## M acroscopic current uctuations in stochastic lattice gases

L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona (Lasinio, and C. Landim 5

<sup>1</sup>D ipartim ento di M atem atica, Universita di Roma \La Sapienza", Piazza A. Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy
 <sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of M athem atics, H arvard University, 1 O xford St., C am bridge, M A 02138, U SA
 <sup>3</sup>D ipartim ento di M atem atica, Universita dell'Aquila, 67100 C oppito, L'Aquila, Italy
 <sup>4</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica and INFN, Universita di Roma \La Sapienza", Piazza A. Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy
 <sup>5</sup>IM PA, E strada Dona C astorina 110, J. Botanico, 22460 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, and CNRS UMR 6085, Universite de Rouen, 76128 Mont{Saint{A ignan C edex, France}

We study current uctuations in lattice gases in the macroscopic limit extending the dynamic approach to density uctuations developed in previous articles. More precisely, we derive large deviation estimates for the space{time uctuations of the empirical current which include the previous results. Large time asymptotic estimates for the uctuations of the time average of the current, recently established by Bodineau and Derrida, can be derived in a more general setting. There are models where we have to modify their estimates and some explicit examples are introduced.

PACS numbers: 05.20.-y, 05.40.-a, 05.60.-k, 05.70.Ln

In a series of recent papers [1, 2, 3] we have developed a dynam ical approach to macroscopic uctuations of therm odynam ic variables in non equilibrium steady states of stochastic lattice gases. The main object studied in these papers is the empirical density for which we established a large deviation principle. From this principle we obtained several results: we have shown that the entropy functional satis es a Hamilton (Jacobi equation, we have extended Onsager (Machlup theory [4] and the minimum dissipation principle [5] to stationary non equilibrium states.

Another observable of great physical interest is the macroscopic current owing through the system [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. In the present article we develop, in the same spirit of [1, 2], a large deviation principle for the empiricalcurrent and discuss som e of its consequences. W e discuss the asym ptotic probability, as the num ber of degrees of freedom increases, of observing a space{time dependent current pro le di erent from the typical value. This new principle includes the previous one for the density and leads to a unied approach to uctuations in steady states both equilibrium and non equilibrium. From this asymptotics we derive a more general form of a large uctuation principle recently obtained by Bodineau and Derrida [11] for the large time uctuations of the time averaged current. We show that there are models where one has to replace the large deviation functional obtained in [11], that we denote by U (J), by its convex envelope (J). We discuss some examples where U < U; this is naturally interpreted as a dynamical phase transition. Howevermore complex situations are also conceivable.

In a forthcoming more detailed paper [12] we shall study also the behavior of the current large deviation functional under time reversal and connect it to the well known uctuation theorem for entropy production of Gallavotti and Cohen [13, 14, 15].

As the basic m icroscopic model we consider a stochastic lattice gas with a weak external eld and particle

reservoirs at the boundary. M ore precisely, let be a smooth domain and set  $N = N \setminus Z^d$ ; we consider a M arkov process on the state space X  $\ ^{\text{\tiny N}}$  , where X  $\$  is a subset of N, e.g. X = f0;1g when an exclusion principle is imposed. The number of particles at the site x 2  $_{
m N}$ is denoted by  $_{\rm x}$  2 X and the whole con guration by  $2 \times N$ . The dynamics of the particles is described by a continuous time M arkov process on the state space X N with transition rates  $c_{x,y}$  ( ) from a con guration that is the con guration obtained from ing a particle from x to a nearest neighbor site y. Sim ilar rates c, describe the appearance or loss of a particle at the boundary site x. The reservoirs are characterized by a chemical potential . We assume that the rates satisfy the local detailed balance condition [15] with respect to a Gibbs measure associated to some Hamiltonian H. Typically, for a non equilibrium model, we can consider the cube of side one and the system under a constant force E = N . M oreover we choose the chemical potential so that (y=N) = 0 if the rst coordinate of y is 0, (y=N) = 1 if the rst coordinate of y is N, and im pose periodic boundary conditions in the other directions of

The uctuation theory of stochastic lattice gases, as discussed below, is expected to apply to a wider class of non equilibrium systems with conservation laws. For instance, the H am iltonian system discussed in [16] is reduced to the analysis of a M arkov process. We introduce the empirical density  $^{\rm N}$  associated to a microscopic conguration  $2~{\rm X}$   $^{\rm N}$  by requiring for each smooth function G: ! R,

$$L^{N}$$
,  $L^{N}$ ,  $L^{N}$   $L^$ 

so that  $\ ^{\mathbb{N}}$  (u) is the local density at the macroscopic point u = x=N  $\$  in  $\$  .

Consider a sequence of initial con gurations  $^{N}$  such that  $^{N}$  ( $^{N}$ ) converges to some density pro le  $_{0}$ . Under

di usive scaling the em pirical density at time to converges, as N ! 1, to = (t; u) which is the solution of

with initial condition  $_0$ . Here D is the di usion matrix, given by the G reen {K ubo formula, see [17,  $\Pi 22$ ],

is the conductivity, obtained by linear response theory, see [17,  $\Pi 2.5$ ], and r V the external eld. We emphasize that these transport coe cient are defined in terms of the equilibrium G ibbs measure. In particular if we denote by  $S_0$  () the entropy associated to H, the usual E instein relation D () = R  $^1$  () () holds, here R () =  $S_0^{00}$  () is the compressibility. The interaction with the reservoirs appears as a boundary condition to be imposed on solutions of (1). More precisely, we require that  $S_0^{0}$  ((u)) = (u), u 2 @, here @ denotes the boundary of and we recall that is the chemical potential of the reservoirs. The non equilibrium stationary pro le is the unique stationary solution of (1).

Denote by  $^{\rm N}$  the non equilibrium stationary ensemble, i.e. the invariant measure of the microscopic process. The probability of density uctuations can be described, for large N, by an entropy functional S() which depends on the local density of particles. The stationary prole corresponds to a critical point of S so that it is a minimum of the entropy; here we use the probabilist sign convention for S which is opposite to physicist convention. Hereafter, we normalize the entropy S() so that S() = 0. As shown in [1, 2], the entropy functional S satis es the following H am ilton {Jacobi functional derivative equation

$$\frac{1}{2}^{D} r - \frac{S}{i}; \quad ()r - \frac{S^{E}}{i} + \frac{D}{i} - \frac{S}{i}; \quad () = 0$$
 (2)

where h ; i m eans integration with respect to the space variable u 2 . It shows that once we know the hydrodynam ic equation, we can obtain a closed macroscopic description.

The probability to observe a macroscopic trajectory dierent from the hydrodynamic behavior (1) is exponentially small in N  $^{\rm d}$  and given by

$$P^{N}$$
 ; t2 [0;T] exp  $N^{d}I_{0:T_{1}}()$  (3)

where denotes closeness in some metric, logarithm ic equivalence as N  $\,!\,$  1 , and P  $^N$  stands for the distribution of the stationary process, namely we consider an initial condition distributed according to the invariant measure  $^N$  . The rate functional I  $_{0,T}$   $_1$  ( ) is given by

$$I_{[0,T]}() = S((0)) + \frac{1}{2} dthr H; ()r Hi (4)$$

where the external potential H has to chosen so that solves

$$Q_t = D () r ()rH$$
 (5)

with the same boundary conditions as the hydrodynamic equation (1). Equations (3) { (5) represent a dynamical generalization of Einstein formula for thermodynamic uctuations.

We give now a parallel discussion for the current. Denote by N  $_{\rm t}^{\times iy}$  the number of particles that jumped from x to y in the macroscopic time interval [0;t]. Here we adopt the convention that N  $_{\rm t}^{\times iy}$  represents the number of particles created at y due to the reservoir at x if x 2  $_{\rm N}$ , y 2  $_{\rm N}$  and that N  $_{\rm t}^{\times iy}$  represents the number of particles that left the system at x by jumping to y if x 2  $_{\rm N}$ , y 2  $_{\rm N}$ . The dierence  $\rm J_{\rm t}^{\times iy}=N_{\rm t}^{\times iy}$  N  $_{\rm t}^{yix}$  represents the total current across the bond fx;yg in the time interval [0;t]. In other words, given a path (s), 0 s t, the instantaneous current dJ $_{\rm t}^{\times iy}$ =dt is a sum of {function localized at the jump times across the bond fx;yg with weight +1, respectively 1, if a particle jumped from x to y, respectively from y to x.

Fix a m acroscopic time T and denote by J  $^{\rm N}$  the empirical measure on [0;T] associated to the current. For smooth vector elds G = (G  $_1$ ;:::;G  $_{\rm d}$ ), the integral of G with respect to J  $^{\rm N}$ , denoted by J  $^{\rm N}$  (G), is given by

$$\int_{0}^{N} (G) = \int_{0}^{Z} dt \quad duG(t;u) \quad \int_{0}^{N} (t;u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^{d+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{d}} X^{i} \quad \int_{0}^{X} dtG_{i}(t;x=N) \frac{dJ_{t}}{dt} \int_{0}^{X^{i}} dtG_{i}(t;x=N) dtG_{i}(t;x=N)$$

where  $\,$  is the inner product in  $\,\vec{R}^{\!\!\!1},\,\,e_i$  is the canonical basis, and we sum over all x such that either x 2  $_N$  or x +  $e_i$  2  $_N$  . We normalized J  $^N$  so that it is nite as N ! 1 .

G iven a density pro le let us denote by

$$J() = \frac{1}{2}D()r + ()rV$$
 (6)

the current associated to . The hydrodynam ic equation (1) can then be written as  $\ell_t + r = J(\cdot) = 0$ . If we consider an initial con guration N such that the empirical density N (N) converges to some density pro le 0 and denote by (t) the solution of (1), then the empirical current  $J^N$  (t) converges, as N ! 1 , to  $J(\cdot)$ , the current associated to the solution of the hydrodynam ic equation (1). If we let the macroscopic time diverge, t ! 1 , we have  $J(\cdot)$  !  $J(\cdot)$  !

We next discuss the large deviation properties of the empirical current. Fix a smooth vector eld j:[0;T]

! R  $^{\rm d}$  and a sequence of con gurations  $^{\rm N}$  whose empirical density converges to some prole  $_{\rm 0}$ . The large deviation principle for the current states that

$$P^{N}_{N}$$
 J<sup>N</sup> (t;u) j(t;u) exp N<sup>d</sup> I<sub>[0;T]</sub>(j) (7)

where the rate function is given by

$$I_{[0;T]}(j) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} dt [j J(j)]; (j)^{1}[j J(j)]$$
 (8)

in which J ( ) is given by (6) and = (t;u) is obtained by solving the continuity equation  $\ell_t$  + r j = 0 with initial condition (0) =  $\ell_t$ . Of course there are compatibility conditions to be satisfed, for instance if we have chosen a j such that (t) becomes negative for some to 2 [0;T] then  $I_{[0;T]}(j) = +1$ .

We present here a heuristic derivation of (7) { (8). Fix a current j; in order to make j typical, we introduce an external eld F. Let be the solution of

$$\theta_t + r \quad j = 0$$
 $(0; u) = 0 \quad (0)$ 

and F : [0;T] !  $R^d$  be the vector eld such that

$$j = J() + ()F$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}D()r + ()frV + Fg$ 

We introduce a perturbed measure  $P_N^{N, ;F}$  which is obtained by modifying the rates as follows

$$c_{x:v}^{F}$$
 ( ) =  $c_{x:v}$  ( )  $e^{N^{-1}F(t;x=N)}$  (y x)

Following a similar argument as the one for the large deviation principle of the empirical density [2], one can show that

$$\frac{dP_{N}^{N}}{dP_{N}^{N;F}} = \exp^{n} N^{d} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \, dt \, F; \quad ()Fi$$

$$= \exp^{n} N^{d} I_{[0;T]}(j)$$

M oreover, under  $P_{N}^{N;F}$ , as  $N \ ! \ 1$ ,  $J^{N}$  converges to j. Therefore,

$$P^{N}_{N}$$
 J<sup>N</sup> (t;u) j(t;u); (t;u) 2 [0;T]
$$= P^{N,F}_{N} \frac{dP^{N}_{N}}{dP^{N,F}_{N}} 1 f J^{N} \text{ jg } e^{N^{d}I_{[0;T]}(j)}$$

We emphasize that now we allow non  $\{gradient\ external\ elds\ F$ , while in the large deviation principle for the empirical density (3), it is succient to consider gradient external elds [18, 19]. The latter is therefore a special case and can be recovered from (7)  $\{(8)\}$ .

We want to study the uctuations of the time average of the empirical current over a large time interval [0; T]; the corresponding probability can be obtained from the space{time large deviation principle (7). Fix some divergence free vector eld J=J(u) constant in time and denote by  $A_{T;J}$  the set of all currents j such that  $T^{-1}_{0}$  dt j(t; u) = J(u). The condition of vanishing divergence on J is required by the local conservation of the number of particles. By the large deviations principle (7), for T large we have

$$P^{N}_{N} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{Z} dt J^{N} (t) \quad J = \exp N^{d}T (J) \quad (10)$$

where the logarithm ic equivalence is understood by sending rst N ! 1 and then T ! 1 . In [12] we show that for the so{called zero range process the limits can be taken in the opposite order; we expect this to be true in general. The functional is given by

$$(J) = \lim_{T \to 1} \inf_{j \ge \lambda_{T,T}} \frac{1}{T} I_{[0;T]}(j)$$
 (11)

By a standard sub{additivity argument it is indeed easy to show the limit exists. We now prove that is a convex functional. Let  $J = pJ_1 + (1 \quad p)J_2$ : we want to show that  $(J) \quad p \quad (J_1) + (1 \quad p) \quad (J_2)$ . Let us call  $(j_1(t); _1(t)); t 2 \quad [0; pT]$  (respectively  $(j_2(t); _2(t)); t 2 \quad [0; (1 \quad p)T]$ ) the optimal path of current and density which implements the inf in (11) at  $J_1$  (resp.  $J_2$ ). We then consider a path (j(t); (t)) which spends a time interval pT following  $(j_1; _1)$  and a time interval  $(1 \quad p)$ T following  $(j_2; _2)$  (and a nite time to go continuously from  $(j_1; _1)$  to  $(j_2; _2)$ ). With such a path we get

(J) 
$$\frac{1}{T} I_{[0,pT]}(j_1) + \frac{1}{T} I_{[0:(1 p)T]}(j_2)$$
$$p (J_1) + (1 p) (J_2) +$$

In the last step we used the existence of the  $\lim$  it (11).

We next study the variational problem on the right hand side of (11). We begin by deriving an upper bound. Given = (u) and J = J(u), r = J = 0, let us introduce the functionals

$$U(;J) = \frac{1}{2}hJ \quad J(); ()^{1}[J \quad J()]i$$
 (12)

$$U(J) = \inf U(J)$$
 (13)

where the minimum in (13) is carried over all proles satisfying the boundary conditions and J() is given by (6). When J is constant, that is in the one  $\{d$  in ensional case, the functional U is the one introduced in [11].

By choosing a suitable path j(t;u) 2  $A_{T,J}$  we rst show that

$$(J) U (J)$$
 (14)

The strategy is quite simple. Let  $^{\circ} = ^{\circ}(J)$  be the density pro lewhich m in in izes the variational problem (13). Given the initial density pro le  $_{0}$ , we construct a path j = j(t;u), (t;u) 2 [0;T] as follows

$$j(t) = \begin{cases} 8 & \uparrow & \text{if } 0 & t < \\ \frac{T}{T} & 2 & \text{j if } & t < T \end{cases}$$

$$\uparrow & \text{if } T & t & T$$

where  $\uparrow$  is a vector eld such that  $r \uparrow = _0$  ^ and > 0 is some xed time. It is now straightforward to verify that j 2 A  $_{\text{T},\text{J}}$ , as well as  $\lim_{\text{T}} _{\text{T}} _{\text{T}} _{\text{T}} _{\text{T}} _{\text{T}} _{\text{T}} _{\text{T}} _{\text{T}} _{\text{T}}$ 

From (14) and the convexity of (J) it im m ediately follows that

$$(J) U (J)$$
 (15)

We next discuss a lowerbound for the variational problem (11). We denote by  $\mathfrak F$  and  $\mathfrak F$  the same functionals as in (12) { (13), but now de ned on the space of all currents without the conditions of vanishing divergence. Let also  $\mathfrak F$  be the convex envelope of  $\mathfrak F$ .

Let  $j \ 2 \ A_{T;J}$ , by the convexity of  $\mathfrak{F}$  in the set of all currents, we get

$$\frac{1}{T} I_{[0;T]}(j) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \, \mathcal{F}(t); j(t) \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \, \mathcal{F}(j(t))$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{Z^{0}} dt \, \mathcal{F}(j(t)) \, \mathcal{F}(J) \qquad (16)$$

The upper and lower bounds (15) and (16) are, in general, dierent. For a divergence free J we have  $\mathfrak{F}(J) = U(J)$  but since the convex envelopes are considered in dierent spaces, we only have  $\mathfrak{F}(J) = U(J)$ .

To understand the physical meaning of the convex envelope in (15), suppose  $J=pJ_1+(1-p)J_2$  and  $U(J)>U(J)=pU(J_1)+(1-p)U(J_2)$  for some  $p;J_1;J_2$ . The values  $p;J_1;J_2$  are determined by J and U. In addition we assume that  $U=\mathfrak{F}$ . If we condition on observing an average current J, the corresponding density probability J the probability J is not convex we have thus a situation in which the time averaged current J is realized with the coexistence of two dynamical regimes: we have a dynamical phase transition.

The derivation of the upper bound shows that, if U is not convex, our result diers from the one in [11]. On the other hand if  $\P$  (J) < U (J) it is possible that one can improve it by exploring currents with non vanishing divergence. In such a situation it is not clear to us if can be directly related to U .

We can consider the large time behavior of the empirical current as in equation (10) with the additional constraint that the associated density is asymptotically time independent. In such a case it is not discult to show that (10) holds with = U.W ith this extra constraint we are in fact forbidding the system from oscillating.

In the models where the di usion coe cient D ( ) is constant and the mobility ( ) is concave, for example in the symmetric simple exclusion where = (1), it is not dicult to see that  $\mathfrak P$  is convex. Therefore in these cases = U. In [12] we shall show that in the K ipnis-M archioro-P resuttimedel [20, 21] at equilibrium U (J) is convex while  $\mathfrak P$  (J) is not.

We next discuss an example, with a non{concave , where the functional U is not convex. We x equilibrium boundary conditions  $_0=_1=$  . We take D ( ) = 1 and ( ) a smooth function with (0)=(1)=0 which

has a non concave part  $\ (\ ) = \ K e^{\ C}$ , for  $\$  in a given interval, where C is a positive parameter. An explicit calculation gives that

$$U(J) = \frac{1}{C^2} e^C F(CJ)$$
 (17)

where F (z) =  $z\frac{e^z}{e^z+1}$ , if J is in an appropriate interval. Since the second derivative of F (z) can be negative, U (J) is not convex.

It is a pleasure to thank T.Bodineau, B.Derrida, G. Gallavotti, E.Presutti, C.Toninelli, and S.R.S.Varadhan for useful discussions. The authors acknow ledge the support of COFIN M IUR 2002027798.

- [1] L.Bertini, A.De Sole, D.Gabrielli, G.Jona (Lasinio, C. Landim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 040601 (2001).
- [2] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona (Lasinio, C. Landim, J. Statist. Phys. 107, 635 (2002).
- [3] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona (Lasinio, C. Landim, J. Statist. Phys. 116, 831 (2004).
- [4] L. Onsager, S. Machlup, Phys. Rev. 91, 1505 (1953); Phys. Rev. 91, 1512 (1953).
- [5] L.Onsager, Phys. Rev. 37, 405 (1931); Phys. Rev. 38, 2265 (1931).
- [6] L.S. Levitov, H.-W. Lee, G. B. Lesovik, J. M ath. Phys. 37, 4845 (1996).
- [7] S.Pilgram, A.N. Jordan, E.V. Sukhorukov, M. Buttiker, Phys.Rev.Lett.90, 206801 (2003).
- [8] B.Derrida, B.Doucot, P.E.Roche, J.Statist. Phys. 115, 717 (2004).
- [9] P.E. Roche, B. Derrida, B. Doucot, arX iv cond-m at/ 0312659 (2003).
- [10] A N . Jordan, E V . Sukhorukov, S. P ilgram , arX iv cond { m at/0401650 (2004).
- [11] T. Bodineau, B. Derrida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 180601 (2004).
- [12] L.Bertini, A.De Sole, D.Gabrielli, G.Jona{Lasinio, C. Landim, in preparation.
- [13] G. Gallavotti, E. G. D. Cohen, J. Statist. Phys. 85, 931 (1995).
- [14] J.Kurchan, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.31, 3719 (1998).
- [15] J.L.Lebow itz, H. Spohn, J. Statist. Phys. 95, 333 (1999).
- [16] J.P. Eckmann, C.A. Pillet, L. Rey{Bellet, Commun. Math.Phys.201,657 (1999).
- [17] H. Spohn, Large scale dynam ics of interacting particles. Springer, Berlin 1991.
- [18] C. Kipnis, S. Olla, S.R.S. Varadhan, Commun. Pure Appl. M ath. 42, 115 (1989).
- [19] C . K ipnis, C . Landim , Scaling lim its of interacting particle system s. Springer, Berlin 1999.
- [20] C. Kipnis, C. Marchioro, E. Presutti, J. Statist. Phys. 27, 65 (1982).
- [21] L.Bertini, D.Gabrielli, J.L.Lebowitz, in preparation.