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#### Abstract

We investigate the dynam ical properties of a system of interacting magnetic dipoles disposed in sites of an sc lattice and form ing a cubic-shaped sam ple of size determ ined by the cube edge length $\mathbb{N}$ 1)a (a being the lattice constant, $N$ representing the num ber of dipolar planes). The dipolar eld resulting from the dipole-dipole interactions is calculated num erically in points of the axis connecting opposite cube face centers (œentral axis) by collecting individual contributions to this eld com ing from each of the $N$ atom ic planes perpendicular to the central axis. The applied $m$ agnetic eld is assum ed to be oriented along the central axis, $m$ agnetizing uniform ly the whole sam ple, all the dipoles being aligned parallelly in the direction of the applied eld. The frequency spectrum ofm agnetostatic waves propagating in the direction of the applied eld is found num erically by solving the Landau- ifsh itz equation of $m$ otion including the local (nonhom ogeneous) dipolar eld com ponent; the m ode am plitude spatialdistributions ( $m$ ode pro les) are depicted as well. It is found that only the two energetically highest $m$ odes have bulk-extended character. A ll the rem aining $m$ odes are of localized nature; $m$ ore precisely, the $m$ odes form ing the low er part of the spectrum are localized in the subsurface region, while the upper-spectrum m odes are localized around the sam ple center. W e show that the m ode localization regions narrow down as the cube size, N , increases (we investigated the range of $\mathrm{N}=21$ to $\mathrm{N}=101$ ), and in su ciently large cubes one obtains practically only œenter-bocalized and surface-localized m agnetostatic m odes.

PACS num bers: 75.30 D s, $75.40 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{b}, 75.75 .+\mathrm{a}$ K eyw ords: $m$ agnetostatic $m$ odes; $m$ agnetic nanograins; $m$ ode localization; dem agnetizing eld


## I. INTRODUCTION

T he developm ent of nanotechnology in recent years allowed to design nanom etric ferro$m$ agnetic $m$ aterials of any required shape $w$ ith a large size variation range ${ }^{1 / 1}$. Sm allm agnets and particles have raised an increasing interest due to their potentialapplication in $m$ agnetic random access $m$ em ory ( $M$ R AM) elem ents and ultrahigh-density storage. This interest also involves the sam ple ability to rapidly change them agnetization state in order to optim ize the individual recording tim e. P articularly, m agnetostatic modes are of practicalim portance in this $m$ atter (especially in $m$ agnetization reversalprocesses), by reason of their low frequency range.

The sm all magnetic elem ents used in signal processing devices are of nanoscale size and m ostly non-ellipsoidal shape. In such $s m$ all $m$ agnetic particles the intemal eld nonuniform ity due to the particle shape has to be taken into account. O f course, the dom inant $m$ echanism in the creation of such a nonhom ogeneity are long-range dipolar interactions. The dipolar size and shape e ects present in the $m$ agnetostatic $w$ ave spectra of sm all nonellipsoidal particles result in additional pow er losses' very im portant in devige applications.

The nonuniform dem agnetizing eld in a norm ally m agnetized disk was studied long ago by Yukaw a and $A b^{{ }^{73}}$, w the the assum ption of uniform saturation $m$ agnetization throughout the disk. A nalyzing the ferrom agnetic resonance (FMR) spectrum of the magnetostatic waves in a norm ally $m$ agnetized Y IG disk, the authors found that these waves were excited at the disk edge and propagated radially tow ards the disk center $w$ th increasing wave num bers.

The dynam ical properties of $s m$ all $m$ agnetic elem ents have been actively investigated recently in studies using di erent $m$ ethods and approaches. $R$ esonance $m$ ethods com bined $w$ ith surface tunneling $m$ icroscopy techniques enabled several team si4n to observe $m$ agnetostatic and exchange m odes on a very localscale; tim e-resolved and space-resolved M O K E experim entsin were used to study the local m agnetization dynam ics as well. These di erent $m$ ethods give good indications of the localized $m$ agnetostatic $m$ ode existence, especially when the applied eld is strong enough to induce a magnetization saturation throughout the sam ple.

The localized $m$ agnetostatic $m$ ode dynam ics has been investigated by several authors. Berkov et alis' studied the spin wave frequency spectrum and spatial distribution in thin
$m$-sized $m$ agnetic $l m$ sam ples. The low est-firequency $m$ odes were found to correspond to oscillations restricted to the boundary regions, which start to propagate' inside a rectangular $m$ agnetic sam ple as the frequency increases. T am aru et alli' applied a spatially resolved FM R technique to quantized $m$ agnetostatic $m$ ode im aging in small $m$ agnetic structures. They identi ed quantized $m$ agnetostatic $m$ odes in the obtained spectra, and from the observed spatial distribution ofm agnetization response at each $m$ ode peak deduced that the num ber of $m$ ode nodes decreased w ith increasing bias eld. H ow ever, in their data interpretation some discrepancies were found between the $m$ easured $m$ ode frequency values and those calculated on the basis of the $D$ am on $E$ shbach theory quantization in $s m$ all $m$ agnetic structures needs to be developed.

The dipole-exchange spectrum of the discrete spin wave $m$ odes resulting from the bias $m$ agnetic eld inhom ogeneity inside a nonellipsoidal rectangular $m$ agnetic sam ple has been studied recently by G uslienko et alini. The authors found that the strong inhom ogeneity of the intemalbias $m$ agnetic eld along the $m$ agnetization direction results in spin $w$ ave $m$ ode localization at either the edges (exchange localization) or the center (dipolar localization) of the sam ple. W ew ill show in this paper that in a cubic-shaped sam ple with an intemal eld nonhom ogeneity resulting solely from pure dipolar interactions these two types of $m$ agnetostatic $m$ ode localization are also present (it $m$ eans $m$ agnetostatic $m$ odes are found to be localized not only at the cube center, but also on the cube surfaces).

O ur theoretical approach is based on a m odi ed D raaism a-de Jonge treatm entizu used in the dipolar energy calculation. In the originalD raaism a-de Jonge treatm ent a ferrom agnetic
m is considered as a set of discrete $m$ agnetic dipoles regularly arranged in a crystalline lattice. The dipolar energy is calculated by collecting contributions from each dipolar lattioe plane assum ed to be parallel to the $l m$ surface. The dipoles w thin each plane are divided into two sets: those within a circle of radius R and those beyond that circle; the total contribution of the form er set is calculated discretely through sum $m$ ing over the dipoles, while the contribution of the latter set is evaluated by integration (the circle radius should be large enough to assure reliable nal results). In the approach used in our study, the discrete sum $m$ ation is perform ed over all the dipoles w ithin a given dipolar plane; thus, the integration is avoided, and no approxim ation is involved in our dipolar energy evaluation.

The reason to use a discrete approach in the dipolar eld calculation is our general

questionable when applied to sm all sam ples containing a nite num ber of elem entary spins. The com plexity of introducing the long-range spin-spin interactions into the discrete $a p-$ proach is balanced by the restriction of our study only to the case of a uniform $m$ ode with in each dipolar plane, which reduces the 3D problem to a single dim ension (this assum ption is experim entally justi ed by the observed weak in-plane variability of the $m$ agnetic $m$ odes ${ }^{\prime 2}$ í).
II. A FIN ITE SYStem OF MAGNETIC D IPOLES IN PLANAR ARRANGEMENT
$W$ e shall consider a system of $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents ${ }_{r}$ arranged regularly in sites $x$ of a sim ple cubic crystal lattioe. The system is assum ed to form a rectangular prism with square base (see Fig. ina). Let the prism base determ ine the ( $x ; y$ ) -plane of a C artesian reference system, $w$ th the $z$-axis penpendicular to this plane. The reference point $(0 ; 0 ; 0)$ shall be placed in the central site of the prism bottom .

Let us caloulate $m$ agnetic eld $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{R}}$ "produced" by all the prism dipoles in a site indicated by intemal vector R . A coording to the classical form ula (obtained using the linear approxim ation ${ }^{\frac{1}{15}}$ ), eld $\widetilde{h}_{\mathrm{R}}$ can be expressed as follow S (in the SI units):
the above sum involving all the sites except the reference point (i.e. the site $w$ ith position vector $¥ \mathrm{R})$. The lattice planes parallel to the prism base shall be num bered $w$ ith index
 $\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{p} \hat{\mathrm{i}}+q \hat{j}]$, de ned by integers p;q2 2 L ;Li. This $m$ eans that the position of the sites in which the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents are located, indicated by vector $¥$, shall be de ned by a set of three integers, $(p ; q ; n)$ :
a denoting the lattioe constant. Thus, the considered prism contains N $(2 \mathrm{~L}+1)^{2}$ magnetic m om ents. Below we shall focus on the $m$ agnetic eld on the $z$-axis only, assum ing its direction to be solely allow ed form agnetic wave propagation. H ence, we put $\mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{a}\left[0 ; 0 ; \mathrm{n}^{0}\right]$, where $n^{0} 2 h 0 ; N \quad 1 i$, and re-index the dipole eld: $\widetilde{K}_{n} 0 \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{~h}}_{\mathrm{R}}$. By vector decom position:

$$
r \quad \mathrm{R}=a \mathrm{p} \hat{\mathrm{i}}+q \hat{j}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & n^{0} \tag{3}
\end{array}\right) \hat{k}
$$

equation (1ָ1) becom es:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sim_{r} a^{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+\left(n \quad n^{0}\right)^{2}\right)^{3}}{\left(a \underset{p^{2}+q^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & n^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{5}}{5}\right.} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}{ }_{p ; q ; n}^{x} 0^{"} 3 \frac{\hat{i}\left({ }_{x}^{x} p^{2}+{ }_{x}^{y} p q+{ }_{x}^{z} p\left(n \quad n^{0}\right)\right)+\hat{j}\left({ }_{r}^{x} p q+{ }_{x}^{x} q^{2}+{ }_{r}^{z} q\left(n \quad n^{0}\right)\right)}{a^{3}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+\binom{n}{n^{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left({ }_{x}^{x} \hat{i}+{ }_{x}^{y} \hat{j}+{ }_{x}^{z} \hat{k}\right)\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+\left(n \quad n^{0}\right)^{2}\right)^{\#}}{a^{3}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & \left.n^{0}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right)\right.} ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

 sum $m$ ing range is as de ned in ' ( $\overline{-1})$, and the prim $e^{9}$ ) at the sum sym bolm eans that the reference point $\left[0 ; 0 ; n^{0}\right]$ is excluded from the sum . A s the site distribution within each plane $n$ is sym $m$ etric $w$ ith respect to site $(0 ; 0 ; n)$, each site ( $p ; q$ ) has its counterpart ( $p ; q$ ). C onsequently, in sum $\left.\underline{(14}_{4}^{4}\right)$, all the term $s$ in which $p$ and $q$ appear in odd pow ers are com pensated, and $(\underline{4})$ becom es:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\hat{k}{ }_{k}^{z}\left(p^{2} q^{2}+2\left(n \quad n^{0}\right)^{2}\right)^{\#}}{a^{3}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & \left.\left.n^{0}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}
\end{array}:=: ~\right.\right.} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Further calculations shall be perform ed using double sum $s$ over $p$ and $q$, de ned as follow s:
and

$$
\frac{1}{2}{ }_{p ; q} \sum_{p^{2}+q^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & n^{0} \tag{7}
\end{array}\right)^{2^{1 \frac{5}{2}}}}^{p^{2}} ;
$$

the equality of the two rst sum $s$ in $\overline{(1)}(\overline{\mathrm{j}})$ is a consequence of the fact that the z -axis is a fourfold sym $m$ etry axis for each lattice plane (see Fig. 'īi'). A dditionally, we shall assum e that all the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents $w$ thin a single plane $n$ are identical, i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{n} \sim_{[p ; q ; n]} ; \text { for any } p \text { and } q: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{n}_{n^{0}}=\frac{1}{4}_{n}^{x^{"}}\left(J_{n ; n^{0}} \quad I_{n ; n^{0}}\right) \frac{\hat{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{x}}+\hat{j}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{y}} 2 \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{z^{\#}}}{a^{3}}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that by assum ing $\sim_{n} \quad \sim_{r}$ all the $m$ agnetic excitations propagating in plane ( $x ; y$ ) are excluded from our analysis, and $z$ axis becom es the only direction of propagation allowed.

In order to obtain a sim pler expression of $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{n}} 0$ we introduce a sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix whose elem ents, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}}$, are de ned as follow s :

$$
D_{n ; n^{0}} \quad J_{n ; n^{0}} \quad I_{n ; n^{0}}=\frac{x}{p ; q} \frac{0 \frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right) \quad\left(n \quad n^{0}\right)^{2}}{h} p^{2}+q^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & \left.n^{0}\right)^{2^{\frac{15}{2}}} \tag{10}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$W$ ith this $m$ atrix, the $m$ agnetic eld reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K}_{n 0}=\frac{1}{4}_{n}^{x} D_{n, m^{0}} \frac{\hat{i}_{n}^{x}+\hat{j}_{n}^{y} 2 \hat{k}_{n}^{z}}{a^{3}}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to introduce here the notion ofm agnetization, a phenom enologicalquantity, which in the considered case of sim ple cubic lattice can be de ned as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{M}_{n}=\sim_{n}=a^{3}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (1̄11

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K}_{n} 0=\frac{1}{4}{ }_{n}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m}^{0}}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{x}}+\hat{j} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad 2 \hat{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{z}^{i}}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be rem em bered that site $\left(0 ; 0 ; n^{0}\right)$ is excluded from the sum $s$ appearing in the equation $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-})$; to avoid any am biguity, this shall be noted explicitly by rew riting ( expanded form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{8}_{\mathrm{p} \text {;q }}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{\left[p^{2}+q^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \hat{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{\mathrm{x}}+\hat{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad 2 \hat{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{z}: \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term on the right of Eq. (1' $\overline{1} \mathbf{1})$ has been calculated for $n=n^{0}$, and thus site $\Upsilon_{i j}=(0 ; 0)$ should be excluded from the sum over $p$ and $q$ (as indicated by the prim e at the
 of a dipolar m atrix $\hat{D}$ com posed of these elem ents; as we shall see this m atrix shall play an im portant role in deducing properties of $m$ agnetic $m$ odes. A s a consequence of (100]) m atrix


$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}^{0} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ easures the distance betw een planes $n$ and $n^{0}$. C onsequently, we can write:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{n ; n^{0}} D=D_{n^{0} ; n} D ;  \tag{16}\\
& D=X_{p ; q} \frac{0 \frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right){ }_{2}^{2}}{\left[p^{2}+q^{2}+\right]^{\frac{5}{2}}}: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the considered system consists of a nite num ber of planes (index $n$ taking values $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; \quad ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1)$, so the set of values available to depends on the reference plane, $n^{0}$, w ith respect to which the distance is m easured. H ow ever, the follow ing condition must always be satis ed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \mathrm{n}^{0}+\quad \mathrm{N} \quad 1: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sum over all the system planes shall be in use below; this sum shall be denoted as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{0} \text {; } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

superscript $n^{0}$ indicating that the sum $m$ ing is perform ed on the planes neighbouring $w$ ith $n^{0}$, including plane $n^{0}$. Therefore, takes the follow ing values:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0 ; 1 ; 2::: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

its lower and upper lim its being determ ined by condition (1̄ō).
Up to now, the direction of dipole arrangem ent has not had much im portance in our reasoning. $N$ ow we shall consider the case with dipoles arranged along the z -axis only.
III. MAGNETICD $\mathbb{P}$ OLESALIGNED ALONG THED $\mathbb{R} E C T I O N O F M A G N E-$ TOSTATIC MODEPROPAGATION

In this paragraph we shall consider a $m$ agnetic prism placed in a static $m$ agnetic eld, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, applied along the z -axis ( F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) . F ield $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is assum ed to be strong enough to arrange
all the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents along the $z$-axis. Then, the $m$ agnetization vector can be regarded as a superposition of two com ponents (F ig. . ${\underset{i}{1}}_{1}^{1}$ ): static (parallel to the z-axis) and dynam ic (lying in the $(x ; y)-p l a n e)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}} \hat{\mathrm{~K}}+\mathrm{Rq}_{\mathrm{R}} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M_{s}$ is the static $m$ agnetization, assum ed to be hom ogeneous throughout the sam ple, and vector me denotes the dynam ic magnetization, perpendicular to $M_{S}{ }_{S}$. Sim ilarly, the dipole eld, $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{0}$, can be resolved into two com ponents: static, $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{s}$ ( (parallel to the z -axis), and dynam ic, $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{n} 0}^{\mathrm{d}}$ (lying in the ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ )-plane):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{n}} 0=\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{\mathrm{s}}+\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{n} 0}^{\mathrm{d}}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hese two com ponents of the dipole eld can be easily found from '(1-1 $\overline{1} \overline{1})$. By replacing the third com ponent of the $m$ agnetization vector $w$ the static $m$ agnetization (i.e. by putting $M_{n}^{z} \quad M_{s}$ ), and the tw o other com ponents, $M_{n}^{x}$ and $M_{n}^{y}$, with the respective com ponents of the dynam ic $m$ agnetization, $m_{n}^{x}$ and $m_{n}^{y}$, the follow ing form ulae are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{n}_{n^{0}}^{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0} 0}^{\#} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}} \hat{\mathrm{~K}} ;  \tag{23}\\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{n} 0}^{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{1}{4}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n} \text { Offen }}^{\mathrm{n}} \text {; } \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

elem ent $D_{n, n^{0}}$ being de ned by $'(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{0})$.
The $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent dynam ics is described by the phenom enological Landau- ifshitz equation (LL):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ M_{R}}{@ t}=\quad 0 \mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad \tilde{H}_{e f f ; R} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{\text {eff;R}}$ denoting the ective $m$ agnetic eld acting on the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent in siter. This e ective eld is a supenposition of two term sonly: the applied eld $\tilde{H}_{0}$, and the eld $\widetilde{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{n}} 0$ produced by the $m$ agnetic dipole system :

C onsidering ( $\left(\underline{2} \bar{L}_{2}\right)$, we can w rite further:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{\text {eff, } \mathrm{n}^{0}}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \hat{\mathrm{k}}+\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{\mathrm{d}} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

the above-introduced dipole eld com ponents (static and dynam ic) being de ned by ${ }_{2}^{1}(2 \overline{1} \beta)$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{4} \overline{4})$.

The LL equation becom es:

W e shall solve it using the linear approxim ation, i.e. neglecting all the term s w ith me squared. A ssum ing the standard harm onic tim e-dependence of the solutions: man $n^{0} e^{\text {i!t }}$, (2̄O्) becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i!m_{n^{0}}=\quad 0^{h} M_{S} \hat{k} \quad \overparen{K}_{n^{0}}^{d}+\operatorname{ma}_{n^{0}} \quad\left(H_{0}+h_{n^{0}}^{s}\right) \hat{k}^{i} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, using the properties of vector product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i!\mathrm{ma}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}=\quad{ }_{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{\mathrm{d}} \quad \mathrm{man}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{\mathrm{i}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through replacing the dipole eld dynam ic and static com ponents w ith their explicit expressions $(\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{4})$ we obtain:
or, after bilateralm ultiplication by $4\left({ }_{0} M_{S}\right)^{1}$ :
and $\quad$ н denoting the reduced frequency and the reduced eld, respectively, de ned as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4!}{{ }_{0} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}} \text { and } \quad{ }_{\mathrm{H}} \quad \frac{4 \mathrm{H}_{0}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}} \text { : } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

T w o com plex variables are now introduced for convenience:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th these new variables, ( $\left.\underline{\beta}_{\underline{2}}^{2}\right)$ splits into two independent identical scalar equations form ${ }_{n}^{+}$ and $m_{n}$; this $m$ eans we are dealing $w$ ith $m$ agnetostatic $w$ aves polarized circularly. T herefore, it is enough to consider only one of these two equations, e.g. that for $m_{n}^{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n^{0}}^{+}=m_{n^{0}}^{+} \quad{ }^{H} \quad 2_{n}^{X} D_{n ; n^{0}}^{!} \quad X_{n} D_{n ; n^{0} m_{n}^{+}} \text {: } \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation can be rew ritten as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n^{0}}^{+}=m_{n^{0}}^{+} \quad{ }^{H} \quad 2_{n}^{X} D_{n ; n^{0}} \quad D_{n^{0} ; n^{0}} \quad X_{n \in n^{0}} D_{n ; m^{0} m_{n}^{+}}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ atrix elem ents $D_{n ; n^{\circ}} m$ ean elem ents of the dipolar $m$ atrix discussed in Section $I I$; w ith notations introduced there, the equation (3ิ̄̄) becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{+}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{+} \mathrm{n}^{0} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{D} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}^{+} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

= 1;2:::
where we introduced the follow ing abbreviation denoting the local eld:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{n}^{0} \quad \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{D}_{0} & 2^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{n}^{0} \mathrm{D}:  \tag{38}\\
=0
\end{array}
$$

It is also convenient to introduce at this stage the reduced static dem agnetizing eld -on the analogy of the reduced extemal eld ${ }^{\prime}(\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3})$-by using the de nition $!(\overline{2} \overline{2})$ :

This allow s us to rew rite Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{-1} \bar{i}_{1}\right)$ in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}^{0}=\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}} \text {; } \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with d Do and soming meaning the (reduced) contributions to the local eld com ing, respectively, from the dynam ical and statical parts of the dem agnetizing eld. N ote that, according to the assum ption $m$ ade at the beginning of this paragraph, the eigenvalues (being reduced frequencies) correspond to $m$ agnetostatic $w$ aves propagating in the direction of the applied eld, i.e. along the central axis shown in Fig. ${ }^{\prime} \overline{1}+$

In the rem aining part of our work we will be considering only the cubic-shaped sam ples, i.e. starting from this point we alw ays assume 2L $N \quad$ I. W e also assum e particular values for ${ }_{0} \mathrm{H}_{0}=0: 2 \mathrm{~T}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=0: 139$ 1ØA $\mathrm{m}^{1}$ ( Y IG magnetization) w th resulting value for the reduced eld ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}=14: 374$. H ow ever, we have to em phasis that selection of this partioular value for ${ }_{H}$ is not essential for results to be presented in subsequent sections of this work, since the distribution of eigenvalues and pro les of m odes associated w ith them are not sensitive to the choice of particular н value: the particular value of ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}$ only sets the whole spectrum in a given frequency region and if H changes the whole spectrum is shifted to another region, but the relative distribution ofm ode eigenfrequencies rem ains unchanged.
IV. MODEFREQUENCIESANDAMPLITUDEPROFILESALONGTHECUBE

## CENTRALAXIS

W e shall investigate $m$ agnetostatic excitations in a cube of size 40a (a being the lattice constant). Fig. $i_{i-1}^{2}$ show s this cube in a reference system rotated by 90 w ith respect to that indicated in Fig. $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ (the $z$-axis, along which the atom ic planes are counted, is now horizontal). The cube consists of 41 planes norm al to the $z$-axis and num bered $w$ ith index $n$, ranging from $n=0$ (the left face) to $n=40$ (the right face). The e ective dipole eld calculation procedure applied in the previous paragraphs allows to nd the eld in z-axis points only, is: along the cube central axis, passing through opposite cube face centers; this is the idea of the approxim ation used throughout this study, and henceforth referred to as central-axis approxim ation (CAA).

The whole sam ple is assum ed to be m agnetized uniform ly (the corresponding m agnetization value being $M_{S}$ ) and in the direction of the extemal eld, applied along the $z$-axis. T he $z$-axis direction shallbe also the only one allow ed for propagation of the m agnetostatic waves studied in this paper. W ith these assum ptions, the problem ofm otion $\{$ to be solved on the basis of $(\underline{2} \overline{-1})$ ) reduces to a single dim ension in the space of variable $n$; the dom ain of the investigated $m$ otion is the intervaln $2(0 ; N \quad 1)$, betw een two opposite cube face centers ( $F$ ig. ${ }_{-1}^{2}$ show $s$ this interval in closeup).

Fig. 'i-1i, presents the discrete spectra of num erically calculated m agnetostatic mode frequencies in a cube of variable size; the spectnum evolution $w$ ith increasing $N$, or cube size, is visualized by the depicted frequency branches, each corresponding to one m ode of a xed num ber $m$. The plot shows clearly that only in the lowest $N$ value range $(\mathbb{N}<50)$ the frequency spectnum changes in a signi cant way; above this range, the frequency values stabilize at levels independent of N. A striking feature is that the frequencies of the two highest $m$ odes, $m=N\{1$ and $m=N$, as well as those of the two lowest ones, $m=1$ and $m$ $=2$, are pronouncedly separated from the rather uniform rroand" form ed by the otherm ode frequencies. In $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} \overline{4}$, , show ing allm ode pro les in a 40a 40a 40a cube, these \detached" $m$ odes revealquite distinct am plitude distributions, di ering from those of the other m odes: modes $m=N$ \{ 1 and $m=N$ appear to be of the bulk-extended (BE) type (w ith antisym $m$ etrical and sym $m$ etrical am plitude distribution, respectively), whereas modes $m=1$ and $m=2$ are surface-localized (SL), the low er one being antisym $m$ etrical, and the higher
one sym $m$ etrical. Besides, note that the am plitude arrangem ent is ferrom agnetic in the BE $m$ odes, and antiferrom agnetic in the SL m odes. A ll the otherm odes, w thin the ,rband", can be quali ed as localized ( $L$ ) , their $m$ axim um am plitudes localizing in some speci c regions inside the sam ple; as these localization regions are found to vary $w$ th the m ode number, the $m$ ode localization appears to depend on the $m$ ode frequency.

To exam ine this relation in detail, let's note that the band $m$ odes can be divided into two groups: $m$ odes $m=324$ and $m=25$ 39, show ing di erent localization regions. A characteristic feature of the rst-group $m$ odes is a zone of zeroing am plitudes around the sam ple center; two non-zero am plitude regions are present at both sides of the central \dead" zone. E ach of these non-zero regions can be divided further into two sub-regions: an outer one, situated at the border, w ith ferrom agnetic arrangem ent of the am plitudes which fade tow ards the sam ple borders, and an inner sub-region, w ith m ostly antiferrom agnetic arrangem ent of the am plitudes, reaching a maxin um at a certain point. It is apparent from Fig. 'īilb that the \ferrom agnetic tail" extends as the m ode energy increases, shifting the neighbouring localization region inside the sam ple; nally the localization reaches the sam ple center, the $m$ odes entering the other group (i.e. $m=25$ 39). Typical for this group, the central localization region tightens around the sam ple center as mode energy increases. W th respect to the above-discussed localization properties in both groups, the second-group modes can be quali ed as center-localized (CL), and the rst-group ones as non-centralbulk-localized (NCBL) (or, altematively, em pty-center bulk localized).
V. TWO TYPES OF MODE LOCALIZATION: SURFACE-LOCALIZED AND BULK-LOCALIZED MODES

Let's study in detail the N CBL m ode properties. A n extrem ely interesting feature of this group is both am plitude and energy double degeneration, appearing sim ultaneously. This e ect is illustrated in Fig. ${ }^{1} \bar{T}$, show ing them ode pro les divided into tw o groups: sym m etrical $m$ odes (S) are separated from antisym $m$ etrical ones (A S) (for simplicity reasons, only $\mathrm{m}^{+}{ }^{j}$ pro les are depicted). Note that the pro les of consecutive $m$ ode pairs: $m=1$ (AS) and $m=2(S), m=3(A S)$ and $m=4(S)$, and so on are identical. $T$ his am plitude degeneration in A S-S m ode pairs takes plaœ throughout the whole N C B L group, to pair m = 23;24 inclusive. Its disappearance above this pair is easy to explain: as by de nition, in antisym $m$ etrical
$m$ odes am plitude vanishes in the sam ple center, a necessary condition for their sym $m$ etrical counterparts to have identical am plitude distribution is a sim ilar am plitude zeroing in the center; but this occurs in N C B L m odes only. The am plitude degeneration is associated with that of energy levels; a double energy level degeneration in the NCBL group is apparent in
 especially $m=N$, are apparently separated from the other $m$ ode energy levels.)

Getting back to F ig. ' ${ }_{-1}$, , note that all the $m$ odes have two ferrom agnetic tails et their borders; the extent of these tails depends on $m$ ode frequency. In both the sym $m$ etrical and the antisym $m$ etrical $m$ ode groups, the highest-energy mode consists of two com plete ferrom agnetic tails, each extending from a sam ple border to the sam ple center. In the highest-energy sym $m$ etrical $m$ ode, $m=N$, the tails $m$ eet in the center $w$ ith their $m$ axim um am plitudes; in the counterpart antisym $m$ etrical $m$ ode, $m=N-1$, the tails $m$ eet in the center with their zero amplitudes. An interesting thing to note is that each of these two highest m odes can be regarded as the ,,source" of a m ode fam ily form ed by all the other $m$ odes $w$ ithin the given sym $m$ etry group. The generation of the lower $m$ odes from the initial BE m odes, $m=N$ or $m=N-1$, is based on ferrom agnetic tail shrinking: receding from the sam ple center, the tails give way to the form ation of a new m ode segm ent, w ith am plitudes localized in the center (C L m odes). The central localization zone broadens as energy decreases, to split into two separate (right and left) localization sub-zones, which $m$ igrate tow ards the borders follow ing the continuously shrinking ferrom agnetic tails. No $m$ ore non-zero am plitudes appear in the vacated center, which therefore rem ains \dead"; this corresponds to the form ation of NCBL m odes. W hen the non-zero am plitudes reach the borders (which occurs in the low est-frequency modes), the entire inside is dead, and the corresponding $m$ odes are of surface-localized nature.
VI. SIZE-INDUCED LOCALIZATION EFFECT

Let's increase the cube size to exam ine its e ect on the mode energy spectrum and pro les. Fig.'.'§o show s a discrete m ode frequency distribution calculated for a cube of size $2 \mathrm{~L}=100$; this distribution can be com pared to that obtained for a cube of size $2 \mathrm{~L}=40$, show n in $F$ ig. i' 1 a. Besides the obvious increase in the density of states (resulting from the sam ple enlargem ent), the larger cube retains the basic characteristics observed in the sm aller cube,
nam ely: the double degeneration in the lower-spectrum modes, its absence in the upper $m$ odes, and the outstanding of the tw o highest $m$ odes. $N$ ote that also the $m$ ode frequency range rem ains virtually unchanged, and that with the increased density of states, the linear character of the $m$ ode frequency vs. $m$ ode num ber dependence is $m$ ore apparent.

H ow ever, this \invariance" of the energy spectrum does not translate into the am plitude pro les. Fig. 'it presents a juxtaposition of pro les of the ve lowest modes and the six highest ones in cubes of two di erent sizes: $2 \mathrm{~L}=40$ and $2 \mathrm{~L}=100$. The pro le invariance is found to be lim ited to the BE m odes only ( $m=1 ; 2$ ) whose pro les rem ain unchanged in spite of the size increase. The other states reveal apparently changed localization: the N C B L $m$ odes in the $2 \mathrm{~L}=100$ cube, show ing substantially larger dead regions (w ith respect to the $2 \mathrm{~L}=40$ cube), are practically of sub-surface localized (SSL) nature; in the CL m odes, the localization zone has tightened around the strict sam ple center. T hus, we can anticipate that further size increase shall result in a deepening of the above-m entioned localization changes, and that consequently, them agnetostatic $m$ ode spectrum in large cubic sam ples shallconsist of three clearly di erent $m$ ode groups: (a) two highest-firequency bulk-extended $m$ odes (AS and S ), (b) center-localized $m$ odes with interm ediate frequencies, and (c) low est-frequency surface-localized m odes. A nother way of ilhustration of this size-induced localization e ect is given on the Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$.
VII. IN TERNALDIPOLARFIELDNONOMOGENEITYASTHESOURCEOF M ODE LOCALIZATION

O bviously, we would like to understand why alm ost allof the $m$ odes form ing the $m$ agnetostatic spectrum (except for the two highest ones) are of localized nature. W e can suppose that this property is due to the long-range character of dipole interactions, as the latter as know $n$ to produce spatially nonhom ogeneous dem agnetization eld in bounded non-ellipsoid system $\mathrm{s}^{-1} 6$. . In fact, this is the case of the cube considered in this paper: plotted w ith bold line
 (along the centralaxis), reaching a $m$ axim um in the sam ple center, and fading sym $m$ etrically tow ards the borders. The $m$ agnetostatic $m$ ode pro les, plotted in the sam e energy scale, have been superim posed on this local eld plot. Strikingly, the shape of the suppressed am plitude area in the bulk resem bles exactly that of the nonhom ogeneous distribution of
n , and all the NCBL m ode frequencies lie within the local eld value range. The C L m ode frequencies coincide w ith the top of the $n$ curve, $i: 2$ : the region where the local eld gradient is highest. The BE m ode frequencies lie above the $n$ value range, and one can think that this is why they are hardly sensitive to the local eld variations.
VIII. FINALREMARKSAND OUTLOOKS

In their recently perform ed system atic studies ofF M $R$ in pattemed subm icron rectangular perm alloy m s, Zhaiet alli observed multipeak spectra intenpreted as spin wave resonance due to the non-uniform $m$ agnetization of the studied sam ples. W e expect a sim ilar study can be perform ed on cubic structures as well, with the aim of revealing di erent types of the above-described localized m odes.

O ne of the practical aspects of our study concems the size-independence ofm agnetostatic $m$ ode frequencies found in cubic-shaped sam ples. This is in contrast $w$ ith the recent reportile, where FM R spectra were $m$ easured for a series of single crystal yttrium -iron-gamet (Y IG ) m s of thidkness ranging from 35 m to 220 m . The spectra were intenpreted num erically on the basis of a m odel sim ilar to ours, i:e. taking into account the strongly inhom ogeneous intemal eld, but the resonance elds ( $m$ easured for both in-plane and perpendicular con gurations) were found to be sensitive to the $m$ thickness. This e ect is, in fact, not predicted by the results of our study, perform ed on cubic-shaped sam ples: alm ost identical local eld $n$ pro les (depicted with bold lines in $F$ ig.' ${ }^{\prime} \bar{\beta}$ ) were found inside tw o cubes of different sizes, $2 \mathrm{~L}=40$ and $2 \mathrm{~L}=100$, and m oreover, the m ode frequency spectrum distribution $w$ th respect to $n$ is alm ost identical in both cases. Therefore, we $m$ ay conclude that the above-discussed size-independence of our m agnetostatic $m$ ode spectrum resulted from the assum ed cubic shape of the studied sam ple.

A problem to be solved next is the mode localization behaviour when the sam ple is ,,deform ed", losing its cubic sym m etry. In our next paper we are going to calculate the $m$ agnetostatic $m$ ode spectrum in a rectangular nite thin platelet. O ur prelim inary results indicate that them agnetostatic $m$ ode localization e ects described here for cubes are present in rectangular platelets as well. $W$ e believe, in fact, that this e ect is just underlying the so-called bin odal' statistical distribution of the intemal eld, recently revealed in nite Y IG thin m sby PardaviH orvath and Yarín and found to be clustered around two major
com ponents \{ a low - eld peak corresponding to the central (volum e) part of the sam ple, and a higher intemal eld related to the surface \{ which would correspond exactly to CL and SL m odes disscussed in this work. C loser elucidation of this analogy w ill.be the sub ject of our subsequent paper.

A nother question requiring future investigation is the mode localization dependence on m ode propagation direction. In another study we are going to exam ine $m$ agnetostatic $m$ odes propagating perpendicularly to the applied eld.
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FIG.1: (a) The prism sam ple considered here; the case in which the applied eld, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, sets the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents in the direction perpendicular to the prism base (i.e. along the $z$-axis). The prism 'thickness' is $(\mathbb{N}$ 1)a, and the square base side w idth is 2 L a (a denoting the lattice constant). $T$ he $m$ agnetostatic waves are assum ed to propagate along the $z$-axis (i.e. in the direction of the applied eld). (b) The planar prism $m$ odel used in our calculations.

F IG .2: W e consider a cube of edge size 40a, m agnetized uniform ly along the z -axis by the applied $m$ agnetic eld (Ms being the $m$ agnetization). $M$ agnetostatic $m$ odes are assum ed to propagate only in the direction of the applied eld. The dipolar eld entering the equation ofm otion is calculated num erically along the central axis (brought into close-up in the graph).

FIG. 4: Num erically calculated m agnetostatic mode pro les in a cubic sam ple of edge size 40a; the pro les are depicted along the central axis (indicated in Fig. .र्) show ing separately: (a) the dynam icalm agnetization $\mathrm{m}^{+}$relative values, and (b) the corresponding absolute values, $\mathrm{jn}^{+} \mathrm{j}$.

FIG. 5: Them odes shown in Fig. in are divided here into sym metrical (right column) and antisym $m$ etrical (left colum $n$ ) groups. The $m$ odes within each group can be regarded as a fam ily generated by the bulk-extended top $m$ ode: with low ering $m$ ode frequency, the ferrom agnetic $m$ ode tails shrink, resulting in the appearance of three m ode localization types: œentralloulk, non-œentral and surface localization.

F IG . 3: The quantized $m$ agnetostatic $m$ ode frequencies vs. the cube size, $N$; $m$ indicates the $m$ ode num ber.

F IG . 6: The quantized $m$ agnetostatic $m$ ode frequencies (square points) vs. the $m$ ode num ber, $m$; note the double degeneration of the low er-spectrum m odes. $N$ ote also that the frequencies ofm odes $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ are clearly separated from those of the rem aining m odes. T he bold line represents the spatial distribution of the local dipolar eld $n$ values along the central axis. The cube edge size is (a) $2 \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1=40$, and (b) $2 \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1=100$.

FIG.7: Juxtaposition of $m$ agnetostatic mode pro les in two cubes of di erent sizes. N ote that w hen the cube size increases, the low er m odes tend to localize in the surface region, while the upper m odes becom e strongly localized around the cube center; the tw o highest m odes rem ain practically unchanged.

FIG. 8: An ilhustration of the size-induced localization e ect. W hen the cube size increases, the localization regions of the localized $m$ odes ( $m=N-6,5$ and 1) narrow dow $n$ substantially.

F IG . 9: M agnetostatic m ode spectrum in a cube of size 40a confronted w ith the spatialdistribution of the local dipolar eld $n$ (bold line) along the cube central axis. A striking feature is that the local eld line borders the \em pty" region where mode am plinudes are suppressed. The bulkextended m ode frequencies lie above the local eld range.
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