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#### Abstract

I construct a well-de ned expansion in $=2$ d for di usion processes on sm allworld netw orks. The technique perm its one to calculate the average over disorder of m om ents of the $G$ reen's function, and is used to calculate the average $G$ reen's function and uctuations to rst non-leading order in , giving results which agree with num erics. This technique is also applicable to other problem s of di usion in random media.


The sm all-w orld netw ork [1] has served as a fundam entalm odel in the eld ofnetw orks [2]. H ow ever, the problem of averaging over the possible di erent random connections in the sm allworld netw ork is severe in low dim ensions: a study [3] of the properties of even the sim ple problem of di usion on the one-dim ensional netw ork leads to a di cult problem that, thus far, has only been tackled approxim ately.

The physical reason for this problem is a breakdow $n$ ofm ean- eld theory [4] in dim ensions $d$ less than two, and the em ergence of strong site-to-site uctuations of the $G$ reen's function, so that the properties of the system cannot be represented by sim ply studying the average. H ow ever, this opens the possibility of perturbing in $=2 \mathrm{~d}$, aswillbe show n in this paper.

The sm all-w orld netw ork is constructed by starting $w$ ith a regular lattice in d-dim ensions. Then, som e set of long-range links are added: a given pair of sites $i ; j$ is connected $w$ ith probability $\mathrm{pa}^{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{V}$, where V is the total num ber of sites in the system. Here, we de ne a length a as the lattice scale, and $p$ as the density of links. Then, as V ! 1 , each site has a Poisson distribution of links em anating from it, w ith on average pa links. Typically, the links, if any, leaving a given site w ill connect that site to other sites far aw ay in the system .

Looking for universal results, we consider the case of a low density of links, pa ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \ll 1$. Ignoring sample-to-sam ple uctuations, the naturalm ean- eld system to consider is one in which each site is coupled to all others with a strength $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{V}$. This leads to a solvable problem with a correlation length $/ p^{1=2}$, or a correlation volume $d / p^{d=2}$. Returning to the originalproblem with xed links, we see that such a volum e hasp ${ }^{d=2}$ links in it, and as $p!0$, this num ber of links tends to zero for $p \quad$ 2. $T$ his is a $m$ ajor problem. $M$ ean- eld theory ignores uctuations in the number of links, which is only justi ed if the number of links is large, a condition which is not satis ed in this case ford $<2$. This problem is a result of a violation of the $m$ odi ed H arris criterion introduced in [5].

Instead, we expect that the correlation length for the average $G$ reen's function $m$ ust be at least $p^{1=d}$ for sm allp, so that there is on average at least one link in a correlation volum e. W e c EDP Sciences
w ill see below that the correlation length is in fact proportionalto $p^{1=d}$ in this lim it, and the expansion w illenable us to calculate the prefactor, as wellas to study uctuations about the average. G iven a ${ }^{1=d}$ scaling of the correlation length, the num ber of links in a correlation volum $e$ is som e p-independent num ber. The basis for the expansion is the observation that, w ithin a self-consistent approxim ation, th is num ber diverges as 1=, so that uctuations about a self-consistent $m$ ean- eld can be calculated diagram $m$ atically as done below .

G reen's Function | O ur general problem is to study di usion on the sm all-w orld netw ork. $T$ hus, we m ust calculate $G$ reen's functions of the follow ing problem : $\varrho_{t} i(t)=$
ij jr where $i(t)$ is the probability of nding som e random ly walking particle at site $i$ and is the Laplacian on the sm all-w orld netw ork. W e take

$$
\begin{equation*}
={ }^{0}+q U: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, ${ }_{i j}$ is the Laplacian on the regular part of the netw ork. ${ }_{i j}^{0}=a^{2}$ if $i$ and $j$ are neighboring sites on the regular netw ork, while $\quad 0$ is equal to $a^{2}$ tim es the coordination num ber of site $i . U_{i j}$ is the Laplacian on the long-range links. $U_{i j}=1$ if $i ; j$ are connected by a long-range link, where $U_{i i}$ is equal to the num ber of long-range links leaving site i.
$W$ e have inserted an extra factor of $q m u l t i p l y i n g$ the $m$ atrix $U$ in $E q$. (1). If $q$ is $s m$ all and $p$ is large, this im plies that we have a high density of weak links and the problem can be solved via a m ean- eld theory in which we ignore the uctuations in the localdensity of links. H ow ever, we w ill be interested in the opposite case, where $q$ is of order 1 , while p is sm all.

Fourier transform ing, we are interested in the G reen's function (i! + ) ${ }^{1}$. H ow ever, we F ill focus on the case! ! 0. Them atrix has a zero single mode, due to the conservation of
i i by the di usion process. Throughout, we will work in the subspace orthogonal to th is zero mode, de ning $G=\lim !!0(i!+)^{1}$ and $G^{0}=\lim !!o\left(i!+{ }^{0}\right)^{1}$ in this subspace. $T$ his $G$ reen's function is related to the retum probability of a random walker, and also to the roughness of a surface de ned by Edw ards-W ikinson dynam ics on the netw ork [4]. W e will com pute $\overline{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}}$, where the line denotes averaging over the ensem ble of di erent random netw orks, as well as com puting higher $m$ om ents such as $\overline{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{kl}}}$.

For p sm all (com pared to $a^{d}$ ), the length $p^{1=d}$ is m uch larger than the lattioe scale, so that we can take a continuum lim it, setting ${ }^{0}$ equal to the continuum Laplacian $@^{2}$. In the lim it ofsm allp, the probability of a single site having $m$ ore than one link becom es vanishingly sm all. This continuum lim it leads to ultraviolet divergences ford 2 , which are cuto at the lattioe scale a. H ow ever, for $d<2$, this lim it is com pletely convergent, and thus for $d<2$ we nd universal results, independent of the lattice details. This use of a continuum lim it is essential to continue the results to arbitrary realdim ension $d<2$.

For clearer notation, in the continuum lim it wew illoften use d-dim ensionalvectors $x ; y ;::$ : to label lattice sites, rather than indices $i ; j ;:::$. W ew rite $G(x ; y)$ to denote a $G$ reen's function betw een points $x ; y$ and $\overline{G(x)}$ to denote a $G$ reen's function $\overline{G(x ; 0)}$ (the averaging restores translational sym $m$ etry). We also use a vector $k$ t $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{P}}$ label m om enta, de ning the averaged $G$ reen's function at Fourier $m$ ode $k$ to be $\overline{G(k)}=d^{d} x \bar{G}(x) \exp (i k \quad x)$. W e use a sim ilar notation for otherm atrioes in the continuum lim it. D iscrete -functions get replaced by D irac -functions, while sum s get replaced by integrals.

Ignoring uctuations in the local density of links, the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation consists of replacing $U$ by $\bar{U}$, so in the continuum lim it $\bar{G} \quad\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}+\overline{U_{R}}\right)^{1}$. Then, we nd that $\bar{U}(x ; y)=$ $p q(x \quad y) \quad p q=V$. This gives a G reen's function $\overline{G(x)}=d^{d} k(2){ }^{d} \exp (i k \quad x)(k+p q){ }^{1}$. $T$ he correlation length is (pq) ${ }^{1=2}$ as discussed above, and thus this expansion $m$ ust breakdow $n$ for $d<2$ in the lim it ofsm allp at xed $q$.

Self-consistent Calculation | To go beyond the mean- eld calculation, we use im purityaveraged perturbation theory [6], follow ing [4]. W e continue w ith lattice notation here, since


Fig. 1 ( a) D iagram $m$ atics for and b) Self-consistent sum of interactions with a single link.
this developm ent is not speci $c$ to the continuum lim it. The perturbative expansion $\overline{\mathrm{f}} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ in powers of U is $\overline{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{G}^{0} \overline{\mathrm{G}^{0} \mathrm{UG}^{0}}+\overline{\mathrm{G}^{0} \mathrm{UG}^{0} U \mathrm{G}^{0}}$ :::, where a product of $m$ atrices is im plied. Each of the term $s$ in this expansion can be com puted using the given distribution of disorder. The m ean- eld calculation is based on the follow ing approxim ation: $G \quad\left({ }^{0}+\overline{\mathrm{U}}\right)^{1}=$ $\mathrm{G}^{0} \quad \mathrm{G}^{0} \overline{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{G}^{0}+\mathrm{G}^{0} \overline{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{G}^{0} \bar{U}^{\mathrm{G}}{ }^{0}$ ::: These two expansions are equal at zeroth and rst order in $U$, but di er at second order in $U$, by an am ount depending on the second cum ulant of $U$.

It is useful to introduce a diagram $m$ atic notation for the perturbative calculations, as shown in $F$ ig. 1. W e use a single solid line to denote $\mathrm{G}^{0}$, and a double solid line to denote $\overline{\mathrm{G}} . \mathrm{W}$ e use a cross $w$ ith no dashed lines attached to denote the average $\bar{U}_{i i}$. A pair of crosses connected by a dashed line is used to denote an average $\overline{U_{i i} U_{j j}} \overline{U_{i i}} \overline{U_{j j}}$. Three or m ore crosses connected by dashed lines are used to denote the third and higher cum ulants. T hese diagram s denote tw $O$, three, or $m$ ore scatterings of a single link. Circles are used to denote averages of o -diagonal term $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ for $i \not j$. D ashed lines can also connected both circles and crosses, again denoting higher cum ulants. W ithin this diagram $m$ atic approxim ation, the weight of a given diagram is equal to $p^{n_{1}}(q)^{n_{s}}$. Here $n_{1}$ is equal to the num ber of sets of circles or crosses connected by dashed lines, while $n_{s}$ is equal to the total num ber of circles phis crosses. Thus, each link gives one factor ofp (the probability of nding a link) m ultiplied by $q$ to the num ber of tim es that link appears in $U$.
$W$ e note that a single circle, not connected by a dashed line, denotes $\overline{U_{i j}}$, which vanishes as $V$ ! 1 , and thus $m$ ay be ignored in this perturbation expansion. In general, any diagram involving an odd num ber ofo -diagonalterm sfor a given link vanishes as $V$ ! 1 .

Let us retum to the continuum lim it for speci c calculations. W e introduce the self-energy (k) by setting $\overline{G(k)}=\left[{ }^{0}+(k)\right]^{1}$, as show $n$ diagram $m$ atically in $F$ ig. 1 (a). A pproxim ating
to leading order in $U$ we get $(k)=\bar{U}(k) . W$ e have $(0)=0$, H ow ever, for allk $\in 0,(k)$ is k -independent, so that, outside of the subspace of the zerom ode, wem ay write $(\mathrm{k})=\quad=\mathrm{pq}$. W e now com pute corrections to this result, to second order in U.To this order, (k) is still k -independent for $\mathrm{k} \in 0$. This self-consistent B om approxim ation is de ned by the rst three diagram s on the right-hand side off ig. 1 (b). H igher diagram soff ig. 1 (b) include alldiagram s w ith arbitrary num bers of scatterings o a single link. W e self-consistently use double lines for the G reen's function in Fig. 1 (b), giving $=p q 2 \mathrm{pq}^{2} \overline{\mathrm{G}}(0)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{G(0)}=\frac{Z}{(2)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} k}{k^{2}+}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ford $<2$, the integralofEq. (2) is convergent, and equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d=2}{(2)^{d}} \quad d=2 \quad 1 \quad(1 \quad d=2): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he self-consistent $B$ om approxim ation at second order in $U$ then becom es $=p q 2 p q \overline{G(0)}$. Asa rstguessat solving this equation, we substitute the rst order result for in the equation
for $\overline{G(0)}$, getting $=p q \quad 2 p^{d=2} q^{d=2+1} \quad d=2 \quad(1 \quad d=2)=(2)^{d}$ for $d<2$. The second order correction is com parable to the rst when $q \quad p^{2=d}{ }^{1}$. Thus, for $q \ll p^{2=d}{ }^{1}$, pertunbation theory $m$ ay be applied. We will be interested in the opposite lim it of p sm all at xed q so that $q \gg p^{2=d} 1$ and perturbation theory breaks down for $d<2$.

A better approxim ation for is to include alldiagram $s$ in $F$ ig. 1 (b), sum $m$ ing alldiagram $s$ involving interactionsw ith a single link. Since we are interested in the case in which the density of links is sm all, but the scattering $q$ o a single link is of order unity, we sum all scatterings - a single link. This gives $=p q=[1+2 \overline{q G(0)}]$. For p sm all, we will nd G ( 0 ) $\gg 1$, so that we can take $=p q=[2 \overline{q G(0)}]=p=[\overline{2 G(0)}]$. Here, the factor of tw o arises from the di erent possible diagonal and o -diagonal scatterings o a single link: if a particle interacts $w$ ith a given link $n$ tim es, then there are $2^{n}{ }^{1}$ total diagram s contributing to . U sing Eq. (3) for $\overline{\mathrm{G}(0)}$, we nd ford $<2$ that the solution of $=\mathrm{p}=\overline{2 \mathrm{G}(0)] \text { is }=}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left[(2 \quad)^{d} p=\left(2^{d=2} \quad(1 \quad d=2)\right)^{f=d}:\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have placed the subscript 0 on , since later this result $w$ ill be used as a zero order approxim ation, w ith corrections to it in powers of . This gives / $p^{2=d}$, so that the correlation length varies as $\mathrm{p}^{1=d}$. W e will nd below that a m ore careful treatm ent leads to universal corrections in orders of to the prefactor of Eq. (4), but does not change the scaling $w$ th $p$.

In contrast, ford > 2, the integralofE q. (2) is divergent at largek, but it converges at sm all k even for $=0$. The divergence at large k is cut o by the lattice scale, a . Thus, $\mathrm{G}(0)$ has a well-de ned lim it as ! 0; this lim it has a non-univensaldependence on the lattice details and is equal to som e num ber, $g$. The self-consistent equation becom es $=p q=[1+2 \overline{q G}(0)]$. To leading order in p , the solution of this equation gives $=\mathrm{pq}=(1+2 \mathrm{qg})$. Them agnitude of the corrections to the $m$ ean- eld result, $\quad=\mathrm{pq}$, depends on the product $q 9$ and does not have any universalbehavior. T here are also further corrections to the m ean- eld result which involve scattering o multiple links. T hese corrections will not be considered here.

Finally, consider $d=2$. In this case, we will show below that the approxim ation above leads to exact results for the $s m$ all p behavior of. The integral of Eq . ( $\mathbb{1}$ ) is logarithm ically divergent. The divergence is cut 0 at a k of order $\mathrm{a}^{1}$, giving $\overline{\mathrm{G}(0)}=(2)^{1} \log \left(\mathrm{a}^{1=2}\right)$. $T$ he self-consistent equation then gives $=p q=[1+2 q G(0)]$. A sfor $d<2, G(0) \gg 1$ for sm allp so that th is reduces to $=\mathrm{p}=[\overline{\mathrm{G}(0)}]=2 \mathrm{p}=\log \left(\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)$. Solving this self-consistent equation for $\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{p} \ll 1$ gives $=2 \mathrm{p}=\log \left(\mathrm{pa}^{2}\right)$, plus subleading term s .

C orrections in Two Dimensions and Renorm alization G roup| Thus far, the calculation has follow ed [4]. N ow, how ever, we go beyond the self-consistent calculation to obtain an expansion in . The rst step is to analyze the behavior for $d=2$ in $m$ ore detail, and show that the results above are exact for the leading scaling of $w$ ith $p$. In the next section, $a$ diagram $m$ atic perturbation expansion will be presented to com pute results in powers of .

In $d=2$, the correlation volum $e$ is of order $1=$. The physicalm otivation for the results below is that for $\quad \mathrm{p}=\log \left(\mathrm{pa} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right)$, the average num ber of links in a correlation volum $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{p}=$, is of order $\log \left(\mathrm{pa}^{2}\right)$. Thus, the average num ber of links in a correlation volum e diverges as $\mathrm{p}!0$. This, how ever, is exactly the condition we need to $m$ ake $m$ ean- eld theory work, as it enables us to ignore uctuations in the num ber of links.

Tuming aw ay from perturbation theory, we now consider the problem scale-by-scale, starting $w$ ith the shortest distances. We begin $w$ th a physical description of the problem, follow ed by a m ore carefulcalculation below. O $n$ length scales $l \ll p^{1=d}$, each link can be considered independently, since the density of links at such scales is very sm all: the probability of nding a link near any other link is negligible. Solving the problem of a single link at a scale 1 is very sim ilar to the calculation done above. $W$ e $m$ ust sum multiple scatterings o the single


Fig. 2 ( a) De nition of resum $m$ ed scattering. b) D isallow ed diagram s. c) Leading corrections to $\bar{G}$. d) Leading contribution to $\overline{\mathrm{GG}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{G}} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$. For all diagram s , single line denotes $\mathrm{G}^{1}$ in this gure.
link. This will renorm alize the interaction strength of the link from $q$ to $q=\left[1+2 q G_{1}(0)\right]$, where $\mathrm{G}_{1}(0) \quad(2)^{1} \log (\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{a})$ is the G reen's function ofm atrix ${ }^{0}$ at scale 1 . Any function which cuts o $m$ om enta less than $l^{1} w i l l$ su $\propto$ for $G_{1}$. W e choose $G_{1}(k)=\left(k^{2}+l^{2}\right){ }^{1}$. Then, once we reach scale $l=p^{1=2}$, the link interaction strength has been renorm alized to $q_{\text {ren }}=q=\left[1+2 q G_{1}(0)\right] \quad 2=\log \left(p a^{2}\right)$.
$T$ he num ber of links in an area of size $a^{2}$ was pa $^{2}$. In contrast, on the new scale $l=p^{1=2}$, the num ber of links in area $l^{2}$ is of order unity, while the scattering strength fren is sm all, and thus corrections to m ean- eld theory becom e negligible for small p . Then, we nd = $\mathrm{pq}_{\text {ren }}=2 \mathrm{p}=\log \left(\mathrm{pa}^{2}\right)$.

Expansion in $\mid N$ ow, we wish to compute quantities for $d<2$. In this case, we nd that once we reach a scale $1 \quad p^{1=d}$, the link interaction strength $q_{r e n}$ has been reduced to an am ount of order $q=\left[1+2 q G_{1}(0)\right] \quad 1$. Unlike the case in two dim ensions, qren does not vanish as we take the lim it pad ! 0. H ow ever, we will nd that the interaction strength of the links is of order, so that corrections to $m$ ean- eld theory can be com puted in powers of . The expansion is de ned by a sim ple re-ordering of diagram s. First, note that Eq. (4D) de nes a length scale liby

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\quad 0^{1=2}=\left[(2 \quad)^{d} p=\left(2^{d=2} \quad(1 \quad d=2)\right]^{1=d}:\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $G_{1}(k)=\left(k^{2}+\right)^{1}$. Then, we de ne the resum $m$ ed diagonal scattering $o$ a single link as in Fig. 2 (a), denoted by a cross with double dashed lines. H ere, the single line denotes the $G$ reen's fiunction $G_{1}$, rather than $G^{0}$ as before (this resum $m$ ation is closely related to the T matrix in scattering theory). The resum m ed $\circ$-diagonal scattering is de ned sim ilarly by a circle $w$ ith double dashed lines.

The starting point for the -expansion is the self-consistent calculation of Eq. (4). That expansion resum s a large set of diagram s known as the \rainbow" diagram $s$; this is the set of all diagram s except those in which a particle rst scatters o one link, then o a second, then retums to the rst link, and then retums to the second link (possibly with additional scatteringso other links included). W enow present an expansion for $\bar{G}$ which exactly includes all of the $m$ issing diagram $s$, such as in $F$ ig. 2 (c), $w$ ith the correct coe cent, using resum $m$ ed scatterings with each link. We then show that this leads to an expansion in powers of .
$F$ irst, w rite dow $n$ all possible diagram $s$, using $G_{1}$ for the $G$ reen's functions and double dashed lines for interactions w ith links, subject to the follow ing two constraints: (1) double dashed lines m ust alw ays connect at least two crosses or circles. T hat is, single crosses or circles never appear individually, so the rst diagram of $F$ ig. 2 (b) is not allowed. This constraint on diagram $s$ is included because such diagram $s$ are already taken into account in
the self-consistent expansion, by the replacem ent of ${ }^{0}$ by $\mathrm{G}_{1}$. (2) tw o successive interactions w ith linksm ust alw ays involve di erent links (links not connected by dashed lines), so that the second diagram of Fig. 2 (b) is also not allowed. The second constraint is presented to avoid overcounting $m$ ultiple scatterings. G iven these constraints, the leading correction to the averaged $G$ reen's function is given by $F$ ig. 2 (c). E ach diagram is assigned a factor ofp ${ }^{\mathrm{n}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\text {ren }}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}$, where $n_{1}$ is now the num ber of sets of circles and crosses connected by double dashed lines and $n_{s}$ is again the total num ber of circles and crosses. H ere, $q_{r e n}=q=\left[1+2 q G_{1}(0)\right]=0=p$ :
$T$ his expansion, though it simply reorders the diagram $s$, perfectly realizes the desired treatm ent of the problem scale-by-scale. W em ust start w ith the shortest scales. H ow ever, at the shortest scales, the only processes involve m ultiple scattering o single links; only when the scale becom es of order $p^{1=d}$ do processes w th m ultiple links becom e im portant. Thus, we resum scattering $o$ the single link, up to the scale 1 . Unlike the case for $d=2$, processes involving $m$ ultiple links, such as that show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2 (c), are no longer vanishing, but we now show that they are higher order in . First, it is convenient to rescale all distances by $1 . T$ hen, we set $x=x=1, \widetilde{k}=k l$. We use the $G$ reen's function $G_{1}(k)=\left(k^{2}+1\right)^{1}$, and de ne $p=p I$, while $G_{r e n}=$ qren $I^{2} d$. Then, we de ne $\overline{G(x)}$ by $\overline{G(x)}=\overline{G^{( }(x)} I^{2} d$. Then, the perturbation expansion for $G$ is obtained by using the sam e set of diagram $s$ as above, but replacing $p$ by $p$, Gren by $G_{\text {ren }}$, and $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ by $\mathrm{G}_{1}$. N ow, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=2^{d=2} \quad(1 \quad d=2)=(2)^{d}={ }^{1} 4^{d=2}=(2)^{d}+::: ;  \tag{6}\\
& \text { Gren }=\rho^{1}=(2)^{d}=\left(4^{d=2}\right)+::: ;
\end{align*}
$$

where here we have given a series expansion of the results for $\beta ; G_{r e n}$, and the ::: denote higher order term $s$ in . Thus, we have de ned a new problem of scattering with links of strength and density ${ }^{1}$; this a problem ofa high density of weak links so that perturbative techniques work well and lead to an expansion in . Physically, one can im agine that for d close to tw $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{a}$ random ly di using particle has only a weak interaction with a link: the dim ension of the path of the particle is two, so that for d close to two the particle can easily $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ iss" a given link.

There are a nite number of diagram s at each order in as we now show. The number of scatterings ofeach links is at least tw o by the rules above, so $n_{s} \quad 2 n_{1}$. Then, $P^{n_{1}}$ ofren $_{n_{s}}^{n_{s}} n_{1}$ is at least order ${ }^{n_{1}}$. Thus, to order ${ }^{n}$, we need only consider diagram $\mathrm{s}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{1} \quad \mathrm{n}$. For a given $\mathrm{n}_{1}$, we need only consider diagram sw ith $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}_{1}$, leaving us with only a nite num ber of diagram sat each order in. It is im portant to consider the possibility ofultraviolet divergences in two dim ensions, since they may give extra factors of ${ }^{1}$. However, the only ultraviolet divergences in two dim ensions in this expansion arise from $G$ reen's functions $G_{1}(0)$ and this expansion resum $s$ all such divergent diagram $s$ which involve scattering $o$ at least one link: the only divergent contribution to $\overline{G(0)}$ is from the very rst diagram in Fig .2 (c). Finally, to all orders in , the scaling of $\bar{G}(0) w$ ith $p$ is unchanged from the self-consistent calculation.

We now use this form alism to compute speci c results. W e R § G reen's function. From Fig. 2 (c), we have $\overline{G(0)}=l^{2}{ }^{d}{ }_{[G 1}(0)+p^{2} G_{r e n}^{4} d^{d} x d^{d} y G_{1}(x) G_{1}(y) G_{1}(x$ $\left.y)^{3}+::::\right]$. W e have num erically evaluated this integral in $d=2$ to get the leading corrections in (to sim plify this integral, we used the trick that it equals ( $1=4$ ) $@_{1} d^{2} \mathrm{xG}_{1}(\mathrm{x})^{4}$ at $\mathrm{l}=1$ ). The result is $\overline{G(0)}=1^{2}{ }^{d}\left[G_{1}(0)+{ }^{2}\right.$ (:00106:::) $\left.+:::\right]$, where we have also evaluated $P$; Gren $^{2}$ for $d=2$, and where 1 is given by Eq. (5). Here, $G_{1}(0)=\quad d=2=(2)^{d} \quad(1 \quad d=2)$ and hence diverges as ${ }^{1}$. A s noted above, this is the only ultraviolet divergent diagram.

To go to higher order in , it would be necessary to keep additional diagram s , as well as to expand the integrals in powers of near $d=2$. Since we are interested in $d=1$, let us rst
evaluate F ig. 2 ( c ) in $\mathrm{d}=1$, where $\beta=\sigma_{\text {ren }}=1$, so Z Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{G(0)}=p^{1}\left[G_{1}(0)+\quad d x d y G_{1}(x) G_{1}(y) G_{1}(x \quad y)^{3}+:::\right]=p^{1}(1=2+5=256+:::): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

At higher order, if we evaluate all diagram $s$ in $d=1$, but use the expansion to de ne the ordering of diagram $s$ for us, all the diagram $m$ atic integrals can be perform ed exactly, since $G_{1}(x)$ then has a sim ple exponential decay. This is a task left for future work, as is a test of the convergence properties of this expansion. Eq. (7) com pares wellw ith num erics [4]. There, $\bar{G}(0) \mathrm{w}$ as found to scale $\mathrm{p}^{1}$, w ith a prefactor slightly larger than $1=2$, close to the result here; com parison of the exact di erence betw een the prefactor and $1=2$ would require higher order calculations here and larger system sizes in the num erics.

Fluctuations in the $G$ reen's finction can also be com puted using these techniques. From Fig. 2 (d), we have $\left.\overline{G(0)^{2}} \quad \overline{(G(0)}\right)^{2}=p^{2}\left(d x G_{1}(x)^{4}+:::\right)=p^{2}(1=32+:::)$
$D$ iscussion | W e have presented an -expansion for the properties of the sm all-w orld network in $d=2$ dim ensions, enabling us to com pute averages of $m$ om ents of the $G$ reen's function. This technique should, how ever, havem uch greater generality. In 5], generalcriteria were put forth for the breakdow $n$ of $m$ ean- eld theory for any statistical $m$ echan ical system on a sm all-w orld netw ork, in analogy to the usual H arris criterion for disordered system s [7]. H opefilly, for other system $s$ in which these criteria are violated, it w ill be possible to provide an expansion near the criticaldim ensionality, analogous to what has been done for the violation of the ordinary H arris criterion [8].

These criteria can be extended to other problems than netw orks, such as a random ly di using particle in an array of traps [9], a m odel inspired by work in reaction-di usion processes [10]. The criteria [5] correctly predict $d=2$ as the region for the breakdow $n$ of $m$ ean- eld theory, and the techniques here can be used to com pute average properties of the $G$ reen's function in $d=2$. For the random trap system in $d=1 w$ th $p \ll q$, the particle has only a sm allprobability to pass through one trap to reach the next trap which is typically far aw ay. This makes the trap system very far from $m$ ean- eld theory and suggests that the expansion w ill not converge to $=1$; how ever, it also enables the solution of the $\mathrm{d}=1$ system by considering each interval betw een traps independently. In contrast, for the netw ork, the particle can interact $w$ ith several links, even for $p$ ! 0 , thus suggesting that the perturbative expansion $m$ ay work for the sm all-w orld case. A true test $w$ ill require evaluation ofadditional higher order diagram $s$ and is left for future work.
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