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#### Abstract

The spectral theorem of $m$ any-body $G$ reen's function theory relates them odynam ic correlations to $G$ reen's functions. M ore often than not, the $m$ atrix goveming the equations of $m$ otion has zero eigenvalues. In th is case, the standard text-book approach requires both com m utator and anticom $m$ utator $G$ reen's functions to obtain equations for that part of the correlation which does not lie in the null space of the $m$ atrix. In this paper, we show that this procedure fails if the pro jector onto the null space is dependent on the $m$ om entum vector, $k$. We propose an altemative form ulation of the theory in term $s$ of the non-null space alone and we show that a solution is possible if one can nd a m om entum -independent projector onto som e subspace of the non-null space. To do this, we en list the aid of the singular value decom position (SVD) of in order to project out the null space, thus reducing the size of the $m$ atrix and elim inating the need for the anti-com $m$ utator $G$ reen's function. W e extend our previous work [1], dealing $w$ ith a ferrom agnetic $H$ eisenberg $m$ onolayer and a m om entum -independent pro jector onto the null space, to m odels where both m ultilayer lm s and a m om entum -dependent projector are considered. W e develop the num erical m ethods capable of handling these cases and o er a com putational algorithm that should be applicable to any sim ilar problem arising in $G$ reen's function theory.


PACS num bers: $75.10 \mathrm{Jm}, 75.70 \mathrm{Ak}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The spectral theorem in $m$ any-body $G$ reen's function (G F) theory relates therm odynam ic correlations to G reen's functions, thus providing equations w hich, when iterated to self-consistency, allow the computation of the expectation values of the operators from which the G reen's fiunctions are constructed. Each G reen's function can be expressed in term $s$ of an inhom ogeneity of the equations of $m$ otion and higher-order $G$ reen's functions. Each higher-order GF can in tum be expressed in term $s$ of yet another inhom ogeneity and even higherorder $G$ reen's functions, and so on. Truncation of this in nite, exact hierarchy seldom occurs naturally. It is usually brought about through a decoupling approxim ation, whereby the G F s of som e order are approxim ated as linear com binations of low er-order functions which have already appeared in the hierarchy. This leads to a closed system of linear equations, the so-called equations ofm otion, which relate the $G$ reen's functions to the inhom ogeneities. A nticipating results from the detailed exposition in the next section, we $m$ ay write the equation of $m$ otion in com pact $m$ atrix notation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!1 \quad) \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{A}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $G$ is a vector whose com ponents are the $G$ reen's functions, A is a vector of associated inhom ogeneities,

[^0]and is the $m$ atrix (in generalunsym $m$ etric) containing the coe cients obtained via the truncation.

E ach $G$ reen's function has poles at all the eigenvalues, ! i, of the matrix. A s we shall show in detail in the overview section below, the spectral theorem associates a vector of correlation functions, $C$, to quantities at these poles, and thereby provides a route from the inhom ogeneity vector to the vector of correlation functions. In fact, this relationship can be expressed com pactly [2] by a m ultiplication of the vector A by a m atrix constructed from the eigenvalues, ! ir and the right and left $m$ atrices of eigenvectors, $R$; $L$ of the $m$ atrix :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{C} & =\mathrm{RELA} ;  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{L} \mathrm{R} & =; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where is a diagonalm atrix having the eigenvalues ${ }_{i}$ on the principaldiagonal and E is a related diagonalm atrix w ith elem ents $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ij}}={ }_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{!} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} 1\right)$, being $1=(\mathrm{kT})$. Since C,A, and alldepend on the set ofexpectation values of the operators used in constructing the $G$ reen's functions, Eq. 2 can be solved by varying the expectation values until the left and right hand sides of the equation are equal. U sually, the equation holds in $m$ om entum space, so a Fourier transform to coordinate space (an integration over the $m$ om entum $k$ ) is also required; i.e. a set of integralequations has to be solved self-consistently.

This procedure, while som ew hat com plicated to derive, is straightforw ard to apply, unless, as very often happens, the matrix has a null space, i.e. there are eigenvalues of value zero. In that case, a naive use of Eq. 2 would involve a division by zero in the evaluation ofE. T he standard textbook procedure for handling the null space dem ands a know ledge of the anti-com $m$ utator GF in addition to the com mutator GF from which Eq.
w as derived (see references [3, [4] and textbooks [5, 6]). $T$ his leads to additionalterm $s$ in Eq. 2 which restrict the GF m ethod to the evaluation not of the com plete vector $C$, but only to that part of $C$ which lies in the non-null space of the $m$ atrix . W hile this in itself is not necessarily a hindrance, it $m$ ay becom e one if the projector onto the null space is dependent upon the $m$ om entum $k$. In this situation, even the m odi ed equation Eq . 亿 fails (see the follow ing section); i.e. the standard textbook solution is in this case no solution.

W e propose here a new $m$ ethod that addresses this problem. It exploits the singular value decom position (SVD) of the $m$ atrix goveming the equations of $m$ otion in the $m$ any-body $G$ reen's function theory in order to treat the problem $s$ arising from the null space of the $m$ atrix. At each point in $m$ om entum space, the SVD e ects a transform ation to a sm aller num ber of $G$ reen's functions having no associated null space, so that the corresponding correlations can be obtained from the spectral theorem in the straightforw ard $m$ anner of Eq. 2. This has the double advantage of both elim inating the need for the anti-com $m$ utator $G$ and reducing the size of the
m atrix, thereby also reducing the num ber of coupled equations needed to iterate to consistency. This idea w as the sub ject of an earlier paper [1] on a ferrom agnetic $H$ eisenberg $m$ onolayer $w$ th single-ion anisotropy. T hat system is also solvable by the standard procedure because the pro jector onto the null space is $m$ om entum independent. A s such, it is an inadequate $m$ odel $w$ th $w$ hich to dem onstrate the e ect of a m om entum -dependent projector.

In the present paper, we treat as an exam ple a m odel for H eisenberg thin m sw ith exchange anisotropy, which does lead to a m om entum-dependent projector. N ote that the SVD of is itself dependent upon $k$ and so, while having the advantages $m$ entioned above, does not solve the basic problem autom atically. N evertheless, it does allow one to nd som e SVD singular vectors (vide infra) which are in fact independent of $k$, thus providing equations which can circum vent the problem of a m om entum -dependent pro jector onto the null space. In connection w ith ourm ethod, there are a num ber of nontrivial num erical di culties which arise and which become more acute when treating $\mathrm{m} s$ with m ore than one layer. In order to confront these problem s here, we extend our previous work [1] on the $m$ onolayer to $m$ ultilayer m s and we describe a num erical procedure which surm ounts these di culties.

In the follow ing section, we give an overview of the essential equations of GF theory needed to explicate our new $m$ ethod, we state the precise nature of the problem, and we describe our proposal for solving it. The section after that outlines the num erical problem $s$ which arise and provides algorithm s to solve them. Follow ing that, we present the $m$ odel for ferrom agnetic $H$ eisenberg thin
ms with exchange anisotropy as an ilhustrative exam ple: we present an algebraic exposition of the m odel for a 3-layer m , which is easy to extend to any num ber of
layers. A detailed study of the $m$ onolayer, for which all equations can be obtained analytically, reveals the structure in the singular vectors from the SVD and the eigenvectors of , which harks back to the structure of the
$m$ atrix. Som e algebraic properties of the multilayers are then deduced from the structures found in the analysis of the $m$ onolayer. In the next section of the paper, we present som e num erical results as an ilhustration. In the penultim ate section weo er som e rem arks on how to use the new $m$ ethod to aid in the design of an e cient nu$m$ ericalalgorithm . T he last section contains a discussion and sum $m$ ary.

> II. OVERVIEW
A. The standard form ulation

In this section, we supply all of the form ulae necessary to $m$ ake the paper self-contained and to allow the reader to understand and $m$ ake use of the com putational algorithm sum $m$ arized in the discussion section.

W e start w th the de nition of the retarded G reen's functions, which we shall use exclusively in this work:

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{i j ;}\left(\begin{array}{l}
t
\end{array} \quad\right. & \left.=i\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) h A_{i}(t) ; B_{j}\left(t^{0}\right)\right] i \\
& =h^{0} A_{i}(t) ; B_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) i i ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where ( $t t^{0}$ ) is equall fort $>t^{0}$ and 0 for $t<t^{0}$. $A_{i}(t)$ and $B_{j}\left(t^{0}\right)$ are operators in the $H$ eisenberg picture, and i; j are lattice site indices. $=1$ denotes anticom m utatororcommutatorG $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{h}::: \mathrm{i}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(:: \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ is the therm odynam ic expectation value, and H the H am iltonian for the system under investigation. T he G F s have a label because for multidim ensional problem s, G Fs are required for several di erent operators $A$ and $B$. At this point, one need not be $m$ ore speci $c$.

Taking the time derivative of equation (4) and perform ing a Fourier transform to energy space, one obtains an exact equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\operatorname{hhA}_{i} ; \mathrm{B}_{j} \text { ii } ;!=\mathrm{h}\left[\mathbb{A}_{i} ; \mathrm{B}_{j}\right] i+\mathrm{hh}\left[\mathbb{A}_{i} ; \mathrm{H}\right] ; \mathrm{B}_{j} \text { ii } ;!: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeated application of the equation of $m$ otion to the higher-order $G$ reen's functions appearing on the righthand side of Eq. 5 results in an in nite hierarchy of equations. In order to obtain a solvable closed set of equations, the hierarchy has to be term inated, usually by a decoupling procedure which, when restricted to the low est-order functions, leads to the set of linear relations

$$
\operatorname{hh}\left[A_{i} ; H\right] ; B_{j} i i^{\prime}, X_{m} \quad \operatorname{hh}_{m} ; B_{j} i i \quad ;
$$

$w$ here is a $m$ atrix (in general unsym $m$ etric) expressing the higher-order $G$ reen's functions in term $s$ of linear com binations of low er-order ones.

T he lattice site indices can be elim inated by a Fourier transform to m om entum space and the labels can be suppressed by w riting the equation ofm otion in com pact $m$ atrix notation, where the vectors have com ponents indexed by the labels :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!1 \quad) \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{A} \quad ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here 1 is the unit $m$ atrix, and the inhom ogeneity vector has components $A=h[A ; B]$ i. $N$ ote that $G$ depends on energy and $m$ om entum ( $G=G(!; k)$ ) and that $A+1$ depends upon the $m$ om entum $k$, whereas A 1 does not.

It is now convenient to introduce the notation of the eigenvectorm ethod of reference [2], since it is particularly suitable for the multi-dim ensional problem $s$ in which $m$ any zero eigenvalues are likely to appear. O ne starts by diagonalizing the $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} R=\text {; } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e$ is the diagonal $m$ atrix of N eigenvalues, ! ( = 1;::; N ), $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ of which are zero and $\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{N}_{0}\right)$ are non-zero. The $m$ atrix $R$ contains the right eigenvectors as colum ns and its inverse $L=R^{1}$ contains the left eigenvectors as row $\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{L}$ is constructed such that $L R=R L=1 . M$ ultiplying equation (7) from the left by L , inserting $1=R L$, and de ning new vectors $G=L G$ and $A=$ LA one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!1 \quad) \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{A}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The crucialpoint is that each of the com ponents of this G reen's function vector has but a single pole

$$
\begin{equation*}
(G)=\frac{(A)}{!!}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allow s the standard spectral theorem, see e.g. [5, 6], to be applied to each com ponent of the $G$ reen's function vector separately: O ne can then de ne the correlation vector $C=L C_{k}$, where $C_{k}=h B A i$ is the vector of correlations associated w th G (the index k is added to em phasize that one is in $m$ om entum space).

For the com mutator functions ( $=1$ ), the $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{6}$ com ponents for ! $\quad 0,\left(C^{1}\right)$ (the upper index 1 refers to the non-null space), are

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(C^{1}\right) & \left.=\frac{i}{2} \lim _{!}^{Z_{1}} d!\frac{\left(G_{1}(!+i)\right.}{e!} G_{1}(!\quad i)\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(A_{1}\right)}{e!1}: \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation cannot be used to de ne the $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ com ponents of $C^{0}$ (the upper index 0 refers to the null space) corresponding to $!=0$ because of the zero in the denom inator. Instead, one $m$ ust enlist the help of the anticom $m$ utator $G$ reen's function [3, [4, 5, 6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C^{0}\right)_{0}=\lim _{!!} \frac{1}{2}!(G=+1)_{0}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com ponents of $\mathrm{C}^{0}$, indexed by 0 , can be simpli ed by using the relation between the com $m$ utator and anticom $m$ utator inhom ogeneties, $\mathrm{A}_{+1}=\mathrm{A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$. This yields, for ! $0=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(C^{0}\right)_{0} & =\frac{1}{2} \lim _{0} \frac{!(A+1)_{0}}{!!!_{0}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{+1}\right)_{0} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(L^{0}\left(A_{1}+2 C_{k}\right)\right)_{0}=\left(L^{0} C_{k}\right)_{0} ; \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the regularity condition [2], $\mathrm{L}^{0} \mathrm{~A} \quad{ }_{1}=$ 0 , which derives from the fact that the commutator $G$ reen's function is regular at the origin :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!!} L^{0}(!1 \quad) G_{1}=L^{0} A_{1}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, we use superscripts 0 and 1 to denote the vectors belonging to zero and non-zero eigenvalues, respectively. T he right and left eigenvectors and the correlation vectors $m$ ay then be partitioned as

$$
R=\left(R^{1} R^{0}\right) \quad L=\begin{align*}
& L^{1}  \tag{15}\\
& L^{0}
\end{aligned} \quad C=\begin{aligned}
& C^{1} \\
& C^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where the correlation vectors from equations (11) and (13) are then $C^{0}=L^{0} C_{k}$ and $C^{1}=E^{1} L^{1} A_{1}$, and $\mathrm{E}^{1}$ is the $\left(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}\right) \quad(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{N})$ ) m atrix w ith $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{e}! & 1)\end{array}\right.$ on the diagonal.

M ultiplying the correlation vector C from the left by $R$ yields a com pact $m$ atrix equation for the original correlation vector $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1 } \left.\quad \mathrm{R}^{0} \mathrm{~L}^{0}\right) C_{k}=\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{E}^{1} \mathrm{~L}^{1} \mathrm{~A}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equation and for the rest of this paper, an inhom ogeneity w thout a subscript alw ays refers to the case $=1$,i.e. A $A_{1}$.Eq. 16 is in $m$ om entum space and the coupled system of integral equations obtained by Fourier transform ation to coordinate space has to be solved self-consistently. U sually one is interested only in the diagonal correlations; i.e. one has to perform an integration over $k$ in the rst B rillouin zone Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{dk} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the C w thout the subscript denotes the vector of diagonal correlations in coordinate space.

## B. The problem and a proposal for solving it.

The quantity ( $\mathrm{R}^{0} \mathrm{~L}^{0}$ ) functions as a projection operator onto the non-null space, and as such has no inverse, so that $C_{k}$ cannot be extracted from Eq.16. This tells us that the $G$ reen's fiunction $m$ ethod as form ulated here can retrieve only part of the full correlation vector in coordinate space.

In cases where the projector is $m$ om entum independent, which is the case in most of our previous
work [1, 2, 7, 8, [9, 10], it is not necessary to know the complete $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$, because one can take the projector outside the $k$-integration, solving the resulting equation self-consistently in coordinate space:

$$
\left(1 \quad R^{0} L^{0}\right) C={ }^{Z} \mathrm{dkR}^{1} \mathrm{E}^{1} \mathrm{~L}^{1} A:
$$

The $m$ atrix elem ents of , the inhom ogeneity vector A and the correlation vector $C$ in coordinate space depend only on the them odynam ic expectation values ( $m$ agnetizations and their $m$ om ents), which are the variables in term $s$ of which the system of equations (18) is solved. This procedure was follow ed in $m$ ost ofour previous w ork [2, 7, $8,9,10]$.

Should, how ever the null-space pro jector depend on $k$, the above form ulation cannot be used to solve for the expectation values because there is no expression for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ available; i.e. the standard procedure fails. A w ay around this is to transform the G reen's functions so as to elim inate the com ponents lying in the nullspace. T he spectral theorem then leads to a working equation in term s of a correlation vector which lies in the non-null space.

The tool for nding the necessary transform ation is the singular value decom position (SVD) [11, 12] of the
m atrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{UW} V: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ atrix $W$ is a diagonal $m$ atrix whose elem ents are the singular values, which are 0 and $U$ and $V$ are orthonorm al m atriges containing the singular vectors: $U U=1$ and $V V=1$, where $V$ denotes the transpose of V. N ote that the $m$ atrix is fully determ ined by the non-zero singular values and their corresponding singular vectors alone:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=U W V=u W V: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u$ and $v$ are $N \quad(N \quad N)$ m atrices obtained from $U$ and $V$ by om itting the colum ns corresponding to the zero singular values. Them atrix $w$ is the $\left(\mathbb{N} \quad N_{0}\right) \quad(\mathbb{N} \quad N)$ diagonalm atrix w ith the non-zero singular values on the diagonal. The rem aining $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes associated $w$ th the null space are denoted by $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$. The $N$ N matrices $\mathrm{V} v$ and $\mathrm{v}_{0} \nabla_{0}$ are projectors onto the nonnull space and null space of , respectively. The sum of these two projectors spans the com plete space: vv + $\mathrm{v}_{0} \mathrm{w}_{0}=1$. It should be bome in m ind that although $R^{0} L^{0}$ also behaves as a projector onto the null space, one cannot identify $v_{0}$ w ith $R^{0}$ or $\nabla_{0}$ w th $L^{0}$, since $R^{0}$ and $L^{0}$ result from diagonalization of the unsym $m$ etric $m$ atrix. In fact we see from Eq .20 that $\mathrm{L}^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ust lie in the space spanned by $u_{0}$ so that $\left(L^{0} u\right) w w^{0} L^{0}=0$ and sim ilarly $\mathrm{R}^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ust lie in the space spanned by $\mathrm{V}_{0}$. $N$ ote that $V$ and $U$ are $m$ atrioes of the eigenvectors of the sym $m$ etric $m$ atrices $\sim$ and $\sim$, respectively; the eigenvalues of both of these $m$ atrioes are the squares $w_{i}^{2}$ of the singular values of.

The crucialpoint is that the dim ension of the equations ofm otion can be reduced by the num ber of zero singular values, which is equal to the num ber of zero eigenvalues of , by applying the follow ing transform ations

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\forall v ;  \tag{21}\\
g & =\forall G ;  \tag{22}\\
a & =\forall A ;  \tag{23}\\
c & =\forall C_{k}: \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

This can be shown by multiplying Eq. 1 from the left by $v$ and using the identity (recall that $\nabla v=1$ ) $v v^{=}$ uw $\boxtimes v \nabla=$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall(!1 \quad W) G=\forall A \\
& (!1 \quad v)_{* G G}=\forall A \\
& \text { (! } 1 \quad \text { ) } g=a: \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere again, the eigenvector $m$ ethod can be used. M atrices $l=L^{1} v$ and $r=\forall R^{1}$ diagonalize the $m$ atrix, $\operatorname{lr}=!^{1}$, where ! ${ }^{1}$ is identical to the $m$ atrix ofnon-zero eigenvalues of the full matrix (see A ppendix A). The spectral theorem applied to the equation ofm otion $w$ th the $m$ atrix , which now has no zero eigenvalues, yields the equation for the correlations $c=\forall C_{k}$ in $m$ om entum space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{rE} \mathrm{E}^{1} \mathrm{la} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\left(\mathbb{N} \quad N_{0}\right) \quad\left(\mathbb{N} \quad N_{0}\right)$ diagonalm atrix $E^{1}$ has the sam e elem ents as before, $1=\left(e^{!} \quad 1\right)$.

In order to determ ine the correlations in coordinate space, one has to perform a Fourier transform and then self-consistently solve the system of integralequations

$$
0=\quad \mathrm{dk}\left(r E^{1} l \forall A \quad \forall C_{k}\right) ;
$$

where $A$ and $C_{k}$ are the inhom ogeneity and correlation vectors of the original problem, and the integration is over the rst B rillouin zone. A s pointed out in the introduction, Eq. 27 still has the problem that the row-vectors in the $m$ atrix $v$ are in general dependent on the $m$ om entum; how ever, for the m odel we have investigated, it is possible to nd som e row-vectors which are indeed independent of $k$. Only these row sin Eq. 27 m ay be used as working equations, for only then can those row-vectors in the last term on the rhs of Eq. 27 be taken outside the integral, allow ing the term to be evaluated from the correlation vector in coordinate space:

$$
\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{Zk} \nabla_{j} C_{k}=\nabla_{j} \quad \mathrm{dk} C_{k}=\nabla_{j} C \text {; }
$$

where the index $j$ labels one of the $k$-independent row vectors.

The above procedure form ally solves the problem of the nullspace, but there rem ain tw o non-trivialproblem s bom of the need to use num ericalm ethods to obtain the vectors $\forall$ and $\forall 0$ in $m$ ost cases. A precise statem ent of these problems and a description of the procedures needed to solve them are the sub ject of the next section.

## III. M ETHODS FOR SOLUTION

Here we describe in detail the num erical di culties which arise because of the arbitrariness associated $w$ ith any num ericaldeterm ination of the singular vectors: vectors belonging to degenerate singular values are deter$m$ ined only up to an orthogonaltransform ation and the phases of non-degenerate vectors are not xed [12]. This anbitrariness $m$ ay be rem oved by a sm oothing procedure applied either to the SVD vectors as they are, (i.e. untreated vectors), or to vectors w hich have been previously sub jected (optionally) to a labelling procedure. The som ew hat lengthy description here will be shortened to a recipe given later in the discussion section.

## A. The num ericaldi culties

W hen the row vectors in $v$ are determ ined num erically, they are unique only up to a sign change or, in the case of degenerate singular values, an orthogonal transform ation of the degenerate vectors: each tim e these vectors are com puted anew, they are in e ect rotated by a random am ount w ith respect to vectors from the previous calculation; hence, even if the elem ents of the $m$ atrix are changed continuously (e.g. by varying them om entum $k$ upon which they depend), the com puted vectors $\psi$ from the singular value decom position $w$ ill not necessarily be continuous. $T$ his is also true of the vectors in $m$ atrices $r$ and $l$, which are obtained by a num ericaldiagonalization, but these occur in Eq. 26 as factors separated only by a diagonal $m$ atrix having the sam e degeneracy structure as the eigenvectors, so that the arbitrariness in $r$ and $l$ canceleach other. T he vectors $\psi$, how ever, appear alone, so that vectors at neighbouring values of $k \mathrm{w}$ ill in general have anbitrary phases. If they di er by a change of sign, the integrands in Eq. 27 exh ibit discontinuities, preventing num erical evaluation of the integrals over $k$; we denote this problem by the term phase di culty. If the vectors di er by an orthogonal transform ation, the individualm em bers of E q. 27 w ill refer to di erent things at each value of $k$, and no $m$ eaningful system of equations can result; we shall call this the labelling di culty, for reasons which willbecom e apparent. B oth of these di culties can be overcom e by rotating the vectors $w$ am ong them selves to obtain a new set which spans the sam e space, is labelled, and has a sm ooth dependence on $k$. It should be em phasized that this rotation preserves the exact nature of the vectors $\forall$; they are not renorm alized or adjusted in any other way whatsoever.

At this point, it is pedagogically useful to consider a concrete exam ple of the di culties. To do this we anticipate the $m$ odelofsection $I V$ for a 2-layer lm , forwhich the $m$ atrix has dim ension 6 and the null space has dim ension 2; hence, the two null vectors from the SVD exhibit both the labelling di culty (because the vectors belong to degenerate singular values) and the phase di culty. The rst three com ponents of these vectors refer to
layer-1 and the second three refer to layer 2. W e calculate the dot product of each of the tw o vectors $\mathbb{E}_{0} \mathrm{w}$ th the vector ( $1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0$ ) (lying fully in the space of layer-1) as a function of the $m$ om entum $k$ along a line $k_{x}=k_{y}$ in the rst B rillouin zone. T he num erical com putation of the dot products su ers from both of the di culties $m$ entioned above, as show $n$ in panel (a) of $F$ ig. 1 , where the full circles denote the dot product $w$ th the rst vector and the full triangles the dot product $w$ ith the second vector. H ere the words \ rst" and \second" refer to the order in which the vectors are retumed from the subroutine calculating the singular value decom position (the untreated vectors); they have no other signi cance. $C$ learly, the behaviour of the vectors as a function of $k$ is unacceptable, for it would prevent our successfilly evaluating the integrals num erically. B ecause of the w ay in which we calculate the integrals in Eq. 27, it is of the utm ost im portance to ensure that the vectors vary sm oothly w ith $k$ before the integralcalculation is begun. In evaluating each integral, the range of $k$ is divided into pieces and the contribution from each piece to the total integral is sum $m$ ed. Then, the pieces are chopped into sm aller pieces and the procedure repeated until the integralestim ates (the sum s) from tw o successive subdivisions agree to w ithin som e error tolerance. This allow s us to put the m ore e ort into those regions where the integrands are largest or change rapidly. This $m$ eans that tw o successive integrand calculations $m$ ay correspond to values of $k$ far rem oved from each other. Thus, global sm oothness is necessary; i.e. the phases of the vectors over the whole range ofk m ust be xed prior to the calculation of the integrals. This is achieved by a sm oothing procedure, which we shalldescribe presently, but rst we address the labelling problem.

## B . A labelling procedure

It m ay be necessary to label the vectors $v$ and $v_{0}$ either to ensure that vectors at neighbouring values of $k$ refer to the sam e things or to construct vectors having som e speci c property (e.g. k-independence). It is instructive to note that, whereas the null space vectors $\nabla_{0}$ (as delivered by the SVD ) have no labels distinguishing them from each other, the vectors $v$ are labelled by the singular values to which they belong, provided only that the latter are not degenerate. B ecause degeneracies can arise, for som e particular value of $k$ say, we cannot rely on this labelling to protect us from the kind of discontinuities show $n$ in panel (a) ofF ig. [1. $N$ ow the singular values are of no im portance in them selves: they serve only to separate the full space into a null space and non-null space. $W$ e are therefore free to take any linear com bination of the vectors $w^{v}$ am ong them selves or $w_{0}$ am ong them selves. $T$ his freedom perm its an assignm ent of unique labels of our own choosing.

A very general approach is to generate block labels: the $G$ reen's functions are separated into labelled sets, so


FIG. 1: D ot products of the two $\mathbb{E}_{0}$ vectors of a bilayer (see the m odel described in section IV) onto the vector $(1,1,1,0,0,0)$ lying in the space of layer-1 as a function of the m om entum k. (a) untreated vectors, (b) vectors labelled with layer index, (c) vectors labelled and sm oothed (fillline); vectors sm oothed but not labelled (dashed line). C alculations are for a 2-layer $m$ with exchange energy $J=100$, exchange an isotropy $D=0: 7, B^{x}=0: 1 ; B^{z}=0$, at tem perature $\mathrm{T}=90$. ( T he reorientation tem perature for the m agnetization is $T_{R}=91$ ).
that the $m$ atrix is partitioned into blocks characterized by the row label index and colum $n$ label index. Just how the blocks are chosen depends upon the m odelunder consideration. e.g. in the m odel used to construct Fig. 1 (vide infra), each block corresponds to G reen's functions having the sam e layer index. A set of reference vectors, $V_{\text {ref }}$, can then be constructed by nding the SVD of the associated m atrix ref which is just the blocked matrix with allo -diagonalblocks set to zero. T hism ust be done so that the reference vectors also have a block structure: each vector has non-zero com ponents only for the block to which it belongs, so that it $m$ ay be labelled w ith that


FIG.2: Fullm atrix expressed as a sum of the diagonal reference $m$ atrix ref and the o-diagonalblocks. Them atrix of reference vectors also has a block structure and is the direct sum of the row-vectors belonging to each block.
block index, as shown in Fig. 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{ref}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ref}} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{ref} \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{ref}}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he set of reference vectors so constructed span the sam e space as all of the original untreated vectors ( $\sigma$ and $w_{0}$ together): $V V=V_{r e f} V_{r e f}$. It is convenient to de ne a block-labeloperator in term $s$ of the reference vectors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {op }}:=V_{i=1}^{(i)} V_{r e f}^{(i)} V_{r e f}^{(i)} ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $L$ ( $i$ ) is som e label for block $i$ (for a speci c choice, see A ppendix B ), $N_{B}$ is the num ber of blocks, and $V_{\text {ref }}^{(i)}$ is the set of reference vectors belonging to block i. T he $m$ atrix of $P_{o p}$ in the basis of the singular vectors $v$ (or, analogously, $\mathrm{V}_{0}$, if these are needed) of the full m atrix is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\nabla P_{o p} V=\sum_{i=1}^{X B} S_{i} S_{i} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}:=\overline{L(i)} V_{r e f}^{(i)} v$ This is equivalent to de ning $P$ as a product of overlap $m$ atrices expressed in term $s$ of
a matrix of the reference vectors each multiplied by the square root of their labels:

See $F$ ig. 2 for the $m$ eaning of the direct sum symbol. $W$ e now write $S$ in term $s$ of its singular value decom position:

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =L y Z  \tag{34}\\
S S & =Z y^{2} Z: \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. $Z$ diagonalizes $S S$, the $m$ atrix of the block-label operator. The singular values of $S$ are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the block-label operator in the basis $v$. In other words, if each vector in $v$ could be brought into coincidence with one of the reference vectors, then these vectors w ould have the sam e labels as the reference vectors. $T$ his does not, in general, occur, because the odiagonalblocks of the $m$ atrix ensure that the reference vectors cannot all be singular vectors of the full m atrix; hence, the squares of the singular values of $S$ can be used as labels of transform ed vectors, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{L}=Z_{V}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ atrix of the block-labeloperator in the basis $v_{L}$ is $y^{2}$, a diagonalm atrix. This tells us that we have found a rotation (recall that ZZ = 1) that associates the vectors $\nabla_{L}$ as closely as possible $w$ ith the reference vectors. If the block-labelm ethod is to be practicable, then the values $y^{2}$ should lie close to the labels $L$ (i).

In the concrete exam ple offig. (1) panel (b) show s the dot products again, this tim e com puted from vectors $\forall_{0}$; labelled w ith a layer-index. T he erratic behaviour has now disappeared: it is clear that one of the null vectors, (the one whose dot product is nearly zero) is now associated largely w ith layer 2, while the other is associated w ith layer 1. N ote that the discontinuities com ing from the arbitrary signs of the vectors still rem ains. T his can be cured w ith the sm oothing procedure.

## C. The sm ooth ing procedure

At this point, it is convenient to drop the bold-face $k$, w riting sim ply $k$ instead, since we can, without loss of generality, discuss the $m$ ethodology in term $s$ of 1dim ensional integrals only. In fact, our m odels em ploy the sim ple cubic lattice, where the 2-D integrals can be reduced to $1-D$ integrals by exploiting the sym $m$ etry in the term scontaining $k_{x}$ and $k_{y}$. The rst B rillouin zone is $m$ apped onto the $k$-line in the interval0 $\mathrm{k} \quad 1 . \mathrm{N}$ ote that $k$ is not sim ply the $m$ agnitude of $k$, but rather a param eter which determ ines the value of all tem $s$ which depend on the $m$ om entum $k$.

The sm oothing procedure is akin to the labelling $m$ ethod in that untreated vectors $V$, which we shall here
refer to as target vectors, are brought into as close a $00-$ incidence as possible $w$ th the reference vectors. i.e. the target vectors are rotated to $m$ atch the ( xed) reference vectors as well as possible. H ere, how ever, the reference vectors are sets of standard vectors determ ined at various reference values of k in the interval $0 \mathrm{k} \quad 1$. As such, the reference vectors do not span exactly the sam e space as the set of target vectors at a neighbouring value of $k$, so a slightly di erent procedure $m$ ust be em ployed. N ote that in this section, the untreated vectors $V\left(V=\left(v ; V_{0}\right)\right) m$ ay orm ay not be labelled vectors $V_{L}$, so we shall drop the subscript $L$ for generality. If the vectors are not labelled, the $m$ ethod presented here $m$ ust be pow erfiulenough to cure the erratic behaviour shown in panel (a) of Fig . 1 , not just the sign changes shown in panel (b) of the same gure. (See the dashed line in panel (c) of the gure for such a case.)

W e achieve the global sm oothing in two stages. Prior to the integralcalculation, we com pute sets ofw ell-placed
 points in the $k$-interval. These allow us to generate by interpolation an appropriate reference vector anyw here on the k -interval. Then, during the calculation of the integrands in Eq. 27, we rotate the vectors $v$ at a particular k so as to m atch as closely as possible the interpolated reference vectors appropriate for that $k$.

To construct the sets of reference vectors, we start by obtaining the vectors $V_{\text {ref }}\left(k_{0}\right)$ at som e point $k_{0}$, taking these to be prim ary reference vectors. M oving aw ay from $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ a sm alldistance (e.g. a tenth of the k range), we calculate the vectors $V\left(k_{1}\right)$ at the trial point $k_{1}$ and from these, we construct a set of reference vectors $V_{\text {ref }}\left(k_{1}\right)$ by a procedure designed to $n d$ the best $m$ atch of $V_{\text {ref }}\left(k_{1}\right)$ $w$ ith $V_{r e f}\left(k_{0}\right)$. (A $n$ exposition of the $m$ ethods to nd a generalrotation $m$ atching tw o vector spaces can be found in A ppendix B.) The overlap of corresponding vectors in the two sets are used as a criterion for accepting or rejecting the trial point. If the point is accepted, points further aw ay are tested until either som e m axim um allow ed distance is reached, or a point fails the test. If the rst trialpoint is rejected, the step-size is halved to get a new test point. In this way, a set of secondary reference points can be found linking the vectors over the whole k -interval to the prim ary reference vectors. For the w ork reported here, sets of about 10 to 25 reference points su ced to ensure a very large overlap ( 0.98 , where 1.0 is \perfect") of corresponding reference vectors at neighbouring $k$-points.

O nce the sets of reference vectors are in place at the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}$ reference points $\mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{i}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{g}$, sm oothed vectors at any $k m$ ay be obtained in tw o steps: 1) a set of reference vectors at $k$ is obtained by interpolating betw een the vectors at the reference points $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{h}}$ which bracket $k: k_{1} \quad k \quad k .2$ ) The vectors $v$ (untreated or labelled) are then rotated to be as close as possible to those in the interpolated reference set.

The com putation of the interpolated reference vectors
requires three steps:

1. $W$ eights are de ned for the vectors at $k_{1}$ and $k_{h}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}=\cos ^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{k}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{h}}} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}}{} ; \\
& \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{h}}=1 \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{l}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

2. A set of interpolated approxim ate reference vectors at $k, \bar{V}_{\text {ref }}(k)$ is obtained as a w eighted sum of the vectors at $k_{1}$ and $k_{h}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{ref}}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ref}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{ref}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{h}}\right): \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. T he approxim ate vectors are orthonorm alized by a transform ation found by diagonalizing their overlap m atrix Y :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y} & =\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{ref}} \overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{ref}} ; \\
& =\mathrm{TYT} \\
\mathrm{Y}^{1=2} & =\mathrm{T}^{1=2} \mathrm{~T} ; \\
V_{\mathrm{ref}} & =\mathrm{Y}^{1=2} \overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{ref}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

T he overlap $m$ atrix of the transform ed vectors is clearly unity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\mathrm{ref}} V_{\mathrm{ref}} & =\mathrm{T} \quad{ }^{1=2}(\mathrm{~T} Y \mathrm{~T}) \quad 1=2 \mathrm{~T} ; \\
& =\mathrm{T} \quad 1=2()^{1=2} \mathrm{~T} ; \\
& =1:
\end{aligned}
$$

The functional dependence of the weights chosen here ensures that the interpolated quantities are continuous and $s m$ ooth at the reference points. W e now have reference vectors for the non-null space and the null space $\left(V_{\text {ref }}=\left(v_{\text {ref }} ; v_{0 ; \text { ref }}\right)\right)$.

T he procedure form atching the untreated (or labelled) vectors $v$ w ith the intenpolated reference vectors $v^{v}$ ref during the integrand calculation is to seek a transform ation Q that rotates the target vectors am ong them selves so as to achieve the best $m$ atch, just as in the labelling procedure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{S}=Q \nabla: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Q$ is obtained via the singular value decom position of the overlap $m$ atrix of the reference vectors $w$ ith the target vectors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =W_{\mathrm{ref}} \mathrm{~V} \\
& =\mathrm{LXZ} \\
\mathrm{Q} & =\mathrm{LZ}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x$ is the diagonalm atrix of singular values of the overlap $m$ atrix, which are all very close to unity by construction. Q is indeed a rotation because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Q Q}=\mathrm{Z} Z=1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

the latter equality holding because the overlap m atrix has no nullspace. T he overlap of the transform ed vectors w ith the reference vectors is alm ost the unit $m$ atrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{{ }^{\prime} r e f} V_{S}=S Z I \sim=L \times Z Z I \sim L \times I \sim \quad 1: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the new vectors are as close as possible to the reference vectors. This procedure xes the phases of the new vectors, because the transform ation $m$ atrix $Q$ is the product of the $m$ atrices $L$ and $Z^{\sim} w h i c h$ stem from $a \sin -$ gle SVD com putation, so that the arbitrariness in the num erical determ ination of $L$ and $Z$ is lifted.

To sum m arize: the untreated vectors can be both labelled and sm oothed by the transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{L S}=Q \nabla_{L}=L Z_{Z}^{\sim} \nabla^{*}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our concrete exam ple, the e ect of the sm oothing operation is seen in panel (c) of $F$ ig. 1. T he solid lines are the result of applying the sm oothing to the labelled vectors in panel (b): $\nabla_{0} ; \mathrm{s} L=L Z^{\sim} Z \mathbb{w}_{0}$, where $w_{0}$ are the untreated vectors labelled only by the singular values 0 . The dashed lines result from sm oothing the untreated vectors in panel (a): $w_{0 ; s}=L Z_{w_{0}}$.

In our exam ple, we have not attem pted to calibrate the prim ary vectors in any way, but this could be done if desired; how ever, this would still be a very cnude $w$ ay of labelling the vectors. A m ore im portant function of the prim ary vector is to ensure that allpoints in the region of a given point are related to one another. $k$ is not the only param eter determ ining the elem ents of the $m$ atrix; the expectation values of the spin operators are others. In particular, if the derivatives of som e quantity w ith respect to any of these param eters is needed, then it is a good idea to retain som e standard vector w ith which to calibrate the prim ary vectors for all points in the neighbourhood of som e given point.
IV. HEISENBERG HAM ILTONIAN W ITH
EXCHANGEANISOTROPY
A. A lgebraic form ulation

In order to illustrate the labelling and sm oothing procedures described here, we consider the model of reference[14], a H eisenberg H am ittonian w ith an exchange anisotropy for thin ferrom agnetic lm . In contrast to the work in reference[1], the pro jector onto the null space here depends on the m om entum. To show the im portance of the labelling procedure, w e need to extend the m odel of reference [1] to m ultilayer thin m s .

In what follow s, a com posite subscript of the type $k$ refers to the site $k$ in layer. W e shallconsider only nearest neighbour interactions in a sim ple cubic lattice structure. T he H am iltonian is characterized by an exchange interaction w ith strength $\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{l}>0\right)$ betw een nearest neighbour lattice sites, a uniaxialexchange anisotropy in
the $z$-direction $w$ th strength $\left(D_{k} l^{>} 0\right)$, and an extemalmagnetic eld $B=\left(B^{x} ; 0 ; B^{z}\right)$ con ned to the reorientation plane of the $m$ agnetization:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\frac{1}{2}_{\langle k 1\rangle}^{X} J_{k l}\left(S_{k} S_{1}^{+}+S_{k}^{z} S_{1}^{z}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2}_{\langle k l>}^{X} D_{k l} S_{k}^{z} S_{1}^{z} \\
& \text { X } \quad B^{\times} \frac{1}{2}\left(S_{k}^{+}+S_{k}\right)+B^{z} S_{k}^{z} \quad: \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere the notation $S_{k}=S_{k}^{x} \quad i S_{k}^{Y} \quad$ is introduced, where k and l are lattice site indices and $\langle\mathrm{k} \mathrm{l}>$ indicates sum $m$ ation over nearest neighbours only. To fully describe $m$ agnetization in the $x z-p l a n e$ for anbitrary spin $S, G$ reen's functions of the follow ing type are required:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }_{; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}} ;\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is one of $f+; \quad ; z g$ and $m$ and $n$ are integers which are determ ined by the spin $S$. The equation of $m$ otion for this $G$ reen's function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { h i }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{r}{ }_{r}^{m n}=\frac{h}{n} S_{r} ;\left(S_{s}^{z}\right)^{m}\left(S_{s}\right)^{n} \quad: \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

T he generalized T yablikov decoupling approxim ation [16] is now applied to each $G$ reen's function on the rhs of the equation ofm otion, e.g.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r} S_{t} ;::: \quad h S_{r} i G_{t}{ }_{i s}^{m n}+h S_{t} i G_{r}{ }_{r i s}^{m n}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elim ination of the site indices by means of a Fourier transform ation to m om entum space results in the ma trix form of the equations ofm otion shown in Eq. 1. The com ponents in the $G$ reen's function vector have the superscripts and m n and two subscript layer-indices and depend on energy and $m$ om entum : $G$ mn (! ;k).

## 1. The 3-layer $m$ odel

W e now specialize the exposition to the 3-layer m , since it is the smallest non-trivial exam ple from which the extension to larger ms is obvious. For this case,

Eq. 1 has the follow ing structure:

| 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 6!1 \\ & 6!1 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & B \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 21 | 22 | 23 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 0 | C 7 |
|  |  | 0 | 32 | 33 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 0 | C |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 20 | 00 | 0 | 0 | C |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 230 | 0 | 0 | C |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 23 | 330 | 0 | 0 | C |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 011 | 12 | 0 | C 7 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 021 | 22 | 23 | A |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 32 | 33 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | $\mathrm{G}_{11}{ }^{1}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{A}_{11}{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{G}_{21} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | 308 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{B}{B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{31} \mathrm{C}$ |  | B | B C |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | B | $\mathrm{G}_{12} \mathrm{C}$ |  | B | 30 C |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{B}{B}$ | G 22 C | $\underset{C}{C}=$ | $=\frac{B}{B}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }} \mathrm{A}_{22} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G}_{22} \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | B | ${ }^{3} 0$ C |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{G}_{32} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | B 0 C |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{G}_{13} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | B C |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{G}_{23}$ A |  |  | 0 A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{G}_{33}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{A}_{33}$ |  |  |  |

Each of the entries in the 9 m atrix is in fact a 33 m atrix corresponding to a $G$ reen's function vector w th the same m n values and layer subscripts but w th superscripts $=\mathrm{f}+$; ; zg characterizing the vector com ponents. U sing i as a layer-index, the diagonal $m$ atrix ii is

$$
i i=\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & H_{i}^{z} & 0 & H_{i}^{x} & 1  \tag{47}\\
& 0 & H_{i}^{z} & H_{i}^{X} & A
\end{array}:
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{i}^{z}= & Z_{i}+h S_{i}^{z} i J_{i i}(q \quad k) ; \\
Z_{i}= & B^{z}+D_{i i} q h S_{i}^{z} i+\left(J_{i ; i+1}+D_{i ; i+1}\right) h S_{i+1}^{z} i \\
& +\left(J_{i ; i 1}+D_{i ; i 1}\right) h S_{i 1}^{z} i ;  \tag{48}\\
H_{i}^{x}= & B^{x}+h S_{i}^{x} i J_{i i}(q \quad k)+J_{i ; i+1} h S_{i+1}^{x} i+J_{i ; i 1} h S_{i 1}^{x} i ; \\
H_{i}^{x}= & H_{i}^{x} \quad h S_{i}^{x} i D_{i i} k:
\end{align*}
$$

For a square lattioe and a lattioe constant taken to be unity, $k=2\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$, and $\mathrm{q}=4$ is the num ber of intra-layer nearest neighbours. T he o -diagonal sub$m$ atrioes $i j$ for $j=i \quad 1$ are of the form

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & J_{i j} h S_{i}^{z} i & 0 & \left(J_{i j}+D_{i j}\right) h S_{i}^{x} i^{1}  \tag{49}\\
0 & 0 & J_{i j} h S_{i}^{z} i & \left(J_{i j}+D_{i j}\right) h S_{i}^{x} i A
\end{array}:
$$

U nlike the elem ents of the $-m$ atrix, the com ponents of the vectors $C$ and $A$ are dependent on the values of $m$ and $n$. For spin $S=1$, the values of ( $m ; n$ ) required are $(0 ; 1)$ and $(1 ; 1)$. T he values of the diagonal correlations $C_{i i}$ and $A_{i i}$ for each value of are shown in $T a b l$ le 1 he layer index i has been om itted for brevity.

The block-diagonal structure of the $m$ atrix in Eq. 46 allow $s$ us to write Eq. 46 in term $s$ of $G$ reen's functions and inhom ogeneities labelled by a single layer index i.

TABLE I: D iagonal correlations and inhom ogeneities for sp in $S=1$.

|  |  | $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}=(0 ; 1)$ | $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}=(1 ; 1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline+ \\ - \\ z \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{h} 2 S^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{i} \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{~h} S^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 S^{z} i+3 h S^{z} S^{z} i \\ h S^{x} S^{x} i \\ h S^{z} S^{x} i \end{gathered}$ |
| C | $+$ <br> - <br> z | $\begin{gathered} 2 h^{z} i S^{z} S^{z} i \\ h S^{x} S^{x} i \\ h S^{x} i+h S^{z} S^{x} i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} h S^{z} i \quad h S^{z} S^{z} i \\ h S^{z} S^{x} S^{x} i \\ h S^{z} S^{x} i+h S^{z} S^{z} S^{x} i \end{gathered}$ |

De ne singly-indexed G reen's function vectors (here 3 $3=9$ com ponents), their corresponding correlations, and inhom ogeneities for $f i=1 ; 2 ; 3 g$.

The (9) m atrioes are independent of an index:

$$
=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & & & 1  \tag{50}\\
& 11 & 12 & 0 \\
21 & 22 & 23 \\
0 & 32 & 33
\end{array}:
$$

The big equations can now be replaced by 3 sm aller equations ofm otion and correlation equations $i=1 ; 2 ; 3$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
(!1 \quad) G_{i} & =A_{i} ;  \tag{51}\\
\left(1 \quad R^{0} L^{0}\right) C_{i}(k) & =R^{1} E^{1} L^{1} A_{i}: \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the SVD to yields six vectors $\forall$ in the nonnull space (each layer contributes one null vector). T he corresponding six correlationsc are obtained by m ultiplying the last equation by $v$ and inserting $v w+v_{0} v_{0}=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{W}\left(1 \quad R^{0} L^{0}\right) C_{i}(k)=R{ }^{1} E^{1} L^{1}\left(v w^{+}+V_{0} w_{0}\right) A_{i}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use of $\forall R^{0}=0, L^{1} v_{0}=0$ (see Appendix A), $r=\nabla R^{1}$, and $\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{v}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}(k)=r E^{1} l \forall A_{i} ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, after integration over k corresponds to Eq.27.

## 2. A nalytical algebraic results for the m onolayer

W e now investigate in detail the m onolayer for spin $S=1$, for which $m$ any results can be obtained analytically and reveal features which are pertinent to the structures found for the $m$ ultilayers.
$T$ he $m$ onolayer $m$ odel leads to an equation of $m$ otion w ith the single diagonalblock 11 and the vectors $\mathrm{G}_{11}$, $C_{11}$, and $A_{11}$. For the rem ainder of this section, we shall drop the subscripts 11. The eigenvalues of are
f0; $k$; ${ }_{k}$ g, where ${ }_{k}=P \overline{H^{z} H^{z}+H^{x} H^{\sim x}}$. The matrices of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of are then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L} R==\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & k & 0 & A \\
0 & 0 & k
\end{array} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \quad 2 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{z}} \quad 2 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{z}} \quad 4 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{z}^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the rst row of L from Eq . 55 and the inhom $\mathrm{O}-$ geneity vectors for $m \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{f0}$; 1 g from Tabl 园, we nd from the regularity condition $\mathrm{L}^{0} \mathrm{~A}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{x}}}{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{z}}}=\frac{\mathrm{hS} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{x}}}{\mathrm{~h} S^{z} i} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

This im plies that the ratio on the $\mathrm{lh} s$ is not dependent upon the $m$ om entum. This can also be seen directly from the de nitions in Eq. 49:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h S^{x} i}{h S^{z} i}=\frac{B^{x}}{B^{z}+D q h S^{z} i}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the same de nitions it is clear that the ratio $\frac{H^{x}}{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{z}}}$ does depend upon $m$ om entum because $H^{x}$ di ens from $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{x}}$ by a m om entum -dependent term ; hence the pro jector $R^{0} L^{0}$ onto the null space is $m$ om entum dependent.
$T$ he singular vectors in $U$ and $V$ (see Eq. 20) $m$ ay be obtained as the eigenvectors of the sym $m$ etric $m$ atrices
$\sim$ and $\sim$, respectively. The singular values in $W$ are the square roots of the eigenvalues of these $m$ atrioes.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0^{0} W_{11} 0 \quad 0^{1} \\
& W=@ \begin{array}{cccc}
11 & W_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \text {; }  \tag{58}\\
& W_{11}=\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{z}}+2 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{x}}} \text {; }
\end{array}  \tag{59}\\
& W_{22}=\quad H^{z} H^{z}+\frac{1}{2} H^{x} H^{x} \text {; } \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Them atrix $U$ hasbeen blocked into $u$ and $u_{0}$ by the vertical line and $V$ into $\forall$ and $\nabla_{0}$ by the horizontal line. $W$ e
see here explicitly (by factoring out $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{z}}$ and applying the regularity condition) that the vector $\mathrm{L}_{0}$, the rst row vector in the $m$ atrix $L$ in Eq. [55, is proportional to the vector $u_{0}$ and is independent of $m$ om entum. In fact, the vectors in $u$ are also independent of $m$ om entum. Sim ilarly, $R_{0}$ is proportional to $\mathrm{v}_{0}$, but does depend upon m om entum. A s the m om entum varies, $\nabla_{0}$ also varies but rem ains in the plane containing the $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{z}}$-axis and the line bisecting the axes $\mathrm{G}^{+}$and G . This im plies that one of the vectors in the non-null space can be chosen to be perpendicular to this plane and therefore $m$ ust be independent of $m$ om entum. $T$ his is the second row-vector in $V$ in Eq.62. The rst row-vector in $V$ is also dependent upon $m$ om entum and lies in the sam e plane as the null vector.

From these considerations, it is clear that, for the m onolayer, only the second row-vector of $V$ in Eq. 62 is m om entum -independent; hence, only the second row from Eq. 54 (here specialized to the $m$ onolayer) can be used in the consistency equations. For spin $S=1$, there are two expectation values which act as the variables which $m$ ust be iterated to self-consistency in $\mathrm{Eq} . \sqrt{27}, \mathrm{hS}{ }^{\mathrm{z}}$ i and hS ${ }^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{z}}$ i. Since only one row of Eq. 54 can be used, it is necessary to use this equation tw ice, each tim ew ith di erent values ofm $n$ (see Table [i]). The other correlations in Table can be expressed in term $S$ of $h S^{z} i$ and $h S^{z} S^{z} i$ via the regularity condition $L^{0} A^{m n}=0$, for $m+n \quad 2 S+1$ (for details see [2]).

In the $m$ ultilayer case, each layer supplies an extra row in Eq. 54 which can be used as a consistency equation, so that there are just enough equations to solve for the expectation values $h S_{i}^{z} i$ and $h S_{i}^{z} S_{i}^{z} i$ for each layer $i$.

## 3. A lgebraic properties of the $m$ ultilayers

W hile it is not practicable to perform the singularvalue decom position algebraically for the multilayer $\mathrm{m} s$, it is nevertheless possible to deduce som e properties of the singular vectors by exam ining the results for the $m$ onolayer and com bining these $w$ th the structure of the 3 blocks of the $m$ atrix in Eq.46.

W e start $w$ ith the structure of the null vectors of the $m$ atrix from Eq. 50 in a $m$ of $N$ layers. W e may w rite a null row-vector in term s of the three com ponents for each layer as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{0}=\left(\nabla_{0}^{(1)} ;::: ; \nabla_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are $N$ null vectors each $w$ th 3 N com ponents. W e see from Eq. 62 that the com ponents $\forall_{0}^{(i)}$ of the null vector for the ith layer considered in isolation have the form $\left(a_{i} ; a_{i} ; b_{i}\right)$. But this must also hold for each of the null vectors in Eq. 63. To see this, consider the matrix of Eq. 50 extended to $N$ layers acting on a single nullvector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{0}=0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his gives 3 N equations for the com ponents of $\mathrm{v}_{0}, 3$ for each layer. D enote the com ponents belonging to layer i as ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) N ow the structure of the 33 blocks in is such that the rst equations for each layer (i.e. equations 1;4;7;:::) involve only the components $x_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ for all i; i.e. the $N$ equations su ce to determ ine the $N$ ratios $x_{i}=z_{i}$. The second equations for each layer (ie. 2;5;8;:::) involve only $y_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ and they are exactly the same as the rst equations for each layer. The com ponents $x_{i}$ are therefore the same as the $y_{i}$. But this implies, from the structure of the blocks in , that the third equations for each layer are then autom atically satis ed. The values of the $x_{i}, y_{i}$, and $z_{i}$ are then obtained from norm alization. This $m$ eans that each null vector, although perhaps dependent upon the m om entum, m ust lie in the hyperplane in which the com ponents belonging to layer $i$ have the form $\left(a_{i} ; a_{i} ; b_{i}\right)$. This, in tum, $m$ eans that the hyperplane in which all layer com ponents have the form ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \quad \mathrm{G} ; 0$ ) m ust lie in the non-null space and be independent of $m$ om entum, since each vector of this form is obviously orthogonal to each null vector.

C onsider now special vectors of th is form forw hich the com ponents for layer $i$ are ( $c_{i} ; ~ G ; 0$ ) but all other com ponents are zero, i.e. $N$ of the 3 N reference vectors of Eq.29, $V_{\text {ref }}$. C learly, these vectors are also in the nonnull space and are orthogonal to each other. $T$ his is the reason why the labelling procedure picks out these particular reference vectors as being identicalw th som e of the vectors $\forall$. O fcourse, the rest of the vectors $\psi$ are not in general identical to any of the rem aining 2 N reference vectors, because the null vectors do not in general have a layer-structure, im plying that each of the rem aining reference vectors lies partially in the null space. A llof these features are fully veri ed by the num erical calculations of the next section.

In summary, we see that in the $N$-layer m , there are only N com ponents of the vector c from Eq. 54 (for a given value of $\mathrm{m} n$ ) which are independent of $m$ om entum and also possess a layer-structure. The num erical labelling procedure is capable ofdelivering these vectors. This bit of serendipity is essential to the success of the entire $m$ ethod, since we only know how to determ ine the diagonal correlations, $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ii }}$, from the expectation values; hence, we require that the dot product ofour vector $w$ ith $C_{i}(k)$ only involve the com ponent $C_{i j}$. This is ensured by the aforem entioned property of those (labelled) vectors in $w$ which are independent of $m$ om entum. In contrast, the $m$ om entum -dependent vectors in $w$ do not share this property and therefore cannot be used in solving the consistency equations (27).

## B . N um erical results

W e conclude our exposition w ith a few illustrative num erical calculations for the $S=1 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg H am iltonian model with exchange anisotropy of Eq. 42. The exchange energy is $J=100$, the exchange anisotropy is

TABLE II: R ow -vectors $V$ at $k=0: 0100113$ for the 3-layer lm.

| Layer | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | + | - | z | + | - | z | + | - | z |
|  | 0.71 | -0.71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.76 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.71 | -0.71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.47 | 0.47 | -0.74 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.71 | -0.71 | 0 |
|  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.76 |
|  | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.65 | -0.02 | -0.02 | 0.025 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.02 |
|  | 0.02 | 0.02 | -0.04 | -0.53 | -0.53 | -0.67 | 0.02 | 0.02 | -0.04 |
|  | 0.01 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | -0.03 | -0.54 | -0.54 | -0.65 |



FIG. 3: O ne com ponent of a m om entum -dependent vector $\nabla$ for the 3-layer $l m$ near the $R$ eorientation tem perature of the $m$ agnetization ( $T=107$ ) at the reference points $k_{i}$.

D $=0: 7$, and the eld in the $z$-direction is zero. W e show, as representative exam ples, som e results of calculations for 1-, 3-, and 7-layer m s .

First, the labelled and sm oothed row-vectors $V$ (V = ( $\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{v}_{0}$ )) are show in Table $\mathbb{I}$ for a 3-layer m with $B^{x}=0: 1 ; B^{z}=0$ at $T=107$, which is very near the reorientation tem perature of the $m$ agnetization, $T_{R}$. D isplayed are the six vectors in the non-null space, $v$, and the three null vectors, $w_{0}$, at $k=0: 0100113$. Vectors 1,3 , and 5 are independent of m om entum and have a layer-structure: i.e. they $m$ ay be identi ed w ith layers 1,2 , and 3 , respectively. In contrast to this, vectors 2,4 , and 6 have contributions from each layer. N evertheless, each of these vectors can be labelled by the layers 1,2, and 3, respectively, because the contributions from those layers are by far the greatest in each respective vector. T he null-vectors are sim ilar to vectors 2, 4, and 6: they vary $w$ ith $k$, have no layer-structure, but $m$ ay be labelled w ith a layer index.

A com ponent ofone of the vectors $\nabla$ is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3 at the reference points $k_{i}$ for one of the $m$ om entum dependent vectors for the 3-layer lm at a tem perature $\mathrm{T}=107$ near the reorientation tem perature of the m agnetization. Since there is rapid variation near $k=0$,


FIG.4: A single com ponent of one of the vectors in for the $m$ onolayer as a function ofm om entum $k$ for increasing values of $m$ agnetic eld $B^{x}: B^{x_{0}} \quad 0<B^{x_{1}}:::<B^{x_{3}} \quad 1: 3$. The circles indicate the position of the reference vectors.
the num ber of reference points there is denser than at larger $k$. At sm aller tem peratures, this behaviour is not as extrem e.

Fig. 4 displays the $m$ ost rapidly varying com ponent of one of the m om entum-dependent labelled vectors $\forall$ in the non-null space as a function of the $m$ om entum $k$ over the rst tenth of the k -range for the m onolayer at $\mathrm{T}=0$; the curves rem ain roughly constant over the rest of the range. For increasing $m$ agnetic eld in the $x$-direction, $B^{x_{i}}$, the curves becom e steeper near $k=0$, becom ing nearly L-shaped for the largest eld value (corresponding to $\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{z}}{ }_{i}$ ! 0). A greater density of reference vectors (positions are indicated by the full circles) is needed in this range. $N$ evertheless, the sm oothing procedure is able to deliver acceptable vectors even under these extrem e conditions. N ote that all the vectors $v$ in the non-null space are needed accurately, not just those that are independent of $m$ om entum, because they are em ployed in reducing the size of the $m$ atrix by the transform ation in Eqns. 21 to 24.

W e now present them agnetizations calculated by solving Eq. 27 self-consistently as a function ofm agnetic eld and tem perature. $F$ ig. 5 show $s$ the $m$ agnetization $\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{z}}{ }_{i}$ (beginning at 1 at $B^{x}=0$ ), the $m$ agnetization $S^{x} i$ increasing linearly from 0 , the reorientation angle of the $m$ agnetization, , and the absolute value of the total m agnetization for a spin $\mathrm{S}=1 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg m onolayer as functions of the applied $m$ agnetic eld in the $x$-direction, $B^{x}$ at tem perature $T=0$. (Results at other tem peratures are sim ilar.)
$M$ agnetization com ponents as a function of tem perature are show $n$ for the 3-layer m in F ig. 6. M agnetizations for layers 1 and 3 are identical as required by


FIG. 5: M agnetization com ponents $\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{i}\left(=1\right.$ at $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{x}}=0$ ) and $\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}$ (solid lines), the reorientation angle of the $m$ agnetization, $=\left(\arctan \frac{\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}^{2}}{\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{z}}}\right)=\frac{-}{2}$ (dashed line), and the absolute value of the total m agnetization (dash-dot chain) for a spin $\mathrm{S}=1 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg m onolayer as functions of the applied m agnetic eld in the x -direction, $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{x}}$, at $\mathrm{T}=0$.


FIG. 6: M agnetization com ponents in di erent layers hS ${ }^{2} i_{i}$ and $\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (solid lines) and the reorientation angle of the m agnetization (dashed line), for a spin $S=1 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg trilayer as functions of the tem perature, T , at $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{x}}=0: 1$
sym $m$ etry. Because the $m$ agnetic eld com ponent $B^{x}$ is so sm all, the 3 com ponents in the $x$-direction cannot be distinguished from one another on the scale of the diagram.

F ig. 7 dem onstrates that it is also no problem to calculate the reorientation of the $m$ agnetization for a m consisting of 7 layers. Film $S$ w ith spin $S>1$ can also be treated. In fact, allofourpreviousw ork on thism odel [14] can be reproduced $w$ ith the present $m$ ethod, so there is no need to present $m$ ore exam ples here.


FIG.7: M agnetization com ponents in di erent layers $h S^{z} i_{i}$ and $h S^{x} i_{i}$ (solid lines) and the reorientation angle of the $m$ agnetization (dashed line), for a spin $S=1 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg 7-layer Im as functions of the tem perature, $T$, at $B^{x}=0: 1$

## V.A MOREEFFICIENTALGORITHM

In this section, we shall establish the connection betw een the $m$ ethod developed here and the one we used in ourpreviousw ork on ferrom agnetic $H$ eisenberg lm sw ith exchange anisotropy [14]. To do this, the working equations are cast in a slightly di erent form that in som e cases allow s one to dispense w th the sm oothing procedure. $T$ his suggests that the new $m$ ethod serves not only as a tool to nd appropriate consistency equations but also as a means of designing an e cient algorithm for solution.

O ur m ethod has so far em ployed the $m$ atrix of singular vectors $v$ to transform the correlations in $m$ om entum space ( $c=\forall C_{k}$ ) so that c can be expressed via Eq.26 in term s of quantities referring only to the nonnull space of the $m$ atrix . $V$ ia the labelling procedure, the row-vectors $v$ were then transform ed so that a subset of them were independent of $m$ om entum; hence, the result in Eq. 28 could be exploited in the consistency equations Eq. 27. Let us now multiply the rhs of Eq. 26 by the unit m atrix $\forall v$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w_{k}=w^{(v r E} E^{1} l \nabla A\right): \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider now the consistency equation obtained from the m om entum -independent vector $\nabla_{j}$, which we take as the second row-vector $V$ in Eq. 62 (refer also to Eq. 28) :

$$
\nabla_{j}^{Z} d k C_{k}=w_{j} C={ }^{Z} d k w_{j}\left(v r E^{1} l v A\right):
$$

There is one such equation for each layer. Taken together, these equations succinctly describe the $m$ ethod of solution in reference [14], where, for each layer, a difference of correlations (the $\mathbb{I}$ s) w as related to the di erence betw een the rst and second integrated com ponents
of the rhs of the equation in round brackets. The idea to build this di erence of com ponents came from the empirical observation (by taking linear com binations of the equations of the standard procedure in the full space) that such di erence tem smake no contribution to the m om entum -dependent term $\mathrm{R}^{0} \mathrm{~L}^{0}$, thus elim inating the latter from the consistency equations. H ere ( $\mathrm{Eq} . \sqrt{66}$ ), taking the di erence is e ected via $\mathrm{m} u$ ultiplication by the vectors ${ }^{*} j$ found system atically from the SVD coupled w ith the labelling procedure, thus replacing intuition $w$ ith a system atic approach.
$T$ he advantage of this way of solving the equations is that in this case there is no need to apply the sm oothing procedure at each value of $k$, since the singular vectors $v$ and $w$ now both occur in the product in the integrand; i.e. an arbitrary sign arising from $w$ is o set by that from v. W e em phasize that sm oothing w ould still be needed if there were degeneracies in the non-zero singular values, which was not the case in our previous work. A lso, the labelling and sm oothing procedures are required to nd the appropriate $\psi_{j}$ in the diagnostic phase of the work.
$T$ his suggests that the procedure in this paper can be used as a tool to nd appropriate vectors $\nabla_{L}$ S via the labelling and sm oothing procedures and then, onœ the vectors have been found, to design a m ore e cient nu$m$ erical procedure. W e note here that the $m$ om entum independent vectors for the exchange anisotropy m odel are particularly sim ple, being in fact just num bers. $T$ his need not alw ays be the case: in general, these vectors w ill depend on the m agnetizations and w ill vary as one $m$ oves through solution space (e.g. m agnetizations as a function of tem perature). In this case, a $m$ ethod of the type suggested above would require that the diagnostic toolbe built into the num ericalalgorithm in order to nd the appropriate vectors at each point in space.

## VI. D ISC USSIO N

Them ain thrust of this paper is to dem onstrate that the SVD is a very pow erfultool for solving the problem $s$ $w$ hich arise $w$ hen the equations of $m$ otion $m$ atrix has zero eigenvalues. In particular, the singular vectors in the non-null space, $\forall$, are used to reduce the size of the m atrix that needs to be diagonalized and, at the sam e tim e, increase the num erical stability by elim inating the zero eigenvalues and the degeneracy associated w th them, a fact ofconsiderable practicalim portance, especially w hen som e ofthe non-zero eigenvalues lie close to zero. In addition, the suitably labelled and sm oothed singular vectors ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{L}$ s serve to transform the consistency equations so as to deliver the correlation functions in coordinate space required for the solution of these equations.
$T$ hem ethod outlined here can be view ed as a recipe for direct num erical calculation. T he recipe is quite sim ple:

1. At the current point in solution space, generate a su cient num ber of reference vectors $V_{r e f}\left(k_{i}\right)$ at
suitably chosen knots $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
2. At each desired value of $k$,
(a) O btain the untreated singular vectors $v$ in the non-null space from the SVD of the $-m$ atrix.
(b) If necessary, nd labelled vectors from these: $W_{L}=Z \forall$. If no labelling is desired, set $Z=1$ at this point.
(c) Find the set of $s m$ oothed reference vectors appropriate to k and carry out the sm oothing transform ation: $\nabla_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{LZ} Z \nabla^{2}$.
(d) Use the smoothed vectors to reduce the matrix by elim inating the null space. $D$ iagonalize the reduced $m$ atrix to get the eigenvalues and eigenvectors: $1 \mathrm{r}=$ ! $^{1}$
(e) Select the appropriate (i.e. properly labelled m om entum -independent) vectors $v$ to generate the set of consistency integrands of Eq. 27: rElwo $\quad{ }^{*} C_{k}$
3. Finally, solve the consistency equations Eq. 27 (e.g. $w$ th the $m$ ethod described in [g]).

This recipe cannot be so general as to apply to any problem, for the labelling is dictated by the properties of the $-m$ atrix, which $w$ ill depend upon the nature of the physical m odel and the approxim ations used in the decoupling leading to the $-m$ atrix. Them ethod can also fail if it is not possible to nd m om entum-independent vectors.

The $m$ ethod $m$ ay also be useful as a diagnostic tool to aid in the design of an e cient com putation $m$ ethod. O nce the consistency equations have been found by our system atic procedure, Eq. 66 can be used as a m ore e cient working equation, since it usually does not require the sm oothing procedure. In other w ords, our procedure, used diagnostically, serves to identify the allowed consistency equations. $W$ e are then free to $m$ anipulate these to form a new set of allow ed equations having sim pler nu$m$ ericalrequirem ents, thus leading to an optim alsolution of any particular solvable problem.

## APPENDIX A:REDUCTION OFTHE -M ATRIX W ITH THE SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITION (SVD)

In Eq. 21, the $m$ atrix is reduced in dim ension by the num ber of zero eigenvalues, $\mathrm{N}_{0}$, via a transform ation by the singular vectors spanning the non-null space, ${ }^{*}$. It is very im portant that the eigenvalues of the reduced
$m$ atrix, , be identical $w$ th the non-zero eigenvalues of ,$!{ }^{1}$. In this appendix, we show under which conditions we can expect this to be the case.

W hile the null eigenvectors of , $\mathrm{L}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{0}$, lie com pletely in the spaces spanned by $u_{0} \mathrm{w}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{0} \mathrm{v}_{0}$, respectively, the vectors belonging to the non-zero eigenvalues, $L^{1}$ and $R^{1}, m$ ay have com ponents in both the null space and non-null space. (e.g. $\forall R^{0}=0$ but $\nabla_{0} R^{1} 0$ in general). In what follow $s$, we assum e that the left and right eigenvectors of have been constructed to be orthonorm al: $\mathrm{LR}=1$.

Because $L$ and $R$ diagonalize , we have, inserting
$=w^{\prime}$ and $v \forall+v_{0} v_{0}=1$,

$$
\begin{array}{rl} 
& =\mathrm{L} R ; \\
0 & 0 \\
0 \quad!^{1} & =\mathrm{L}^{0}  \tag{A1}\\
& =\mathrm{L}^{1} \quad\left(\mathrm{~V} v+\mathrm{V}_{0} \nabla_{0}\right) \quad \mathrm{VF}\left(\mathrm{R}^{0} ; \mathrm{R}^{1}\right) ;
\end{array}
$$

where the individualm atrix blocks are

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{11}=L^{0}\left(V \forall+V_{0} \nabla_{0}\right) \quad V \forall R^{0} \text {; } \\
& =L^{0} R^{0} \text {; } \\
& e_{12}=L^{0} \quad \mathrm{VFR}^{1} \text {; } \\
& =L^{0} R^{1} \text {; } \\
& e_{21}=L^{1}\left(V \forall+V_{0} W_{0}\right) \quad V \forall R^{0} \text {; } \\
& =L^{1} R^{0} \text {; } \\
& e_{22}=L^{1}\left(V \forall+V_{0} \forall_{0}\right) \quad V \forall R^{1}: \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $R^{0}=0$ and $L^{0}=0$, the blocks $e_{11}, e_{12}$, and $e_{21}$ are zero as required. The other block is the sum of two term s :

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{22} & =L^{1} \mathrm{~V} \forall \quad \mathrm{~V} \forall \mathrm{R}^{1}+\mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{0} \forall_{0} \quad \mathrm{R}^{1} ; \\
& =1 \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{0} \forall_{0} \quad \mathrm{R}^{1} ; \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned $l:=L^{1} v$ and $r=\forall R^{1}$. If the second term in Eq. A 3 w ere zero, then the vectors 1 and $r$ would diagonalize yielding the eigenvalues ! ${ }^{1}$ as desired. This condition is full led if the eigenvectors $\mathrm{R}^{0}$ span the null space, for we can then express the vectors $V_{0}$ in term sof the $R^{0}$, each of which is orthogonalto $L^{1}$ by construction ( $\mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{R}^{0}=0$ ). In all of our calculations, this was indeed the case and w as checked num erically at every reduction of .

## APPENDIX B:MATCHING OF TW O VECTOR SPACES

In the context of this paper, we are usually concemed $w$ ith rotating a set of target vectors (w hich we do not $w$ ant to disturb in any other $m$ anner) $s o$ as to $m$ atch as closely as possible a set of (approxim ate) reference vectors which serve as labels or calibration vectors. T he
$m$ ethod outlined below treats this problem in a general $w$ ay and show show the SVD can ect this $m$ atching in an optim alway. $N$ ote that the notation in this appendix is independent from that in the $m$ ain body of the paper.

It often happens that there are two sets of N dim ensional vectors which are related by a general rotation (i.e. a rotation about an arbitrary axis) and that $w e w$ ish to nd this rotation. If each of the two sets are subsets of the $w$ hole space, it $m$ ay be that each set spans a slightly di erent subspace. This is the problem that wem ost often $m$ eet here: the tw o subspaces do not overlap exactly but have a high degree of overlap. In this case, we wish to nd the \best" general rotation in the sense that each vector of one set is brought into as close a coincidence as possible w ith som e vector of the other set.

Let $r=1 ;::: ; N_{R}$ label the $N_{R}$ colum $n$ vectors of length $N$, the reference vectors, in the $m$ atrix $R$ of di$m$ ension $N \quad N_{R}$. Sim ilarly, let $t=1 ;::: ; N_{T}$ label the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$ colum n vectors of length N , the target vectors, in the $m$ atrix $T$ ofdim ension $N \quad N_{T}$. W e assum e here that the vectors in $R$ and $T$ are orthonorm al am ong them selves ( $\mathbb{R} R=1$ and $T T=1$.) W e w ish to nd a rotation which, when applied to the target vectors, brings them into closest coincidence $w$ ith the reference vectors. In order to ensure that there are no system atic degeneracies in the singular values that we w ill calculate, we rst associate the vector $R$;i (i.e. the colum $n$ i of the $m$ atrix R ) w th the unique label $L(i)=N_{R}+1$ i (i.e. a label which decreases as i increases. Then, we de ne a w eighted overlap $m$ atrix whose $N_{R} \quad N_{T}$ elem ents are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r t}:=P{\overline{L(r)} R_{r} T_{t}:} \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The SVD of the $m$ atrix $S$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N_{R}} N_{T}=L_{N_{R}} N_{T} Y_{N_{T}} \quad N_{T} Z_{N_{T}} N_{T}: \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ atrix of the label operator in the basis of target vectors is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N_{T}} N_{T}=S S: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W riting $S$ in term $S$ of the $S V D$ in this equation show $s$ that the $m$ atrix $Z$ diagonalizes $P$ to produce eigenvalues $y^{2}$, so that the required rotation is just Z.i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}^{0}=\mathrm{TZ}: \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The justi cation for this transform ation is that the $m$ atrix of the label operator in this new basis is diagonal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}=S^{0} S^{0}=y^{2}: \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N_{R}=N_{T}$ and the reference and target vectors lie in the sam e subspace, then the singular values $y_{i} w i l l$ be identical to $\overline{\mathrm{L} \text { (i) provided that the singular values are }}$ num bered in decreasing order, the usual convention; th is
is the reason for the particular choice of labels L (i) above. If the reference and target vectors span slightly di erent spaces, then there w ill be som e discrepancy betw een the singular values and the square roots of the labels.

If the num ber of reference vectors is less than the num ber of target vectors, then som e of the singular values of $S \mathrm{w}$ ill be zero. This $m$ eans that a subset of the rotated target vectors w illbe as closely coincident w ith the
(sm aller) num ber of reference vectors as possible and the rest of the (rotated) target vectors $w$ ill be arbitrary and span the (null) space of S left over. The arbitrariness of the vectors is due to the degeneracy of the null space.

If there are $m$ ore reference vectors than target vectors, then each (rotated) target vector w illbe well-de ned but the set of target vectors will not span the space of the reference vectors.
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