## Fractal time random walk and subrecoil laser cooling considered as renewal processes with in nite mean waiting times

F. Bardou

IPCMS, CNRS and Universite Louis Pasteur 23 rue du Loess, BP 43, F-67034 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France

There exist in portant stochastic physical processes involving in nite mean waiting times. The mean divergence has dram atic consequences on the process dynam ics. Fractal time random walks, a di usion process, and subrecoil laser cooling, a concentration process, are two such processes that look qualitatively dissimilar. Yet, a unifying treatment of these two processes, which is the topic of this pedagogic paper, can be developed by combining renewal theory with the generalized central lim it theorem. This approach enables to derive without technical di culties the key physical properties and it emphasizes the role of the behaviour of sum s with in nite means.

To appear in: Proceedings of Cargese Summer School on \Chaotic Dynamics and Transport in Classical and Quantum System s", August 18-30 (2003).

### Introduction

The fractaltime random walk [1,2] has been developed in the 1970's to explain anom alous transport of charge carriers in disordered solids. It describes a process in which particles jump from trap to trap as a result of therm alactivation with a very broad (in nite m ean) distribution of trapping times. It results in an unusual timedependence of the position distribution which broadens while the peak remains at the origin. The method of choice to study the fractal time random walk is the continuous time random walk technique.

Subrecoil laser cooling [3, 4] has been developed in the 1990's as a way to reduce the therm alm on entum spread of atom ic gases thanks to momentum exchanges between atom s and laser photons. It is a process in which, as a result of photon scattering, atom s jump from a momentum to another one with a very broad distribution of waiting times between two scattering events. It results in an unusual time dependence of the momentum distribution which narrows without fundam ental lim its hence giving access to tem peratures in the nanokelvin range. The method of choice to study subrecoil laser cooling is renew altheory [5].

Fractaltime random walks and subrecoil cooling seem at rst sight very dissimilar. The rstmechanism generates a broader and broader distribution, while the second generates a narrower and narrower distribution. Nevertheless, inspection of the theories of both phenomena reveals strong similarities: the continuous time random walk and the renewal theory are two closely related ways to tack le related stochastic processes. Physically, the two mechanisms share a common core, a jump process with a broad distribution of waiting times.

The aim of this pedagogic paper is to bridge the gap

between fractal time random walk and subrecoil laser cooling. We show that the essential results of the two theories can be obtained nearly without calculation by com bining the simple probabilistic reasoning underlying renew altheory and the generalized central lim it theorem applying to broad distributions. This provides more direct derivations than in earlier approaches, at least for the basic cases considered here.

In the rst part, we describe the m icroscopic stochastic m echanism s at work in the fractal tim e random walk and in subrecoll cooling and relate them to renew alprocesses. In the second part, we explain elementary properties of renewal theory and derive asymptotic results using the generalized central lim it theorem and Levy stable distributions. In the third part, we draw the consequences for the fractal tim e random walk and subrecoil cooling. The fourth part contains bibliographical notes.

### I. FRACTAL TIME RANDOM WALK AND SUBRECOIL COOLING : M ICROSCOPIC MECHANISMS

#### A. Fractaltim e random walk

The notion of fractal time random walk emerged from the observation of unusual time dependences in photoconductivity transient currents owing through amorphous samples. It can be schematized in the following way.

Consider rst a one dimensional situation called the Arrhenius cascade [6] in which the charge carriers are placed in a random potential with many local wells and barriers and can jump from one well to another one thanks to therm all activation (Fig. 1a). The Arrhenius cascade potential presents two features: a global tilt representing the e ect of the electric eld on the carriers and local random oscillations creating metastable traps separated by barriers representing the disorder created by the am orphous material. Thus the potential seen by the carriers is a kind of random washboard with a discrete num ber of metastables states.

The mean lifetime of state i, i.e., the mean waiting time before the occurrence of a therm al jump, is given

E lectronic address: bardou@ ipcm s.u-strasbg.fr



FIG.1: B iased random walks in a disordered system (a) Arrhenius cascade. Each carrier is put in random potential with a global tilt. It undergoes jumps over barriers of random heights  $E_i$  from a metastable well to the next one on the right thanks to therm alactivation. (b) P hotoconductivity setup. At time t = 0, a light pulse creates carriers in the immediate vicinity of the left electrode of an am orphous sample. The carriers of one sign then move through the sample thanks to an applied electric eld. (The carriers of the opposite sign are immediately absorbed by the left electrode.)

by the Arrhenius law :

$$i = {}_{0}e^{E_{i}=kT}$$
(1)

where  $_0$  is a time scale,  $E_i$  is the height of the energy barrier separating state i from state i+ 1, k is the Boltzm ann constant and T is the tem perature. The potential global tilt is assumed to be large enough to neglect backward jumps from ito i 1. The random walk we consider is thus completely biased. The time spent between the m etastable states is neglected. For a given barrier height  $E_i$ , the lifetime distribution ( $f_i$ ) is exponential with m ean  $_i$ :

$$(f_{i}) = \frac{1}{i} e^{-i}$$
: (2)

In the photoconductivity experiments (Fig.1b), a light pulse creates at time t = 0 carriers localized near the surface of the sample. The carriers then move through the disordered sample thanks to an applied electric eld. Thus, this situation can be modelled by a large number of A rrhenius cascade in parallel, each electron path being associated to one cascade.

O ne may (wrongly) expect that the transient current owing through the sample is quasi-constant at the beginning, while the bunch of carriers propagates through the sample, before decreasing rapidly to zero when the carriers leave the sample after reaching the end electrode. But what is observed is quite di erent. The current decreases as a power law 1=t while the carriers are still in the sample, then as  $1=t^+$  when some carriers start leaving the sample. For simplicity, we assume here that the sample is sem i-in nite so that the carriers never leave the sample.

The explanation of this anom alous behaviour will be shown to be related to the distribution of lifetimes  $_{i}$ . The random ness of the  $_{i}$ 's results from the combination of the exponential statistics of jump times for a given barrier height  $E_i$  (eq. (2)) with the barrier height statistics conveniently described by an exponential distribution P ( $E_i$ ),

$$P(E_{i}) = \frac{1}{E_{0}} e^{E_{i}=E_{0}} \text{ for } E_{i} = 0; \quad (3)$$

where E  $_{\rm 0}$  is an energy scale related to the sample disorder.

The waiting time distribution () is then

$$() = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1} \\ dE_{i} P (E_{i}) & (jE_{i}) = (1 + j = 0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(1 + j = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$$

$$(4)$$

where  $\binom{0}{3}x = \frac{R_x}{0}e^{u}u^{-1}du$  is the incomplete gam ma function and

$$= \frac{kT}{E_0}:$$
 (5)

At long times, ( ) tends to a power law, hence the term f(x) = random walk":

() 
$$'^{2}$$
 ()  $\frac{0}{1+}$ ; (6)

with () =  $\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u^{-1} e^{u} du$ .

If  $R_1$  1, states i have an in nite mean lifetime h i =  $\binom{1}{0}$  () d. However, they are unstable since they all ultimately decay to the next state (i + 1). U sually, unstable states have a well de ned and nite mean lifetime. Here, the som ew hat paradoxical presence of unstable states with in nite mean lifetimes is at the origin of the striking properties of the fractal time random walk.

#### B. Subrecoil laser cooling

Laser cooling of atom ic gases consists in reducing the momentum spread of atom s thanks to momentum exchanges between atom s and photons. Subrecoil laser cooling consists in reducing the momentum spread to less than a single photon momentum, denoted ~k. This paradoxical goal is achieved by introducing a momentum dependence in the photon scattering rate (see Fig. 2a) so that it decreases strongly or even vanishes in the vicinity of p = 0, where p denotes the atom ic momentum, taken in one dimension for simplicity.

The mechanism of subrecoil cooling is explained in Fig.2. Any time a photon is absorbed and spontaneously reem itted by an atom, the atom ic momentum undergoes a momentum kick on the order of  $\sim$ k, which has a random component because spontaneous emission occurs in a random direction. Thus, the repetition of absorption-spontaneous emission cycles generates for the atom a momentum random walk (see Fig.2b), with momentum dependent waiting times between two kicks. When an atom reaches by chance the vicinity of p = 0, it tends to



FIG.2: Subrecoil laser cooling (a) The mean sojourn time at momentum p (p) becomes very large for small atom ic momenta. (b) Photon scattering creates a momentum random walk with an accumulation in the vicinity of p = 0 due to the momentum dependence of the mean sojourn time (p).

stay there a long time. This enables to accumulate atoms at smallm omenta, i.e., to cool.

For a quantitative treatment, we introduce (p), the mean so journ time at momentum p (also the mean waiting time between two spontaneous photons for an atom at momentum p). For a given p, the so journ time at momentum p, i.e., the distribution ( jp) of so journ times at momentum p is

$$(\dot{p}) = \frac{1}{(p)} e^{-(p)} :$$
 (7)

We need to characterize the distribution of \landing" momenta (p) after a spontaneous emission. Under favourable but often realistic assumptions, atom s spend most of the time around the origin in the interval [ $p_{rap}$ ;+ $p_{trap}$ ], because they di use fast outside this interval and thus come back to it rapidly after leaving it. If  $p_{trap} < ~k$ , then after a spontaneous emission, the distribution of atom icm omenta can be considered as uniform :

$$(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2\mathbf{p}_{\text{trap}}} : \tag{8}$$

The distribution of so journ times after a spontaneous emission is thus

() = 
$$dp_{trap}$$
 (p) (p): (9)

W e consider the physically relevant case of power law (p),

$$(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathbf{0}\mathbf{P}_0}{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{j}}; \tag{10}$$

where > 0, and  $_0$  and  $p_0$  are time and momentum scales, respectively. Then, one nds, just as in the fractal time random walk, a waiting time distribution with a power law tail:

() = 
$$\frac{p_0}{p_{\text{trap}}}$$
 1+;  $\frac{p_{\text{trap}}}{p_0}$   $\frac{1}{0}$   $\frac{0}{1+}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{2}{1}$  ()  $\frac{p_0}{p_{\text{trap}}}$  (11)

where

$$=\frac{1}{-1}$$
: (12)

If < 1, the mean waiting time is nite and simple integration gives

$$h i = \frac{1}{1} \frac{p_0}{p_{trap}} _0$$
: (13)

If 1, on the contrary, the mean waiting time is innite. This divergence of the mean has dram atic (and positive in terms of cooling) consequences (see xIIIB).

### C. Connection with renewal theory

Renewal processes are stochastic process in which a system undergoes a sequence of events (denoted by in Fig.3) separated by independent random \waiting times" 1, 2, ... The term \renewal process" comes from engineering. A ssume that, at time t = 0, one installs a machine in a factory. When, after being operated for a random lifetime 1, the machine breaks down, it has to be replaced by a new one, which will work till it breaks down at  $_1 + _2$  and has to be replaced ... If, instead of a single machine, one has installed a large number of identical m achines, then, to decide how m any replacement machines must be stored at a given time, one needs to know the replacement rate, which we call hereafter the renewal density.



FIG.3: Renewalprocesses. The system undergoes a sequence of events (jumps from trap to trap, momentum kicks ...) at random times separated by waiting times  $_1$ ,  $_2$ , ...

To understand the statistical properties of renew alprocesses, various quantities are introduced. The most detailed information is provided by the distribution of the number of renewals,  $f_t(r)$ , i.e., the probability distribution for the system to undergo r events in time t. One also introduces derived quantities, the mean number of renewals at time t, hri<sub>t</sub>, and the mean renewal rate at time t, denoted R (t) and called the renewal density. Mathematical expressions for these three quantities will be given in XIIA. Here we show the role they play in fractal time random walk and in subrecoil laser cooling.

In the biased (fractal time or non fractal time) random walk, the discretized positions n at time t correspond directly to the number of jumps performed between time 0 and t. Hence the position distribution (n;t) in the fractal time random walk is the renew alnumber distribution:

$$(n;t) = f_t(n):$$
 (14)

The m ean position of the carriers is  $hri_t$ . The current i(t) m easured in photoconductivity experiments before the carriers get out of the sample is proportional to the m ean carrier velocity  $dhri_t=dt$ , which is the renewaldensity (see xIIA). Thus, one has

In subrecoil cooling, the momentum distribution (p;t) can be written in the following form :

$$(p;t) = (p) dt_1 R (t_1) P_s (t \pm p);$$
 (16)

where  $t_1$  is the time of the last jump occurring between 0 and t, R ( $t_1$ )d $t_1$  is the probability that a jump occurs during the interval ( $t_1$ ; $t_1$ + d $t_1$ ), (p) is the uniform probability distribution for a jumping atom to land at momentum p and P<sub>s</sub> (t  $t_1$ ; p) is the survival probability for an atom landing at momentum p at time  $t_1$  to stay there till at least time t. U sing eq. (7), one has trivially

$$P_{s}(t \ t_{j}\dot{p}) = \int_{t_{j}}^{Z_{1}} d \quad (\dot{p}) = e^{(t \ t_{1}) = (p)} : \quad (17)$$

The non trivial physical information is contained in the renew aldensity R (t).

The height h (t) of the momentum distribution peak,

$$h(t) = (p = 0; t);$$
 (18)

is proportional to hrit, the mean number of jumps between 0 and t. Indeed, for any jump, there is a probability (p)2dp = dp=p\_trap to fall in the vicinity [ dp;dp] of the origin and to stay there inde nitely since states in [ dp;dp] have arbitrarily long lifetimes in the limit dp ! 0 ( (p)  $\stackrel{!}{_{p! 0}}$  1, see eq. (10)). Thus the height writes

$$h(t) = \frac{hri_t}{2p_{trap}};$$
 (19)

## II. RENEWAL THEORY AND LEVY STABLE LAW S

## A. General form ulae

The number of renewals  $r_t$  in a time t is de ned as the number of jumps having occurred before time t. It satis es

$$S_{r_t}$$
 t <  $S_{r_t+1}$  (20)

where  $S_{r_t} = P_{i=1}^{r_t}$  is the sum of the rst  $r_t$  waiting times. The relationship between the renew alnum ber distribution  $f_t(r)$  and the waiting time distribution () can be obtained from the following simple reasoning.

Note rst that the distribution, denoted  $^{\rm r}$  (Sr), of the sum Sr ofr independent identically distributed waiting times is the r<sup>th</sup> convolution product of () with itself. M oreover, from the de nition of the number  $r_{\rm t}$  of renewals, one has obviously

$$Pr(r_t < r) = Pr(S_r > t) = [1 r(t)];$$
 (21)

where  $r(S_r) = \frac{R_{S_r}}{0} r(u)$  du denotes the distribution function of  $S_r$  (in spite of its notation,  $r(S_r)$  is not the  $r^{th}$  convolution product of the waiting time distribution function () = 0 (u) du). The probability distribution tion  $f_t(r)$  of the number of renewals at time t is thus nally

$$f_t(r_t = r) = Pr(r_t < r + 1) Pr(r_t < r) = r(t)$$
 (22)

This expression relates the distribution of a discrete random variable, r, to the distribution functions of continuous random variables t. In portant quantities derived from the renew alnum ber distribution  $f_t(r)$  are the m ean num ber of renew als at time t:

$$hri_{t} = \bigvee_{r=0}^{X} rf_{t}(r)$$
(23)

and the renewal density, i.e., the mean number of renewals per unit time [19]:

$$R(t) = \frac{dhri_t}{dt}:$$
 (24)

U sual theoretical treatments of renewal problems are based on Laplace transform softhe waiting time distribution that are indeed well suited to handle the convolution products r (t). Here, we prefer a dierent approach based only on the generalized central limit theorem. This approach requires nearly no calculation. Moreover, it stresses the role of the behaviour of sum s of m any random variables.

Indeed, m ost useful distributions tend, under repeated convolution, to a Levy stable law given by the generalized central lim it theorem . Thus we can obtain from eq. (22) analytical expressions for  $f_{\rm t}\left(r\right)$  and for related quantities in the lim it of large r and hence large t. Depending on the niteness of the rst two moments of the waiting time distribution (), three cases can be distinguished. For brevity, we treat only the two most striking cases: rst and second moment both nite in xIIB; rst and second moment both in nite in xIIC.

# B. Case of waiting time distributions with nite rst and second moment

W hen both the mean = h i and the variance  $^2$  of the waiting time are nite, the (usual) central limit theorem applies and r (t) tends to a G aussian distribution

<sup>r</sup> (t) 
$$_{r!} \frac{!}{_{1}} \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{_{r}} \exp \left(\frac{(t - _{r})^{2}}{2 - _{r}^{2}}\right)$$
 (25)

with mean r = r and variance  $r^2 = r^2$ . Thus, after changing variables in eq. (22), one has

$$f_{t}(r) \underbrace{!}_{r! \ 1} \underbrace{t_{r+1}}_{(t \ r+1)=r+1} \frac{p}{2} e^{u^{2}=2} du:$$
 (26)

Fix (t \_r)= r and take t! 1 .0 ne expects intuitively that the number of renewals is approximately given by t= 1 at large t (this is validated a posteriori by eq. (28)). Thus (t \_r)= r ' (t \_r)=( p = (p = 1) and (t \_r+1)= r+1 ' (t r)=( p = (p = 1)  $\frac{q}{2t}$ . This leads for the number of renewals to a Gaussian distribution with mean t= and variance 2t=3:

$$f_{t}(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{!}{\underset{t!}{!}} \frac{p_{\frac{1}{2^{-2}t=3}}}{p_{\frac{1}{2^{-2}t=3}}} \exp \left(\frac{(\mathbf{r} t=)^{2}}{2^{-2}t=3}\right)$$
(27)

A lthough wellknown in renewaltheory, this result is non trivial: the distribution of the sum s of r (! 1) term s and of the num ber of renewals at large times are found to have the same (Gaussian) shape. This is grossly violated with in nite mean waiting times (see xIIC).

As direct consequences of eq. (27), the mean number of renewals  $hri_t$  tends to t= at large times:

$$\operatorname{hri}_{t \stackrel{!}{t} \stackrel{!}{=} (28)$$

and, using eq. (24), the renewal density tends to the reciprocal of the m ean waiting time:

R (t) 
$$\underset{t!}{!} \frac{1}{1}$$
; (29)

in agreem ent with intuition.

Physical comments and an example of a renewal process with nite mean waiting time will be presented in xIIIA.

## C . Case of waiting time distributions with in nite rst and second moment

W e consider now the case of waiting time distributions with in nite rst two moments, focusing on the canonical example of distributions with (Pareto) power law tails of index ,

() 
$$! \frac{0}{1+};$$
 (30)

with 0<<1 (slightly more general cases can be handled using the theory of regular variations). The distributions  $\ ^{r}$  of the sum s  $S_{r}$  no longer tends to G aussians but, according to the generalized central lim it theorem, to Levy stable law s:

<sup>r</sup> (t) 
$$!_{r! \ 1} \frac{1}{r^{1=}} L_{B} \frac{t}{r^{1=}}$$
 (31)

where L  $_{;\rm B}$  (u) is a one-sided (u  $\,$  0) Levy stable law given by its Laplace transform ,

and B is a scale parameter given by

$$B = (1 )_{0}$$
: (33)

A fter changing variables in eq. (22), one obtains

$$f_{t}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\substack{t=r^{1-}\\t=(r+1)^{1-}}}^{Z_{t=r^{1-}}} L_{;B}(u) du; \quad (34)$$

which leads to the following asymptotic expression [20]:

$$f_{t}(r) \underbrace{!}_{t! \ 1} \ \frac{t}{r^{1+1=}} \ L \ _{;B} \ \frac{t}{r^{1=}}$$
(35)

In sharp contrast with the nite moments case, the renew alnum ber distribution  $f_t\left(r\right)$  di ers strongly from the distribution of the sum (eq. (31)) even though both distributions involve Levy stable law s. As will be shown in xIIIA,  $f_t\left(r\right)$  has a slow decay at smallr and a fast decay at large r unlike r (t) which decays as  $1{=}t^{1+}$ .

The mean number of renewals hrit is nite and can be related to a negative moment of a Levy stable law:

Hence, using  ${}_0$  u L  ${}_{,B}$  (u) du = 1=(B ()) and eq. (33), one has nally

$$\operatorname{hri}_{t} \underbrace{!}_{t} \frac{\sin(\cdot)}{1} \frac{t}{0} : \quad (37)$$

F inally, using eq. (24), the renewal density tends asymptotically to an ever decreasing power law:

$$R (t) \underset{t! 1}{!} \frac{\sin()}{1} \frac{1}{0 t^{1}} :$$
(38)

Physical comments and an example of a renewal process with in nite mean waiting time will be presented in xIIIA.

## III. APPLICATION TO FRACTAL TIME RANDOM WALK AND SUBRECOIL COOLING

We have seen in xIC that the most important quantities appearing in biased random walks and in subrecoil cooling are directly related to renewal theory: renewal number distribution  $f_t(r)$  (position distribution in biased random walks), mean number of renewals hri<sub>t</sub> (peak height in subrecoil cooling) and renewal density R (t) (current in the biased random walk, momentum distribution in subrecoil cooling).

In this section, we thus apply the results on renewal processes obtained in xII to biased random walks and subrecoil cooling. We emphasize the physics consequences of the divergence of the mean waiting time in renewal processes by opposing, for each application, one exam ple with nite mean waiting time and one exam ple with in nite mean waiting time. These examples reveal the generic features of the cases with nite or in nite mean waiting times.

### A. Biased random walks

As an example of waiting time distribution with nite mean and standard deviation generating a (non fractal time) random walk, we consider an exponential distribution

$$() = \frac{1}{2} e^{-1} = 1 \text{ for } 0$$
 (39)

with mean and standard deviation both equal to  $\$ . In this case, the convolutions of () have the simple explicit form s of the G am m a (or E rlang) distributions

<sup>n</sup> () = 
$$\frac{n}{(n-1)!^{n}} e^{-1}$$
: (40)

Thus, applying eq. (22), the renewal density  $f_t(r)$  and hence the position distribution (n;t) are exactly known:

$$(n;t) = \frac{e^{t=}}{n!} - \frac{t}{n}^{n}$$
: (41)

(O ne recognizes the Poisson distribution of mean  $hri_t = t =$ , as expected: in this case, the renewal process is a Poisson process.) As shown in Fig. 4, this exact position distribution rapidly tends to the Gaussian distribution given by eq. (27):

(n;t) 
$$\lim_{t \neq 1} \frac{1}{2t} \exp \frac{(n t)^2}{2t} :$$
 (42)

W e thus recover the intuitive picture of norm al transport: a position distribution propagating at a constant speed 1= and spreading as t. The current i(t) resulting from such a distribution in photoconductivity experim ents is related to the renewal density (eq. (15)) which



FIG.4: T in e evolution of the position distribution (n;t) for a biased random walk with an exponential waiting time distribution ( nite h i). The distribution propagates at constant speed 1= and spreads as t. The exact result (eq. (41)) and the asymptotic one (eq. (42)) agree even at short times.

leads, using eq. (29), to

$$i(t) / 1 = :$$
 (43)

As an example of waiting time distribution with innite mean and standard deviation generating a fractal time random walk, we consider a Pareto distribution [21] of index = 1=2,

$$() = \frac{0}{2^{3-2}} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \quad (44)$$

with  $_0 > 0$ . As there is no simple analytic form for  $^n$  (t) and thus for the position distribution (n;t) =

<sup>n</sup> (t) (n+1) (t) (eq. (22)), we obtain (n;t) through num erical simulation (see Fig. 5). On the other hand, using eq. (35) and the known form for the asymmetric Levy stable law of index 1=2 called the Sm imov law (or using eq. (32)), the asymptotic distribution is found to be a half-G aussian

(n;t) = 
$$\frac{0}{t! - 1} \exp \left(\frac{0}{4t}n^2\right)$$
 (45)

The fact that a half-G aussian is obtained should not give the impression that fractal time transport is similar to norm al transport described by full G aussians (eq. (42)). F irst, the half-G aussian is speci c to P areto waiting time distributions with = 1=2 (see below for other 's). Second, the properties of the half-G aussian in fractal time transport are completely dierent from those of the full G aussian of the norm al transport. Indeed, instead of propagating, the distribution peak remains at the origin n = 0 at all times. Only the tails spread to the right, m ore and m ore slow by as times goes by. This is due to the fact that the carriers statistically tend to be trapped into deeper and deeper traps at long times, which slows down their motion. The resulting current is thus a decreasing function of time, at all times. U sing eq. (15) and eq. (38), one obtains:

$$i(t) \quad \frac{1}{p_{0}t}:$$
 (46)



FIG.5: Time evolution of the position distribution (n;t) for a biased fractal time random walk constructed from a Pareto distribution of index = 1=2 (in nite h i). The distribution spreads slow ly towards positive values but its maximum always remains at the origin. Note the smaller position scale and the longer time scales compared to Fig. 4, which em – phasizes the slow ness of transport in the fractal time random walk. The exact (simulated) and asymptotic results (eq. (45)) are in good agreement. (They have seem ingly dierent norm s at short times because the exact result is a discrete distribution while the asymptotic one is a continuous distribution.)

It is worth exam ining the general case of waiting time distributions with power law tails like eq. (30) and innite means ( < 1). From the following expansion of asymmetric Levy stable laws with < 1,

$$L_{;B}(x) '_{x!1} \frac{B}{(1)x^{1+}};$$
 (47)

one nds the behaviour close to the origin (fort ! 1):

(n;t) 
$$\frac{\prime}{n! \ 0} \frac{0}{t}$$
 : (48)

Hence, the position distribution is at (n independent) at smalln, as already observed for the special case = 1=2. Moreover, using

L ;B (x) 
$$_{x! 0}' A x^{\frac{2}{2(1 )}} exp \frac{C}{x^{=(1 )}};$$
 (49)

where A and C are constants, one obtains

$$(n;t) / \exp - \frac{C r^{1=(1)}}{t^{(1)}};$$
 (50)

up to power law corrections. As 1=(1 ) > 1, the transport front always decreases faster than exponentially and presents a well de ned characteristic position. Consequently, the mean carrier position yielding the current, is well de ned, unlike the mean waiting time which is in nite. Using eq. (15) and eq. (38), one nds that the current decays as a power law:

$$i(t) / \frac{1}{t^1};$$
 (51)

as already observed for the special case = 1=2 and in agreem ent with photoconductivity transient experiments.

### B. Subrecoil cooling

Consider rst the case of waiting time distributions with = 1 = 2 (eq. (11)) ensuring a nite mean waiting time h i (and a nite h<sup>2</sup>i). According to eq. (29) and eq. (13), one has

R (t) 
$$\frac{1}{t!} \frac{1}{1} \frac{p_{trap}}{p_0}$$
 (52)

and thus, applying eq. (16) with eq. (8) and eq. (17), one nds the momentum distribution

$$(p;t) = \frac{1}{2p_{\text{trap}}} \quad 1 \quad e^{\frac{t}{0} \frac{jpj}{p_0}} \qquad \frac{p_{\text{trap}}}{jpj} \quad : \quad (53)$$

This distribution has stationary tails:

$$(p;t)' \frac{1}{2p_{trap}} \frac{p_{trap}}{\dot{p}j} \quad \text{for } \dot{p}j > p_0 \frac{0}{t}$$
(54)

but a non stationary peak that increases linearly in time:

(p;t) ' 
$$\frac{1}{2p_0 p_{trap}^1} \frac{t}{0}$$
 for  $p_0 \frac{0}{t}^{1=}$  : (55)

This peak is also obtained directly using relation (19) between the height h (t) and the mean number of renew als of eq. (28).

Finite mean waiting times are not very favourable for the cooling since only a vanishingly small fraction of atoms goes on accumulating at smaller and smaller velocities (see Fig. 6).

Consider now the case of waiting time distributions with in nitemean waiting times ( = 1 = < 1) ensuring. A coording to eq. (38), one has

R (t) 
$$\underset{t!}{!} \frac{\sin()p_{trap}}{()p_{0}} \frac{1}{_{0}t^{1}}$$
 (56)



FIG.6: Time evolution of the momentum distribution (p;t) for subrecoil cooling with a nite mean waiting time. Parameters: = 0.25,  $p_0 = 1$ . The tails reach a stationary state. Only a vanishingly narrow part of the peak goes on increasing at long times (note the logarithm ic p scale).

(one must write (t) of eq. (11) in the form of eq. (30), thus replacing  $_0$  by () (p  $_0=p_{\rm trap})_0$  in eq. (38)). Thus, applying eq. (16) with eq. (8) and eq. (17), the momentum distribution writes

$$(p;t) \underset{t! 1}{!} \frac{\sin()}{2^{2}} \frac{t}{()p_{0}} \frac{t}{0} \quad G \quad \frac{tp}{0p_{0}} ; \quad (57)$$

where

$$G (q) = \int_{0}^{2} du u^{-1} e^{(1 u)q}$$
(58)

!

is a con uent hypergeom etric function. Thus (p;t) presents a scaling form and evolves at all times scales, with no stationary state. The tails behave as

$$(p;t)_{t!1} \frac{\sin()}{2} \frac{0}{t} \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{p_0^1 p} \text{ for } pj > p_0 \frac{0}{t}$$
(59)

and the peak, also obtained directly from eq. (19) and the mean number of renewals (37), behaves as

$$(p;t)_{t! 1} \frac{!}{2^{2}} \frac{\sin()}{2^{2}} \frac{t}{0}_{0} = \frac{t}{0} \quad \text{for } \dot{p}j \leq p_{0} \frac{0}{t} = \frac{1}{(60)}$$

In nite mean waiting times are favourable for the cooling (Fig. 7): all atoms accumulate in a narrower and narrower peak in the vicinity of p = 0. The cooling goes on without fundamental limits at long times. The absence of limits is related to the significant weight (cf. h i = 1) of p states with arbitrarily long lifetimes.



FIG.7: Time evolution of the momentum distribution (p;t) for subrecoil cooling with an in nite mean waiting time. Parameters: = 2,  $p_0 = 1$ . The full momentum distribution, tails and peak, never reaches a stationary state. All atoms go on accumulating without limit in a narrower and narrower peak as time increases.

### IV. BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTES

Fractaltime The theory of fractaltime random walks was developed in particular cases in [7] using continuous time random walks and, in the general case, in [1], adding Tauberian techniques to handle Laplace transforms. Fractal times were used in [8] to model turbulent di usion. Several other applications involving fractal times were presented in [9] and [2].

Subrecoil cooling The statistical approach to subrecoil cooling was developed in three steps: [10], [11] and [4]. The connection of this approach with renew altheory was stressed in [5].

Renewal processes The basic theory of renewal processes is presented in a sm all book [12]. This book does <sup>1</sup>-not include in nite m ean waiting times but some general expressions for nite m ean waiting times are still valid in this case too (see xIIA). Ref. [13], chapter X I, presents renewal theory with a more theoretical view point and includes some results on in nite m ean waiting times which were discovered at the beginning of the sixties, in spite of the fact that no application seem ed to be known at the time. Renewal processes in a physics context including in nite m ean waiting times have been studied system atically in [14] using Laplace transform techniques.

The mean number of renewals given by eq. (37) for in nite mean waiting times agrees with eq. (8) in [1] obtained through Tauberian theorems for the fractal time random walk (there is to be a misprint in this reference: the expression for (), page 424, line 3, must be replaced by () =  $t^{1+}$  (1 + )A (t)). It also agrees with the renewal theory developed in [14] (eq. (3.6)).

The renew alnum ber distribution expressed with Levy stable laws given by eq. (35) agrees with eq. (5.6) of [13], which is how ever m ore complicated.

Generalized central limit theorem The generalized central limit theorem and results on Levy stable laws used in xIIC can be found, e.g. in Appendix B of [15] or in x42 of [4]. Details on Levy stable laws can also be found in x12 of [16].

#### C on clusion

This paper has underlined the common statistical core at work in two seemingly opposite problems: a diusion mechanism (fractal time random walk) and a cooling mechanism (subrecoil laser cooling). This core is made of waiting time distributions with in nite means.

U sual theoretical techniques for these problems are

- [1] M.F.Shlesinger, J.Stat. Phys. 10, 421 (1974).
- [2] H. Scher, M. F. Shlesinger, and J. T. Bendler, Physics Today pp.26(34 (1991).
- [3] A. Aspect, E. Arimondo, R. Kaiser, N. Vansteenkiste, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 826 (1988).
- [4] F. Bardou, J.-P. Bouchaud, A. A spect, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Levy Statistics and Laser Cooling (Cam bridge University Press, Cam bridge, 2002).
- [5] O. E. Bamdor -Nielsen and F. E. Benth, in State of the Art in Probability and Mathematical Statistics; Festschrift for Willem R. van Zwet, edited by M. C. M. de Gunst, C. A. J. Klaassen, and A. W. van der Vaart (Inst. Mathematical Statistics, 2000), Lecture Notes - Monograph Series, pp. 50{71, http://wwwmaphysto.dk/cgibin/w3msql/publications/genericpublication.html?publ=159.
- [6] F. Bardou, in Levy processes: theory and applications, edited by O. E. Barndor -N ielsen, S. E. G raversen, and T. M ikosh (M aP hySto, A arhus, 1999), M iscillanea no. 11, http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0012049.
- [7] E.W. Montrolland H. Scher, J. Stat. Phys. 9, 101 (1973).
- [8] M.F.Shlesinger, B.J.W est, and J.K lafter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1100 (1987).
- [9] M.F.Shlesinger, Ann.Rev.Phys.Chem. 39, 269 (1988).
- [10] F. Bardou, J.-P. Bouchaud, O. Em ile, A. A spect, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 203 (1994).
- [11] F. Bardou, Ph.d. thesis (1995).
- [12] D.R.Cox, Renewal Theory (Methuen (Wiley), London

transform, im ply som e loss of physical intuition. Here, we have presented a renew altheory approach which, thanks to the generalized central lim it theorem, provides a shortcut to obtain physically relevant quantities. It em phasizes the key contribution of the unusual behaviour of

based on Tauberian theorem swhich, through the Laplace

Understanding these stochastic processes with in nite m eans is not a purely academ ic gam e. It has already led to signi cant im provem ents of laser cooling strategies [17] and other im provem ents are under way [18].

sum s of random variables with in nite means.

### A cknow ledgm ents

I thank O E.Barndor -Nielsen, M.Romeo and M. Shlesinger for discussions.

(New York), 1962).

- [13] W .Feller, An introduction to probability theory and its Applications. Volume II (John W iley and sons, New York, 1971).
- [14] C. G odreche and J. M. Luck, J. Stat. Phys. 104, 489 (2001).
- [15] J.P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, Phys. Rep. 195, 127 (1990).
- [16] G.Sam orodnitsky and M.S.Taqqu, Stable non-Gaussian random processes (Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 1994).
- [17] J. Reichel, F. Bardou, M. B. Dahan, E. Peik, S. Rand, C. Salomon, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4575 (1995).
- [18] F Bardou, Communication at the W orkshop on A spects of Large Quantum Systems Related to Bose-Einstein Condensation (A arhus, April 2004, and to be published, 2004).
- [19] The renewal density is a rate of events. It has the same dimension as a probability distribution of times, 1/time, but it is not a probability density. Thus, its integral  $_{0}^{1}$  dt R (t), representing the average total number of events occurring between t = 0 and t = 1 does not have to be norm alized. It is actually often in nite.
- [20] Ast'  $S_r = \int_{i=1}^{r} i$  scales as  $r^{1=}$ , the limit r ! 1 corresponds to the limit t ! 1.
- [21] For a Pareto distribution of index > 2 (nite standard deviation), the norm al transport case is recovered.