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#### Abstract

W e com pute the num ber of circuits and of loops w ith multiple crossings in random regular graphs. We discuss the im portance of this issue for the validity of the cavity approach. On the one side we obtain analytic results for the in nite volum e lim it in agreem ent with existing exact results. On the other side we im plem ent a counting algorithm, enum erate circuits at nite N and draw som e generalconclusions about the nite $N$ behavior of the circuits.


PACS num bers: $02.10 .0 \mathrm{x}, 89.75 \mathrm{Hc}, 05.40 . \mathrm{a}$

## 1. Introduction

The study of random graphs, initiated $m$ ore than four decades ago, has been since an issue ofm ajor interest in probability theory and in statisticalm echanics. Exam ples of random graphs abound [1], from the original ErdosRenyi m odel [2], where edges are chosen independently of each other betw een pairs of a set of $N$ vertices (w ith a xed probability of $(1=\mathrm{N})$ ), to the scale-free graphs w ith power law degree distribution [3], only introduced in recent tim es. An interesting and usefuldistribution is the one that generates random c-regular graphs [4]. These are uniform ly drawn from the set of all graphs over N vertioes, each restricted to have degree C . R andom regular graphs can be easily generated when $N$ is large (and c nite) [5], and an instance of 3 -regular graph is sym bolized in gure 1. A round a random ly picked up vertex called root the graph looks like a regular tree. The probability that there exists a circuit (a self-avoiding closed path) of length L going through the root vanishes when N is sent to in nity and L is kept nitez [4].

The punpose of this article is to reach some quantitative understanding of the presence of long circuits in random graphs. O urm otivation is at least two-fold.

First, im proving our know ledge on circuits in random graphs would certainly have positive fall-out on the understanding of equilibrium properties ofm odels of interacting z M ore precisely, this probability asym ptotically departs from zero when $\log \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{O}$ (L). F inite-length loops $m$ ay be present in the graph, but not in extensive num ber.


Figure 1. Structure of a random regular graph $w$ ith degree $c=3$. In the vicinity of a vertex (the root) the graph looks like a (regular) tree attached to the bulk. For any integer D, vertices at distance $D$ from the root are alm ost surely distinct when the size N of the graph goes to in nity. N o short loop, but many long (dashed) ones pass through the root.
variables living on these graphs. For instance, frustration in spin system s em erge from the presence ofcircuitsw ith odd length. T he num ber of these circuits is therefore directly related to the am ount ofglassiness present in the system at low tem perature. From the dynam ical point of view too, the properties of $m$ odels of interacting or com $m$ unicating agents e.g. routers, ... strongly depend on the topological properties of the underlying graph, and particularly upon feedbacks resulting from the presence of circuits. These \practical" incentives, together w ith purely academ ic motivations have led to recent studies on the distributions of unicyclic com ponents [6] and cycles [7] in random graphs.

Secondly, the absence of short circuits in random graphs or, equivalently, the observation that random graphs essentially exhibit a local tree-like structure is crucial to the analytical treatm ent of spin models on random graphs with some comm on techniques, e.g. the replica or cavity methods. These m odels are characterized by (realistic) strong interactions betw een spins, in contrast to usualm ean-eld system s, e.g. Curie-N eiss or Sherrington $\{\mathrm{K}$ irkpatridk $m$ odels. Yet, the absence of short-ranged loops in random graphs $m$ ake them, around any vertex (root), locally sim ilar to a tree surrounded by a rem ote bulk. Because of that one conjectures that the freeenergy of a spin $m$ odel on a random graph is equal to the one on the tree with selfconsistent boundary conditions requiring that appropriate properties (for exam ple the m agnetization for the Ising m odel) are identical on the leaves and at the root vertex [8, [9, 10]. O ne of the goals of this note is to point out that this procedure a la Bethe am ounts to $m$ ake assum ptions on the large-scale structure of random graphs, i.e. on the distribution of long loopsx.
$x$ This point has certainly already com $e$ to $m$ ind to researchers in the eld but it is, at the best of our know ledge, never explicitly $m$ entioned in the literature.

W ew ill rst discuss loops, and com pute their num ber in the them odynam ic lim it by analyzing the partition function of the Ising $m$ odel. $N$ ext wew ill com pute the num ber of circuits by discussing the $n$ ! 0 lm it of the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ ferrom agnetic $m$ odel. For gathering inform ation about the nite $N$ behavior of the num ber of loops (or of the loop entropy) we will use an e ective counting algorithm. We willend this note with a discussion of our ndings and of the ( $m$ any) open issues.
2. In $n$ ite size lim it: $m$ ean- eld approach

In this section, we enum erate long loops in random graphs from the study of associated statisticalm echanics m odels. W ew illgive our ad hoc de nition ofa loop in the follow ing section.

### 2.1. Loops w ith m ultiple crossings

Let us consider for exam ple Ising spins $S_{i}=1$ on vertioes $i=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ of the graph of gure 1 , and ferrom agnetic couplings $\mathrm{J}_{i j}=1$ on edges ( $i ; j$ ). To calculate the partition function $Z()$ of the Ising $m$ odel at inverse tem perature on the graph of gure 1 , we consider the leaves of the uncovered local tree i.e. vertioes at distance $D$ from the root (in gure 1 the $m$ axim um draw $n$ distance is $D=2$ ) [11, 8, 9, 12, 10, 13]. At su ciently large , a spontaneous, say, positive $m$ agnetization $m$ is expected to be present in the bulk. A s a result, the spins attached to the leaves $w i l l$ feel an extemal eld $H>0$. Integrating these spins out will in tum produce an extemal eld $\mathrm{H}^{0}$ acting on spins at distance D 1 from the root, w ith 11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{H}^{0}=\frac{(\mathrm{C}}{} 1\right) \tanh ^{1} \tanh () \tanh (\mathrm{H})\right]: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter repeated iterations of this procedure, the eld at the root reaches a stationary value, $H$, from which we can derive the $m$ agnetization $m=\tanh [\mathrm{cH}]$ and the freeenergy density
$T$ he critical inverse tem perature is the $s m$ allest value of forwhich $H$ is non zero i.e. $c=\tanh ^{1}\left(\frac{1}{c 1}\right)$.

A s is well known, the high tem perature expansion of the partition function $Z$ of the Ising $m$ odel can be written as a sum over closed paths going through each vertex an even num ber of tim es and each edge at $m$ ost once [14]. Each path is given a weight (tanh ) ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ depending upon its length L . In the follow ing we w ill refer to such paths as loops. G athering all loops w ith the sam e weight i.e. sam e length, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z()=2^{N}(\cosh )^{\frac{c N}{2}}{ }_{L}^{X} M(L)(\tanh )^{L} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Entropy as a fiunction of the length ' of loops (fiull curves) and circuits (dashed curves) for even ( $A$ ) and odd ( $B$ ) degrees $C$ (for $c=3$, loops and circuits coincide). The slope at origin is $\ln (\mathrm{c} 1$ ) for both cases, the $s m$ allest loops being essentially circuits. B lack dots, w ith coordinates ' + ; + identify the longest loops and circuits, see text.
where $M$ ( $L$ ) is the num ber of loops of length $L$ that can be draw $n$ on the graph. It is a sensible assum ption that this m ultiplicity exhibits an exponential grow th $w$ ith the graph size,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.M\left(L={ }^{`} N\right)=\exp \mathbb{N} \quad(`)+o(\mathbb{N})\right] ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' is the intensive length of the loops, and is the entropy of loops having length 'W e stress here that ${ }^{\prime}=O(1)$ corresponds to $L=O(\mathbb{N})$. K now ledge of the entropy will provide us w ith inform ation about large-scale loops i.e. w ith lengths of the order of N . Insertion of the above scaling hypothesis for $M$ ( $L$ ) in the partition function $Z$, and use of the Laplace $m$ ethod yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
f()=\ln 2 \frac{C}{2} \ln \cosh +\max [(Y)+, \ln \tanh ] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the in nite $N$ lim itk. In other words, the free-energy density $f()$ is essentially the Legendre transform of the loop entropy (').

W e show in gure 2 our results for the loop entropy. The entropy departs from $'=\quad=0 \mathrm{w}$ th a slope equal to $\ln (\tanh c)=\ln (c \quad 1)$ from (B) $\{$. Its $m$ axim um is reached in $\mathrm{m}=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{4} ; \mathrm{m}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{c}}{2} \quad 1\right) \ln 2$, that is, for loops going through half of the edges. T he left part of the entropy curve ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) is param etrized by inverse tem peratures going from c $('=0$ \{ the para/ferro transition takes place when extensive loops start contributing to partition function Z ) to 1 (top of the curve \{ at zero tem perature, $Z$ is dom inated by the $m$ ost num erous paths). The right part of the entropy curve (' ì )
$k$ H ere we are assum ing that not only the free energy density $f$ is self-averaging (as is known and true) but that also en joys the sam e property.
\{ N ote that the nite slope at origin com es from the fact that the ob jects counted here (loops or circuits) are non local; one cannot gain entropy by rearranging edges independently [15].
is obtained when tanh $>1$, that is, for inverse tem peratures $w$ ith an im aginary part equal to $\overline{2}$ allow ing to transform the hyperbolic tangent of into the cotangent of its real part. This is done by considering a real $>c$, the stationary eld $H$ for this tem perature, and the transform ation $!+i_{-},(H)!\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { H })\end{array}+i(C \quad 1)_{2}\right.$.

The case of even degree. For even $c$ is left unchanged under the transform ation '! $\frac{c}{2} \quad$ '. The right part of the entropy curve is thus the $m$ irror sym $m$ etric of the left part with respect to ${ }^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{m}}$ (gure 2A ). This results from a duality between long and short extensive loops. Let ${ }^{F}$ be the full loop (all edges occupied, which is allowed for even c). Then, for any loop w ith length ' $<\frac{c}{4},{ }^{F} n$ (that is ${ }^{F}$ w thout the edges belonging to ) is a loop w th length $\frac{c}{2} \quad \ddots$ N otice that the largest loop is ${ }^{F}$, w th length $\frac{c}{2}$.

The case of odd degree. Here duality does not hold and there is no simple transform ation rule for ' and. An explicit calculation gives the entropy curve shown in gure 2 B . The $m$ axim al length ${ }^{+}=\frac{(c 1)}{2}$ is reached $w$ ith an in nite slope, and
 c, indeed, loops cannot occupy all edges since the num ber of incom ing edges onto each vertex is even. The longest loops have one free edge per vertex: these act as defects, the positions of which can be chosen w ith som e freedom, giving rise to a nite entropy. $T$ he larger is $c$, the sm aller is the ratio $\frac{+}{M}$ : intuitively, the frustration com ing from the parity of c is less and less im portant as c increases ${ }^{+}$.

### 2.2. Loops w ithout crossings: circuits

The iteration procedure can be applied to calculate the free-energy of other short-range m odels and then derive the entropies corresponding to large-scale diagram s generated through high tem perature expansions. For instance the ferrom agnetic $O(n)$ m odelw ith $\mathrm{n}!0$ gives inform ation on circuits i.e loops w ith vertioes having degree 2 at m ost [16]. A spin $S$ is subm itted to two elds $\mathrm{H}_{1} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}$ conjugated to the $m$ agnetization $\tilde{\bar{n}}$ and its squared value. The iteration equations for these elds read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}=\frac{(\mathrm{C} \quad 1) \mathrm{H}_{1}}{1+\mathrm{H}_{2}} ;  \tag{6}\\
& \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}=\frac{(\mathrm{C} \quad 1)(\mathrm{c} \quad 2)^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{2}}:
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting the xed point values for the elds in the expression of the free-energy per spin com ponent,

$$
\mathrm{f}=\frac{1}{-} \ln { }^{\prime \prime}\left(1+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{c}} 1+\frac{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C} \quad 1)^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{C}}{2} \ln \left[\left(1+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{H}_{1}^{2}\right] ;(7)
$$

[^0] tanh $>1$ indicate that the replica sym $m$ etric solution is correct in this range of (com plex-valued) tem perature [15].
$H$ igher $m$ om ents are not relevant in the $n!0 \lim$ it.
yields (for an altemative derivation, see [17]),

The entropy of circuits,
is obtained through $f()=\max [()+\cdots \ln ]$. Results are shown in gure 2]. The $m$ axim alentropy, $m=\frac{1}{2}(c \ln (c \quad 1) \quad(c \quad 2) \ln (c+1))$, is reached $i_{M}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{c}{(c+1)}$. The rightm ost point, w ith coordinates ${ }^{\prime}+=1 ;+=\ln \left(\begin{array}{ll}C & 1\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}C & 2\end{array}\right) \ln (1 \quad 2=c)$ corresponds to H am ittonian cycles. Expression (9) coincides w ith the output of rigorous calculations [2, 18], which show $s$ the exactness of the replica sym $m$ etric hypothesis for the $O(n!0)$ m odel [17]. N ote the sim ilarity of the entropy curves for loops on odd cregular graphs and circuits (gure 2). In the two cases the slopes at $m$ inim al and $m$ axim al lengths are respectively nite and in nite, see the discussion above.

Let C 3 and M ( L ) be in all the rest of this note the num ber of circuits of length $L$ in a random, cregular graph. For nite $L, M$ ( $L$ ) is asym ptotically P oisson-distributed when N ! 1 [4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Proba } M(L)=M]=\frac{1}{M!} \frac{(c \quad 1)^{\mp}}{2 L} \operatorname{map}^{(\mathrm{M}} \frac{(c \quad 1)^{\text { }}}{2 L} \text { : } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above identity holds indeed for circuit-length $L \quad \log N$. The expected num ber of circuits of intensive length $`=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$ is therefore, for ${ }^{\prime}<\frac{\log \mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hM} \quad(`) \mathrm{i}=\frac{(\mathrm{c} \quad 1)^{T}}{2 \mathrm{~L}}=\exp \mathrm{fN} \quad \text { (ソ) } \quad \log (\mathbb{N})+\sim(`) g ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 expression (9) at the rst order in '.

## 3. $F$ in ite size corrections to circu it num ber: num erical results

To study what happens at nite N we have im plem ented a fast algorithm for nding all circuits in a given graph [19]. It is im portant that we explicitly nd, not only count, all the circuits so that by our method we can in principle give all interesting characterizations.

In our enum eration we rst generate a random graph and then count the circuits. W e average this outcom e over a num ber of sam ples. W e describe the algorithm for enum eration in next section.

To generate a xed connectivity random graph we start by assum ing that each site has c connections that connect it to c di erent sites: we allow no self-connections and no double edges. At the beginning, all connections are free. Two of the connections are extracted and $m$ atched together. W e continue lling them up (we use a table that we resize at each step to keep the process e ective) till all connections are set or we
are stuck because there are two left connections that both belong to the sam e site or that belong to two sites that are already connected. To be sure not to introduce any system atic bias in this case we just discard the full graph and restart the procedure from scratch.

### 3.1. An algorithm for circuit enum eration

For enum erating circuits we have im plem ented and used an algorithm introduced by Johnson [19]. The algorithm nds all elem entary circuits of a graph. T he com puter tim e needed for this task is bounded by $O(\mathbb{N}+E)(M+1))$, where $N$ is the number of vertioes of the graph, $E$ the num ber of edges and $M$ the total num ber of circuits in the graph: indeed one proves that the tim e used betw een the output of tw o consecutive circuits is bound by $O(\mathbb{N}+\mathrm{E}$ ) (and that this is true also for tim e elapse before the output of the rst circuit and after the output of the last one). Them em ory needed by the algorithm is bounded by $O(\mathbb{N}+E)$.

Let us just sketch the crucial steps of the procedure. O ne rst orders the vertioes in som e lexicographic sequence, and labels them w ith integers ranging from 0 to N 1. $T$ he search is started from a root vertex $r$, in the subgraph induced by $r$ and by vertioes after r: one of the crucial perform ance issues is that all vertioes that becom e roots are the sm allest vertex in at least one elem entary circuit. T he input to the procedure is the adjacency structure $A(v)$ for each vertex $v$, that represents the graph: it contains $u$ if and only if (v;u) 2 E , where E is the set of edges of the graph.
$W$ eblock a vertex $v$ when it is added to a path beginning in $r$. $W$ e build elem entary paths starting from $r$. T he vertioes of the current trial paths are loaded on a stack. A procedure adds the vertex to the path, if appropriate, and appends the vertex to the stack: the vertex is deleted from the stadk when retuming from this procedure. The ingenious part of the algorithm is in keeping a vertex blocked as long as possible, to $m$ inim ize the execution tim $e$. This has to be done while keeping the procedure correct: the basic rule that has to be satis ed to guarantee that all circuits are found (only once) is that if it exists a path from the vertex $v$ to $r$ that does not intersect the path loaded on the stack, then $v$ has to be free (i.e. it cannot be in a blocked state). O ne uses a list to keep the inform ation needed to satisfy this constraint while staying tim e e ective.

Som e details about perform ances are as follow s: on an IntelX eon $2: 8 \mathrm{GH}$ z processor our im plem entation takes of the order of 0:07 seconds for nding allcircuits of a $\mathrm{N}=30$ graph (they are $O$ (50000)), $2: 4 \mathrm{~s}$ for $\mathrm{N}=40\left(0\left(1: 510^{6}\right)\right.$ circuits) and 80 s for $\mathrm{N}=50$ (with $O\left(410^{7}\right)$ circuits).

In order to test the results of our code we have also im plem ented a \quick and dirty" backtracking procedure to count Ham iltonian circuits. Since our procedure crucially depends on the quality of the random num ber generator we have also checked that di erent (high quality) random num ber generators lead to statistically com patible answ ers.


Figure 3. Circuit entropy ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ (') as a fiunction of 'for $\mathrm{C}=3$, and for graph sizes ranging from $N=10$ to 64 (from bottom to top). The full curve corresponds to the analytical calculation of equation (9) . D ata for sizes multiple of 10 are made visible by using a di erent draw ing style.

### 3.2. Results and interpretation

Thanks to our algorithm and im plem entation we have been able to enum erate of the order of $10^{14}$ circuits (a large num ber).

For sm all c values we can study larger graphs (we have analyzed graphs w th up to 64 vertices in the $c=3$ case and up to 22 vertioes for $c=6$, and averaged our results over sam ples ranging from 1000 to 10000 random graphs). Typically we nd for exam ple of the order of 300 m illion circuits on a $\mathrm{N}=56, \mathrm{c}=3$ graph, one billion circuits on a $N=26, c=5$ graph and $1: 5$ billion circuits on $a N=22, c=6$ graph. For each value of N , we average over of the order of 10000 sam ples for all the $\mathrm{c}=3$ enum erations, and 1000 graphs for c> 3 .

In gure 3 we plot the theoretical results obtained in the (replica sym $m$ etric) calculation of Section 22 for $c=3$, together $w$ ith results obtained by nite enum eration on nite lattioes $w$ th $N$ vertices. $N$ um erical data from nite graphs are very slow ly approaching the theoretical, in nite volum e data. We try in the follow ing to analyze the quality of this asym ptotic agreem ent of the two sets of data.

At rst we know that loghM (`)i \({ }^{\prime} \log (\mathrm{c} 1)\) as \({ }^{\prime}\) ! 0 where hM ( \()^{\prime}\) i is the average num ber of circuits of length \(L=\), N . W ith our num erical data we cannot w ork really close to ' \(=0\), since for nite \(N\) we have a value \({ }_{\mathrm{m}}\) in that goes to zero as N ! 1 : nite size e ects appear as a attening of loghM (')i when ` becom es very close to the m inin um allowed value (see gure [3). O ne can easily see by eye that the slope is very sim ilar to the asym ptotic slope in the sm all ' region where we are relatively safe from nite size e ects. W e have tted a linear behavior (that is indeed clear in the data) for exam ple for ' in the range (:13; :19) for $c=3$.


Figure 4. N tim es the di erence betw een the circuit entropy and its asym ptotic value, as a function of $N$ for di erent connectivities $c$. $H$ ere ${ }^{`}=0: 5$.


Figure 5. Same as in gure 4 but for H am iltonian circuits i.e. ${ }^{\text {' }}=1$.

U sing this approach we nd for c from 3 to 6 slopes about 20\% sm aller than the theoretical prediction (on the larger graphs we can study) : for $c=3$ we nd $0: 54$ versus a theoretical log 2 $0: 69$; for $c=4$ we nd $0: 87$ versus $1: 10$; for $c=5$ we nd $1: 12$ versus $1: 39$; for $\mathrm{c}=6$ we nd $1: 31$ versus $1: 61$. Finite size e ect can be drastically reduced if we com pare directly di erent c value (since we are using graphs of a size that is lim ted by the sam e criterion for each c value). For exam ple the ratio of the slope of c and c+ 1 is $0: 62$ for $c=3$ versus a theoretical $0: 63,0: 78$ versus $0: 79$ for $c=4$ and $0: 85$ versus $0: 86$ for $\mathrm{c}=5$. This really rem arkable agreem ent gives us con dence that we have a good controlover nite size e ects.


Figure 6. C ircuit entropy $\quad(\quad=0: 5)$ versus $N$ for $c=3$ and best $t$ to the form 13).

Equation (11) tells us that the di erence between the $m$ easured circuit entropy n (') and the asym ptotic value given by form ula (9) , once multiplied by $N$, behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{\mathrm{N}}(`) \quad 1( \urcorner\right) \mathrm{N}=\quad \log \mathrm{N}+\sim(`) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for vanishing sm all values of $\urcorner$, with $\sim(`)=\quad \log (2\urcorner)$. It is therefore independent of C, with a logarithm ic dependence upon the graph size $N$. To check how equation (12) applies to nite values of ', we look rst in gure 4 at the number of circuits with ' = 0:5, for di erent values of $N$ and $c$ (we have harvested our most precise data at $\mathrm{c}=3$ ). D ata indeed show only a very weak dependence upon c , and this dependence becom es weaker w ith increasing c. D ata for c = 5 are already indistinguishable from the ones for $\mathrm{c}=6$.

In gure [5, we show the sam equantity for ' $=1$ i.e. for H am iltonian circuits, that pass through all vertioes of the random graph. A s far as the scaling with c is concemed gure 5 show $s$ that the scaling of H am iltonian circuits is excellent already at $\mathrm{c}=3$. We will com e back later about the fact that scaling property of H am iltonian circuits tum out to be very di erent from the ones of all other nite ', less dense circuits.

Inspired by the result obtained for sm all circuits (11), (12) we look for the follow ing $t$ for the circuit entropy for nite values of $~$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{N}(\mathcal{I})={ }_{1}(\mathbb{I})+C_{1} \frac{\log N}{N}+C_{2} \frac{1}{N}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In gure6we show our results for $c=3, ~==0: 5$. The quality of the best $t$ to data $w$ ith sizes N $\quad 30$ only is excellent, and is very good agreem ent w ith all data w ith N 12. $T$ he two param eter $t$ is clearly superior to power law ts. $W$ e nd that with very good accuracy (surely better than one percent)

$$
c_{1}=1 ;
$$



Figure 7. $\quad(1=1)$ versus $N$ for $c=3$.
i.e. that even at nite ' the relation (12) gives the correct leading corrections. This result tells us that for all ' values ( $m$ aybe excluding ' = 1, see later) we have that the average num ber of circuits of reduced length 'equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hM}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{N}\right) i=(\mathrm{K}(\Upsilon)+O(1)) \frac{e^{\mathrm{N} \quad 1(`)}}{\mathrm{N}} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ (') is a bounded fiunction of '. For $C_{2}$, we nd values close to 1 e.g. :78 in the case of $\backslash=0: 5$. H ere precision is not as good since this is a sub-leading correction. W hat is clear from our data is that sub-leading corrections to the circuit entropy are of the order of $1=\mathrm{N}$ as encoded in form ula (14).

A swe have said above the case of H am ittonian circuits ( $(=1$ ) is exceptional. Finite size transient e ects are very strong; this is intuitively expected since these circuits 11 the graph and are deeply a ected by its nite size. We show in gure 7 the analogous of gure 6 but for ${ }^{~}{ }^{\prime}=1$. It is clear that the structure of nite size e ects is com pletely di erent. On the contrary we have already explained that we nd exactly the same behavior for all interm ediate ' values: the case ` = 1 appears as isolated.

## 4. C onclusions

To sum up, the absence of sm all loops in random graphs allow s one to argue that the free-energy of a spin model de ned on the graph is equal to the one on a regular tree with a self-consistent extemal eld at boundary (leaves). In tum, this free-energy fully determ ines the distribution of large-scale loops in the random graph. W e stress that the whole com plexity of this cavity procedure is actually hidden in the assum ption of self-consistency for the eld (s) [20]. A ssum ptions corresponding to broken sym m etry in the replica fram ew ork [21, 22] $m$ ay be necessary for $m$ odels $w$ ith random interactions.

A nyhow, the salient feature of the above standard approach is that it predicts global therm odynam ical quantities from local considerations about the graph only.

W e have added to our exact com putation, valid in the N ! 1 lim it, results from exact enum eration at nite N . Thanks to them we have been able to determ ine precisely the behavior of the leading corrections to the them odynam ical behavior (at least for circuits w th ' < 1: we have found that Ham ittonian circuits have stronger nite size corrections and a peculiar nite N behavior.

It would be very interesting to characterize properties or quantities that can adequately be described by a localprocedure [23]. The question is how ever w ell.beyond the scope of the present paper, which only shows that large loops are (rem arkably) am ong those ones.

## A cknow ledgm ents

W e are grateful to $G$. P arisi for insights about the validity of replica sym $m$ etry for the Ising $m$ odel $w$ th com plex tem perature. We also thank S. C occo, A. M ontanari for interesting discussions. R M . thanks the Les H ouches sum mer school and the SM C$\mathbb{I N F M}$ C enter in Rome for the hospitality in A ugust and Septem ber 2003 respectively. E M . thanks the \Laboratoire de Physique Theorique" of ENS in Paris for a visiting professorship during M ay-June 2004.

## $R$ eferences

[1] SN.D orogovtsev, JFF.M endes, Evolution of N etworks: From Biological Nets to the Intemet and W W W (O xford U niversity P ress, O xford, U K, 2003)
[2] S. Janson, T.Luczak, A.Rucinski, Random G raphs (John W iley and Sons, New York, U SA , 2000)
[B] M E J.Newmann, SH. Strogatz, D J.W atts, Phys. Rev. E 64, 026118 (2001).
[4] N .C.W orm ald M odels of Random Regular G raphs in Surveys in Com binatorics, edited by J.D. Lam b and D A. Preece, London M athem atical Society Lecture N ote Series 276 pp. $239\{298$ (C am bridge U niversity P ress, C am bridge, U K , 1999)
[5] A. Rucinski, N.C.W om ald, C om bin. P robab. C om put. 1, 169 (1992)
[6] E. Ben-N aim , P L. K rapivsky, preprint cond-m at/0403453
[7] H D. R ozenfeld, JE.K irk, E M . Bollt, D . ben-A vraham, preprint cond-m at/0403536
[8] C. de D om inicis, Y. G oldschm idt, J. Phys. A 22, L775 (1989)
[9] P.M ottishaw, Europhys. Lett. 4, 333 (1987)
[10] I. K anter, H . Som polinsky, P hys. Rev. Lett. 58, 164 (1987)
[11] D R.Bowman, K.Levin, Phys.Rev.B 25, 3438 (1982)
[12] C.Bachas, C . de C alan, P M S.Petropoulos, J.Phys.A 27, 6121 (1994)
[13] A. Lefevre, D S.Dean, Eur. Phys.J.B 21, 121 (2001)
[14] G . Parisi, Statistical F ield Theory (A ddison W esley, Redw ood C ity, U SA , 1988)
[15] G . Parisi, private com $m$ unication.
[16] P.G. de G ennes P hys. Lett. 38 A, 339 (1972)
[17] A . M ontanari, M .M uller, M .M ezard, P hys. Rev. Lett. 92, 185509 (2004).
[18] H. Gam o, Random Structures A lgorithm s 15, 43 (1999)
[19] D B. Johnson, SIAM J.Comput. 4, 77 (1975)

C ircuits in random graphs: from local trees to global bops
[20] D J. T houless, P hys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1082 (1986)
[21] R . M onasson, J. Phys. A 31, 515 (1998)
[22] M .M ezard, G . P arisi, Eur. P hys. J. B 20, 217 (2001)
[23] D . A ldous, A . B andyopadhyay, preprint (2004).


[^0]:    + $N$ um erical investigations of the one-step replica sym $m$ etry broken A nsatz for the Ising $m$ odel $w$ ith

