## Zero-Resistance States Induced by Electrom agnetic-W ave Excitation in G aA s/A IG aA s H eterostructures

R.G.Mani<sup>1</sup>''

<sup>1</sup>H arvard University, Gordon McK ay Laboratory of Applied Science, 9 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA <sup>2</sup>M ax-P lanck-Institut fur Festkorperforschung, Heisenbergstrasse 1, Stuttgart 70569, Germ any

(D ated: June 15, 2003)

W e report the detection of novel zero-resistance states induced by electrom agnetic wave excitation in ultra high m obility G aA s/A IG aA s heterostructure devices, at low m agnetic elds, B, in the large lling factor lim it. Vanishing resistance is observed in the vicinity of  $B = [4=(4j + 1)]B_f$ , where  $B_f = 2$  fm =e, where m is the elective m ass, e is the charge, and f is the m icrowave frequency. The dependence of the elect is reported as a function of f, the tem perature, and the power.

PACS num bers: 73.21.-b,73.40.-c,73.43.-f; Journal-Reference: Physica E (Am sterdam) 22,1 (2004).

Vanishing electrical resistance in condensed matter has introduced new physical phenom ena such as superconductivity, which developed from the detection of a zeroresistance state in a m etal.[1] M ore recently, the discovery of quantum Halle ects (QHE) stemmed from studies of zero-resistance states at low tem peratures (T) and high magnetic elds (B) in the 2-D imensional Electron System (2DES).[2, 3, 4] Quantum Halle ect and superconductivity have shown that a complex electronic system can exhibit instantly-recognizable physical phenom ena. They have also demonstrated that observations of vanishing resistance in unusual settings can be a harbinger of new physics. Here, we report the observation of novel vanishing resistance states in an unexpected setting the ultra high m obility 2DES irradiated by low energy photons, at low tem peratures, in the low magnetic eld, large lling factor limit. We nd that GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructures including a 2DES exhibit vanishing diagonal resistance about  $B = (4=5)B_f$  and  $B = (4=9)B_f$ where  $B_f = 2 \text{ fm} = e, \text{ m}$  is an elective mass, e is the electron charge, and f is the radiation frequency. And, the resistance-m in in a follow a series  $B = [4=(4j+1)]B_{f}$ with j=1;2;3... Remarkably, in this instance, vanishing resistance in the 2DES does not produce plateaus in the Hall resistance, although the diagonal resistance exhibits activated transport and zero-resistance states, sim ilar to QHE.[5,6]

M easurements were performed on Hall bars, square shaped devices, and Corbino rings, fabricated from G aA s/A IG aA s heterostructures. A fter a brief illum ination by a red LED, the best material was typically char- $10^{11}$ acterized by an electron density, n(4.2 K)3 cm  $^2$  , and an electron m obility (1.5 K) 1:5  $10^{7}$ cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs. Lock-in based four-term inal electrical measurements were carried out with the sample mounted inside a wavequide and immersed in pumped liquid He-3 or He-4. E lectrom agnetic (EM) waves in the microwave part of the spectrum, 27 f 170 GHz, were generated using various tunable sources. The power level in the vicinity of the sam ple was typically 1 mW . In this report, we

shall mainly exhibit data which illustrate the characteristics of the phenom ena. Expanded discussions appear elsewhere.[6, 7, 8, 9]

Fig. 1 (a) show s m easurem ents of the diagonal ( $R_{xx}$ ) and Hall ( $R_{xy}$ ) resistances to B = 6 Tesla where, under m icrowave excitation at 50 GHz,  $R_{xx}$  and  $R_{xy}$  exhibit the usual quantum Hall behavior for B 0.3




FIG.1: (a): The Hall ( $R_{xy}$ ) and diagonal ( $R_{xx}$ ) resistances in a GaA s/A IG aA s heterostructure with excitation at 50 G Hz. Q uantum Hall e ects (QHE) occur at high B as  $R_{xx}$  vanishes. Inset: An expanded view of the low -B data. (b): D ata over low m agnetic elds obtained both with (w/) and without (w/o) excitation at 50 G Hz. Here, radiation induced vanishing resistance about (4=5)B<sub>f</sub> does not induce plateaus in the H all resistance, unlike in QHE.Yet, there are perceptible m icrow ave induced oscillations in the Hall e ect.




FIG. 2: A plot of  $R_{xx}$  versus the normalized inverse magnetic eld, B<sup>1</sup> = , demonstrates periodicity of the radiation induced oscillations. The curves with-and without-radiation intersect near integral and half-integral values of the abscissa.

Tesla.[2, 3] In contrast, for B < 0.25 Tesla, see inset of Fig. 1(a), a radiation induced signal occurs and the resistance vanishes over a broad B-interval about B = 0.1 Tesla. Further high-resolution m easurements are shown in Fig. 1 (b). W ithout EM -excitation,  $R_{xx}$  exhibits Shubnikov-deH aas oscillations for B > 100 m illiTesla (Fig. 1 (b)). The application of m icrow aves induces resistance oscillations, [5, 10, 11] the resistance under radiation falls below the resistance w ithout radiation, over broad B-intervals.[5, 8] Indeed,  $R_{xx}$  appears to vanish about (4=5) $B_{f}$ .[6, 7, 9] A lthough these zeroresistance-states exhibit a at bottom as in the quantum H all regim e, [2]  $R_{xy}$  under radiation does not exhibit plateaus over the sam e B-interval.

As an example, Fig. 2 shows a normalized B  $^1$  plot of the response obtained under m icrowave excitation at 119 GHz. This plot shows that (i) the magnetoresistance oscillations are periodic in B  $^{1}$  , (ii) the minima form about  $B_{min}^{1} = [4=(4j+1)]^{1}$  with j = 1;2;3... Here, is the oscillatory period in B<sup>-1</sup>, which is consistent with  $B_{f}^{1}$  within experimental uncertainty, (iii) the higher order maxim a coincide with  $B_{max}^{1} = [4=(4j+3)]^{1}$ . Experim ent indicates that the resistance m axim a generally obey this rule for integral j, excepting j = 0, where phase distortion associated with the last peak seems to shift it from the  $B_{max}^{1} = 3/4$  to approximately 0.85 ( 0.02) (see also Fig. 5), and (iv) the data obtained with radiation cross the data obtained without radiation, at integral and half-integral values of the B  $^{1}$  = , when B  $^{1}$  = 2. At these B  $^{1}$  = , the photon energy hf spans, perhaps, an integral, j, or half integral, j + 1=2, cyclotron energies. The crossing feature near integral B<sup>1</sup> = looks to be in agreem ent with theory, [12, 13] which suggests that, when  $2 f = !_{C} = j$ , the conductivity in the presence of radiation, , equals the conductivity in the absence of radiation, dark, i.e., = dark.[13] Rem arkably, the data




FIG.3: The T-dependence of  $R_{xx}$  at 85 G H z under constantpower radiation. The radiation induced resistance m in in a become deeper at lower temperatures. (inset) A ln  $R_{xx}$  vs. T<sup>1</sup> plot at B = (4=5)B<sub>f</sub>, (4=9)B<sub>f</sub>, and (4=13)B<sub>f</sub> suggests activated transport. The activation energy T<sub>0</sub> 10 K for the (4=5)B<sub>f</sub> state at 85 G H z.

suggest the same behavior at j + 1/2.

The tem perature variation of  $R_{xx}$  at 85 G H z, shown in F ig. 3, displays both the strong T-dependence of  $R_{xx}$  and the low-T requirement for the observation of the radiation induced zero-resistance state. The T-variation of  $R_{xx}$  at the deepest m inim a suggests activated transport, i.e.,  $R_{xx} = \exp(T_0=T)$ , [2, 6] see inset F ig. 3, and, in temperature units, the activation energy at  $B = (4/5) B_f$ ,  $T_0 = 10$  K at 85 G H z, exceeds the Landau level spacing, 3.26 K, and the photon energy, hf = 4.08 K. Rem arkably,  $T_0$  is reduced as one m oves to higher order m inim a at lower B, see inset F ig. 3. Further studies indicated that  $T_0$  varied with the radiation intensity, as illustrated in F ig. 4.

These power dependent activation results go together with other measurements, which showed that the amplitude of the radiation induced resistance oscillations increased with the radiation intensity (see Fig. 3a,ref[6]), up to a (sample-dependent) optimum value of the radiation intensity (see Fig. 5). Concomitantly, the resistance in the vicinity of  $B = [4=(4j+1)]B_f$  decreased and, about (4=5) $B_f$  and (4=9) $B_f$ ,  $R_{xx}$  ! 0. Current dependence measurements also demonstrated insensitivity to the magnitude of the current and the Hall electric eld.[6, 7]

The e ect of tilting the specim en with respect the applied B, as the microwave radiation is directed along the B-axis, is illustrated in Fig. 6. Note that the eld scale shown on the abscissa is di erent, for each panel in the gure. These results suggest that the radiation induced magnetoresistance, ignoring possible role for spin,




FIG.4: The variation of the activation energy,  $T_0$ , as a function of the m icrowave power at 98.5 GHz and (4=5)B<sub>f</sub>. (a)  $R_{xx}$  vs. B at an attenuation factor of -5 dB. (b) The natural logarithm of  $R_{xx}$  is shown versus the inverse tem perature.

is mostly sensitive to the perpendicular component of the total magnetic eld,  $B_2 = B \cos()$ .

The orientation of the m icrow ave polarization with respect to the current axis could be an important factor in experiment [14] and, therefore, results examining the role of this parameter are shown in Fig. 7. Measurements carried out at 39 G H z on a sample mounted inside a W R-28 waveguide (see Fig. 7), with a current applied between the ends of the L-shaped device, suggest the same period and phase in the E k I and the E? I con gurations.

Some results of an investigation examining the Idependence of the resistance in the C orbino geom etry are exhibited in Fig. 8. This gure shows that the C orbino resistance is independent of the current, at relatively low currents, which supplements previously reported results for the H all geom etry (see Fig. 3 (b), ref. [6]).

In this conguration, a maximum in the resistance (or a minimum in the conductance) occurs about B = (4/5) $B_f$  and  $B = (4/9) B_f$ , in place of the resistance minimum observed in the Hall conguration (see Fig. 1). This geometry difference originates from a well-known feature of transport, that the resistance in the Corbino geometry  $R_c = \frac{1}{x_x^2}$ , while in the Hall geometry,  $R_{xx} = \frac{2}{x_y}$ ,





FIG. 5: Radiation induced resistance oscillations at f = 50 GHz are exhibited for various source intensities, in units of W. The inset shows the extrem a resistance at  $(4/5)^{-1}$ ,  $(4/7)^{-1}$ ,  $(4/9)^{-1}$ ,  $(4/11)^{-1}$  vs. the logarithm of the power.

under  $!_{C} > 1$  condition.

Prelim inary results of experiments examining possible transm ission and absorption characteristics under microw ave excitation are illustrated in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. For the transm ission experiments (Fig. 9), a carbon resistor was placed im mediately below the sample, and its resistance was measured simultaneously along with the specimen characteristics, as radiation was applied from above (see Fig. 9, inset). The magnetoresistance of the carbon resistor is shown in Fig. 9(a), while Fig. 9(b) illustrates R<sub>xx</sub> of the 2DES vs. B. Here, -60 dB corresponds to vanishing excitation, while 0 dB corresponds to the maximum microwave intensity. In this sample, the optimum radiation induced R<sub>xx</sub> response, shown in Fig. 9(b), was observed in the vicinity of -8 dB. That is, the amplitude of the radiation induced oscillations increased monotonically with increasing power attenuation factor up to -8 dB.

A further increase of the radiation intensity (dB ! 0) produced a reduction in the peak height along with an increase in the resistance at the minima (see Fig. 9(b)). This behavior suggests a "breakdown" of the radiation induced zero-resistance states at high excitation levels, which is somewhat analogous to the "breakdown" of the quantum Halle ect that is observed at high currents.[2] At the same time, the response of the carbon resistor placed below the sample (see Fig. 9(a)) suggests structure at magnetic elds mostly above  $B_f$ , which becomes more pronounced with increased excitation. The feature correlates with a strong radiation-induced decrease in  $R_{xx}$  just above 0.3 Tesla. O bserved oscillations in  $R_{xx}$  below  $B_f$  (Fig. 9(b)) are in perceptible in the detector response (see Fig. 9(a)).






FIG. 6: Tilt-eld m easurem ents show that the radiation induced magnetoresistance is sensitive to the perpendicular component of total applied magnetic eld.

FIG. 7: M easurements carried out on an L-shaped sample mounted inside a W R-28 waveguide suggest insensitivity to the E - eld polarization.(a) The current is oriented parallel to the E - eld axis. (b) The current is oriented perpendicular to the E - eld axis.

The results of a measurement rejecting possible absorption characteristics of the specimient are illustrated in Fig. 10. In this experiment, the sample was mounted inside a waveguide that was susceptible to H = -4 therm oacoustic oscillations (TAO).[15]

In this setup, the onset of TAO 's under m icrow ave excitation generated m echanical vibrations of the sample and thereby produced electrical noise in the m easurement. However, this behavior was only observed for B < B<sub>f</sub>, which suggested the conjecture that energy absorption by the sample at B < B<sub>f</sub> modi ed the immediate sample environment and triggered the TAO's. That is, in Fig. 10, the 'noisy' portion of the data could signify absorption by the sample below B<sub>f</sub> (at 4.2 K).

Radiation induced zero-resistance states have been con med in GaAs/AlGaAs quantum wells by Zudov et al.,[16], who suggested the e ect as evidence of a new dissipationless e ect in 2D electronic transport.

P hillips has suggested the e ect to be a manifestation of sliding charge density waves.[17] D urst and co-workers have identi ed radiation induced resistance oscillations with a driven current, sim ilar to Ryzhii.[12, 18]

Andreev et al.,[19] and Anderson and Brinkm an,[20] suggested a physical instability for the negative resistivity state, while Andreev et al. proposed a scenario for realizing zero-resistance in m easurem ent.[19] A com plem entary theory by Shi and X ie,[13] also realized m agnetoresistance oscillations.[6, 7, 8].

The observed zero-resistance states have also been related to a quantum G unn e ect, [21] while R ivera and Schulz have pointed out the possibility of gap form ation




FIG.8: In the Corbino geom etry, resistance maxim a occur in the vicinity of B =  $[4=(4j+1)]B_f$ , where j = 1;2;3;... The Corbino resistance, R<sub>c</sub>, was found to be independent of the current in the investigated regime.




FIG.9: These m easurem ents exam ine the transm ission characteristics of the 2DES under irradiation. Here, a carbon resistor placed below the sample served as the radiation detector. The detector resistance,  $R_{CR}$ , vs. B (top).  $R_{xx}$  vs. B of the 2DES (bottom). This shows that when the power attenuation factor exceeds -8dB, the oscillation amplitude decreases signifying "breakdown". The detector response suggests non m onotonic transm ission above  $B_f$ , while strong features do not occur below  $B_f$  in top panel

due to the replication of Landau levels in the presence of radiation.[22] A lthough there has been progress in understanding aspects, see ref. [23, 24], m any features including the activated tem perature dependence, and the zero-resistance states them selves, could be better understood.

W e acknowledge stimulating discussions with J.H. Smet, K.von Klitzing, V.Narayanamurti, and W.B. Johnson. The high quality MBE material was kindly provided by V.Umansky. Special thanks to K.von Klitzing for providing insight, support, and encouragement over many years.




FIG.10: Possible indication of energy absorption by the specimen below B<sub>f</sub>. In a helium -4 (He-4) cryostat, a sam ple was mounted inside a waveguide, which was susceptible to He-4 Therm o-A coustic O scillations(TAO). Above 2.2 K, and below B<sub>f</sub>, TAO's were observed, which produced sam ple vibrations, and therefore, electrical noise in the measurem ent. Below the Lambda-point, this noise producing mechanism vanished. The results suggest that energy absorption by the sam ple triggered the TAO's in this setup.

- [2] R.E.Prange, S.M.Girvin (Eds.) The Quantum Hall E ect, 2nd edition, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [3] T. Ando, A. B. Fow ler, and F. Stern, Rev. Mod. Phys. 54 (1982) 437.
- [4] D.C.Tsui, H.L.Storm er, A.C.Gossard, Phys. Rev.B. 25 (1982) 1405.
- [5] R.G.Mani, J.H.Smet, K. von K litzing, V.Narayanamurti, V.Umansky, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 46 (2001) 972.
- [6] R.G.Mani, J.H.Smet, K.von K litzing, V.Narayanamurti, W.B.Johnson, and V.Umansky, Nature 420 (2002) 646.
- [7] R.G.Mani, J.H.Smet, K.von K litzing, V.Narayanamurti, W.B.Johnson, V.Umansky, cond-mat/0303034.
- [8] R.G.Mani, J.H.Smet, K.von K litzing, V.Narayanamurti, W.B.Johnson, V.Umansky, cond-mat/0305507.
  [9] R.G.Mani, et al., cond-mat/0306388.
- [10] M .A.Zudov, R.R.Du, J.A.Simmons, J.L.Reno, Phys. Rev.B 64 (2001) 201311.
- [11] P.D.Ye, et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 79 (2001) 2193.
- [12] A.C.Durst, S.Sachdev, N.Read, S.M.Girvin, condm at/0301569.
- [13] J. Shi, X.C.Xie, cond-m at/0302393.
- [14] A.A.Koulakov, M.E.Raikh, cond-m at/0302465.
- [15] I.E. Spradley, S.W. K. Yuan, Adv. In Cryo. Eng., vol. 37A, Plenum Press, New York, 1992, p. 257.
- [16] M.A.Zudov, R.R.Du, L.N.Pfeier, K.W. West, Phys. Rev.Lett. 90 (2003) 046807.
- [17] J.C. Phillips, cond-m at/0212416; 0303184.
- [18] V. I. Ryzhii, Sov. Phys. Sol. St. 11 (1970) 2078.
- [19] A. V. Andreev, I. L. Aleiner, A. J. Millis, condmat/0302063.
- [20] P.W. Anderson, W.F.Brinkman, cond-mat/0302129.
- [21] K.N. Shrivastava, cond-m at/0305032.
- [22] P.H.Rivera, P.A.Schulz, cond-m at/0305019.
- [23] R.Fitzgerald, Phys. Today 56 (4) (2003) 24.
- [24] R.Klesse, F.Merz, cond-mat/0305492.

E lectronic address: m ani@ deas.harvard.edu

M. Tinkham, Introduction To Superconductivity, 2nd Edition, M cG raw H ill, New York, 1996.