi ed into sub-classes $\mathrm{A}_{1}\left\{\mathrm{~A}_{4}\right.$, as it has been shown in Sec. that section only periodic orbits have been studied. We now go beyond the condition (2-6ai) for periodic onbits.


FIG. 4: The quantum m echanical energy levels as a function of $s$, and the sem iclassical level density obtained from the Berry-Tabor approach as function of " are plotted together. The sm oothing param eter for the periodic orbit sum $w$ as
$=0: 002$, and the sum $m$ ation of the orbits runs from $n_{s}=2$ to $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=240$ and from $\mathrm{w}=0$ to $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=120$. ( N um erical experience showed that $w$ ith these $m$ axim um values $n_{s ; m}$ ax and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax the broadened delta functions are quite prom inent at sem iclassical energies ).

Then the four types of sub-classes can be characterized by the angles ; and , the cyclotron radius \% and the guiding center c of the classicalorbits. U sing sim ple geo$m$ etrical argum ents, these angles can be calculated from \% and C. U sing Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{\bar{T}_{1}}$ ) (which is valid in the quantum case, too) the above classical param eters classifying the orbits can be directly related to the quantum states given by the quantum number $m$; $n$ (and so the energy eigenvalue $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}$ ) and the m issing ux quanta $s$. Thus, the conditions given in the rst row of Table for the different types of orbits can be reform ulated in term sof the particle energy " and the quantum numberm. The results are sum $m$ arized in $T$ able'III. A sim ilar classi cation

TABLE III: C onditions in term $s$ of " and $m$ for di erent subclasses of orbits of types A and B. H ere we take sgn (eB ) = 1.

| $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{3}$ | $A_{4}$ | $B_{1}$ | B 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " $>\mathrm{m}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~s}$ |  |  |  | " m ${ }^{2}=2 \mathrm{~s}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{m}<0$ | m 0 |  |  | m 0 |  |
|  | " < 2s |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | m 2s | $>2 \mathrm{~s}$ |  | " $2\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{m} & \mathrm{s}\end{array}\right)$ |

has been $m$ ade_for electronic states of a circular ring_in $m$ agnetic eld ${ }^{43}$ and for ring-shaped A ndreev billiard $4^{481}$.

It $m$ ay_also be useful to present the conditions listed in T able'䙵 graphically. In " m space, the classically allow ed regions corresponding to the di erent orbits look like a phase diagram '. In Fig. 'F'F such a phase diagram is plotted in the space of " and $m$ In "-m space, for a given
$m$ agnetic eld. This phase diagram should be com pared


F IG .5: P hase diagram in the space of " and $m$ : the classically allow ed regions de ned by the conditions in $T$ able di erent orbits. H ere $\mathrm{s}=5$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(\mathrm{eB})=1$.
w ith Fig. $\overline{i n}$, the plot of the energy levels from the quantum $m$ echanicalcalculation. In this way, the di erent exact levels can be classi ed in term sof the corresponding classical orbits. W e should stress again that these orbits are not necessarily periodic. E xam ples will be shown in Sec. ${ }^{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{V}_{1}$.

> IV. COMPARISON OF THECURRENT DISTRIBUTIONSAND THECLASSICAL TRA JECTORIES

An apparent correspondence betw een the classical orbits and the quantum states can also be $m$ ade by calculating the current ow pattems in the $m$ agnetic anti-dot
 $m$ agnetic eld is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\frac{i h}{2 M}(\operatorname{grad} \quad \operatorname{grad}) \quad \frac{e}{M} A j j^{2}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the vector potential $(\overline{\overline{2}}$ ) the current density (in our units) for states $m$ in can be written as $j=j$, $\frac{e}{r}$, where
$j,()=\frac{h}{2 M} j m ; n j^{2} 2 m \quad \operatorname{sgn}(e B) \quad 2 \quad \underset{R}{2} \quad(\quad R) ;$
and $e^{\prime}$, is the unit vector in the ${ }^{\prime}$ direction.
Solving the secular equation $(\underline{9})$ and then determ in ing the norm alized eigenstates, the related_current densities can be calculated from ( ${ }^{2} 2$ the current ow pattems for given eigenstates and the corresponding classical tra jectories of the particle. The $m$ issing ux quanta is $s=5$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(e B)=1$ in all $g-$ ures. In these gures the current density $j(r)$ at point $r$ is represented by an arrow w ith length proportional to the $m$ agnitude of the current density and the $m$ idpoint of the
arrow is at the point $r$. At a given energy and quantum number $m$ corresponding to the classical canonical $m o-$ $m$ entum $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}=\mathrm{hm}$ the cyclotron radius and the guiding center of the classical onbit is calculated from Eq. (17). H ence the classical tra jectory of the particle can be determ ined and are show $n$ in the gures (scaling is in units of the $m$ agnetic length 1). In $F$ igs. 19 and 12 dependence of the current density is plotted for the corresponding eigenstates. States $(m ; n)=(1 ; 0) ;(0 ; 0)$ and $(1 ; 0)$ w ere called $m$ agnetic edge states in Ref. ${ }_{2}^{22}$.

In F ig. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{G}$ the current inside the non $m$ agnetic region ow s clockw ise (the m agnitude of the current density is negative in accordance w ith Fig. . $\left.{ }^{\prime}, \underline{1}\right)$, while outside the m agnetic dot it ow s counterclockw ise. T he classical trajectories inside the dot form a caustic' and the current is enhanced here in the clockw ise direction. From Table, IT we nd that the orbit is of type $A_{1}$. T he tra jectory apparently also satis es the conditions given in Table $\frac{\text { ITI }}{L T}$ (w thout the requirem ent for the periodicity of the orbits).

In $F$ ig. $\overline{1}_{1}$ for state $m=0$ and $n=0$ the current is zero inside the $m$ agnetic dot. This can easily be seen from Eq. (32), while the direction of the current ow is counterclockw ise outside. The orbit is a lim iting case betw een types $A_{1}$ and $A_{2} . F$ igure,', for state $m=1$ and $\mathrm{n}=0$ show s a counterclockw ise current ow both inside and outside the $m$ agnetic dot. The current $j$, is positive everyw here as it can be also seen in $F$ ig. $\overline{1 g}_{1}$. T he orbit is again oftype $A_{2}$, in accordance w ith the conditions given in $T$ ables III and !IIT.


FIG. 6: The current ow (in units of $h=(2 M)$ ) for state $m=$
1 and $\underline{n}_{1}=0$. The classical orbit is of type $A_{1}$. H ere and in $F$ igs. $\operatorname{sgn}(e B)==^{--} 1$.

O ne can observe that the current density $j$, is not differentiable at $r=R$. $T$ his is because the theta function in Eq. (32'). Physically, this is a consequence of the step function behaviour of the $m$ agnetic eld. $N$ evertheless, the divergence of the current density vector $j$ is still zero


FIG.7: The current ow (in units of $h=(2 \mathrm{M})$ ) for state $\mathrm{m}=0$ and $n=0 . T$ he classical orbit is of type $A_{2}$.


FIG.8: The current ow (in units ofh=(2M)) for statem $=1$ and $n=0$. The classical onbit is of type $A_{2}$.

## everyw here.

$F$ inally, orbits oftypes $A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$ are show $n$ in $F$ igs. 1 and "111' for states $(m ; n)=(1 ; 6)$ and $(14 ; 2)$, respectively. Sim ilarly, for these states the corresponding current densities as functions of the distance from the origin are plotted in Fig. in . For both states the current is very $s m$ all inside the $m$ agnetic dot. In the case of state $(m ; n)=(1 ; 6)$, the trajectories alm ost cross the origin ( $m$ is $s m$ all), while for state $(m ; n)=(14 ; 2)$ only a sm all portion of the trajectory penetrates into the $m$ agnetic anti-dot regions. T he qualitative agreem ent betw een the current ow pattems and the classical trajectories is, again, clearly visible.
$N$ ote that in all of these gures the classical trajectories are not periodic onbits w ith energy corresponding to the given eigenstate. $T$ his is not surprising, since the quantization should not be applied to periodic orbits


F IG . 9: The current densities_j, as functions of $r$ (in units of 1) for states show $n$ in $F$ igs.


FIG. 10: The current ow (in units of $h=(2 \mathrm{M})$ ) for state $\mathrm{m}=$ 1 and $n=6$. The classical orbit is of type $A_{3}$.
in real space but to the $m$ otion on the two-dim ensional torus param etrized by the action variables and their canonically con jugate angle variables (for details see, eg, $R$ ef. $\left.{ }^{\prime} 4 \mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. In fact, the B erry-T abor form ula ( $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) suggests that an in nite num ber of periodic onbits with proper weights can only result in the correct quantum m echanicaldensity of states.

It has been shown by $H$ alperini $1^{-161}$ ' that the probability current can be related to the derivative of the energy levels $w$ th respect to the angular $m$ om entum quantum num ber:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m ; n}=Z_{0} j e, d r=\frac{1}{h} \frac{@ E_{m ; n}}{@ m}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Fig. 1 ind the integral of the current densities given in


FIG.11: The current ow (in units of $h=(2 M)$ ) for state $m=$ 14 and $n=2$. The classical orbit is of type $A_{4}$.


F IG . 12: The current densities_, as functions of $r$ (in units of 1 ) for states show $n$ in $F$ igs. $110 \pi 11$. T he param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{I}_{1}$. T he vertical line is at $r=R$.

Eq. (3-3) is plotted as fiunctions ofm for $n=0 ; 1 ; 2$. O ne can see that for $m \quad 0$ the total current is zero (these are the orbits of type $B_{1}$ in our classi cation) and that form 2 s it tends to a constant value (type $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ). T he current is negative for states corresponding to orbits of type $A_{1}$, while it increasesm onotonically for states corresponding to orbits oftypes $A_{2} \quad A_{4}$. This is in accordance w ith the right hand side ofE q. (331) using the energy dispersion (the $m$ dependence of the energy levels) plotted in $F$ ig. $\overline{13}$.


F IG .13: $T$ he totalcurrent $I_{m}$;n (in units ofh $=(2 M)$ ) obtained from the integral of $j$, given in ( $\overline{3} 3{ }^{3}$ ) as functions of $m$ for $n=0 ; 1 ; 2$. The param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig.

## V. CONCLUSION S

In this paper we investigated the energy spectrum of the circular $m$ agnetic anti-dot system $s$ obtained from exact quantum and sem iclassical calculations. In the proper dim ensionless variables the only relevant param eter of the system is the $m$ issing ux quantum. The system is separable, and in the quantum calculation the energy levels are the solutions of the secular equation derived from the $m$ atching conditions of the $w$ ave functions inside and outside the dot. In the sem iclassical treatm ent we presented two di erent $m$ ethods. On the one hand, the density of states was calculated using the Berry-Tabor formula. On the other hand, the energy levels obtained from the Bohr-Som $m$ erfeld quantization rules. The m ain di erence betw een the two m ethods is that in the rst case one needs to characterize the possible periodic orbits in real space, while in the latter, the $m$ otion of the particle is on a torus in the space of the action variables. In our num erical results we com pared the quantum energy spectrum and that obtained in the sem iclassical approach. W e show ed that the energy levels of the $m$ agnetic anti-dot system $s$ obtained from the tw o sem iclassicalm ethods were in good agreem ent with the num erically exact quantum results for weak $m$ agnetic elds. H ow ever, by increasing the $m$ agnetic eld, a slight deviation between the exact and the sem iclassically approxim ated energy levels can be observed. W e argued that the reason for this discrepancy $m$ ay be traced back to the fact that the $M$ aslov index should be $m$ agnetic eld dependent. A thorough investigation of this problem $m$ ight be an interesting future work.

A classi cation of the energy spectrum for arbitrary $m$ agnetic elds was presented in term $s$ of the classical orbits de ned by their cyclotron radius and guiding cen-
ter. Such identi cations are based on the explicit relations betw een these classical param eters of the onbits and the quantum states. The correspondence betw een the quantum states and the classical tra jectories can be $m$ ade transparent by draw ing a phase diagram $w$ ith regions corresponding to six di erent types of onbits in the space of energy and angular $m$ om entum quantum num ber.

Finally, we calculated the current ow pattems for eigenstates that correspond to orbits with trajectories penetrating into the eld-free region. T he related classical tra jectories w ere also shown for the sake of com parisson. From these results one can see the close correspondence betw een the structure of the tra jectories and the distribution of the current densities obtained from the quantum calculations.

From the energy spectrum of the $m$ agnetic anti-dot system $s$ one can determ ine the free energy. T he good agreem ent betw een the sem iclassical and quantum treat$m$ ent of the system allow sus to use sem iclassicalm ethods in the weak eld lim it for calculating the energy spectrum . Therefore, the sem iclassical approach provides a usefiul starting point for successive studies of them odynam ic properties, such as magnetization. M oreover, the sem iclassical approxim ation can be an e ective tool for investigating anbitrarily shaped $m$ agnetic anti-dots (which would be a very di cult task in the quantum case) or system $s w$ th $m$ ore com plicated $m$ agnetic eld pro les.
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## APPENDIX A:THE BERRY-TABOR FORMULA

The quantized energies can be recovered if we express the H am iltonian in term s of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ;::: ; n_{d}\right)=H\left(I_{1} ; I_{2} ;:: ; I_{d}\right)= \\
& H \quad\left(\mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+1=4\right) ; \mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{n}_{2}+2=4\right) ;::: ; \mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}+{ }_{d}=4\right)\right): \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

The sem iclassicaldensity of states is the density of these energies:

$$
x^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(E)=\underbrace{}_{n_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;:: ; ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}=0} \quad\left(E \quad E\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)\right): \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density of states can be rew ritten via the Poisson resum $m$ ation technique

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& d(E)=\quad d^{d} I \quad\left(E \quad H\left(I ; I_{2} ;:: ; I_{d}\right)\right) \\
& y^{d} \quad X^{1} \\
& \text { (I } \left.\quad h\left(n_{i}+{ }_{i}=4\right)\right)= \\
& \mathrm{i}=1 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=1 \\
& x^{3} \quad Z \\
& m_{1} ; m_{2} ;:: ; m_{d}= \\
& \text { Z } \frac{d^{d} I d t}{2 h^{d+1}} \\
& e^{\frac{i}{h}\left(t\left(E \quad H \quad\left(I_{1} ;::: ; I_{d}\right)\right)+2^{P}{ }_{i} m_{i}\left(I_{i} h \quad i=4\right)\right)} \text { : } \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere, we used the Fourier expansion of the delta spike train. The term $m_{i}=0(i=1 ; 2 ;:: ; d)$ can be evaluated directly and yields the non-oscillatory average density of states. O ther term s can be evaluated by the saddle point m ethod, when h! 0.The saddlepoint conditions select the periodic orbits of the system, and the result of the integration is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(E)=d_{0}(E)+X_{p \quad X^{1}} \frac{(2)^{(d) 1)=2}}{2^{(p \quad 1)} h^{(d+1)=2}} \\
& \frac{\left.\cos j S_{p}(E)=h \quad \frac{\lambda^{j} p^{+}+{ }_{4}(d}{} \quad 1\right)}{\left(j T_{p}\right)^{d 1}\left(\operatorname{det} D_{p}\right)}:(A 4)
\end{aligned}
$$

H ere $p$ is the index of the prim itive periodic orbits, $j$ is the num ber of repetitions, $S_{p}$ is the classical action along the orbit, $T_{p}$ is the tim e period of the orbit, and $p$ is the $M$ aslov index. The quantity $p$ is the num ber of action variables of the periodic orbit $w$ hose saddle point value is zero ( $I_{k}=0$ ), since in this case the $G$ aussian saddle point integral is only one-sided, and its contribution is $1=2$ of the filll $G$ aussian integral. The $m$ atrix $D_{p}$ is related to the second derivative $m$ atrix

Equation ( ${ }^{-1}-1$ ) is the generic form of the sem iclassical density of states in term-s, of periodic orbits, known as the Berry-T abor form ula ${ }^{351}$.

In two dim ensions, very often the H am iltonian cannot be expressed w th the action variables explicitly, only the im plicit function

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=g\left(I_{1} ; H\right) ; \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is available. In this case it is m ore useful to express the quantities in the Berry-Tabor trace form ula in term $s$ of the derivatives of $g$. Taking the partial derivative of ( $\bar{A}$ - $)$ w th respect to $\mathrm{I}_{1} \mathrm{y}$ ields

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{@ g\left(I_{1} ; H\right)}{@ I_{1}}+\frac{@ g\left(I_{1} ; H\right)}{@ H} \frac{@ H\left(I_{1} ; I_{2}\right)}{@ I_{1}} ; \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the partial derivative of $(\bar{A}-1)$ with respect to $I_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{@ g\left(I_{1} ; H\right)}{@ H} \frac{@ H\left(I_{1} ; I_{2}\right)}{@ I_{2}}: \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequencies can be expressed from these equations as

$$
\begin{align*}
& !_{1}=\frac{@ H\left(I_{1} ; I_{2}\right)}{@ I_{1}}=\frac{\frac{@ g\left(I_{1} ; H\right)}{@ I_{1}}}{\frac{@ g\left(I_{1} ; H\right)}{@ H}} ;  \tag{A9}\\
& !_{2}=\frac{@ H\left(I_{1} ; I_{2}\right)}{@ I_{2}}=\frac{1}{\frac{@ g\left(I_{1} ; H\right)}{@ H}}: \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

Periodic orbits are recovered from $!_{1}=\frac{2 \mathrm{n}_{1}}{\mathrm{~T}}$ and $!_{2}=$ $\frac{2 n_{2}}{T}$. The action $I_{1}$ for a periodic orbit at energy $E$ can be obtained by solving equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!_{1}}{!_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1, p}}{\mathrm{n}_{2 ; p}}=\frac{@ \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}\right)}{@ \mathrm{I}_{1}} ; \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we introduced $n_{1}=j n_{1 ; p}$ and $n_{2}=j n_{2 ; p}$ corresponding to the prim itive orbit. $T$ hen the period can be expressed sim ply as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=2 \mathrm{n}_{2 ; \mathrm{p}} \frac{@ \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} ; \mathrm{H}\right)}{@ \mathrm{H}}: \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ ain determ inant to be calculated reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{@^{2} H}{@ I_{1}^{2}} \frac{@ H}{@ I_{2}}+2 \frac{\varrho^{2} H}{@ I_{1} @ I_{2}} \frac{@ H}{@ I_{1}} \frac{@ H}{\varrho I_{2}} \\
& \frac{@^{2} \mathrm{H}}{@ I_{2}^{2}}{\frac{@ H}{@ I_{1}}}^{2} \text { : } \tag{A13}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow, the second derivatives of $H$ can be expressed $w$ ith the second derivatives of $g$ by taking further partial derivatives of $\left(\begin{array}{l}-\bar{A} \overline{-}, 1)\end{array}\right)$ and $(-\bar{A}-\overline{1})$ w th respect to $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. Then we can express the second derivatives as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho^{2} H}{@ I_{1}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\frac{@ g}{@ H}} \quad 2 \frac{\varrho^{2} g}{@ H @ I_{1}} \frac{@ g}{@ I_{1}} \frac{@ g}{@ H} \quad \frac{\varrho^{2} g}{@ H^{2}} \frac{@ g}{@ I_{1}}{ }^{2} \\
& \frac{@^{2} g}{@_{1}^{2}} \frac{@ g}{@ H}{ }^{2} \text {; } \\
& \frac{@^{2} H}{@ I_{1} @ I_{2}}=\frac{1}{\frac{@ g}{@ H}} \frac{@^{2} g}{@ H^{2}} \frac{\varrho g}{@ I_{1}} \quad \frac{@^{2} g}{@ I_{1} @ H} \frac{@ g}{@ H} \quad ; \quad \text { (A 15) } \\
& \frac{\varrho^{2} H}{@ I_{2}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\frac{@ g}{@ H}} \frac{@^{2} g}{@ H^{2}}: \tag{A16}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing these expressions, the determ inant becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D}=\frac{1}{\frac{@ g}{@ \mathrm{H}}} \frac{\varrho^{2} \mathrm{~g}}{@ \mathrm{I}_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\left(2 \mathrm{n}_{2, \mathrm{p}}\right)^{3}}{\mathrm{~T}^{3}} \frac{@^{2} \mathrm{~g}}{@ \mathrm{I}_{1}^{2}}: \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density of states in two dim ensions is then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d(E)=d_{0}(E)+ \\
& X \quad X^{1} \xrightarrow{\cos j S_{p}(E)=h}{ }^{\frac{2}{2} j_{p}+\frac{{ }_{4}}{}(d \quad 1)}:(A 18)  \tag{A18}\\
& \text { p } \mathrm{j}=1 \\
& 2 \text { p } \\
& (\mathrm{h})^{3=2} \quad j\left(\mathrm{n}_{2 ; \mathrm{p}}\right)^{3} \frac{@^{2} g}{\mathrm{I}_{1}^{2}}=T_{p}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX B:DERIVATION OF THE

 CYCLOTRON RADIUSAND THE GUIDING CENTERThe cyclotron radius can be determ ined from the energy E of the particle. The energy is conserved, and obviously $E=\frac{1}{2} M!{ }_{c}^{2} \circ^{2}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\circ}{\square}={ }^{p} \overline{\bar{\prime}}: \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he guiding centerm ay be calculated as follow s. A swe have seen, the con jugate $m$ om entum given by Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a constant ofmotion, therefore, e.g., for $r>R$ the right hand side of (1-5) should also be a constant at any point of the orbit. At rst apply this equation for points $P$ and $Q$, which are the points closest to and farthest from the origin (the center of the circle of radius R) of an orbit lying outside the anti-dot. These are special points of the orbits for which the right hand side of Eq. (12. a sim pler form. Then the distances of points $P$ and $Q$ from the origin are $r_{P}=c \quad \%$ and $\xi^{2}=c+\%$ (we assum e that point $Q$ is farther from the origin). From a sim ple geom etrical argum ent one nds that the angular velocity at points $P$ and $Q$ satis es the follow ing equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{\mathrm{P}}^{\prime}{ }_{P}=\%!_{\mathrm{C}} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathrm{eB}) ;  \tag{B2}\\
& r_{Q}{ }^{\prime}=\%!_{\mathrm{C}} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathrm{eB}): \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting, for exam $p l_{1}, r_{p}=c \quad \%$ and ${ }_{p}$ from (B-B) into Eq. (15), and using (B_1), we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{l}=p \overline{"+2 m_{e}}: \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sam e results can be obtained by using ( $(\bar{B} \overline{3})$ for point $Q$. If the oribitencom passes the anti-dot then the right hand side of ( $(\bar{B} \overline{2})$ ) should be $m$ ultiplied by a factor of 1 . T he case of ond its w ith tra jectories penetrating into the anti-dot can be treated sim ilarly. H ow ever, the expressions for the cyclotron radius and the guiding center are the sam e as above for all cases.

> APPENDIX C:CONTRIBUTION OF THE CYCLOTRON ORBITSTOTHE SEM ICLASSICALDENSITY OF STATES

In the case of the cyclotron orbits, the integral in I, in Eq. $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{3})$ has to be calculated directly rather than using the saddlepoint $m$ ethod. As $I$, is constant, the integrand does not depend on the integration variable
and therefore the integral is equal to the $m$ easure of the interval of the possible I, s. W ithout loss of generality, we take $\operatorname{sgn}(e B)=1$ in this section.

## 1. C yclotron orb its of type $\mathrm{B}_{1}$

Cyclotron orbits which do not encom pass the anti-dot (type $B_{1}$ ) are possible at any value of and any negative angular $m$ om entum $p$, (see $T$ able $P$ of these orbits (points $P$ and $Q$ of a Cyclotron orbit are de ned in Appendix $\bar{B} \overline{3})$, from Eqs. ( $\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{1})$ and $\left(\overline{1} \overline{5}_{1}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\prime}=p^{\prime}=M r_{P}^{2} \prime_{p}+e B \frac{r_{P}^{2} \quad R^{2}}{2}: \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is minim alwhen $r_{P}=R$ (and the cyclotron orbit touches the boundary of the anti-dot), and is $m$ axim al when the orbit is placed as far as possible from the antidot. By denoting the radius of the system $w$ ith $L$ (in units of 1) the intergation in ( $\left.\bar{A}-\overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to I , yields a factor $I,=I(R) \quad \overline{\text { I }}(\mathrm{L})$. U sing ( $(\mathbb{C}-\overline{1})$ and (B2), one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I,}{h}=L^{P} \bar{"} P \overline{2 s "}+\frac{1}{2}\left(L^{2} \quad 2 s\right): \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $I_{r}$-and t-integrals can be evaluated w th the saddle point $m$ ethod, just as in the case of a one-dim ensional system. T he determ inant of the second derivative $m$ atrix is

$$
\operatorname{detD}=\operatorname{det} \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\varrho^{2} H}{@ I_{r}^{2}} T & \frac{@ H}{@ I_{r}}  \tag{C3}\\
\frac{\varrho H}{@ I_{r}} & 0
\end{array}=\frac{@ H}{@ I_{r}} \quad 2
$$

From Eqs. $\left(\bar{A} A_{-}^{-}\right)$and (23), we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@ H}{@ I_{r}} & =\frac{1}{\frac{@ g}{@ E}}=!_{c} ;  \tag{C4}\\
\operatorname{det} D & =!_{c}^{2}: \tag{C5}
\end{align*}
$$

T hus, the total am plitude of these onbits in the periodic onbit sum is

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{c} \frac{I,}{h} \frac{1}{h!_{c}} \\
&= \frac{1}{h!_{c}} L^{P} \quad P \overline{2 s "}+\frac{1}{2}\left(L^{2}\right.  \tag{C6}\\
&2 s):
\end{align*}
$$

The action can be calculated from Eq. (23), and for $\mathrm{p},=\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{m}<0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=2 I_{r}=h \quad \text {; } \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and their M aslov index is $=2$, therefore the contribution to the sem iclassical level density from these onbits reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{c}(E)=A_{c}{ }_{j=1}^{X^{3}} \cos (j " \quad j): \tag{C8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum has $D$ irac delta peaks at " $=2 n+1$, where $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$ and $\mathrm{m}<0$. These are the fam iliar Landau levels of an electron form $<0$.

## 2. Cycltoron orb its of type $B_{2}$

For cyclotron onbits encom passing the anti-dot (type $B_{2}$ ) the angular $m$ om entum _ satis es the condition $\mathrm{p}_{\prime}=\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{m}>2 \mathrm{~s}$ (see T able , IIIt). At point Q of these onbits, using (14) and (151'), we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\prime}=p^{\prime}=M r_{Q}^{2}{ }_{\ell}+e B \frac{r_{Q}^{2} \quad R^{2}}{2}: \tag{C9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them inim um and the $m$ axim um of $r_{Q}$ are $\%$ and $2 \% \quad R$, respectively. Betw een these values, $I$, as a function of $r_{Q}$, is $m$ onotonic, thus the integration in $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{3})$ over $I^{\prime}$
 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I^{\prime}}{h}=\frac{"}{2}+s \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{2 s^{\prime \prime}}: \tag{C10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly to "Coli), the am plitude of the onbits becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{c}^{+} \quad \frac{I,}{h} \frac{1}{h!_{c}}=\frac{\frac{" n}{2}+s^{P} \overline{2 s "}}{h!_{c}} \tag{C11}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing $\left(2 \mathbf{2}^{3}\right)$, the action for $\mathrm{p},=\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{m}>2 \mathrm{~s}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=2 I_{r}=h \quad("+2 s \quad 2 m) ; \tag{C12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the contribution to the periodic orbit sum of these orbits is

$$
d_{c}^{+}(E)=A_{c}^{+}{ }_{j=1}^{X^{B}} \cos [j("+2 s \quad 2 m) \quad j \quad]:(C 13)
$$

The sum has D irac delta peaks at " $=2\left(\begin{array}{ll}m & s\end{array}\right)+2 n+1$, $w$ here $m$ and $n$ are non-negative integers, and $m>2 s$. $T$ hese are again the fam iliar Landau levels of an electron form $>2 \mathrm{~s}$.
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